

WILBERFORCE
CHAMBERS

RULES OF THE DIFC COURTS 2016

RUPERT REED QC

**SIMON ATKINSON
HARRIS BOR
JONATHAN CHEW**

CONTENTS

PART 1	Citation, commencement, application and the overriding objective
PART 2	Interpretation
PART 3	Organisation of the Court
PART 4	The Court's case management powers
PART 5	Forms
PART 6	Court documents
PART 7	How to start proceedings — the claim form
PART 8	Alternative procedure for claims
PART 9	Service
PART 10	Responding to particulars of claim — General
PART 11	Acknowledgment of service
PART 12	Disputing the Court's jurisdiction
PART 13	Default judgment
PART 14	Setting aside or varying default judgment
PART 15	Admissions
PART 16	Defence and reply
PART 17	Statements of case
PART 18	Amendments to statements of case
PART 19	Further information
PART 20	Addition and substitution of parties
PART 21	Counterclaims and other additional claims
PART 22	Statements of truth
PART 23	General Rules about applications for Court orders
PART 24	Immediate judgment
PART 25	Interim remedies and security for costs
PART 26	Case Management
PART 27	Alternative Dispute Resolution

PART 28	Production of documents
PART 29	Evidence
PART 30	Witnesses, depositions and evidence for other Courts
PART 31	Experts and assessors
PART 32	Offers to settle
PART 33	Payments into Court
PART 34	Discontinuance
PART 35	Miscellaneous provisions relating to hearings
PART 36	Judgments and Orders
PART 37	Change of Legal Representative
PART 38	General Rules about costs
PART 39	Fixed costs
PART 40	Procedure for detailed assessment of costs
PART 41	Proceedings by or against the Centre, its Bodies and the Government
PART 42	Judicial Review
PART 43	Arbitration Claims
PART 44	Appeals
PART 45	General Rules about enforcement of judgments and orders
PART 46	Charging orders, stop orders and stop notices
PART 47	Attachment of future assets and earnings
PART 48	Execution against assets
PART 49	Court's power to appoint a receiver
PART 50	Orders to obtain information from judgment debtors
PART 51	Interpleader
PART 52	Contempt of Court
PART 53	Small Claims Tribunal
PART 54	Insolvency Proceedings
PART 55	Probate Rules

FOREWORD

The Middle East group at Wilberforce Chambers is delighted to bring you this, now our fourth, bound and online edition of the Rules of the DIFC Courts ('the RDC'). We have continued to add commentary based on the growing jurisprudence of the DIFC Courts on the construction and application of the RDC.

Our ambition is that our green book, in providing commentary on the RDC based on the decisions of the *DIFC* Courts, may one day follow the style and usefulness of the 'White Book' used in English High Court litigation.

Readers, however, will still want themselves to refer to:

- (a) the White Book itself for a convenient commentary on the analogous and source provisions in the *English* Civil Procedure Rules 1998 ('the CPR'), which the DIFC Courts may be required to follow and to which they may have regard: Art. 30(2) of the Court Law (DIFC Law No. 10 of 2004); RDC 2.10; *Ithmar Capital v. 8 Investments Inc* (24 November 2008) CFI 8/2007; *Vannin v. Al Khorafi* (11 February 2016) CFI 036/2014.
- (b) the English Admiralty and Commercial Courts Guide, presently in its 2014 edition as updated in March 2016, as found on the UK Government's website at https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/508023/admiralty-and-commercial-courts-guide.pdf, which takes precedence over the CPR in case of default of provision in the RDC: RDC 2.10(2)-(3);
- (c) the English and other Common law authorities to which reference is made in the White Book and which are relevant to the application of the CPR and the Guide; and
- (d) insofar as the rules on the standard production of documents are derived from the IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration ('the IBA Rules'), the 2010 edition of the IBA Rules, first issued in 1999 and available on the IBA's website in English, Arabic and other languages (http://www.ibanet.org/Publications/publications_IBA_guides_and_free_materials.aspx#takingevidence) and the IBA's own Commentary on the IBA Rules, also in its 2010 edition (on the same internet page).

We are very grateful to our friends and colleagues in the Dubai legal community and beyond for bringing to our attention decisions of particular importance in which they have been involved and sharing their analysis of those decisions. Any help in this, and in correcting any errors, is very much appreciated, and should be directed in the first instance to Rupert Reed QC (rreed@wilberforce.co.uk).

If you or any others would be interested to receive a further copy of this version of the RDC 2016, please let Rupert know at the above email address. If stocks allow, we would be delighted to provide a copy.

Looking forward, we hope to be able to share with any DIFC legal practitioners, professionals and court users connected with us on 'Linked In' updates on matters of interest in the developments in DIFC law as they arise.

We are pleased to see continuing growth in our own involvement in advising and representing clients in the DIFC Courts and more broadly in disputes arising in Dubai and across the wider Gulf region. We wish to thank all those who have worked with us, who have given us the benefit of their understanding of aspects of DIFC Courts litigation, and who are so welcoming when we are in Dubai.

We believe that the early involvement of experienced litigators is important in any DIFC Courts case. The risks to a party when its pleaded case or oral evidence is inconsistently presented, where written evidence is procured without the witness's involvement, or where parts of the party's case are not put to the other side's witnesses, are very clear from the recent decision of Justice Sir David Steel in *Sheikh Meshal Jarah Al-Sabah v. UBS AG* (27 August 2013) CFI 005/2012.

We will be continuing to give regular seminars in Dubai on issues of DIFC and private international law to explore the issues arising in cross-border litigation.

Our commitment to those seminars and to the production of this Guide is a small expression of both our thanks and our continued optimism that we can assist further in building the global prestige and attraction to litigants of the DIFC Courts. It is our strong belief that it is by facilitating the access of lawyers in the international business community to DIFC law that we can encourage them to engage with the DIFC Courts as an international centre for dispute resolution.

FOREWORD

Since the last version of this guide, the most significant issues in the development of DIFC law have included: (i) the development of the 'conduit' jurisdiction of the DIFC Courts to enforce awards and judgments intended for execution in on-shore Dubai; (ii) the extent to which the DIFC Court is subject to the jurisdiction of the Union Supreme Court ('USC') of the UAE; (iii) the limits of the application of the doctrine of *forum non conveniens* ('FNC'); (iv) the confirmation of a commercial approach to the construction of contracts; (v) consideration of the Court's power to re-open final appeals; (vi) a shift towards an 'international' or arbitral approach by which issues of foreign law are presented by way of submission; and (vii) the conversion of DIFC court judgments into arbitral awards under PD 2 of 2015.

What follows as a survey of 'Recent Development in DIFC Law' is intended to serve as a high-level overview of what we see as the most interesting recent developments in DIFC law and procedure in recent years. This overview will be broadly divided into sections on: (1) Jurisdiction; (2) Substantive law; (3) Procedural law; (4) Appeals; and briefly (5) Enforcement.

We are extremely grateful to Naomi Shogbola of Wilberforce Chambers and Paul Cojeen of Studio Jo and Co in Liverpool for all their brilliant work on the design and formatting of this edition, and to Nick Luckman and the management of Chambers for their unfailing support of our work in the Middle East.

We dedicate this edition of our guide to the Rules of the DIFC Court to the staff of the DIFC Courts, who have treated us and our clients with unfailing courtesy and good humour in seeking to implement those Rules, often under great pressure.

RUPERT REED QC

SIMON ATKINSON
HARRIS BOR
JONATHAN CHEW

Maundy Thursday
24 March 2016

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN DIFC LAW

(1)	JURISDICTION	10
	Conduit jurisdiction	10
	(i) Foreign arbitral awards – <i>XX v. YY</i>	11
	(ii) Domestic arbitral awards – <i>Banyan Tree</i>	12
	(iii) Staying recognition and enforcement proceedings – <i>A v. B</i>	15
	(iv) Foreign judgments – <i>DNB</i>	16
	(v) UAE constitutionality of relevant Dubai and DIFC law – <i>XX v. Y</i>	19
	(vi) UAE public policy – <i>XX XX v. YY</i>	19
	Merits threshold	20
	<i>Forum non conveniens</i>	21
	Role of the USC	24
	The CPC does not apply to the DIFC Courts	24
	Opting <i>in</i>	25
	Opting <i>out</i>	27
	Anti-suit injunctions	29
	Trend in judicial decisions	30
(2)	SUBSTANTIVE LAW	33
	(i) Primacy of contract	35
	(ii) Primacy of express terms	36
	(iii) Requirement of contractual certainty	37
	(iv) Construing contracts	37
	(v) Freedom of contract: choice of law	38
	(vi) Freedom of contract: penalty clauses	39
	(vii) Termination of contract	40
	(viii) Restitution	41
	(ix) Negotiating damages	41
	(x) Contribution proceedings	43
	(xi) Employment law	43
	(xii) Financial regulatory law	47
	(xiii) Fiduciary duties under DIFC Companies Law	48
	(xiv) Res judicata / issue estoppel / abuse of process	49

(3)	PROCEDURAL LAW	51
	(i) Limitation	51
	(ii) Presentation of UAE, Dubai and other non-DIFC law	52
	(iii) Freezing injunctions	56
	(iv) Disclosure of documents	56
	(v) Security for costs	58
	(vi) Inherent jurisdiction of the DIFC Courts	58
	(vii) Procedural fairness	59
	(viii) Pleadings	60
	(ix) Judicial review of procedural decisions	62
	(x) Lawyer witness statements	62
	(xi) Skeleton arguments	63
	(xii) Cross-examination	64
	(xiii) No costs budgeting yet	65
	(xiv) Proportionality of costs	65
(4)	APPEALS	66
	(i) Appeals on the facts	67
	(ii) Appeals on exercises of judicial discretion	68
	(iii) Power of Court to re-open a final appeal	68
	(iv) New points on an appeal	69
	(v) Withdrawal of concessions made below	70
	(vi) Appeals of findings not figuring in any order below	71
	(vii) Pleading points	71
(5)	ENFORCEMENT	73
	(i) Conduit jurisdiction	73
	(ii) Conversion of judgments into awards	75

(1) JURISDICTION

Jurisdiction - conduit jurisdiction

We once predicted that the prevalence of jurisdiction issues would diminish in the wake of a number of decisions of the DIFC Court of Appeal that appeared to resolve most of the outstanding issues of DIFC Court jurisdiction.

At the same time, we noted in our last edition that the existing framework of rules and principles on the enforcement of foreign judgments in the DIFC and of DIFC judgments in the UAE *'opens up a beguiling question of using the DIFC as a conduit for enforcement elsewhere in the UAE, the GCC and the region'*. Such a jurisdiction would allow arbitral awards rendered outside the DIFC and foreign judgments to be recognised by the DIFC Courts for the purpose of execution in Dubai *'automatically'* under existing reciprocal enforcement mechanisms and without substantive scrutiny in the Dubai Courts. We noted in our last edition the absence of any cases on such a conduit jurisdiction of the DIFC Courts.

Since we made those comments two years ago, there has been a very significant number of decisions, both at first instance and on appeal, in which the DIFC Court has answered that beguiling question firmly in favour of its having such a *'conduit jurisdiction'*. Most of the judgments have been released, albeit in a form anonymised using only the letters X and Y. We queried in particular whether issues would arise as to whether the use of the DIFC Courts in that way as a conduit jurisdiction would involve an abuse of process where there was no 'genuine' DIFC dispute and where the true intention was never to execute the award or judgment against assets in the DIFC by circumventing any substantive review by the *Dubai* Courts. That question has now been firmly answered in the negative, at least in the courts of the DIFC.

The leading decisions are: (i) (1) *X1*, (2) *X2 v. (1) Y1, (2) Y2*, (2014) Arb 002/2013 ('*XX v. YY*') (foreign arbitral awards); (ii) *Meydan Group LLC v. Banyan Tree Corporate Pte Ltd* (27 May 2014) ARB 003/2013; (3 November 2014) CA 005/2014 ('*Banyan Tree*') (domestic arbitral awards); (iii) *A v. B* (22 January 2015) ARB 002/2014 (iv) *DNB Bank ASA v. (1) Gulf Eyadah Corp, (2) Gulf Navigation Holding PJSC* (2 July 2015) CFI 043/2014; (25 February 2016) CA 007/2015 ('*DNB*') (foreign judgments); (v) (1) *X1*, (2) *X2 v. Y*, 5 January 2015 (ARB 001/2014) ('*XX v. Y*') (constitutionality); (vi) *XX (1) X1, (2) X2 v. (1) Y1, (2) Y2*, 29 July 2015

(‘XX v. YY’) (public policy). We consider briefly below each of these cases and issues, using the chronological sequence of the cases to give some sense of the direction of travel. For ease of practitioner reference, we set out in a single appendix immediately following this survey the core Dubai, DIFC and treaty legal provisions and guidance relevant to the conduit jurisdiction.

Some of the complexity of the analysis in these cases arises from the fact that the DIFC Courts have been required to consider at the same time the provisions in the Arbitration Law relating to the recognition and enforcement of awards, as well as the ‘back to back’ provisions for the recognition and enforcement of awards and orders in both the relevant *Dubai* statute, the Judicial Authorities Law, by which jurisdiction is *ceded* to the DIFC Court, and the relevant *DIFC* statute, the Court Law, in which the relevant jurisdiction is *conferred upon* the DIFC Court.

(i) Foreign arbitral awards – XX v. YY

In *XX v. YY*, DCJ Sir John Chadwick found that the DIFC Courts were *required* under Art 42(1) of DIFC Law No. 1 of 2008 (‘the Arbitration Law’) to *recognise* an arbitral award as being binding in the DIFC, irrespective of the jurisdiction in which it was made (subject only to Arts 43 and 44 of the Arbitration Law) [24]-[26]. That statutory provision had superseded the more general jurisdiction already provided in Art 24(1) of DIFC Law No. 10 of 2004 (‘the Court Law’) [27].

Further, under Art 42(4) of the Arbitration Law, the DIFC Courts *may enforce* an award recognised or ratified by the DIFC Courts, in accordance with the more general power of enforcement under Art 7(1) of *Dubai* Law No. 12 of 2004 (‘the Judicial Authority Law’ / ‘the JAL’) (as amended by Dubai Law No. 16 of 2011). The assumption of DCJ Sir John Chadwick was that the jurisdiction conferred was one to enforce a foreign arbitral award, which the DIFC Courts had recognised, *against assets* within the DIFC [28]. It was common ground that the order sought was one for the recognition and enforcement of the award *within the DIFC* [19].

It followed that the DIFC Courts *did* have jurisdiction to make an order for the recognition and enforcement of the foreign award *within the DIFC* under the fifth jurisdictional ‘gateway’ in Art 5(A)(1)(e) of the JAL (by reference to the relevant provisions of the DIFC Arbitration Law). In spite of the mandatory wording of Art 42(1), it followed from the limitations ‘*within the DIFC*’ in both Art 42(1) and (4) that, further to the grounds in Art 44 for refusing recognition or enforcement, it

may be appropriate to refuse recognition or enforcement where there were no assets in the DIFC against which to enforce the foreign award [39].

The Court agreed that the DIFC Courts could serve as a 'conduit jurisdiction', so that an award *recognised* in the DIFC Court could then be *enforced* in the Dubai Courts, without the need for separate recognition in the Dubai Courts [41]. However, the DCJ was clear in finding that the relevant jurisdiction was one to enforce against assets *within the DIFC*, and that the DIFC Court had under Art 42(4) a *discretion* to enforce, such that it may be inappropriate to enforce an award where there were no relevant assets in the DIFC.

(ii) Domestic arbitral awards – Banyan Tree

In *Banyan Tree*, the Court of Appeal found that there was jurisdiction under Art 42(1) of the Arbitration Law to recognise and enforce a domestic DIAC arbitration award rendered on-shore in Dubai, and that there were no good grounds for not exercising that jurisdiction. Justice Sir David Steel rehearsed the relationship between Art 42 of the Arbitration Law, Arts 5(A)(1) and 7(4) of the Dubai JAL, and Art 24(1) of the DIFC Court Law, along similar lines to those drawn in *XX v. YY*. However, the Court of Appeal found that the grounds for refusing recognition or enforcement were limited to those set out in Art 44 of the Arbitration Law, themselves based on the relevant provisions in the New York Convention ('NYC'). It was not willing to consider issues of Federal UAE law or the constitutional rights of the subjects of any emirate to have issues of recognition and enforcement determined by the courts of the emirate in which the parties had agreed to seat their arbitration.

Notwithstanding that *domestic* awards made within the UAE do not fall within the provisions of the NYC, Art 42(1) makes no distinction between foreign and domestic awards [14]; [32]. The Court of Appeal further rejected a submission that it was a prerequisite to recognition that the respondent arbitration debtors or their assets were within the jurisdiction [16]-[30]. While he conceded that an absence of assets in the jurisdiction *may* be a relevant consideration on the exercise of the Court's discretion to enforce an award, Justice Sir David Steel found that such an absence did not pose any barrier to enforcement, given the possibility that assets might subsequently come into the jurisdiction or that there may be enforcement orders, such as oral examination, that may still avail the applicant [33]; [43].

The Court of Appeal declined to stay the application on FNC grounds because the DIFC Courts had an exclusive jurisdiction that precluded the operation of the FNC doctrine because there was no alternative forum [38]-[40]. English authorities relating to the discretion of the English Courts to grant permission to serve out of the jurisdiction were therefore not relevant [31]-[32].

Lastly, the Court of Appeal rejected a submission that the application was an abuse of process as being intended to prevent the execution judge in the Dubai Courts from being able to consider the merits of the award by engaging the machinery for the automatic recognition and enforcement of a DIFC judgment in the Dubai Courts. Insofar as Art 7 of the Dubai JAL together with the Protocol on Jurisdiction between the DIFC Courts and the Dubai Courts established the machinery under which a Dubai execution judge was required to enforce a DIFC Court order without review of the merits, it could not be said that the use of that machinery was *per se* an abuse [42]. The Court of Appeal found that it was for the Dubai Courts to decide whether they could still review the validity of the award [44]-[47].

The effect of the Court of Appeal's decision was therefore to take the statutory framework as carefully drawn by the DCJ in *XX v. YY* in fitting together Art 42 of the Arbitration Law, Art 7 of the JAL, Art 24(1) of the Court Law, and Art 5(A) (1)(e) of the JAL, but to strip out two significant limitations on the statutory jurisdiction. First, the Court of Appeal removes the limitation of enforcement to assets *within the DIFC*. Secondly, the Court removes the *discretionary* nature of the jurisdiction to enforce, having regard to circumstances including the absence of assets in the DIFC. Justice Sir David Steel agreed that the Court has a discretion to enforce, but his contention that assets may subsequently be brought into the jurisdiction and that there may be enforcement orders that are useful even in the absence of known assets would seem to apply in almost all cases so as substantially to erode any discretion. Moreover, his emphatic rejection of the application of the FNC doctrine appears to confirm the absence of any discretion whether in effect or in principle.

In *XX v. YY*, Justice Sir Anthony Colman subsequently confirmed that the Court did not have a *discretion* to enforce. He explained that the permissive wording of Art 42(4) ('...*may be enforced*') was intended to convey that an award recognised by the DIFC Court was *open* or *available* to be enforced by the arbitration creditor [41]-[42]. Once the DIFC Court has made an order under Art

43(1) of the Arbitration law recognising the award, that award can be taken to the execution judge of the Dubai Court for execution without any substantive review. That 'automatic' enforceability under Art 7 of the JAL was part of a reciprocal enforcement regime. Art 44(1) of the Arbitration Law, on its true construction, was found to *exclude* any 'residual discretion' of the DIFC Court to refuse recognition where none of the statutory grounds was found by the Court [63].

In *XX XX v. YY*, the Court further emphasised that there is no statutory pre-condition of DIFC Court enforcement that the arbitration debtor has *any* assets in the DIFC (and there was no provision for any such pre-condition in the NYC). Indeed, Art 7(2) of the JAL expressly contemplates DIFC recognition and enforcement orders being made where the only subject of execution is on-shore in Dubai [42], and the only pre-conditions are those in Art 7(2)(a) and (b) of the JAL [43]. The Court explained the utility of such orders being available even in the absence of assets, given that financial resources are highly mobile [44].

Finally, the suggestion of the Court of Appeal in *Banyan Tree* that it will be for the *Dubai* Courts to decide whether they can substantively review the validity of an award suggests an unwillingness of the DIFC Courts to consider their *own* powers and duties under the relevant Dubai and UAE federal and constitutional laws. It appears likely that attacks on the Court of Appeal's decision in *Banyan Tree* will focus on those issues. In a subsequent decision, *A v. B*, ARB 002/2014, Justice Sir David Steel again asserted that it was not for the DIFC Court to analyse either its own jurisdictional competence to enforce awards or the constitutionality of any provisions of Dubai or DIFC law, and suggested that the proper court to pursue any such analysis would be the USC on a reference from the DIFC Court [21] [24].

Since the decision in *Banyan Tree*, a number of orders have been made for the recognition and enforcement of domestic arbitral awards. The specific order sought is for (i) recognition and ratification under Art 43 of the Arbitration Law, (ii) an order for payment, (iii) the affixation of the executory formula on the ratified award in accordance with Art 7(2)(1) of the JAL, and (iv) the issue by the DIFC Courts' Registry of a letter to the Dubai Courts requesting enforcement in accordance with Clause 1(c) of the Summary of the Protocol of Enforcement. The DIFC Courts have dealt almost summarily with the sort of technical objections to enforcement that are common in annulment proceedings in the Dubai Courts: *A v. B*, ARB 002/2014 [11]-[14].

There was in that later decision of Steel J a return to old orthodoxy in the clear statement by the Court that it can order recognition and enforcement only *within the DIFC*, and that a further application should be made in Dubai for an order for recognition and enforcement *within Dubai* [21]. It is clear, however, from the decisions of Justice Sir John Chadwick in *Bocimar International NV v. ETA* (31 January 2016) CFI 008/2015 and of the Court of Appeal in *DNB* that execution in Dubai of an award or judgment recognised in the DIFC should be automatic under the existing reciprocal enforcement mechanism. Indeed it is this that underlies the whole concept of using the DIFC as a conduit jurisdiction.

(iii) Staying recognition and enforcement proceedings – A v. B

Where annulment proceedings have been brought in the Dubai Courts, then the options for the DIFC Court are not binary. It has the third option of adjourning or staying the recognition and enforcement application pending the outcome of proceedings in the Dubai Court pursuant to Art 44(2) of the Arbitration Law. In *A v. B*, 22 January 2015, ARB 002/2014 ('*A v. B*'), Justice Sir David Steel referred to the relevant criteria identified in the English case of *IPCO (Nigeria) Ltd v. NNPC* [2005] EWHC 726 (QB), namely: (i) whether the application in the country of origin is brought *bona fide* and not simply by way of delaying tactic; (ii) whether that application has a realistic prospect of success; and (iii) the extent of the delay occasioned by an adjournment and any resulting prejudice.

In *A v. B*, Justice Sir David Steel found the case to be '*at the bottom end of any sliding scale in regard to those considerations*', with the appeal in the Dubai proceedings found to be bordering on the hopeless, so that it was '*clearly a device to delay matters and given the technical and unmeritorious nature of the challenge ...is redolent of want of good faith*' [32]. The delay arising from an appeal and a contemplated further appeal to the Court of Cassation was 'clearly prejudicial'.

Justice Sir David Steel reached a similar conclusion in a further decision, also anonymised as *A v. B*, 26 July 2015, ARB 005/2014. In that case, he noted the 'threshold question' as to whether 'as a matter of first impression the award is valid or invalid': *Soleh Boneh v. Uganda Government* [1993] Lloyd's Rep 208. On the facts of that case, he found that the award debtor had 'no realistic prospect' of making good its contention that the award was invalidated by arbitral delay. That supported the clear impression that the challenge was not pursued *bona fide* but simply as delaying tactic. He finished by noting the disproportionality between the amount of the claim and the costs incurred in challenging it.

In another decision, as yet unreported, DCJ Sir John Chadwick found that he was not persuaded that it was a case in which either (i) the annulment proceedings had been brought without good faith solely for the purpose of causing delay (ii) there was no realistic prospect of success or (iii) there would be significant prejudice resulting from the necessary delay. That was a case in which there were real issues as to the validity and effect of the award, the annulment proceedings under Arts 212 and 216 of the CPC were already on foot, and the case was moving towards a determination, at least at first instance.

(iv) Foreign judgments – DNB

In *DNB*, Justice Ali Al Madhani found at first instance that the DIFC Courts were required under Art 7(6) of the JAL and Art 24(1) of the DIFC Court Law to recognise and enforce a foreign judgment, so that the jurisdictional ‘gateway’ under Art 5(A)(1)(e) was available. His initial analysis tracked that in *Banyan Tree* in reading together Art 7(6) of the JAL, Art 24(1) of the DIFC Court Law and Art 5(A)(1)(e) of the JAL.

However, the Defendant argued that Art 24(1) of the Court Law, insofar as being ‘pursuant to’ Art 7(4) of the JAL, was implicitly limited to execution in the DIFC *against assets in the DIFC*, so that the jurisdiction of the DIFC Court was similarly restricted to claims for such limited enforcement. If that were so, then a foreign judgment recognised in the DIFC or ‘recognised foreign judgment’ (‘RFJ’) could *not* be sent to Dubai for automatic enforcement by the Dubai Courts. The Court rejected this argument on the basis that the reference in Art 24(1) must now impliedly be to Arts 7(4)(5) *and* (6) of the JAL as amended [30]. There was no requirement in any of the JAL, the Court law or RDC 45.8 that the judgment debtor should have assets within the DIFC jurisdiction [33].

However, the Judge went on to find that *Banyan Tree* should be distinguished on the ground that RFJs *cannot* be referred directly to the Dubai Courts for execution beyond the DIFC’s jurisdiction [52]. This is because Art 7(2) of the JAL as amended required the Dubai Courts to execute only (i) judgments of the DIFC Court and (ii) arbitral awards ratified by the DIFC Court, but impliedly not (iii) foreign judgments recognised by the DIFC Courts. Because RFJs, although ratified by the DIFC Courts, were neither (i) judgments of the DIFC Courts nor (ii) arbitral awards, they would *not* be executed by the Dubai Courts [46]. Accordingly, the DIFC Court could not execute judgments or orders of any other

court outside the jurisdiction of the DIFC Court, and so could not refer RFJs to the Dubai Court for execution [48]. It followed that the DIFC Court had no ‘conduit jurisdiction’ for the execution on-shore in Dubai of RFJs [49]-[51].

On that basis, the Court dismissed a submission that the DIFC recognition and enforcement of a foreign judgment was an abuse of process [52]. This created a stark distinction between arbitral *awards*, in respect of which the DIFC Court had conduit jurisdiction, and foreign *judgments*, in respect of which it did not, even though Art 24(1) of the Court Law did not appear to draw any relevant distinction. Some commentators suggested at the time that this might be the first step in a retreat from *Banyan Tree*, notwithstanding the judge’s deference to that decision.

However, the first instance decision in *DNB* was subject to implicit criticism in the decision of Justice Chadwick in *Bocimar International NV v. Emirates Trading Agency LLC* (31 January 2016) CFI 008/2015. The Court, on an application for a post-judgment freezing injunction was required to consider precisely the issue of whether the relevant DIFC judgment recognising an English Commercial Court judgment would be enforceable in (non-DIFC) Dubai. Justice Chadwick noted the absence of any definition of ‘recognised foreign judgments’ in either the JAL or any other statute, and concluded that such a term was apt only to refer to the underlying judgment of the foreign court, and not the judgment in recognising it of the DIFC Court, which was a judgment of a Dubai Court for the purposes of the JAL. On that basis, it could be enforced in Dubai under Art 7(6) of the JAL and the Protocol of Enforcement of 23 April 2009 [11]-[12].

Moreover, on appeal in *DNB* (25 February 2016) CA 007/2015, the Court of Appeal, in allowing the judgment creditor’s appeal, found unanimously that the DIFC *can* be a conduit jurisdiction for the recognition of foreign judgments so as to become independent local judgments capable of being ‘automatically’ enforced by the Dubai Courts under the relevant mechanisms for the reciprocal enforcement of judgments. It was not for the DIFC Courts to dictate what should happen in the Dubai Courts in giving effect to those mechanisms.

Chief Justice Michael Hwang SC confirmed that the DIFC Court had jurisdiction to hear claims for the enforcement of foreign judgments pursuant to Art 5(A)(1) (e), the gateway for cases of jurisdiction by statutory or regulatory provision. The relevant statutory provision is in Art 7(4)-(6) of the JAL and Art 24(1)(a) of the Court Law (construed to apply to those provisions of the JAL as amended).

However, those provisions were not relevant because a foreign money judgment that is recognised by the DIFC Court, in the case of a judgment of the English Commercial Court pursuant to the guidance of the English Memorandum of Guidance itself becomes an *independent local judgment* of the DIFC Court on common law principles [104]. This is a judgment that is itself susceptible to being directly enforced in the Dubai Courts under Art 7(2) of the JAL. The Court of Appeal agreed with the implicit criticism by Justice Sir John Chadwick in *Bocimar International NV v. Emirates Trading Agency LLC* (28 January 2016) CFI 008/2015 of the use of the undefined term ‘recognised foreign judgment’ to refer to what were properly DIFC Court judgments.

The Court of Appeal drew a distinction between foreign *judgments*, which become judgments of the DIFC Court on being recognised, and foreign *awards*, which the DIFC Court *may* recognise without there being any judgment in the terms of the award [120].

Finally, the Court of Appeal re-emphasised that the presence of assets in the DIFC is not a condition for the enforcement of foreign court judgments as it has already done, in the case of arbitral awards in *Banyan Tree*. The Court further found that ‘*it is not wrong to use the DIFC Court as a conduit jurisdiction to enforce a foreign judgment and then use reciprocal mechanisms to execute against assets in another jurisdiction*’ [129], and confirmed that there was no abuse of process because it was not manifestly unfair to any party and did not bring the administration of justice into disrepute for the purposes of the common law test [132]. The Court of Appeal in effect rejected the pejorative language of the English Courts in certain historical references to ‘*judgment laundering*’ before it had been prohibited by statute.

On a strict legal analysis, the Court of Appeal in *DNB* avoided making any direct finding on what the Dubai Courts should do in dealing with a DIFC order enforcing a foreign court order. Indeed CJ Michael Hwang SC expressly disclaimed any jurisdiction to dictate what the Dubai Courts should do and insisted that the judgment creditor would seek enforcement in the Dubai Courts at its own risk [129]. However, in *Bocimar*, the issue of whether the Dubai Courts would be required under the JAL and Protocol to enforce *did* arise directly in the Court’s decision as to whether it should exercise its discretion to make a worldwide freezing injunction intended to preserve any Dubai assets to secure enforcement, and Justice Sir John Chadwick confirmed that foreign judgments recognised by

the DIFC Courts became *DIFC* judgments ordinarily entitled to enforcement in Dubai under Art 7(2) of the JAL and the Protocol on Enforcement.

(v) UAE constitutionality of relevant Dubai and DIFC law – XX v. Y

The suggestion of the Court of Appeal in *Banyan Tree* that it will be for the *Dubai* Courts to decide whether they can substantively review the validity of an award suggests an unwillingness of the DIFC Courts to consider their *own* powers and duties under the relevant Dubai and UAE federal and constitutional laws.

That impression was confirmed by the decision of Justice Ali Al Madhani in *X1 & X2 v. Y* (5 January 2015) ARB 001/2014, in which the DIFC Court declined to refer to the USC the constitutionality of Art 5(A)(1)(e) of the JAL and Arts 42 and 43 of the DIFC Arbitration Law on grounds of their conflict with the UAE Civil Procedure Code ('the CPC'). The Defendants argued that the CPC required foreign arbitral awards to be ratified and enforced in the courts of the jurisdiction in which they were to be enforced (and so in the Dubai Courts where there was to be enforcement on-shore in Dubai).

The DIFC Court found that it should only refer to the USC where there was a serious issue of unconstitutionality and/or conflict between federal and Dubai or DIFC law. In the case of the relevant Dubai and DIFC enforcement provisions, there was not *in practice* any conflict because the CPC was not applicable in the DIFC Court under federal legislation and public policy [50]-[53]. As to a further suggestion that the Dubai and DIFC statutes were unconstitutional in extending the effect of DIFC law outside the DIFC's jurisdiction in Dubai, the Court found that was a matter for the Dubai Courts to decide [54]-[58].

Further constitutionality issues have been raised in *WCT Berhad (Dubai Branch) v. Meydan Group LLC*, ARB 003/2015 and judgment has been reserved. There remain also issues as to whether parties, regardless of any reference by the DIFC Court, can *themselves* apply in the Dubai Courts for a reference to the USC.

(vi) UAE public policy – XX XX v. YY

In *XX XX v. YY*, Justice Sir Anthony Colman declined the invitation of arbitration debtors to refuse recognition and enforcement of an award on the grounds that enforcement would be contrary to the public policy of the UAE for the purposes

of Art 44(1)(b)(vii) of the Arbitration Law. The debtors had argued that, because they had no assets in the DIFC, the recognition and enforcement application was a device to deprive them of the juridical and procedural advantages that would have been available to them if the application had been made in the Dubai courts.

The arbitration debtor led UAE law evidence that UAE public policy was to the effect that UAE persons should enjoy the protections of both *Shari'a* principles and the procedural safeguards in Art 215 of the CPC applied in the Dubai courts, so that selection of the DIFC Court as a 'port of entry' would deprive the debtor of public order rights to which it was entitled as a UAE person.

The Court considered that the public policy defence raised in *XX XX v. YY* was closely related to the abuse of process defence rejected by the Court of Appeal in *Banyan Tree*. Just as Justice Sir David Steel had questioned whether the use of the machinery for the mutual enforcement of judgments and orders under Art 7 of the JAL could be an abuse of the process, so Justice Sir Anthony Colman found that the relevant public policy, as revealed by the statutory machinery, was precisely to provide that where an award had been recognised in the DIFC Court, it was automatically invested with the status of a ratified award for the purpose of execution in Dubai. He noted that UAE public policy was defined by its laws [60], that neither party was aware of any case in which a UAE court had declined to rely on a UAE law as being contrary to public policy [58], and that the procedural advantages of which a Dubai party might be deprived, principally the right to see relevant documents in Arabic, were of minimal significance.

Further, Art 44(1)(b)(vii) was required to be construed consistently with Art V2(b) of the NYC from which it was ultimately derived [40]. States party to the NYC had shown a clear inclination to read the public policy defence restrictively. If the DIFC Courts were to widen the scope of that defence, then there was a risk that they would put the UAE in breach of its obligations under the NYC.

Jurisdiction – merits threshold

Under the common law and Brussels 1 Regulation regimes applying to issues of jurisdiction in the *English Courts*, a Claimant is required to show not only a good arguable case that the claim comes within the relevant gateway, but also a serious issue to be tried on the *merits* of the claim. There is no *express* statutory

provision in DIFC Law requiring a claimant to satisfy any merits threshold as to the strength of its claim in order to engage the jurisdiction of the DIFC Courts.

However, in *Al-Mojil v. Protiviti Member Firm (Middle East) Ltd* (3 January 2016) CFI 020/2015, the Defendant argued that the Claimant failed to advance a case which could found a dispute or engage the Court's jurisdiction in the terms of DCJ Chadwick in *Hardt v. Damac* (4 April 2010) CFI 036/2009) [60] and/or that showed a good arguable case on the merits in the terms of the Court of Appeal in *Bank Sarasin v. Al Khorafi* (5 January 2012) CA 003/2011. In that case, the Court of Appeal had confirmed that the relevant claim must have 'sufficient substance for this court to exercise jurisdiction, provided that one of the jurisdictional gateways is engaged' [74]. The Court in *Al-Mojil*, in finding that the Claimant had articulated an arguable claim, appeared to accept that such a merits threshold applied in considering whether the jurisdiction of the DIFC Courts could be engaged by the relevant claim [28].

Jurisdiction - forum non conveniens

The decision of the Court of Appeal in *Corinth Pipeworks SA v. Barclays Bank plc*, (22 January 2012) CA 002/2011 confirmed that, in spite of the mandatory language of Art 5(A)(1) of the JAL in giving exclusive jurisdiction, the DIFC Courts retain a discretion to stay proceedings even where they have come within any of the jurisdictional gateways. This application of the FNC doctrine was necessary to limit a potentially exorbitant jurisdiction, particularly under gateway (a), once the Court of Appeal had rejected the implied limit preferred by the Deputy Chief Justice at first instance by reference to the activities carried on by the DIFC branch of the relevant Centre Establishment.

In the wake of that decision, there was uncertainty as to whether the DIFC Court may retain a discretion even where the alternative forum is the *Dubai* Courts. The Court of Appeal in *IGPL v. Standard Chartered Bank* (18 November 2015) CA 004/2015 has now confirmed that the Court in *Corinth* was *not* deciding that the FNC doctrine could be invoked to resolve competing claims to jurisdiction by courts in the UAE, because the alternative forum in that case was the English Courts [159]-[161]. This no doubt explains the absence in the Court of Appeal's decision in *Corinth* of any fully reasoned consideration of the appropriateness of the DIFC Court: *Barclays Bank plc v. Afras Ltd* (11 July 2013) CA 008/2013.

In *IGPL*, the Court of Appeal confirmed the finding of Justice Ali Al Madhani in *Allianz v. Al Ain Insurance Company PJSC* (24 April 2013) CFI 012/2012 that the FNC doctrine does not apply where the competing jurisdictions are in the UAE. This was not only because of the mandatory allocation of jurisdiction between Dubai Courts in the JAL but also because the power to decide which of several UAE courts is most appropriate to hear disputes rests in the USC under Art 99 of the UAE Constitution [181] and Art 33 of the Supreme Court Establishment Law No. 10 of 1973.

There is therefore no need for the DIFC Court to resort to the FNC doctrine to make that determination or to avoid taking any 'exorbitant' jurisdiction, as the USC exists as a higher authority with exclusive jurisdiction to allocate jurisdiction; and the DIFC Courts should not usurp that function of the USC [194]-[195].

The majority of the Court of Appeal in *IGPL* endorsed the wider analysis in the judgment of Justice Sir David Steel below, in which he found that the FNC doctrine should not apply to resolve competing claims to jurisdiction by courts of *the same state*, that it was not appropriate for a court within the UAE to apply the FNC doctrine when other civil law courts of the UAE would *not* apply that doctrine. It should be noted, however, that CJ Michael Hwang SC did not accept that argument and observed that it was not unusual for common law jurisdictions to apply the FNC doctrine in declining jurisdiction in favour of civil law jurisdictions.

Where the rival jurisdiction is *outside* the UAE, the application of the FNC doctrine will require the DIFC Court, on an application by a Defendant to stay the DIFC proceedings, to carry out a two-stage analysis.

First, it must consider whether there is a clearly more appropriate forum for the dispute, by reference both to legal issues arising from the 'connections' of the parties, law and facts to the DIFC, and to the practicalities of trial, considering the specific expertise of each forum. It should be noted in this regard that issues of *forum conveniens* will be less significant where the parties have made a clear and express choice of DIFC jurisdiction, even where the relevant agreement is subject to Dubai law: *Fayez v. Farzin FZE* (28 November 2015) SCT XXXX.

Secondly, and with the burden switching back to the Claimant in the DIFC proceedings, it must consider whether the interests of justice nonetheless require trial in the DIFC Courts.

Arguably, consideration of any 'opt-in' to DIFC jurisdiction arises at both stages. However, the Court, on the principles derived in English law from the decision of the House of Lords in *Donoghue v. Armco* [2001] UKHL 64, could decline to enforce even an exclusive DIFC jurisdiction agreement where to do so would affect the interests of non-parties to that agreement and risk the fragmentation of proceedings across a number of jurisdictions.

The Court has expressly found the DIFC to be the *forum conveniens*, or rather foreign courts to be the *forum non conveniens*, in two cases.

In *Al-Mojil v. Protiviti Member Firm (Middle East) Ltd* (3 January 2016) CFI 020/2015 the Claimants claimed damages for losses said to have been caused by a report prepared by a DIFC-licensed entity for the Capital Markets Authority of Saudi Arabia ('the KSA'). Justice Omar Al Muhairi found that even if the KSA Courts had jurisdiction, he would have found that the DIFC was the more convenient forum because: (i) the Defendant was licensed in the DIFC and had no presence or licence in the KSA; (ii) the Claimants resided in the UAE and were subject to a travel ban in the KSA; (iii) the report, most of the documents and the evidence of most witnesses were likely to be in English; and (iv) there was no reason to suggest that the DIFC Court could not decide issues of KSA law [31]-[35].

In *IGPL*, the majority of the Court of Appeal agreed with the findings made obiter by Justice Sir David Steel below that, *if* the FNC doctrine had applied to allocate jurisdiction as between the DIFC and Sharjah Courts in the banking dispute in that case, the relevant circumstances would not have pointed to the Sharjah Courts as being a distinctly more appropriate forum because: (i) only the DIFC Courts fell within the scope of the jurisdiction clauses in *all* of the relevant finance and security documents: (ii) all of the relevant agreements were in English and one was governed by English Law; and (iii) there was no difference in physical convenience as Dubai and Sharjah are adjacent Emirates.

In *IGPL*, the Court of Appeal emphasised that the application of the FNC doctrine cannot result in a negative conflict or jurisdictional void because the alternative forum must be one that is 'available' in having competent jurisdiction [179].

Jurisdiction – role of the USC

In *IGPL*, the Court of Appeal confirmed that the FNC doctrine does *not* apply where a jurisdictional conflict arises between different courts within the UAE.

That is because the USC has exclusive jurisdiction under Art 99(1) of the UAE Constitution to resolve such conflicts, as has been considered above. However, a UAE Court is required to refer a jurisdictional conflict to the USC for resolution only where the relevant courts have issued conflicting *final* judgments: USC Case No. 10/28; *Allianz v. Al Ain Ahlia* (24 April 2013) CFI 012/2012 [28]; *IGPL* [71]. In *IGPL*, the Court of Appeal found that there was no sufficient reason to stay the DIFC proceedings pending a reference to the USC precisely because there was no final decision of the Sharjah Courts asserting their own jurisdiction [72]-[77], so that the relevant jurisdictional conflict had not yet ‘crystallized’ [190]. The Court did, however, note the ability of the USC to resolve jurisdictional disputes under Art 99(8) and (9) of the Constitution before a conflict so crystallized.

The Court of Appeal in *IGPL* accepted that the process of engaging the USC’s right to allocate jurisdiction, for example by commencing and pursuing to a judgment on jurisdiction rival proceedings in *another* UAE Court might be a ‘*slightly more tortuous route*’ [195], but found that parties could avoid it by the relatively simple expedient of including a jurisdiction clause within their agreement.

Jurisdiction – the CPC does not apply to the DIFC Courts

The Court in *IGPL* confirmed the decision of Justice Ali Al Madhani in (1) *X1*, (2) *X2 v. Y*, 5 January 2015 (ARB 001/2014) and its own conclusion in *Meydan* that the CPC does not apply to the DIFC Courts at all [82]-[99]. This conclusion rests on the legislative framework of the DIFC Court, starting with Art 121 of the UAE Constitution, which gave the Union legislative jurisdiction in respect of FFZs, Federal Law No. 8, by which the Union allowed the establishment of FFZs in any Emirate by Federal Decree, and Federal Decree No. 35 of 2004 by which the Union permitted the establishment of the DIFC in Dubai. The JAL and DIFC Court Law had laid down the rules regarding the jurisdiction of the DIFC Courts.

The fact that the CPC provisions on jurisdiction concerned a matter of public order did not per se mean that the different rules on jurisdiction in the JAL and Court Law in any way offended the public order of the UAE. Indeed, the exemption of the FFZs from the CPC in civil and commercial matters was consistent with public order: it had been identified and sanctioned in the relevant constitutional and federal provisions as an important part of the UAE's economic policy [94]-[99].

Jurisdiction – opting *in*

Dubai Law No. 16 of 2011, by its replacement of Article 5 of the JAL with the new provision including Article 5(A)(2), expressly gave the DIFC Courts jurisdiction where the parties have expressly 'opted in' by written agreement.

In *IGPL v. Standard Chartered Bank* (19 November 2015) CA 004/2015, the Court of Appeal confirmed that the jurisdiction of the DIFC Courts will often depend on the construction of the relevant jurisdiction clause. On the facts of that case, the Court found that references to the 'Dubai Courts' and the 'Courts of the UAE' should be broadly construed to include the DIFC Courts, so that the Claimant had been entitled to elect to bring its claims in the DIFC Courts [123]-[154].

Parties can similarly opt into the *supervisory* jurisdiction of the DIFC Courts by choosing to include a DIFC-LCIA arbitration clause in their agreements. In *Vannin Capital PCC plc v. Al Khorafi & Ors* (18 February 2015) CFI 036/2014, Justice Omar Al Muhairi rejected a jurisdiction challenge to an application by a third party litigation funder for an interim order that monies recovered in the funded litigation be paid into Court. The relevant funding agreement contained an arbitration clause requiring the arbitration of any disputes in the DIFC-LCIA Arbitration Centre, and the Court found that it had competent jurisdiction to hear the application for interim relief in support of the intended arbitral claim in circumstances where the DIFC Court was the supervisory court for DIFC-LCIA arbitrations and has jurisdiction to issue interim measures under Art 15 of the Arbitration Law (and Art 5(A)(1)(e) of the JAL) [18]-[25].

An interesting issue arises as to whether parties can opt in to DIFC jurisdiction in respect of a dispute concerning real property in Dubai. Where the issue was one as to *proprietary* rights *in rem*, then we would expect the DIFC Court to find that the parties cannot by agreement extend a jurisdiction limited by statute (in

this case Art 3 of the Real Property Law): *Hardt v. DAMAC*. However, if the issues concerned only *contractual rights in personam*, then there is no reason why the parties should not opt into DIFC Court jurisdiction, as may be suggested by the reasoning of Justice Omar Al Muhairi in *Eileen v. Ehud* (27 April 2014) SCT XXXX.

There remain two significant deterrents to parties agreeing to such 'opt ins', in spite of the obvious quality of the DIFC Courts' judiciary and administration, namely the entitlement of the Courts to decline jurisdiction by application of FNC principles and an enduring concern that there may be difficulties in enforcing in other jurisdictions any judgment that may be obtained in the DIFC Courts.

Enforcing a final DIFC judgment *on-shore* in Dubai is generally seen as being straightforward under Art 7(2) of the JAL the 2009 Protocol of Enforcement between the DIFC Courts and Dubai Courts, requiring certain procedural steps and the submission of the judgment to the Execution Judge of the Dubai.

The enforcement of a DIFC judgment *outside* Dubai, whether in the wider UAE under UAE or Dubai law, in the wider GCC under the GCC Convention, in the wider Arab League under the Riyadh Convention, or wider still under treaty, will depend whether the enforcing court accepts that the DIFC Courts are courts of Dubai, as the relevant emirate, member state or signatory. Even the DIFC Courts accept, in their White Paper that '*[i]n practice, ...some foreign courts may be slow to recognize the DIFC Courts as part of Dubai's judicial system' and accordingly '...it may be prudent to obtain a fast-track enforcement order from the Dubai Courts before then going on to seek enforcement further afield'*'. White Paper on Enforcing DIFC Court Judgments and Orders outside the DIFC, 2012, para 54.

What is clear, however, is that enforcement in other jurisdictions is likely to be significantly easier where the parties have 'opted in' to DIFC Courts jurisdiction. That is because under nearly all treaty arrangements for mutual enforcement, it is a condition of enforcement that the originating court had jurisdiction by the relevant treaty gateways and/or under the law of the enforcing state. An opt-in constitutes a clear and voluntary submission to DIFC Courts jurisdiction.

The DIFC Courts are hoping that parties will make use of the new 'opt in', as is apparent from the judgment of Justice Sir David Steel in *SPX Middle East FZE (formerly Invensys Middle East FZE (APV Division)) v Judi for Food Industries* (25 March 2014) CFI 002/2013. In that case the Claimant sought to recover two

unpaid instalments of the contract price for a processing plant installed by them at the Defendant's premises in Libya in 2010. The Defendant asserted that the work on the plant was never completed by the Claimant and counterclaimed for the cost of engaging a third party to finish the work and for loss of profits. By an agreement dated 6 October 2013 the parties agreed, pursuant to Article 5(2) of Dubai Law No. 16 of 2011, to confer jurisdiction on the DIFC Courts to hear the dispute. At [4] of his judgment, Justice Sir David Steel stated: "*These proceedings accordingly represent one of the first examples of the engagement by parties to a contract to the "opt-in" jurisdiction of this court. It is legitimate to hope that this example is the first of many where parties take advantage of the extended jurisdiction of the Court introduced by the provision in Law No. 16.*"

The Court has sought to *assist* parties opting in by providing in PD 1/2015 a model jurisdiction clause which will be taken by the Registry as being at least in *prima facie* compliance with the requirements of Art 58(A)(2) of Dubai Law No. 12 (i.e. a valid agreement to submit to DIFC jurisdiction).

Jurisdiction – opting out

There had been some concern that the right to opt *out* of DIFC Court jurisdiction, for which express provision had been made in Art 5(A)(2) JAL, was implicitly removed because the *new* Art 5(A)(2) (pursuant to the replacement effected by Art 1 of Dubai Law No. 16 of 2011) provided a new express right to opt *in*, but was silent as to whether there remained any continuing power to opt *out*.

The Court of Appeal resolved the issue, by reference to Art 5(A)(3) of the JAL (as amended) and Art 13(1) of Law No. 10 of 2005 (and its provision as to the effectiveness of jurisdiction clauses), in recognizing an implicit right of parties to opt *out* of DIFC Court jurisdiction, notwithstanding its language of mandatory exclusivity: *Al Khorafi v. Bank Sarasin*, 5 January 2012 (CA 003/2011) [89]-[93].

The most significant issue in practice is commonly whether the party contending for the opt-out has discharged its burden of showing, as a matter of contractual construction, that the parties intended to opt out on the ordinary and natural meaning of the words in the jurisdiction agreement as would have been mutually understood by the parties in all of the relevant background circumstances: *IGPL v. Standard Chartered Bank* (18 November 2015) CA 004/2015 [125]; *Dhir v. Waterfront Property Investment Ltd* (8 July 2009) CFI 011/2009.

In *IGPL*, the Court of Appeal rejected an argument that clauses providing for the jurisdiction of the 'Dubai Courts' and the 'Courts of Dubai' were opting out of the jurisdiction of the DIFC Courts [126]. The Court noted the recognition of Justice Sir John Chadwick in *Taaleem PJSC v. NBC & Deyaar* (21 November 2010) CFI 014/2010 that the DIFC Courts were an integral party of the judicial system of Dubai and were Dubai Courts, and limited his conflicting decision in *Hardt v. DAMAC (DIFC) Company Ltd* (31 March 2010) (CFI 036/2009) that 'Courts of Dubai' meant the non-DIFC Courts of Dubai to the particular facts of that case. The 'Dubai Courts' or 'Courts of Dubai' could be read inclusively to refer to both the DIFC and non-DIFC Courts and confer jurisdiction on more than one forum. The words 'Dubai Courts' *'must include all the courts and judicial committees formed within the territory of Dubai and established by the Emirate's legislation, regardless of whether these courts exercise separate jurisdiction'* [138].

The same approach was taken to 'the Courts of the UAE', which was found by the Court of Appeal in *IGPL* to have been intended to include all courts located in the UAE. Insofar as any jurisdictional conflict arose, that could be resolved by the USC as the highest Court of the UAE and having jurisdiction over the DIFC Courts on issues of constitutionality and jurisdictional conflict [143]-[144].

Where a jurisdiction agreement, for example in referring disputes to the courts of Dubai or the UAE, on its proper construction could be read broadly to confer jurisdiction on more than one forum, then it was for the Claimant to elect to sue in the court of its choice coming within the relevant wording [145].

Where the rival jurisdiction is outside the UAE, then the DIFC Court will proceed to consider the appropriateness of that jurisdiction on FNC principles. There has been some comment that the Court of Appeal in *Al Khorafi* did some violence to those principles. In overturning the decision below of Hwang CJ, the Court of Appeal found '*strong cause*' against enforcing an exclusive Swiss jurisdiction clause in all of the relevant agreements on the basis of an alleged risk of the fragmentation of the claims as between the DIFC and Switzerland and resulting '*serious procedural dislocation*': [115]-[119]. What it did *not* explain is what such risk would have arisen if the Court had simply enforced the parties' bargain and stayed the DIFC proceedings. This was a case in which, on the Court's own findings, there was an effective foreign jurisdiction clause binding *all* claimants in respect of *all* claims [108].

As for matters of trial convenience, the Court in *Al Khorafi* did not find the need to adduce expert evidence of Swiss law at trial or to fly witnesses to the DIFC from Switzerland to weigh in favour of enforcing the jurisdiction clause [1199].

There had been significant concern, not least from the DIFC Court itself, that it did not have jurisdiction to stay DIFC Court proceedings brought in breach of a 'foreign' *arbitration clause*. In *Injazat v. DWS* (6 March 2012) CFI 019/2010, the Court accepted that the requirement to grant a stay in support of an arbitration agreement under Art 13(1) of the DIFC Arbitration Law was *expressly* limited under Art 7 of that law to arbitrations with the DIFC as their seat, so that it could not be construed consistently with Art II of the New York Convention. The Court did not feel that it could rely on its residual jurisdiction, given the clarity of statutory provision in Arts 7 and 13 of the DIFC Arbitration Law [37]-[38].

That concern has been allayed by the later decision of the Court in *International Electromechanical Services v. Al Fattan Engineering LLC* (14 October 2012) CFI 004/2012. In that case, the Court found that it *did* have an inherent jurisdiction to stay proceedings brought in breach of a foreign arbitration agreement. That was because: (i) the RDC, by 12.7(4) and 4.9 conferred a power on the Court of its own initiative to impose a stay [98]; (ii) the inherent jurisdiction possessed by the DIFC Court as a superior court of record was recognised in RDC 4.1 [99]-[100]; and (iii) the Court could refer, pursuant to Art 8(2) of DIFC Law No. 3 of 2004 and to RDC 2.10 to the applicable English law, which was to the effect that the court could exercise its inherent jurisdiction, even in respect of matters expressly regulated by statute or rules, in order to maintain its authority and to prevent the obstruction or abuse of its process [101]. It found that Art 7 was in fact silent on whether the mandatory stay in Art 13 applied to non-DIFC seated arbitration agreements and, more particularly, on whether the court should have a *discretion* to stay pursuant to its inherent jurisdiction [110]-[112].

Jurisdiction – anti-suit injunctions

In spite of its stated view that Art 5(A)(1) of the Dubai JAL effects a mandatory allocation of jurisdiction as between the DIFC and (non-DIFC) Dubai Courts, the Court has been reluctant to grant anti-suit injunctions against parties pursuing claims in the Dubai Courts in circumstances where those courts lack jurisdiction.

In *Ziad Azzam v. Deyaar Development PJSC* (9 December 2015) CFI 024/2015, Justice Al Muhari followed a decision of Justice Sir John Chadwick in *Taaleem v. NBC* (21 October 2010) CFI 014/2010 in refusing to enjoin Deyaar from pursuing in the *Dubai* Courts claims made under UAE law but which were closely related to claims that the *DIFC* Courts had already found that they had exclusive jurisdiction to hear and further that the *DIFC* Courts had recently adjudicated and dismissed in *Taaleem v. NBC & Deyaar* (19 February 2014) CFI 014/2010. Ultimately, the *DIFC* Court was persuaded by *Taaleem* to make a declaration that the *DIFC* Court had jurisdiction over the relevant claims. However, it declined then to make any anti-suit injunction on the basis of the *Dubai* Court's not having jurisdiction or Deyaar's abuse of process in bringing vexatious claims in the *Dubai* Courts which should have been brought in the *DIFC* proceedings and/or which were a collateral attack on the *DIFC* Court's decision in that case.

The reasoning of the *DIFC* Court in not making an anti-suit injunction followed that of Justice Sir John Chadwick in his earlier *Taaleem* decision. He had found that '*the sensible course*' was for the *Dubai* Civil Court to consider its jurisdiction knowing of the decision of the *DIFC* Court that it had jurisdiction, but without being '*impeded by any order of this Court*'. It was not helpful for the *DIFC* Court to be appropriating to itself issues as to the jurisdiction of the *Dubai* Courts, even if those decisions necessarily followed from decisions as to its own jurisdiction. He was confident, however, that '*a pattern will emerge which enables the parties and their advisors to predict with reasonable certainty which Court will accept jurisdiction and which will not*'.

In advancing that self-denying approach, Justice Omar Al Muhairi may accept that there is some risk of a positive conflict of jurisdiction, with proceedings being pursued in both the *DIFC* and *Dubai* Courts. However, he suggested that such a risk is mitigated to some extent by the jurisdiction of the *USC* to resolve any conflict of jurisdiction as between the *DIFC* and *Dubai* Courts [27].

Jurisdiction – trend in judicial decisions

The early trend of the *DIFC* Courts in favour of upholding its own jurisdiction has largely been maintained, although the scope of the jurisdiction has become clearer, and so the law is more settled, than at the time of our earlier editions. We note in broad terms the decisions of the *DIFC* Courts in cases such as *NBC v. Taaleem & Deyaar*, 5 May 2011 (CA 001/2011) and *Injazat Capital Ltd v. Denton*

Wilde Sapte, 6 March 2012 (CFI 019/2010) in deciding that the ‘Courts of Dubai’ meant the DIFC Courts, *Al Khorafi v. Bank Sarasin*, 5 January 2012 (CA 003/2011) in declining to enforce an exclusive Swiss jurisdiction clause, and *Corinth Pipeworks* in rejecting an implied limitation to gateway (a).

The Court of Appeal in *IGPL v. Standard Chartered Bank* (18 November 2015) CA 004/2015 rejected an argument that gateway (a) gives rise to an exorbitant jurisdiction, confirmed its broad jurisdiction under that gateway, and rejected the imposition of any ‘*transaction-based requirement*’ that the dispute concern the activities of a DIFC branch of the relevant Centre Establishment [109]. If any party licensed in the DIFC or contracting with such a party wishes to avoid the broad jurisdiction of the DIFC Courts under gateway (a), then they can readily do so by the simple expedient either of expressly opting out of that jurisdiction or of operating through a subsidiary.

However, the DIFC Courts have declined to extend their jurisdiction by loose analogy with the principles applied in those landmark cases on the DIFC Courts’ jurisdiction. For example, in *Choque v. Mondial (Dubai) LLC*, 11 March 2014 (CFI 026/13), the Claimant Dubai resident, in suing the Defendant Dubai IFA, argued for DIFC Court jurisdiction on the primary ground that the Defendant ‘*provides international financial services with a strong connection with the DIFC*’ [13]; [21]. In dismissing the claim, Justice Shamlan Al Sawalehi identified the obvious points of distinction with *Corinth*, namely that the Defendant ‘*is not licensed or registered in the DIFC, nor does it conduct any business in the DIFC, and has no business, branch, establishment, activity or transactions in the DIFC*’ [25].

Similarly, in *Andreino Cian Seren v. Lutfi & Co* (28 February 2016) CFI 001/2016, Justice Shamlan Al Sawalehi construed the gateway in Art 5(A)(1)(a) *strictly* to require that the Defendant be at the relevant time *authorised* to act as a law firm in order to engage DIFC Court jurisdiction. It was insufficient that the Defendant had only registered a branch in the DIFC, because the branch had only a ‘non-regulated’ licence that did not permit it to conduct business as a law firm in the DIFC pending its registration with the DFSA under Art 60 of the DIFC Regulatory Law [12]-[14].

As appears to be the case on any issues of jurisdiction, the strongest notes of caution have been sounded primarily where the conflict of jurisdiction is with the on-shore Dubai Courts and conversely where, in *Injazat Capital Ltd v. Denton*

Wilde Sapte, 6 March 2012 (CFI 019/2010), there were concerns that the DIFC Courts' inability to enforce a foreign arbitration clause might put the UAE in breach of its obligations under the NYC.

Such caution may arise because the DIFC Courts are looking to build stronger relationships with other commercial courts, as evidenced by extraordinary judicial diplomacy seen in the various Memoranda made between the DIFC Courts and the *English* Commercial Court (23 January 2013), the *New South Wales* Supreme Court (9 September 2013), the *Australian* Federal Court (28 March 2014), the *Singaporean* Supreme Court (21 January 2015), the District Court for the Southern District of *New York* (29 March 2015), the *Kazakh* Supreme Court (28 August 2015) and the *Korean* Supreme Court (9 November 2015).

A comparison of two decisions on the efficacy of agreements to 'opt out' perhaps suggests that the DIFC Courts are becoming less instinctively inclined to uphold their own jurisdiction, no doubt as the scope of the DIFC Courts' jurisdiction becomes more settled. For example, in *Al Khorafi*, the Court, asked to stay DIFC Court proceedings pursuant to an exclusive Swiss jurisdiction clause binding all parties in respect of all claims, *declined* to do so on grounds of the risk of parallel proceedings in the DIFC and Swiss Courts. However, later in 2012, in *Al Fattan*, the Court *ordered* a stay of DIFC Court proceedings in breach of an arbitration agreement, even though only one of the two defendants was subject to the same. In doing so, the Court very properly declined to follow its own earlier decision in *Injazat*, and accepted that the earlier decision had required reconsideration.

In the field of judicial review, there is similarly a sense that the DIFC Courts are keen to limit their jurisdiction where there exists the possibility of jurisdictional conflict with institutions on-shore or beyond. In *Technical Architects General Contracting Company LLC v. Nakheel PJSC*, 20 December 2012 (CFI 029/2012), DCJ Sir Anthony Colman declined to assert jurisdiction, whether under Art 22(1) of DIFC Law No. 10 of 2004 (the Court Law) or Art 5A(1)(a) or (e) JAL, to review decisions of the Dubai World Tribunal ('DWT') because the DWT was an '*enclave within an enclave*', located within the DIFC but established under Dubai Decree 57 of 2009 and operating without reference to the DFSA outside DIFC control.

(2) SUBSTANTIVE LAW

The boundaries of the DIFC Courts' jurisdiction are now more clearly marked, and the wider extent of the recognition and enforcement of DIFC Court judgments, to which the 2012 White Paper on Enforcing DIFC Court Judgments and Orders aspires, will fall to be determined in *other* jurisdictions.

This may be reflected in the DIFC Courts' increasing willingness to develop their own case-law on important substantive issues in judgments of real quality that we expect to be influential beyond Dubai and in the wider common law world. The substantive law of the DIFC is *already* radical in its departure from many common law assumptions. For example, DIFC law has a 'firm offer' rule which permits an irrevocable offer to be made without consideration, without writing and without requiring any specified period: Contract Law, Art 17(2)(a). As an academic commentator has observed, '[t]his is the Dubai system's modification of English contract law, based on the perception of this 'commercial hub' of what business people expect in the global marketplace': Neil Andrews, Contract Law, 2nd edition, 2015, Cambridge University Press, p. 63. Recent decisions of the DIFC Courts show an obvious interest on the part of experienced commercial judges with a deep understanding of that global marketplace further to develop the law of the DIFC in judicial decisions that are often as innovative as the DIFC's laws.

That interest is obvious in following the decisions of the DIFC Courts in various cases that have proceeded through the DIFC Courts. We offer by way of example the case of *Rohan v. Daman Real Estate Capital Partners Ltd.*

In the decision of DCJ Sir Anthony Colman at first instance (4 August 2013) CFI 025/2012 on the trial of claims by purchasers of units in 'the Buildings', the Court gave detailed guidance in respect of provisions for time extensions on the project, by reference not only to the principles of construing and implying terms, but to wider concepts of the abuse of contractual rights. The Judge went so far as to suggest, without finding, that there may even have been as a matter of DIFC law an implied term that a developer relying on a force majeure provision should be required, as a condition of asserting force majeure, to give disclosure of such documentation as identified the relevant events and explained the delay.

In the CA in *Rohan* (11 February 2014) CA 005-6/2013, DCJ Sir John Chadwick upheld the finding below that Daman's extension notices were defective, for

example in failing to refer to the extension provision, to specify an extended completion date, or to specify an extension by a contractual period. He went on to find that the entitlement to extend instead by asserting *force majeure* did not require service of a notice, but did not comment on the obiter dicta of DCJ Sir Anthony Colman suggesting the existence of an implied term.

In his later judgment on the re-opened appeal in *Rohan* (16 October 2014) CA 005/2013 & 006/2013, DCJ Sir John Chadwick considered whether completion had been extended, independently of any *force majeure* notice given by the seller, by reason of an actual *force majeure* event. He declined to do so on the basis that the point had not been pleaded in the seller's defences or raised as a ground in their Appeal Notice. In so finding, he identified what the seller needed to have pleaded for such a defence, namely each *force majeure* event relied upon, how much actual delay was attributable to each such event, and the inability of the seller reasonably to have prevented all or any of that delay [40]-[42].

These judicial decisions in a single case show the willingness of eminent DIFC judges to fashion the law of the DIFC, by reference to the principles of the various common law jurisdictions from which they come, to meet the expectations of the commercial parties who do business in the DIFC and under DIFC law, in that case by developing the principles of *force majeure* and its elements to be pleaded.

Notwithstanding the interest of the DIFC Courts in developing its jurisprudence, they have in general shown real determination in properly resisting invitations to make judicial legislation. See, for example, the repeated references in recent cases, in particular *Al Herz v. DIFCA* (26 November 2014) CA 004/2013 at [66]-[70] and *Marwan Lutfi v. DIFCA* (26 November 2014) CA003/2013 [57] to the decision of DCJ Michael Hwang SC (as he then was) in *Re Forsyth Partners Global Distributors & Ors* (30 January 2007) CFI 005-007/2007, in which he declined to apply either UAE law or English law to provide for preferential debts because the failure of DIFC law to provide for such debts (notwithstanding that the DIFC Insolvency Law provided for the issue of regulations providing for the order of preferential debts) did not mean that the Courts, in the absence of any express choice of law, should apply another law to find certain debts to be preferential.

Similarly, as is considered below, the DIFC Courts have repeatedly rejected any invitation to use the implied term of trust and confidence in employment contracts as the basis for constructing in DIFC law of unfair dismissal: see, for

example, the decisions of the CA in both *Hana Al Herz v. DIFCA*, (26 November 2014) CA 004/2013 [66]-[71] per Justice Muhairi and [110]-[115] per Justice Roger Giles; and *Marwan Lutfi v. DIFCA* (26 November 2014) CA 003/2013 [57] per Justice Muhairi with whom CJ Michael Hwang agreed.

As the DIFC Courts' judgments become relevant across the common law world, it is hoped that an agreed form for the neutral citation of DIFC Courts judgments and a standard form of reference to DIFC Courts judges will emerge. It is not unusual to see the DIFC Court citing its own decisions in a number of different ways in the course of a single judgment. Existing practice seems to favour the case name coming *before* the CFI/CA number, and the inclusion of the *date* of the judgment (which increasingly tends to be the date given in the heading), rather than the English practice of referring the decisions as '(No. 2)' for example.

It is further hoped that decisions of wider significance as well as the lengthier judgments of the DIFC Courts will continue to be summarised in the judgments in order that their significance may more readily be appreciated in the absence of formal court reporting or of practitioner texts on substantive DIFC law.

It is beyond the scope of this guide and the ambition of this Foreword to provide a comprehensive survey of the substantive law of the DIFC, which will ultimately be undertaken by commentaries on the core statutes of the DIFC. However, we will consider a few issues of substantive law that have caught our interest in working on DIFC cases since the publication of the last edition of this guide.

(i) Primacy of contract

The Court has been keen to emphasise the primacy of parties' contractual duties, not least where claimants have sought to plead an overlaying of contractual and tortious duties.

In *First Rand v. Damac Park Towers* (2 April 2015) CFI 030/2014, Justice Roger Giles considered the allegation of a duty of care under Article 18 of DIFC Law No. 5 of 2005, the Law of Obligations, both (i) to comply with certain contractual requirements and (ii) to disclose any change in circumstances that might falsify an existing representation. The Court resisted suggestions that this was an area of developing jurisprudence, and stressed the fundamental distinction between a contractual duty that promises a result and a tortious duty to take reasonable

care towards a result. A contractual duty does not give rise to a lesser duty to take reasonable care to fulfill a representation of that result. The Court warned that the imposition of such a lesser duty would be otiose given the contractual duty and would only bring incoherence to the law [37]-[46].

These observations to some extent echoed remarks by DCJ Sir John Chadwick in *Al Khorafi v. Bank Sarasin-Alpen (ME) Ltd* (21 August 2014) CFI 026/2009 in which he declined *obiter* to accept the imposition of a duty of care under Article 18 of the Law of Obligations in circumstances where he found that it would be inconsistent with the terms of the contract, and that a claim in negligence would add nothing to the existing regulatory and contractual claims [360]-[365].

(ii) Primacy of express terms

In *Al Khorafi v. Bank Sarasin-Alpen (ME) Ltd* (21 August 2014) CFI 026/2009, DCJ Sir John Chadwick accepted submissions that a term could not be implied into the sale of investments as to compliance with regulatory obligations. There was no need for the implication of such a term to make the contract workable, given the existing availability of a claim in breach of statutory duty under Art 94(2) of the Regulatory Law. Moreover, such an implied term would have been inconsistent with that statute, in imposing liability in contract for any regulatory breach *without fault*, where Art 94(2) of the Regulatory Law expressly imposed a liability to pay damages only where a breach of the DFSA Rules had been *negligent, reckless or intentional* [331]-[333].

The DCJ did, however, accept in that case that an implied term arose under Art 17 of the Implied Terms in Contracts and Unfair Terms Law that the relevant advisory services would be provided under the contract with reasonable skill and care [331], even though such a duty added nothing to the cause of action in breach of regulatory duty [333].

The DIFC Court has similarly declined to allow the implied term of mutual trust and confidence in an employment contract to fetter an express right to terminate the contract on notice. In *Hana Al Herz v. DIFCA* (26 November 2015) CA 004/2013, Justice Roger Giles followed various English and Canadian decisions that declined to apply the implied duty of good faith to constrain the exercise of a power of dismissal without cause. There was neither need nor room for the implication of such a term, as the termination of the employment contract would

bring the relationship of trust and confidence to an end [110]-[120]. The judge further found no room for implied terms as to good faith or reasonableness (or indeed the term as to cooperation under Art 58 of the Contract Law) [119].

(iii) Requirement of contractual certainty

In its landmark decision in *Damac Park Towers Company Ltd v. Youssef Issa Ward* (14 December 2015) CA 006/2015, the CA confirmed that ‘open contracts’ by which purchasers ‘reserved’ property were sufficiently certain as long as they identified the parties, the property or interest to be acquired, and the consideration or means for its ascertainment. CJ Michael Hwang SC found that the only implied requirement as to the timing of the seller’s issue of a detailed sale agreement was that it needed to be issued at or before completion.

Similarly, in *Taaleem PJSC v. NBC & Deyaar* (23 March 2015) CFI 014/2010, Justice Sir David Steel found that a binding contract could have been concluded even if the parties continued to negotiate, for example as to the recording or implementation of the contract they have already agreed [4]. Even though the test, in deciding whether a contract has been concluded is *objective*, the evidence of the parties’ *subjective* understanding, as shown by their subsequent conduct (admissible for that purpose) is relevant to whether a contract was concluded and its terms [37].

(iv) Construing contracts

In *Damac Park Towers Company Ltd v. Youssef Issa Ward* (14 December 2015) CA 006/2015, the Court of Appeal commented on the difficulty in identifying the ‘*natural and ordinary meaning*’ of the words used in many commercial contracts where there are two possible constructions. The Chief Justice cited the comment of Lord Steyn in an article, ‘*Contract Law: fulfilling the reasonable expectations of honest men*’ 113 LQR 433, that ‘*speaking generally, commercially minded judges would regard the commercial purpose of the contract as more important than niceties of language.*’ The Court further referred to the decision of the UK Supreme Court in *Rainy Sky SA v. Kookmin Bank* [2011] UKSC 50 as well as the references by Lord Neuberger to an ‘*iterative*’ process of construction, checking the commercial consequences of the rival constructions, approved by the Supreme Court in *Re Sigma Finance* [2010] UKSC 2 at [12]. On the facts of *Damac v. Ward*, the Court found that the construction adopted by the judge below and

advanced by the purchaser had a '*surreal quality*' in suggesting that the appellant developer was looking to take even more risk in a compromise agreement reached with a respondent purchaser that had been in persistent default.

The Court of Appeal's decision in *Damac Park Towers Company Ltd v. Ward* is further useful in showing how the Court can have regard to *subsequent conduct* pursuant to Art 51(c) of the DIFC Contract Law. In that case, the Court noted the purchaser's failure to protest various documents sent to him, and of which it was inferred he had known, and which were inconsistent with his contended construction of the contract. This is a practical example of the DIFC Courts taking a different substantive approach to the interpretation of contracts from that of the Courts in other Common Law jurisdictions.

(v) Freedom of contract: choice of law

Despite the importance of the primacy of contractual obligations, alluded to above, and the freedom of parties to contract, occasionally the DIFC Courts have had to consider whether considerations of public policy outweigh considerations of freedom of contract. This occurred in the case of *Earlene v. Earl* (10 August 2014) CFI XXXXX. The claim was based upon a complaint lodged in the SCT by Earlene in relation to a year-long lease of an apartment. Justice Ali Al Madhani had to consider an appeal brought by the Defendant. The Defendant's case was that the parties to the tenancy contract had agreed that the Dubai law No. 26 of 2007 Regulating the Relation between Landlords and Tenants in the Emirate of Dubai ("the Dubai Law of Landlords and Tenants") would apply to the contract and the dispute and that the Dubai Law of Landlords and Tenants provided that the contract would be deemed renewable unless the Landlord notified the Tenant of eviction. The Defendant also argued that the Landlord could only evict the Tenant upon expiration of the lease or under certain prescribed circumstances which did not apply in this case. The SCT judge had held that the Dubai Law of Landlords and Tenants could not be applied in the DIFC in this case and ordered the Defendant to vacate the premises. The Defendant argued before Justice Ali Al Madhani that (a) the DIFC Court had no jurisdiction and (b) that the applicable law was the Dubai Law of Landlords and Tenants since this is what the parties to the tenancy contract had chosen.

The jurisdictional argument was dismissed by Justice Ali Al Madhani on the simple basis that this issue was *res judicata*. The Defendant had made a jurisdictional

challenge at an interlocutory hearing, which had failed; the Defendant had not appealed that order, but rather the decision in the substantive hearing [22]-[27].

The issue relating to the governing law of the agreement required, however, rather more detailed consideration. Justice Ali Al Madhani upheld the decision of the SCT that the DIFC Real Property Law applied, not the Dubai Law of Landlords and Tenants [37]. The Judge, noting that the freedom to contract and the freedom to choose the applicable law to a contract or to the dispute are available within the DIFC under Article 6 of the JAL, observed that there was a proviso to this freedom, viz. that the freedom of choice does not conflict with public policy and public morals [38]-[40]. The Judge relied on Articles 8 and 9 of the DIFC Real Property Law which provide respectively that (i) all real property from time to time within the jurisdiction of the DIFC is governed by the DIFC Real Property Law and that (ii) Dubai real property laws do not apply to real property governed by the DIFC Real Property Law [46]-[47]. Justice Ali Al Madhani held that the choice of the Dubai Law of Landlords and Tenants by the contracting parties conflicted with DIFC policy that such law could not be applied in relation to property located within the DIFC. He also held that there was no provision in the Dubai Law of Landlords and Tenants that it was applicable in the DIFC [49]-[51]. Accordingly, the Defendant's appeal was dismissed.

(vi) Freedom of contract: penalty clauses

One area in which it appears the DIFC Courts will diverge from the English common law principles regarding freedom of contract relates to the doctrine of penalty clauses. This doctrine has recently been the subject of reconsideration by the UK Supreme Court in *Cavendish Square Holding BV v. Makdessi* [2015] UKSC 67, [2015] 3 WLR 1373. In this case the UK Supreme Court refused to abolish or restrict the doctrine, which is longstanding in English common law, but did explain and clarify the doctrine. The Supreme Court held that a contractual provision was penal and therefore unenforceable if it was a secondary obligation which imposed a detriment on a party in breach of contract which was out of all proportion to any legitimate interest of the innocent party in the enforcement of the primary obligation.

Prior to the UK Supreme Court decision in *Cavendish*, the doctrine of penalties clauses fell to be considered by DCJ Sir John Chadwick in the case of (7) *Roberto's Club LLC (2) Emain Kadrie v Paolo Roberto Rella* (29 October 2014) CFI

019/2013. This case has already been mentioned above: the proceedings arose out the termination by Mr Kadrie of Mr Rella's employment as Managing Partner of Roberto's Club LLC ("the Company"). A shareholders' agreement in relation to the Company had been entered into between Mr Kadrie, Mr Rella and two other individuals, Mr Turgul and Mr Mugavero. Clause 3.9 of that agreement contained a provision for the forfeiture of the shareholdings in the Company of Mr Rella, Mr Turgul and Mr Mugavero should any of them resign within 5 years or be dismissed for serious cause. It was argued by the Defendant that Clause 3.9 was penal and unenforceable [97]. The Claimants submitted that Clause 3.9 was not penal and that in any event there was no reason to import the doctrine of penalties clauses from English law into DIFC law [98]. DCJ Sir John Chadwick held that Clause 3.9 would not be regarded as penal under common law principles since the clause, was in the Judge's view, included for sensible commercial reasons and not for the purpose of deterring a breach of the employment contracts [105]. It was accordingly not necessary for the Judge to decide whether the common law concept of "penalties" had been imported into DIFC law. It was, however, DCJ Sir John Chadwick's provisional view that the concept does not form part of DIFC law [105].

(vii) Termination of contract

In *Dattani & Ors v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (10 November 2015) CA 007/2014, DCJ Sir John Chadwick provided a useful explanation of the operation of Arts 81, 86 and 87 respectively of the DIFC Contract Law.

Art 87 does not itself confer any right to terminate. Art 86(1) provides a right to terminate for fundamental non-performance, and Art 86(3) a right to terminate for delay that is not fundamental, but by reference to the period of time allowed under Art 81. The Court accepted, as was implicit in the judgment of the Chief Justice below, that the rights to terminate for delay under Arts 81(3) and 86(3) were different, but considered them to be 'indistinguishable' in permitting termination for delay that did not constitute fundamental performance after service of a notice allowing a reasonable period of additional time.

The Court of Appeal in that case left open the issue of whether a notice that was purportedly given under a contract term that did not give a right of termination could be valid, on the ground that the point had not been taken below [64]-[65].

In terms of defining non-performance that is *fundamental* or a breach of contract that is *repudiatory*, the Court of Appeal in *Damac Park Towers Company Ltd v. Youssef Issa Ward* (14 December 2015) CA 006/2015 referred to the distinction between an obligation to perform within a reasonable time and an obligation where time is of the essence. As for the former, the test in deciding whether a breach is repudiatory is to ask whether failure to perform within a reasonable time ‘*will deprive the party not in default of substantially the whole benefit which it was intended he should obtain under the contract*’ [132]-[133].

(viii) Restitution

In *Damac Park Towers Company Ltd v. Youssef Issa Ward* (14 December 2015) CA 006/2015, the Court of Appeal considered the restitutionary remedies arising under Art 48 of the DIFC Damages and Remedies Law and Art 90 of the DIFC Contract Law. In both cases, where the payment was not made by mistake or to an unintended recipient, it was necessary to show not just enrichment but the unjust nature of that enrichment [137]-[142].

The Court further found that ‘*either party*’ in Art 90(1) of the Contract Law must mean only the party that had lawfully exercised its right of termination pursuant to Arts 86 and 88 of the DIFC Contract Law. A broader construction would allow even the party in repudiatory breach to claim restitution of the innocent party.

(ix) Negotiating damages

In *TVM Capital Healthcare Partners Limited v. Ali Akbar Hashemi* (22 May 2014) CFI 045/2012 Justice Roger Giles considered the availability under the DIFC Law of Damages and Remedies, No. 7 of 2005 of negotiating damages (sometimes called release-fee damages or “*Wrotham Park damages*” after the leading English case *Wrotham Park Estate Co Ltd v. Parkside Homes Ltd* [1974] 1 WLR 798 in which they were awarded). Negotiating damages are damages assessed on the basis that what a claimant has lost is the sum which he could have exacted from the defendant in a hypothetical negotiation as the price of his consent to discharge the obligation which the defendant has breached: see *Abbar v. Saudi Economic and Development Company* [2012] EWHC 1414 [224]-[226]; *One Step (Support) Limited v. Morris-Garner* [2016] EWCA Civ 180.

In *TVM Capital Healthcare Partners Limited v. Ali Akbar Hashemi*, the Claimant alleged breaches of a confidentiality agreement and breaches of Art 37 of the Law of Obligations by the Defendant. The Defendant's case was that negotiating damages are not permitted under the Damages Law [141].

Justice Roger Giles's observation that the Defendant's submissions on this point were not developed with close attention to the terms of the Damages Law stands in stark contrast to the detailed consideration the Judge gave to this area of the law which has been the subject of considerable judicial consideration in common law jurisdictions in recent years. The essence of the Defendant's case was that the Claimant had not suffered any "*direct loss*" as a result of the breaches, that damages could only be given for loss sustained as a result of the breaches and that negotiating damages were not given for loss so sustained [148].

The Court found that such negotiating damages are now established as being compensatory in nature [150]. He relied on the decision in *WWF-World Word Fund for Nature v World Wrestling Federation Entertainment Inc* [2007] EWCA Civ 286, and the observation of Chadwick LJ at [59] that "*there are exceptional cases in which the just response to circumstances in which the compensation which is the claimant's due cannot be measured by identifiable financial loss is an order which deprives the wrongdoer of all the fruits of his wrongs*".

Justice Roger Giles held that the use of confidential information in breach of a confidentiality undertaking was an abstraction or invasion of the innocent party's contractual rights and was in effect a compulsory purchase of the use of that information [155]. Both the breach of the contractual duties and the breach of statutory obligations resulted in a loss for which compensatory damages could be awarded even though the aggrieved party did not prove financial loss such as diminished revenue [157]. The Judge held that these were losses suffered or sustained within Art 10 of the Obligations Law and Arts 9 and 23 of the Damages Law, for which negotiating damages can be awarded [162].

It is noteworthy that in this case, on the question of quantum, the Judge did not accept the Claimant's expert evidence as to the value of negotiating damages [169]. The Claimant's expert valued the negotiating damages in the sum of AED 14.58m [181]. The Judge noted that, under Arts 11(3) and 27(3) of the Damages Law, where the amount of damages cannot be established with a sufficient

degree of certainty, the assessment is at the discretion of the Court [190]. On a broad assessment Justice Roger Giles felt that the price at which the parties would have arrived at on a hypothetical negotiation would have been AED 250,000 [193].

On appeal, DCJ Sir John Chadwick, in delivering the only reasoned decision of the Court of Appeal, upheld the basis upon which Justice Roger Giles assessed the quantum of the negotiating damages: *TVM Capital Healthcare Partners Ltd v. Ali Akbar Hashemi* (27 January 2015) CA 006/2014 [25]-[27].

(x) Contribution proceedings

In *Corinth Pipeworks SA v. Barclays Bank plc v. Afras Ltd & Anor* (22 April 2014) CFI 024/2010, an issue arose as to whether it was a necessary ingredient of any attempt to rely on Art 14(2) of the Law of Obligations to bring a contribution claim that the person seeking a contribution should have to establish that they *were in fact liable* for the loss and that it was not enough simply to rely on the settlement paid by them as the basis of the contribution claim. This was because Art 14(2) lacked the express wording to the contrary found in s 1(4) of the English Civil Liability Contribution Act 1978. Justice Sir David Steel confirmed that the party seeking contribution did *not* have to show its own liability, and had to show only that they settled the claim against them and would have been liable if the factual basis for that claim had been established [15]-[20].

(xi) Employment law

The DIFC Courts have held fast to the position that, while DCJ Michael Hwang was willing in *Kleily Ghassan Elias v. Julius Baer (Middle East) Ltd*, 22 November 2009 (CFI 014/2009) to recognize the implied term of mutual trust and confidence in an employment contract, that duty cannot in turn be used to construct within DIFC law a principle of unfair dismissal. That issue has now been put beyond doubt by the decisions of: Justice Tan Sri Siti Norma in *Rasmala Investments Ltd v. Rana Banal & Ors*, 8 April 2009 (CFI 1-006/2009); DCJ Sir Anthony Colman in *Ahmed Mohamed Abdel Aziz Saleh v. Chartis Memsa Insurance Company*, 5 July 2012 (CFI 021/2012); DCJ Sir Anthony Colman and the Court of Appeal in *Hana Al Herz v. DIFCA*, 1 July 2013 (CFI 011/2012) [78]-[85]; (26 November 2014) CA 004/2013 [66]-[71] per Justice Omar Al Mohair and [110]-[115] per Justice Roger Giles; *Marwan Lutfi v. DIFCA*, (4 August 2013) CFI 003/2012 [63]; (26 November

2014) CA 003/2013 [57]-[58] per Justice Omar Al Mohair with whom CJ Hwang agreed [104]; *Dagher v. Capital Investment International (CII-UAE) Ltd* (30 June 2014) CFI 013/2011 [7]; [10]; and *Raul Silva v. United Investment Bank Limited* (21 August 2014) CA 004/2014 [77] per Justice Roger Giles. The number of decisions in which the Court has recently sought to emphasise that the law of unfair dismissal has not become part of DIFC law suggests that claimants are still seeking to rely on the principles of unfair dismissal in framing their claims.

In *Lutfi*, Justice Omar al Muhairi, with whom CJ Michael Hwang SC agreed, further found that, as the Employment law has regulatory content, there was no basis for any other law, and specifically the Implied Terms in Contracts and Unfair Terms Law applying to provide in its Art 38 any alternative basis for mounting a claim in unfair dismissal (and not least where the contract of employment expressly provided for termination on notice) [59]-[62].

The DIFC Courts' exclusion of any principle of unfair dismissal arises precisely because it would be wrong to imply a right that is inconsistent not only with the written employment contract in most DIFC cases but also with the detailed regime established under the DIFC Employment Law. That statute, no doubt to make the DIFC an attractive place of business for global financial institutions, made no provision as to unfair dismissal (save in Art 63(1)(g) in permitting the Director of Employment Standards to propose regulations on the maximum compensation for any unfair dismissal). It would therefore be constitutionally improper for the Courts to go where the Ruler had declined to tread.

One case in which the DIFC Courts have entertained submissions based on unfair prejudice case-law is (1) *Roberto's Club LLC* (2) *Emain Kadrie v. Paolo Roberto Rella* (29 October 2014) CFI 019/2013. The proceedings arose out the termination by Mr Kadrie of Mr Rella's employment as Managing Partner of Roberto's Club. At [80]-[87] DCJ Sir John Chadwick had to consider whether the test under Article 59A of the DIFC Employment Law had been satisfied. The Company submitted that the test is an objective one. It was also submitted, however, that two English cases provided guidance as to that test: *Vickers v. Smith* [1977] IRLR 11 and *Iceland Frozen Foods v. Jones* [1982] IRLR 439. Both cases concerned unfair dismissal, however. Although the Judge concluded that the circumstances in which Mr Rella was dismissed fell within Article 59A of the DIFC Employment Law, he did not do so expressly by reference to the English authorities which had been cited [87]. In light of the clear indications given by the DIFC Courts now on multiple occasions

that DIFC law does not include the principle of unfair dismissal, we consider it would be unfortunate and lead to unnecessary confusion should decisions from common law jurisdictions concerning a statutory test of unfair dismissal be relied upon in the DIFC Courts.

Also of significance in DIFC Employment Law was the decision of Justice Roger Giles in *McDuff v. KBH Kaanuun Ltd* (14 October 2014) CA 003/2014 on the statutory power of summary dismissal (without gratuity payment) under Art 60(4) (now Art 59A) of the Employment Law. There are two limbs to the statutory test, namely (i) whether the employee's conduct warrants termination and (ii) where a reasonable employer would have terminated the employee.

As for (i), the Court of Appeal found that a '*not inappropriate*' test was to apply the common law concept of asking whether any relevant breaches of contract of employment were properly characterised as '*fundamental*' breaches [37]. However, in *McDuff* the trial judge had in fact asked whether the alleged breaches were '*fundamental to [the employer]*', or were significant in the decision to dismiss the employee, which they were not in that case, so that neither the first limb nor the second limb of the statutory test had been satisfied.

As for (ii), and the '*reasonable employer*' test, Justice Roger Giles in *Dagher v. CII* (30 June 2014) CFI 013/2011, considered that a finding by the trial judge that dismissal for cause was '*a reasonable reaction*' was '*a brief but sufficient finding*' for the purposes of Art 60(4) (now Art 59) [25]. However, judicial insistence that the DIFC has not imported the UK test for unfair dismissal has had some interesting consequences. In particular, Justice Roger Giles more recently noted that the '*reasonable employer*' test was in '*strong terms*', with the enquiry being directed as to whether a reasonable employer *would* have terminated (and not *could* have terminated as in the UK using the unfair dismissal test of whether the employer acted reasonably in treating the reason for dismissal as a sufficient reason): see *McDuff v. KBH Kaanuun Ltd* (14 October 2014) CA 003/2014 [22]-[24]. Justice Roger Giles, with whom CJ Michael Hwang SC and Justice Omar Al Muhairi agreed, held that DIFC law did '*not call for an investigation of the employer's beliefs and how they were arrived at... The hypothetical employer is not allowed a range of reasonable responses; it must be found that it would have terminated the employee*' [24]. Insofar as the trial judge had referred to the tests in the second limb of Art 60(4) as being '*the tests of fairness and reasonableness*', that was '*not entirely apt*', as it should *not* be expressed in terms of fairness [26].

The paradoxical result of wanting to *exclude* any test based on unfair dismissal has therefore been to make it *harder* for a business in the DIFC to effect summary dismissal. In order to dismiss without notice they now need to be able to demonstrate that a hypothetical reasonable employer in its shoes would also have terminated the contract.

One such case in which the employer was successful was *BGC Brokers L.P. v. Mourad Abourahim* (31 May 2015) CFI 027/2013. In this case Justice Sir Richard Field, applying the decision in *McDuff*, held that the Defendant's dismissal was lawful within Article 59A of the DIFC Employment Law. The facts of that case were admittedly rather extreme. The Judge held that the Defendant had attempted to blackmail the Claimant and that a hypothetical reasonable employer would have terminated the employment in those circumstances [29]-[31].

Further interest arose in the decision of the Court of Appeal in *Marwan Lutfi v. DIFCA* (26 November 2014) CA 003/2013, on an employee's appeal against the trial judge's dismissal of allegations of unlawful *discrimination* contrary to Art 56 of the Employment law. There is no significant body of decisions in the DIFC Courts on discrimination. Without any analysis of direct, or indeed indirect, discrimination, DCJ Sir Anthony Colman had found below that there was no discrimination on grounds of marital status in making an employee redundant because he was married to a co-employee who reported to him: *Marwan Lutfi v. DIFCA* (10 July 2013) CFI 003/2012. Justice Omar Al Muhairi in the Court of Appeal endorsed the DCJ's analysis that the employment was terminated in implementing an 'employment of relatives' policy of which 'the target was not the intrinsic status of an individual, but rather the relationship between individuals employed' [27] [54]-[56].

Justice Omar Al Muhairi further confirmed in *Lutfi* his view that there was 'no authority under DIFC principles that offer a statutory right to damages arising from a breach of [the Employment Law]' [61], as for example if there had been a finding in that case of discrimination contrary to Art 56 of that law.

The real interest in the *Lutfi* decision comes in the reasoning of Justice Roger Giles, with whom CJ Michael Hwang SC also agreed [104]. His findings diverged from those of Justice Omar Al Muhairi in two significant respects. First, on the basis that the trial judge had correctly found no infringement of Art 56 of the Employment Law, Justice Roger Giles expressed no view on whether, if there

had been a finding of discrimination, the employee would have been entitled to damages for breach of statutory duty [84]. Secondly, he expressed some difficulty with the trial judge's finding that there had been no discrimination because the relevant policy had been directed at the marital *relationship* and not at the *intrinsic status* of the married employee [98]. It was precisely the marital status of the employee that had engaged the policy and so the dismissal could clearly be said to be 'because of' that status, even if for a good purpose. Accordingly, he doubted the correctness of the judge's finding that there had been no discrimination. However, the point was ultimately immaterial as there was in any event a *bona fide* occupational requirement for the employment of relatives policy [99]-[101].

There have been some attempts to argue that the Federal Labor Law No. 8 of 1980 should apply within the DIFC, but these have been roundly rejected in the DIFC Courts by reference to the regulatory nature of the DIFC Employment Law: see, for example, *Lutfi* [16]; *Ferko v. Fernando Ltd* (8 November 2015) SCT XXXX [16]-[18]. Where, however, compliance with the Federal Labor Law, for example the provision by an employer of a certificate of experience would not be at any significant cost, the DIFC Court may require such compliance: *Ferko* [36].

(xii) Financial regulatory law

The decisions of DCJ Sir John Chadwick in *Al Khorafi & Ors v. Bank Sarasin Alpen (ME) Ltd & Anor* (21 August 2014 and 7 October 2015) CFI 026/2009 and of the Court of Appeal in the same case (3 March 2016) CA 003/2015 are significant in the development of the regulatory framework established in the DIFC Regulatory Law and related DFSA Rules made under the DFSA's powers in Art 23 of that Law, and in particular the core provisions of that framework: the general prohibition or 'Financial Services Prohibition' in Art 41(1); the provision in Art 65 for the unenforceability of agreements made in breach of that prohibition; the ability of the DFSA under Arts 87-93 to issue fines for 'contraventions' of the Law or Rules as defined in Art 85; the liability under Art 94 of any person intentionally, recklessly or negligently breaching the Law or Rules to compensate any other person for any loss or damage caused by such conduct; and the principles on which the acts, knowledge or state of mind of relevant individuals is attributed to a company. There is also detailed consideration of the meaning of '*arranging credit or deals in investments*', '*advising on financial products and credit*' and '*arranging credit*' for the purposes of the relevant DFSA Rules.

In his ruling on quantum (7 October 2015) CFI 026/2009, DCJ Sir John Chadwick confirmed that compensation claimed under Art 94(2) of the Regulatory Law had to fall within the scope of the Defendant's duty on SAAMCO principles [53]. However, in view of the purpose of the underlying Conduct of Business rules to protect investors, the Court was not persuaded that the compensation claimed was in fact outside the scope of Art 94(2) or that they were too remote [61] [72].

In the same decision, the Court confirmed that Art 40(2) of the Law of Remedies and Damages could apply to award 'multiple' damages in respect of compensation under Art 94(2) of the Regulatory Law [164]. The Court ultimately ordered the Defendants to pay compensation of an amount equal to *twice* the relevant losses in fact incurred by them [169] by reason of their having been found to have acted in deliberate breach of the Financial Services Prohibition [168].

(xiii) Fiduciary duties under DIFC Companies Law

There has been some interesting discussion, albeit *obiter*, as to the scope of the fiduciary duties of a director and an employee under the Companies Law in the decision of the Court of Appeal in *Raul Silva v. United Investment Bank Ltd* (21 August 2014) CA 004/2014. That case concerned a claim for damages for wrongful dismissal and a counterclaim for breach of employment contract and of a director's duties. Justice Ali Al Madhani had rejected the Claimant's claim and upheld the Defendant's counterclaim. The Court of Appeal unanimously allowed the Claimant's appeal and dismissed the counterclaim. The lead judgment was delivered by Justice Roger Giles. CJ Michael Hwang SC, although agreeing with Mr Justice Giles' reasons, added some supplementary remarks regarding the alleged breaches by the Claimant of Articles 53 and/or 54 of the Companies Law. The Chief Justice noted that the pleadings raised "*a number of interesting issues on the interpretation*" of the Companies Law and Law of Obligations, although it was not necessary to come to any conclusion given the Court of Appeal's decision [2].

The Chief Justice set out the submissions of Counsel for Mr Silva regarding the interpretation and application of Articles 53 and 54 [3]-[8]. He noted that in relation to Article 53 it was submitted for Mr Silva that this contained a fiduciary duty, but that directors only owed fiduciary duties when they exercised powers to dispose of the company's property. Mr Silva relied on Chadwick LJ's judgment in *JJ Harrison (Properties) Ltd v Harrison* [2002] 1 BCLC 162 at [25] in support of that proposition. It was contended that the submission of an expenses claim by Mr Silva was not an exercise of a power to dispose of company property nor the

exercise of any other power vested in him as a director. As to Article 54 it was submitted for Mr Silva that this provision was inapplicable because an expenses claim was not a "transaction" entered into by the company. While the Chief Justice noted that it was not necessary to express a conclusive opinion on these arguments, he stated that he arguments *"are at least plausible and are worthy of future consideration should similar factual circumstances arise in the future"*.

The Chief Justice then went on to consider an employee's duties owed under Articles 158 and 159 of the DIFC Law of Obligations [9]-[18]. Unlike the position under English law, the duties of an employee imposed by these articles are fiduciary in nature. Again, although it was not necessary for the Chief Justice to consider the scope of the fiduciary duties owed by an employee, he shared *"some of [his] own observations"* in view of the general academic interest that the DIFC legal community may have [14]. At [18] the Chief Justice stated that he considered that the fiduciary obligations owed by directors should be more onerous than those owed by employees as a general rule; he noted, however, that there may be special circumstances where employees owe duties that are either as onerous as, or more onerous than, those owed by directors (such as where an employee holds property on behalf of his employer, or is otherwise dealing directly with his employer's assets) [18].

It will be interesting to see how the law of fiduciary obligations in the field of company law develops in the coming years.

(xiv) Res judicata / issue estoppel / abuse of process

The DIFC Courts are increasingly developing a body of case-law regarding defences of *res judicata*, issue estoppel and abuse of process. While detailed consideration of the scope of such defences and of the cases in which they have been considered is outside the scope of this foreword, one decision in our view is of particular note: *TVM Capital Healthcare Partners Limited v. Ali Akbar Hashemi* (22 May 2014) CFI 045/2012; (27 January 2015) CA 006/2014.

This case, which has already been mentioned above in relation to the law of negotiating damages, concerned a claim in damages for alleged breaches by Mr Hashemi of a confidentiality agreement and alleged breaches of the duty of confidence under the DIFC Obligations Law. It was held by Justice Roger Giles at first instance that Mr Hashemi was in breach in many, but not all, of the respects

alleged by the Claimant ("TVM") and that TVM was entitled to damages of AED 250,000. That decision was upheld on appeal, with only the trial judge's order as to costs being varied.

Mr Hashemi raised a general defence to the claims against him, initially on the basis of *res judicata*, but which developed on the basis of abuse of process. Criminal proceedings had previously been initiated in Abu Dhabi by a company called ProVita International Medical Centre LLC ("ProVita"), in which TVM had an indirect minority shareholding. ProVita had alleged that certain individuals, including Mr Hashemi, had conspired to disclose or otherwise use confidential information of ProVita. A claim to compensation accompanied those criminal proceedings. The Abu Dhabi Bani Yas Court of First Instance dismissed all those claims. Appeals to the Court of Appeal and to the Court of Cassation were also dismissed.

Justice Roger Giles held that Mr Hashemi's reliance on *res judicata* was misplaced since the Abu Dhabi proceedings were not civil proceedings between TVM and Mr Hashemi [128]. The Judge went on to consider the doctrine of abuse of process as explained in the House of Lords case of *Johnson v Gore Wood* [2002] 2 AC 1. The Judge held that there was no such abuse established [130]. Mr Hashemi had submitted that TVM was seeking to relitigate issues decided against it in the Abu Dhabi criminal proceedings and in doing so further to waste his limited resources, discredit him and his partners and to prevent or hinder his other business endeavours [131]. These allegations were not, however, put to TVM's witnesses in cross-examination although they should have been [132]. Justice Roger Giles further held that it was not easy to see how a civil claim for breach of contract or breach of the DIFC Obligations Law could have been included in the Abu Dhabi proceedings [135]. The Judge held that it had not therefore been shown to be an abuse of process for TVM to bring and prosecute the case in the DIFC [136].

The Court of Appeal rejected Mr Hashemi's appeal on this issue. Mr Hashemi sought to rely on Article 269 of the UAE Federal Criminal Procedure Code, UAE Federal Law No. 35 of 1992 [14]. Although he had not relied on this provision in the first instance proceedings, the Court of Appeal considered it in some detail. Article 269 in essence provides that a conclusive criminal judgment rendered on the merits of a criminal action declaring innocence or guilt has *res judicata* and is binding on the civil courts. DCJ Sir John Chadwick reviewed the judgment of the Abu Dhabi Bani Yas Court of First Instance and held that, although it was binding on the DIFC Courts in certain respects, the DIFC Courts were not bound under Article 269 by any

finding that Mr Hashemi did not disclose or misuse information supplied to him under the confidentiality agreement, as that had not been an issue before the Abu Dhabi court [22].

DCJ Sir John Chadwick also rejected an argument of issue estoppel raised by Mr Hashemi. Again, this had not been raised before the Judge below, but in any event since there was no issue between TVM and Mr Hashemi in the Abu Dhabi courts, and since there was no issue in those proceedings relating to the disclosure of information in breach of the confidentiality agreement, there was no issue estoppel [24].

(3) PROCEDURAL LAW

The growing maturity of the DIFC Courts and their willingness to make their own law has been equally visible in their procedural decisions, as we hope will be clear from our annotation of the RDC in drawing attention to those decisions. Again, we highlight below a number of procedural developments arising out of the recent case-law and practice directions of the DIFC Courts that are likely to be of particular interest but that are not linked to a specific provision in the RDC.

(i) Limitation

In *Kishanchand Gangram Bhatia v. ICICI Bank Limited* (30 October 2014) CFI 018/2014 Justice Roger Giles was required to consider whether under DIFC law limitation operated so as to extinguish the underlying right or whether it merely operated as a procedural bar to seeking the remedy by litigation. The Claimant asserted causes of action in misrepresentation, deceit, breach of fiduciary duty and breach of various provisions of the Collective Investment Law 2010, DIFC Law No. 2 of 2010 and of the DFSA Collective Investment Rules.

The Defendant applied for a declaration that the Court had no jurisdiction to try the claim or, in the alternative, that the Court should not exercise any jurisdiction it may have. One ground on which it sought this order was founded on Article 38 of the DIFC Court Law 2004 which provides that (subject to any other DIFC Law) proceedings must not be commenced more than 6 years after the date of the events giving rise to the proceedings.

The Defendant submitted that a claim brought outside the 6 year limitation period was a nullity. If that were correct, then (so the Defendant said) there was no claim or action to which the Defendant was a party within Article 5(A)(1) of the JAL.

Justice Roger Giles considered the position under the Limitation Act 1980 that applies in England and Wales, in particular the wording in sections 2 and 5 relating to causes of action in tort and contract. He noted that it was well established that the expiry of the period bars the remedy by action and does not extinguish the right [20]. The Judge held that, although Article 38 of the Court Law was in different language from ss 2 and 5 of the Limitation Act 1980, they were alike in stating a prohibition. He held that had it been intended to depart from the centuries-old position, clear words of departure would have been used. Accordingly, the wording of Article 38 should be given a similar meaning to the language of the Limitation Act 1980 [23]. There was therefore an underlying claim or action and the proceedings were not a nullity [24].

This decision affirms that a limitation defence under DIFC law operates in a similar way to a limitation defence under common law: in general, the defence operates as a procedural defence to a claimant's claim.

(ii) The presentation of UAE, Dubai and other non-DIFC law

Ordinarily, on common law principles, foreign law must be *proved* as a *fact*.

However, in arbitrations seated in Dubai it is common for the parties to advance their case as to Dubai law by way of *submission*, often by reference to recognised or certified translations of relevant UAE and Dubai statutes and decisions.

The DIFC Court routinely has to consider issues of *UAE* or Dubai law. Those issues may not in themselves be determinative, as for example where the DIFC Court, in deciding whether to recognise or enforce a domestic arbitration award, needs to be informed as to the status and basis of any annulment proceedings being pursued by the arbitration debtor in the UAE Courts.

The DIFC Courts have in recent years required some persuasion that they need to hear expert evidence as to UAE or Dubai law. In *Taa'leem v. NBC & Deyaar* (14 January 2013) CFI 014/2010, Justice Sir John Chadwick explained that he would want to see, on any application for the admission of expert evidence as to UAE

law, a clear articulation of the relevant issue, its relevance to the DIFC Court case, and an explanation of the relevant provisions of the UAE Civil code. At the trial in that case, Justice Sir David Steel went on to find that a certain issue could be determined by reference to DIFC Law since it was not suggested by any party that the application of Dubai law would give rise to a different result [76].

At a later hearing in *Taaleem v. NBC & Deyaar* (12 May 2013) CFI 014/2010, DCJ Sir Anthony Colman found that where '*truly foreign law*', such as French law, was relevant, then it would have to be proved as a question of fact [4]-[5]. However, he recognised that (i) the process of proving foreign law by expert evidence was necessarily expensive and (ii) the DIFC Court had judges trained in Dubai law ('*multi-cultural*' judges) who would be able to draw on their own legal and judicial training as to Dubai law. On that basis, he found that the DIFC Court should take a '*realistic and practical approach*', so that:

(1) Where the judge is a non-Dubai national, then they can be expected to require that Dubai law be proven by expert witness and/or academic writing that are 'explained' to the judge in question [7] (which appears to have been the approach taken before Justice Sir Anthony Colman in *XX XX v. Y*);

(2) Where the judge has been trained in Dubai law, it should not ordinarily be necessary to call expert evidence, although there may be cases where the relevant Dubai law is complex or there are conflicting views or decisions in which the judge may be assisted by expert evidence on Dubai law [8]-[9].

On this analysis, it appears that what the Court may *not* require, and what parties cannot provide, is *both* expert evidence as to Dubai law *and* submissions from a Dubai-qualified lawyer. Curiously, the Deputy Chief Justice further suggested that the parties could agree how Dubai law should be presented to the Court, without regard to the judge [9].

In a more recent case, *Bocimar International NV v. Emirates Trading Agency LLC*, 26 August 2015 (CFI 008/2015), Chief Justice Michael Hwang SC declined to permit expert evidence as to UAE law, and instead directed that '[e]ach party may instruct experts on UAE and English law, respectively, to appear as assisting Counsel at the hearing subject to their compliance with the registration requirements for Counsel appearing before the DIFC Courts' [2]. He further directed that such experts would lodge their own submissions, separate to

those to be lodged by each party's lead counsel, and may make their own oral submissions [3]. This is perhaps a new 'hybrid' approach, by which the parties have UAE law 'experts' who are able to make written and oral 'submissions'. The position is therefore similar to that applying in UAE-seated arbitrations, save in that the relevant 'assisting Counsel' must have relevant *expertise* in UAE law.

That order given in *Bocimar* indicated a direction of travel that has led to the authoritative recent decision of the Court of Appeal in *Y v. (1) X1 (2) X2* (23 November 2015) CA 002/2015. The Court had to choose between three different approaches to UAE law, namely (1) the English approach, that 'foreign' law is a matter of fact to be proven by expert *evidence*; (2) the '*Taa'leem* approach', that parties may adduce expert evidence as to UAE law, except where the judge is trained in Dubai law and does not believe the issue to be sufficiently complex or controversial to require expert evidence; or (3) the 'International Approach', that UAE law experts should make written and oral *submissions* as to the application of UAE law, as usually occurs in international arbitration and international commercial courts. The underlying question was ultimately one of whether foreign law is an issue of fact or law.

Chief Justice Michael Hwang SC found that the DIFC Courts have discretion under Art 50(c) of the DIFC Court Law to apply such rules of evidence as they consider appropriate. He could see no sense in applying an inflexible or blanket rule, given the differing expertise of judges drawn from a whole host of common law jurisdictions as well as the UAE. Even the common law judges have significant experience of dealing with UAE law issues in the DIFC Courts. The *Taa'leem* approach raised obvious difficulties on an appeal, where the expertise of the appellate judges might differ from that of the judge below. It was found by a unanimous Court of Appeal that the International Approach should apply, at least as a *presumptive* rule, to issues of UAE law [49].

Further, CJ Michael Hwang SC proposed that the International Approach should so apply for all questions of non-DIFC law [72]-[73], i.e. even where not UAE law. He found that the '*tide is now turning*', noting in particular the views expressed in the leading texts on the law of international arbitration [68]-[69] and the practice of the Singapore International Commercial Court ('the SICC') under O. 110 r. 25-29 of the SRC [70]. The logic of that extension seems obvious not least where the relevant issues are of English law or indeed the law of other common law jurisdictions in which the judges may have expertise or experience.

There remains a significant issue as to whether the Court will require any degree of expertise or experience in the relevant *advocates* advancing submissions on foreign law, apart from their registration as practitioners by the DIFC Court. In the SICC, the Court is required to be satisfied of the competence of the relevant counsel to submit on the relevant questions of foreign law, and the applicant for permission is required to exhibit to its supporting affidavit the CV of its advocate showing *'in particular the person's qualifications and experience in relation to the relevant aspect of the foreign law'*: O. 110, rr. 25(2) and 28(2). The Court in *Y v. (1) X1 (2) X2* (23 November 2015) CA 002/2015 noted the *'collective wisdom of its judges on issues of non-DIFC UAE law, whether it be derived from qualifications, practice or judicial experience'* [71].

The same can be said of the advocates who appear before the DIFC Court, and it may well be said that the Court should accept submissions on UAE law from advocates with particular experience of issues of UAE law, for example as they arise in arbitrations in the Gulf region, or, for example, Egyptian lawyers who are familiar with analogous provisions of the Egyptian Civil Code, can speak to the treatment of those provisions in Sanhoury's commentary, *Al Waseet*, and have electronic access to all relevant decisions of the UAE Courts. There is, however, a distinction to be drawn between the *entitlement* of a party to put in submissions by an advocate having the required basic level of competence, and the *weight* that the court will ultimately give to such submissions.

We would anticipate that the Court will at some point, whether by amendment to the RDC or practice direction, provide a procedural framework similar to that in O. 110 rr. 25 to 29 of the SRC to accommodate the International Approach. There are further issues, such as the relevant foreign law materials on which the Court may rely, which may alternatively be dealt with in the jurisprudence of the Court.

A difficulty in practice that arises with the International Approach is that other parties do not necessarily receive the same notice of an oral submission that they would have of a point taken in an expert's report. The Court of Appeal, however, notes in *Y v. (1) X1 (2) X2* (23 November 2015) CA 002/2015 that *'there is nothing to stop Counsel from having on their team a duly qualified expert in non-DIFC UAE law so as to ensure that they would be ready to make oral submissions and answer any questions from the Court'* [71].

In those cases in which expert evidence *is* admitted as to foreign law, there is some prospect that the Court may wish to hear the relevant evidence given concurrently by way of 'hot-tubbing'. In *Taa'leem* at trial (19 February 2014) CFI 014/2010, Justice Sir David Steel reported with approval that the experts by that process had come close to complete agreement [18].

Finally, we note the Memorandum of Understanding between the Supreme Court of Singapore and the DIFC Courts on References of Questions of Law dated 19 January 2015. Under the Memorandum, if an issue of Singaporean law arises in DIFC Court proceedings, the DIFC Court can direct the parties to take steps to have the contested issue of law determined by the Singaporean Courts after the issues have been defined and relevant facts or assumptions identified. It is anticipated that this template may provide another means by which issues of foreign law can be determined more efficiently than by the cross-examination of rival experts.

(iii) Freezing injunctions

The Court continues to demonstrate its attractiveness of commercial Claimants by its development of a flexible jurisprudence on freezing injunctions.

For example, in *Bocimar International NV v. Emirates Trading Agency LLC* (31 January 2016) CFI 008/2015, the Court was willing to infer the requisite risk of dissipation from the defendant's past conduct in taking whatever steps were necessary to frustrate the claimant's ability to recover on certain arbitral awards [22]-[23]. That conduct included making applications and taking points of law and fact that were unsustainable and were abandoned without any or adequate explanation. The judge referred to the inferences drawn from such conduct, both by himself, based upon what he called the '*[Defendant] has got form*' factor, and by Justice Sir David Steel in ARB 002-2015 [15]. The Court emphasised that the relevant conduct was not legitimate but was a deliberate attempt to string out the enforcement of unchallenged awards and enforcement orders of the English Commercial Court on grounds that must have been known to have no substance.

In the same case, the Court was further willing to dispense with the need for any fortification of the cross-undertaking as to damages, because the application was sought *post-judgment* giving the defendant more than adequate security by set-off against its judgment debt for any damages [24]. The judge had explained that

in the case of a post-judgment application, the Claimant as a judgment creditor *'is entitled to ask for the assistance of this Court in enabling that judgment to be enforced against assets which are or may be available to satisfy it'* [8].

(iv) Disclosure of documents

The most influential of the Court's recent decisions on disclosure issues has been the decision of Justice Sir John Chadwick in *Taaleem* (14 January 2013) CFI 014/2010. The Court explained the various respects in which the relevant RDC provisions are close to the IBA rules applying in arbitration, and differ from the English CPR, not least because a party is required to give standard disclosure only of documents on which it relies (and which tend to be documents which help, rather than harm, its case). Such guidance is necessary precisely because the views of arbitrators on the application of the IBA rules have not in general been set out in any form that has the authority of judicial authority.

In *Taaleem* invaluable guidance was given not only as to the properly focused nature of a proper request, but also as to the required contents of an objection to disclosure or a denial that relevant documents could be located in its possession, custody or control. Of particular interest is the Court's assessment of the various grounds of objection based on confidentiality and political sensitivity, neither of which gives any *carte blanche* to refuse to disclose.

The decision of *Ahmed Zaki Beydoun v. (1) Daman Real Estate Capital Partners Limited, (2) Asteco Property Management LLC* (10 July 2014) CFI 032/2012 is an instructive case study on disclosure. The First Defendant made an application to strike out the proceedings. The application was highly unusual since it was made after the Claimant had already obtained judgment on his claim and after the First Defendant's appeal had been dismissed, although following that dismissal the First Defendant had successfully applied to re-open the appeal hearing.

The application to strike out was brought by the First Defendant ('Daman') on the alleged basis that the Claimant had never had any title to sue on a sale and purchase agreement ('SPA'). The issue was whether the Claimant had divested himself of title to sue by entering into an assignment of the SPA. Daman's case was that, although it was a party to the assignment and had a copy of it throughout the litigation, it had failed to realize its legal significance and so had omitted to bring it to the attention of its lawyers. It also submitted that it was for

the Claimant to produce the document because otherwise its pursuit of the claim would be an abuse of process, and that the Claimant deliberately failed to disclose the assignment and therefore concealed its lack of title to sue.

In dismissing Daman's application, Justice Sir Anthony Colman noted that there was no requirement under RDC 28.15 for the Claimant to disclose the assignment since he did not rely on it and was justified in assuming that it was in Daman's possession. It was for Daman to plead reliance on it, but Daman had missed these procedural opportunities because its documentary investigation had been inadequate [36]-[38]. The Judge held that the claim was not an abuse of process [41]. Further, the Judge relied on his own decision in *National Westminster Bank plc v. Rabobank Nederland* [2006] EWHC 2959 in which he had said that it was only in very rare cases that a trial court would entertain applications to strike out a claim after the commencement of a trial; the delay in bringing the application was even more striking in the present case [45].

(v) Security for costs

The Court of Appeal has given a significant decision in *Frontline Development Partners Ltd v. Asif Hakim Adil* (7 September 2015) CA 005/2015 dealing with two of the pre-conditions for the making of an order for security for costs and with the Court's discretion to make such an order if any of those conditions is satisfied and the Court finds it just to make an order for security.

In considering RDC 25.102, Justice Sir John Chadwick considered both Condition (1) that the Claimant be resident out of the UAE and Condition (6) that the Claimant has taken steps in relation to his assets that would make it difficult to enforce an order for costs against him. On Condition (1), he emphasised that any residence by the Claimant *in the UAE* was not relevant and that the issue was whether he resided out of the UAE. On Condition (6), he confirmed that the test was wholly objective and the Court was not concerned with any motive analysis.

As for RDC 25.101, the Court emphasised the discretionary nature of the Court's power to order security if any of the conditions were satisfied. On the facts of that case, it was not just to order security when the Defendant had not taken the opportunity to have its appeal heard until *after* the trial.

(vi) Inherent jurisdiction of the DIFC Courts

In *International Electromechanical Services LLC v. Al Fattan Engineering LLC & Anor* (14 October 2012) CFI 004/2012, Justice Williams considered the inherent jurisdiction of the DIFC Courts as superior courts of record where necessary to enforce its rules and suppress abuse of its processes. He derived a DIFC test, albeit by reference to NZ, HK and English authorities, in deciding whether the Courts' discretion had been ousted by statutory intervention. When his finding that the DIFC Courts' retained a discretion to stay proceedings brought his views into conflict with an earlier decision, then he quite properly declined to follow it, not least because that was necessary to prevent the UAE's breach of obligations under the NY Convention and further to preserve the reputation of the DIFC as a jurisdiction that is strongly supportive of arbitration.

In a more recent decision, *Al Hendi v. Dubai Aerospace Enterprise (DAE) Ltd* (17 April 2013) CFI 026/2012, Justice Sir David Steel further relied on the Courts' inherent jurisdiction to require a party to deliver up and return documents that had been improperly retained that party.

There is some concern among practitioners that the Courts should not make excessive use of its inherent jurisdiction. There is danger in its being invoked either in seeking to defend orders that have no obvious jurisdictional basis or, in conjunction with allegations of abuse of process, in motions that claims or applications should be struck out.

(vii) Procedural fairness

It is implicit in the DIFC CA's decision in *Dattani v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (10 November 2015) CA 007/2014 that the Court will consider procedural issues and review decisions on these by reference to procedural fairness.

In that case, the purchasers of a retail unit sought to avoid their obligations on the ground that the developer's alleged breaches constituted fundamental non-performance for the purposes of Arts 86 and 87 of the DIFC Contract Law. The Chief Justice found at trial that there was no fundamental non-performance, but that the purchasers would have been entitled to have proceeded under Art 81, which allowed termination for non-fundamental breach where a promisor, put on notice of its breach, fails to remedy the breach within a reasonable time.

Justice Roger Giles gave permission to appeal on grounds including that the Chief Justice had acted in a manner that was procedurally unfair in holding that Art 81 entitled the purchasers to terminate their contract in circumstances where that article had not been relied upon at the time of termination, or pleaded, or relied upon at the trial. Ultimately, the appeal was dismissed. In the view of DCJ Sir John Chadwick and Justice Ali Al Madhani, it seemed that the rights under Art 81(3) and 86(3) were indistinguishable, and the developer was taken to know that the issues in the proceedings where notice of intention to terminate had been given. In a rare separate judgment, Justice Roger Giles found that because the developer had made submissions in respect of the notice given, in arguing that there was no fundamental non-performance, there was no different or additional evidence that the developer would have led even if the operation of Art 81 had been flagged at trial for the parties' consideration: [61]-[64].

(viii) Pleadings

The DIFC Court has been keen to emphasise the importance of proper pleadings that enable the opposing party to know the case being made and to prepare to meet it: *First Rand Property Holdings (Middle East) Ltd v. Damac Park Towers* (2 April 2015) CFI 030/2014 [68]. For example, in that case it was pleaded that a third party was acting as agent of the Defendant. Justice Roger Giles struck out this allegation on the basis that agency is a legal conclusion from facts, and the Claimant had failed to plead any underlying facts from which any actual or implied authority could be found [25]-[26]. He similarly struck out an allegation that the Defendant had assumed a duty of care by reference to 'facts and matters stated above', on the ground that the basis of the alleged duty of care was unclear [37]-[42]. He in any event found it 'untenable' to allege a duty of care to ensure compliance with an existing contractual duty [44]-[47].

In another case, *Amjad Hafeez v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (30 June 2014) CFI 002/2014, Justice Roger Giles emphasised the same need for clarity and specificity in any allegation of fraud, for example as to which representations are said to have been fraudulent and whether the Defendant knew of their falsity or was reckless as to their truth [13]-[19].

However, the decision of Justice Roger Giles in *First Rand* suggests that the Court should be slow to strike out pleadings of fraud. He noted that the circumstances of the falsity of the relevant representation need to be pleaded [51], and with the fullness and specificity expressly required under RDC 17.43 [61]. However, in

considering the judgment of the House of Lords in *Three Rivers District Council v. Bank of England (No. 3)* [2001] UKHL 16; [2001] 2 All ER 513, he rejected the more stringent requirement of Lord Millett that the Claimant should expressly plead the facts on which an inference of fraud is said to arise. The Judge instead preferred the view of Lord Hope and Lord Steyn that fraud must be directly pleaded and particularised, in default of which it cannot be found on the basis of facts which are equivocal [65]-[67]. To require any more of a pleading of fraud risked requiring the Claimant in effect to prove it before trial.

That said, Justice Roger Giles concluded in accepting '*to the full the importance of restraint in, and the need for strict requirements for, pleading fraud*' [68]. In a more recent case, the DIFC Court further sought to deter parties from making improper allegations of fraud and deliberate wrongdoing by confirming that, although proof is always required on the civil standard of the preponderance of probability, '*strong and convincing evidence*' will be required on more serious allegations: *Legatum Ltd v. Arif Salim* (11 September 2015) CFI 027/2014 [3]-[5].

Notwithstanding the importance of pleadings, the Court, in seeking to do justice between the parties, has equally been able to find for a claimant on *legal* grounds that were *not* pleaded, or indeed even argued at trial. In *Dattani & Ors v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (20 July 2014) CFI 034/2012, CJ Michael Hwang SC found that the DIFC Contract Law adopts the distinction found in the UNIDROIT Principles between fundamental and non-fundamental breaches in the different provisions for termination in Arts 86 and 87 (fundamental breaches) and Art 81 (non-fundamental breaches). On the breach as found, the Claimants' right to terminate would have been not under Arts 86 and 87, as pleaded, but instead under Art 81. The Chief Justice found a valid termination under Art 81, even though the relevant notices had not been under that article, and indeed the Claimants had failed to rely on it either in their pleadings or in argument at trial.

In *Al Khorafi v. Bank Sarasin-Alpen (ME) Ltd* (7 October 2015) CFI 026/2009, DCJ Sir John Chadwick, in considering at the quantum hearing whether the Claimant should be entitled to pursue unpleaded claims to interest and charges that had accrued after the proceedings had commenced, explained that the issue was one of justice between the parties. On the one hand, it is the purpose of pleadings to mark out the parameters of the case so that the issues are clear and the parties can prepare properly for trial, so that justice may require that an unpleaded case be struck out. On the other hand, '*the purpose of pleadings is to promote justice*;

not to frustrate it', and if the failure to plead a point with sufficient particularity does not give rise to injustice, a party should be permitted to make its case [32]. On the facts of that case, the Judge found there was no injustice in allowing the Claimant to pursue certain unpleaded claims because they were evident from its expert evidence and the manner in which the Claimant's case had been opened at the earlier trial of the liability issues [33]-[35].

A further example of the DIFC Courts' willingness to consider unpleaded causes of action can be found in the earlier decision of Justice Sir John Chadwick in *Nida Fatima Raza v. Millenium Finance Corporation Ltd* (23 June 2010) CFI 027/2009. The case concerned a claim by the Claimant that she had not been paid the full amount due to her on termination of her employment and that she should have been issued shares in the Defendant employer under her contract of employment. Despite the fact that the Claimant was legally represented, the Judge held that he would have been sympathetic to an application by the Claimant to amend her pleaded case to include a claim of estoppel by representation and would also have been sympathetic to the view that no real prejudice would have been caused to the Defendant by granting an application to amend [59]. In any event, the Court held that such a claim would have failed for want of evidence of reliance.

(ix) Judicial review of procedural decisions

The 2014 amendments to the RDC entitled the Registrar and Court Officers to take an increasing number of case management and procedural decisions.

This has encouraged a tendency for parties and their lawyers to copy the Registry into much of the open correspondence between the parties on case management issues on an apparent assumption that orders can be made without application.

Where parties wish to challenge a judicial decision of a Registrar or Court Officer, they may now apply under PD 3/2015 for a judicial review of that decision. The relevant judge will undertake a *de novo* reconsideration of the decision and make an immediate assessment of the costs, unless there is good reason not to do so. The application for judicial review must be made within 3 days of the decision.

(x) Lawyer witness statements

PD 1/2016 clarifies the position in relation to lawyers acting for parties filing witness statements in the light of the Mandatory Code of Conduct for Legal Practitioners in the DIFC Courts and, in particular, Part B, paragraph 6. The general rule under that paragraph is that lawyers in firms acting for parties should not file witness statements in proceedings in which those firms are acting unless the contents of the witness statement are formal or uncontroversial or the witness statement has been submitted solely for the purpose of introducing documents without giving factual evidence going to the merits of the case.

If a lawyer *does* need to give evidence of fact by reference to his or her personal knowledge of the case, then another lawyer should present the arguments that relate to those facts.

The Court has on occasion observed that lawyer witnesses purporting to give evidence, for example in support of an interim procedural application, are in fact using their 'witness statements' to advance *submissions*, such that on occasion the Court has suggested they should be disregarded, not least when the very same arguments are rehearsed in the advocate's Skeleton Argument. What is clear, however, from PD 1/2016 is that where the lawyer's witness statement says anything contentious or gives true evidence of fact, that same lawyer should not act as the advocate at the relevant hearing before the Court.

(xi) Skeleton arguments

In PD 2/2016, the Chief Justice has required that Skeleton Arguments: (i) on an ordinary application should be limited to 15 pages; (ii) on a heavy application or at trial be limited to 25 pages; and (iii) on an appeal be limited to 35 pages. In each case, the relevant pages must double-spaced, and 'attachments' can include only key extracts from relevant documents and authorities. Where a party wants to submit a longer Skeleton Argument, they will need the Court's permission on a paper application by reference to the factual and/or legal complexity of the case. There is, however, acceptance that the trial judge may, on an application or by consent of the parties, permit a more detailed Opening Statement.

This follows the remarks of Justice Sir David Steel in *Taa'leem* (19 February 2014) CFI 014/2010 in endorsing the approach taken by the English Court of Appeal in seeking to limit the length of skeleton arguments in *Standard Bank plc v. Via Mat Int Ltd* [2013] EWCA Civ 490 (and *Ben Nevis v. HMRC* [2013] EWCA 578). These judicial comments are consistent with the guidance in Schedule A to RDC Part 23 to the effect that skeleton arguments are not a substitute for oral argument and should avoid arguing the case at length.

In citing the guidance of Toulson LJ in *Midgulf International Ltd v. Groupe Chimique Tunisienne* [2010] EWCA Civ 66, Justice Sir David Steel explained that a good skeleton has the following uses: '*an agenda for the hearing, a summary of the main points, propositions and arguments to be developed orally, a useful way of noting citations and references, a convenient place for making cross references, a time-saving means of avoiding unnecessary dictation to the court and laborious and pointless note-taking by the court.*' He finished by expressing his own view that, the shorter the skeleton, the more persuasive it is likely to be [112].

Justice Sir David Steel developed these point in the introduction to the Court of Appeal's judgment in *Al Khorafi & Ors v. Bank Sarasin-Alpen (ME) Ltd* (3 March 2016) CA 003/2015. He noted not only the extremely heavy burden that lengthy written submissions placed on the Court but also their effect was unhelpful in diverting the Court's attention from the essential focus of the party's case [2].

(xii) Cross-examination

The very detailed judgment of Sir John Chadwick DCJ on the financial mis-selling claims in *Al Khorafi v. Bank Sarasin-Alpen (ME) Ltd* (21 August 2014) CFI 026/2009 makes clear the importance at trial of cross-examination. The Deputy Chief Justice started his findings of fact with an analysis of the credibility and reliability of each the witnesses. He emphasised the apparent honesty and independence of each of the Claimant's witnesses [162]-[165] before attacking the evidence of the Defendants' main witness under cross-examination as being 'self-serving, evasive, self-contradictory and unreliable' [166]. That witness drew particular criticism for his attempts to evade questions put to him [181]. This resulted in the Court ascribing *no* weight to that witness' evidence whenever it was inconsistent with the evidence of any of the Claimant's witnesses [183]. It was only the cross-examination of that witness that allowed the Court to distinguish what was he knew of his own knowledge, what had been told by others, and what he believed to have occurred [189] [215].

It is significant that the Court of Appeal in *Al Khorafi v. Bank Sarasin-Alpen (ME) Ltd* (3 March 2016) CA 003/2015 declined to disturb any of the findings of fact elicited from the skilful cross-examination of Bank Sarasin's witnesses. The Court further declined to pass any substantive criticism on judicial intervention that resulted both from a desire to exercise discipline in the formulation of the questions posed in cross-examination frustration and from the repeated failure of those witnesses to respond directly to questions posed: [172]-[177].

The DIFC Court holds to the common law principle that a party must put its case in cross-examining the witness of the other party. Where the cross-examining party fails to challenge the evidence of a witness that runs contrary to its case, it is taken to accept that evidence: see, for example, *Al Khorafi* at [194] [209]-[211].

(xiii) No costs budgeting yet

DIFC practitioners will be relieved that they have as yet been spared the process by which English lawyers, under the Jackson processes of 'costs management', are now required to quantify their clients' costs in advance and held to that 'budget', at least in terms of their clients' ultimate recovery in costs.

There has, however, been a certain amount of discussion not least during the course of lectures in the DIFC Academy of Law lecture series of costs budgeting as a solution to the concern of general counsel that litigation costs require firmer control. In circumstances where most proceedings in the English Commercial Court are now subject to costs budgeting, it seems likely that the DIFC Court will give serious consideration to this and other prospective means of limiting costs.

(xiv) Proportionality of costs

An interesting issue will now arise as to whether the DIFC Courts will follow the newly required practice of the English Courts in *disallowing* costs that are not proportionate, in the true sense of bearing a reasonable relationship to the sums or relief claimed, even where the receiving party's incurring such costs could be defended as being reasonable and/or necessary. CPR 44.3 has been specifically amended to add provision first expressly so defining proportionality and then permitting the Court to disallow costs that were not so proportionate: CPR 44.3(5) and 44.3(2)(a).

The analogous provisions in the *RDC*, namely RDC 38.17 and following, have not been amended to the same effect, and proportionality has not been so defined. Note, however, RDC 38.24 which provides that in applying the test of proportionality the Court will have regard to Rule 1.6(3) (that is, that dealing with a case justly includes so far as is practicable dealing with the case in ways which are proportionate (inter alia) to the amount of money involved). RDC 38.24 notes that the relationship between the total of the costs incurred and the financial value of the claim may not be a reliable guide and that a fixed percentage cannot be applied in all cases to the value of the claim in order to ascertain whether or not the costs are proportionate.

The DIFC Courts have, however, in appropriate cases been willing to compare the total of the costs incurred with the the value of the claim when making costs orders. In the case of *TCM Capital Healthcare Partners Ltd v. Ali Akbar Hashemi* (27 January 2015) CA 006/2014 the Court of Appeal upheld the decision at first instance of Justice Roger Giles in relation to the substantive issues in the case. Nevertheless, it overturned the costs decision below on the basis that the Judge had failed to offer the parties an opportunity to make submissions on costs [28]. Accordingly, the Court of Appeal had to consider the question of costs afresh.

Having made a costs order, DCJ Sir John Chadwick made some obiter remarks as to costs at [37]-[38]. Although not part of the order, he directed the taxing officer to have regard when assessing costs to the fact that costs incurred by the Claimant (which were said to amount to some USD 880,000, excluding certain other costs) were expended in recovering AED 250,000. The Deputy Chief Justice noted that there was "*an obvious disproportionality*" between the costs expended and the costs recovered and that that ought to be reflected in the process of assessment.

There is also a potential divergence between the Overriding Objective as stated in the English CPR and in the RDC. In the CPR, but not the RDC, the references to the Court's '*dealing with [a] case[s] justly*' have now been modified by the addition of the wording '*...and at proportionate cost*': CPR 1.1(1)-(2). This amendment may, however, be more of emphasis than substance insofar as, in both sets of rules, '*dealing with a case justly...*' is stated to include, so far as is practicable, saving expense and dealing with the case in ways which are proportionate to, among other things, the amount of money involved and the importance of the case.

(4) APPEALS

Perhaps the most significant distinction between arbitration and litigation in any international commercial court is the availability of an appeal in the latter. In the case of an appeal in the DIFC Courts, this is a one-tier appeal, save in exceptional cases where an issue of UAE constitutionality or a jurisdictional conflict within the UAE may require a reference to the USC. There has been some consideration in recent years of the jurisdiction of the Court of Appeal to *re-open* appeals, but the conclusion is that such a jurisdiction should be exercised only exceptionally.

The DIFC Court of Appeal has an outstanding record in appeals, with the filter of the requirement of permission being used sparingly, and with almost all appeals being heard and decided within a year of judgment. The most significant recent appeal has been that in *Al Khorafi v. Bank Sarasin-Alpen (ME) Ltd* (3 March 2016) CA 003/2015. The leading judgment of Mr Justice David Steel, in which almost every finding of law and fact was challenged, began with the observation: '*To call these proceedings an appeal is something of an understatement*'. We agree.

(i) Appeals on the facts

In *Al Khorafi & Ors v. Bank Sarasin-Alpen (ME) Ltd* (3 March 2016) CA 003/2015, the Court of Appeal emphasised the heavy burden on an Appellant seeking to challenge findings of fact by the trial judge, given the particular advantages of the trial judge in having seen and heard all of the witnesses so that he was better placed to assess their credibility [168].

The test on an appeal on the facts, as established in various UK, Canadian and US authorities, and as had been recently confirmed by the English Court of Appeal in *Sohal v. Suri* [2012] EWCA Civ 1064 [30]-[31], was whether the challenged finding below was *plainly* wrong [169]. The appellate court had to determine whether the relevant finding was *within the range* of proper judicial conclusions.

The test applied in respect of inferences drawn or evaluations made by the trial judge would be the same in requiring those inferences or evaluations to be plainly wrong before any appellate court would be entitled to interfere [169].

The Court of Appeal did not consider that an appeal on the facts was fortified by a complaint as to the period of over a year between the end of the trial in July 2013 and the judgment of 24 August 2014. Any consideration of that period of time would need to allow for the scale of the case, the volume of documents and the number of factual and expert witnesses. In any event, the judgment showed that the trial judge had retained a full grasp of the issues and evidence [196].

The Court of Appeal declined to find that occasionally impatient interventions of the trial judge constituted a serious procedural regularity or were unfair. Indeed the Court went so far as to find them necessary in view of the evasive approach taken by the First Defendant's main witness under cross-examination.

(ii) Appeals on exercises of judicial discretion

In *Al Khorafi v. Bank Sarasin-Alpen (ME) Ltd* (3 March 2016) CA 003/2015, the Court of Appeal similarly confirmed that the exercise of the wide judicial discretion on questions of costs would only be disturbed if the judge was found to have departed from the margin of appreciation allowable to him [345].

In *TVM Capital Healthcare Partners Ltd v. Ali Akbar Hashemi* (27 January 2015) CA 006/2014 the Court of Appeal varied the costs order of Justice Roger Giles. The Court of Appeal held that the trial judge erred in handing down his judgment without a hearing or having heard argument on the question of costs [28]. Accordingly, the Court of Appeal had to consider the question of costs *de novo* [30].

(iii) Power of Court to re-open a final appeal

There has been an interesting development in the use of the Court's power to re-open a final appeal under RDC 44.179, which some feared could be used in effect as a second appeal. The Court's first decision in declining to re-open an appeal was hardly discouraging to those dissatisfied with the decision on an appeal. In *ABC v. XYZ & MNO* (26 November 2012) CFI 016/2012, CJ Michael Hwang SC found that the Defendants had not shown indications of new evidence or arguments that would have changed the outcome on the appeal.

Then in *Rohan & Ors v. Daman Real Estate Capital Partners Ltd* (24 April 2014) CA 005/2013, Justice Roger Giles, as a single justice of the Court of Appeal, *allowed*

the re-opening of an appeal on grounds that the Court of Appeal had failed to decide relevant issues that the appellant was entitled to have decided. The judge, applying the English test in *Re Uddin (A Child)* [2005] EWCA Civ 52; [2005] 1 WLR 2398, found that there had been an undermining of the integrity of the litigation process, so that there would be real injustice if the appellant were unable to re-open the appeal.

However, that decision of Justice Roger Giles needs to be read in the light of the subsequent further leading judgment of DCJ Sir John Chadwick in the re-opened appeal in *Rohan & Ors v. Daman Real Estate Capital Partners* (16 October 2014) CA 005/2013 and 006/2013. It is tolerably clear from that judgment that the Deputy Chief Justice, with whom the CJ Michael Hwang SC and Justice Ali Al Madhani concurred, *disagreed* that the appeal had needed to be re-opened. In the judgment of the Deputy Chief Justice, the issue to be decided on the re-opening, namely as to whether there had in fact been a *force majeure* extension of the anticipated completion date, effective independently of any *force majeure* notice, had not been raised by the sellers, either on their pleaded Defence or on the grounds of appeal as pleaded in their Appeal Notice, and could not therefore be considered by the Court of Appeal without the required pleadings or disclosure.

Moreover, later in 2014, Justice Roger Giles in *Silva v. United Investment Bank* (13 November 2012) *declined* to re-open an appeal. In that judgment, he embarked on a much fuller discussion of the exceptional circumstances that would be required, as on the English authorities in respect of the inherent jurisdiction of the English Courts to re-open an appeal. The Judge concluded in terms that ‘... *it is the corruption of justice that as a matter of policy is most likely to validate an exceptional course; a course which relegates the high importance of finality in litigation to second place*’ [5]-[6]. He further made clear that, where an application to re-open an appeal is accompanied by an application under RDC 44.140 for the admission of new evidence, the hurdle is higher than that required for the admission of such evidence on an appeal [18]-[21]. A re-opening will generally be allowed where there has been a failure fully to consider some part of the appeal, or where some misapprehension of the facts or law has fundamentally afflicted the integrity of the judgment on appeal [8]-[15]. The Court, however, is not going to entertain mere ‘re-agitation’ of the issues.

(iv) New points on an appeal

In *Damac Park Towers Company Ltd v. Youssef Issa Ward* (14 December 2015) CA 006/2015, the Court of Appeal reviewed its ability to consider new points and found that it could admit new points where their introduction at trial would not have affected the evidence adduced at trial, so that neither party was prejudiced.

In broad terms, it was more likely that new points of *law* or *construction* would be permitted as these would be unlikely to require any further evidence [72]. However, it should be noted that the Court of Appeal in *Dattani & Rahman v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd*, CA 007/2014 (10 November 2015) did *not* allow the raising of a new point as to the *legal* validity of a termination notice.

As for issues of *fact*, these could be raised under RDC 44.141 as long as they revolved around an *inference* to be drawn, for example as to the knowledge of a party to be inferred from other facts that were out of contention, rather than any question of primary fact [70]-[77].

As for the orders that the Court of Appeal can make, the Court in *Damac v. Ward* explained the broad powers of the Court to make any order that the court below could have made or any order that it considers appropriate and just under RDC 44.133(1) and (6). These were intended to allow the Court *independently* to consider alternative legal theories not raised on the parties' submissions, pursuant to the Court of Appeal's charge '*to ensure that no miscarriage of justice arises simply because one or both parties have not fully addressed a point which we consider material to the outcome of the dispute*' [113].

(v) Withdrawal of concessions made below

In *IGPL v. Standard Chartered Bank* (19 November 2015) CA 004/2015, the Court of Appeal considered the circumstances in which a party can, on an appeal, either withdraw a concession or take a point not argued below. After an extensive review of the relevant English authorities, the Court of Appeal endorsed the approach of Mann J in *BT Pension Scheme Trustees Ltd v. BT plc & Anor* [2011] EWHC 2071 (Ch) in extracting the propositions that; (i) the resiling party has the burden of showing that point previously foregone point should be raised (ii) it is harder to raise a point that has been expressly abandoned; (iii) it is unlikely to be allowed if taking the point would risk causing prejudice to the other party in the

sense of being deprived of the opportunity of dealing with the case differently below; (iv) the threshold of risk for these purpose is low; and (v) the burden of establishing no risk is firmly on the party wishing to run the new point [43]-[53].

On the facts of *IGPL* the Court of Appeal found that the Appellant was *not* entitled to resile from a concession that the parties has not agreed to opt out of the DIFC Courts' jurisdiction, in view of: (i) the express nature of the concession: (ii) the fact of its being made with the benefit of legal advice; and (iii) the extent of the change to the legal landscape that would result from the withdrawal of that concession [66]. However, as for a concession below that the DIFC Courts had jurisdiction, the Court of Appeal found that this could be withdrawn by reason of the 'non-facultative principle', that parties cannot by consent confer a power to act beyond the statutory jurisdiction of a court [64]. However, permission was granted on terms that *IGPL* should pay the costs below and on the appeal attributable to the withdrawal on the indemnity basis.

(vi) Appeals of findings not figuring in any order below

In *DNB Bank ASA v. (1) Gulf Eyadah Corporation (2) Gulf Navigation Holding PJSC* (25 February 2016) CA 007/2015, the CA confirmed the view of Justice Field in his order of 9 September 2015 giving permission to appeal that the Court could permit the appeal of a finding not figuring in the order. In that case, the Judge at first instance accepted that the DIFC Court had jurisdiction to recognise and enforce a foreign judgment, and so dismissed the jurisdiction challenge of the judgment debtor, but went on to find that such a recognised foreign judgment could be enforced only in the DIFC and was not susceptible to 'automatic' execution in the Dubai Courts against assets in Dubai outside the DIFC.

The CA confirmed that there was a risk of an issue estoppel arising from the further finding of the judge, and that the issue of the enforceability of foreign judgments recognised by the DIFC Courts in the Dubai Courts was an issue both of legal significance and of public interest and importance. On that basis, the CA found that it should entertain the appeal by the judgment creditor, even though the appellant has succeeded on the merits below [59]-[63].

Where, however, an order giving effect to the judgment below or translating the judge's reasons into declarations has yet to be agreed, then a complaint arising out of a disagreement between the parties as to the meaning of the judgment or

the terms of the order should be pursued by way of application to the trial judge and not by way of an appeal: *Taaleem PJSC v. NBC & Deyaar* (16 April 2014) CFI 014/2010 [14]-[15]; [53].

(vii) Pleading points

Recent judgments, and in particular that of DCJ Sir John Chadwick in *Rohan & Ors v. Daman Real Estate Capital Partners* (16 October 2014) CA 005/2013 & 006/2013, have emphasised the importance of pleading. In that case, the seller defendant to purchaser claims had been permitted to re-open appeals on the basis that the Court of Appeal had failed, in its first judgment, to consider the issue raised by the sellers as to whether the relevant anticipated completion date had been extended by a *force majeure* event independently of the *force majeure* notices that had been served. It was clear that in fact (i) the issue of whether there had in fact been a *force majeure* event in certain contractor delays had been considered by the trial judge and (ii) the issue of independent *force majeure* had been raised in the seller's skeleton argument. However, the Deputy Chief Justice declined to consider the issue for which the appeal had been re-opened on the grounds that it had not been pleaded either in the seller's defences below or in the grounds of appeal set out in the Appeal Notice.

The seller tripped when the Court, raising such pleading issues, invited the seller to consider whether it wished to apply to amend its defences, and then, when the seller by an abundance of caution took up the apparent invitation, refused the seller's application to amend on the basis that the proposed amendment was inadequate and came too late. In any event, the Deputy Chief Justice stressed the importance of pleading: '*These matters are not, in my view, to be dismissed as mere technicalities. Parties to litigation are entitled to know what case they must come prepared to meet, both at trial and on appeal. They should not be required to address a case which shifts from time to time as the other party has further thoughts; or a better understanding of the real issues*' [44].

In the Court of Appeal's judgment reliance on *force majeure* events would require a pleading of the relevant events, the extension of the relevant period under the relevant *force majeure* provision, a specification of what delay is said to have been attributable to the pleaded events and an assertion that that the seller could not reasonably have prevented all or any of that delay [40]-[42]. The Deputy Chief Justice further emphasised that any inadequacies in the disclosure before trial were likely to have resulted precisely from the pleading failure he had identified [50].

Similar points were taken by DCJ Sir John Chadwick in respect of the pleading of the appeal notices: *'The question which the seller now seeks to persuade this Court to address was not raised in either the Appeal Notices or in the grounds of appeal. It is incorrect for the seller to assert, in its skeleton arguments, that it seeks "a holding that the ACD was in fact validly extended ...on the basis both of [an extension period] and on the basis of the Force Majeure provisions under Clause 12.1, as set out in the [relevant notice]". That was not relief sought on the appeal as brought. It is not the function of a skeleton argument to seek relief which was not sought in the Appeal Notice. The proper course is to seek leave to amend the Appeal Notice and the grounds of appeal'* [44].

These findings do, however, lead to the unedifying conclusion that the seller may have been deprived of the protection of a defence which: (i) was considered on the evidence as part of a pleaded defence at trial; (ii) was raised in the seller's Skeleton Argument before the CA; and (iii) which a judge of the CA had found should be properly considered on a re-opening of the appeal; and was deprived of that defence on what was conceded to be no more than a pleading point.

In other cases, however, the Court has taken a more liberal approach to pleading points. The decision of *Nida Fatima Raza v. Millenium Finance Corporation Ltd* (23 June 2010) CFI 027/2009 has already been referred to above. For a more recent example, see *Dagher v. Capital Investment International (CII-UAE) Ltd* (30 June 2014) CFI 013/2011. In that case Justice Roger Giles, sitting as a single judge of the Court of Appeal in hearing under RDC 44.15 an application for permission to appeal, was content to hear points that went *'beyond the grounds of appeal'* [10]. He found that there were no reasonable prospects of success on the new ground. The judge was a little more reluctant in considering points that were taken only orally and not even apparent from the claimant's written submissions [15]. He gave consideration even to an appeal based on a point that had not been clearly pleaded below as a defence [21].

(5) ENFORCEMENT

As the DIFC grows in stature and experience, focus is shifting from issues of jurisdiction, at the front end of the litigation process, to more practical issues of enforcement at the back end of that process. The White Paper on Enforcing DIFC Court Judgments and Orders Outside the DIFC of 2012 was quickly followed by two memoranda of guidance as to enforcement in 2013.

(i) Enforcement – conduit jurisdiction

The conduit jurisdiction of the DIFC Court, which relies upon (i) the recognition of a foreign judgment or an arbitral award in the DIFC Courts and then (ii) the execution in Dubai of the DIFC's judgment in recognising the foreign judgment or award or the award as ratified in the DIFC Courts, has been considered above in dealing with the issues of jurisdiction that have arisen.

The process of importing judgments and awards to Dubai through the DIFC has therefore been built not only on the relevant provisions in the JAL but also on both (i) the various Memoranda of Guidance agreed between the DIFC Courts and the relevant courts of a number of commercially significant jurisdictions, including England, Australia, New South Wales, Singapore and the Southern District of New York and (ii) the 2009 Protocol of Enforcement between the DIFC Courts and the Dubai Courts.

These memoranda, signed directly between branches of the judiciary in different countries, rather than at the state or executive level, will equally avail parties in *exporting* DIFC judgments, including perhaps judgments originating in the *Dubai* Courts, in the various courts across the globe that have signed such memoranda. While there is as yet no significant body of DIFC *authority* on the enforcement on common law principles of foreign judgments, there is in the body of conduit jurisdiction cases a significant *practice* of recognising and enforcing judgments coming from other common law jurisdictions, in particular the English courts.

That common law of recognition and enforcement of judgment has the following stages. First, the court that gave judgment must have had competent jurisdiction. This requires either presence in the jurisdiction at the time of the claim, or submission to that jurisdiction. Submission involves any of bringing a claim, counterclaim, entering a substantive defence, or agreeing a jurisdiction agreement for the foreign court. Secondly, the foreign judgment must also be a final and binding money judgment, as tends to be expressly required in the various Memoranda of Understanding. Once these are established, the burden passes to the judgment debtor to show why the judgment should *not* be enforced. The DIFC Courts will not review the merits of the foreign judgment. The main exceptions are that the judgment was procured by fraud and that the foreign judgment was acquired or is contrary to public policy or natural justice. These are very narrow grounds which are in practice difficult to make out.

There is no special DIFC procedure to enforce a foreign judgment. In accordance with the common law principles, a foreign money judgment itself is a debt. The enforcement procedure is to obtain judgment in the DIFC on that judgment debt. Thus, a claim form is issued claiming the amount of the judgment debt attaching a certified copy of the judgment in accordance with the relevant Memorandum of Guidance. Once the claim has been served, the Claimant is likely to obtain judgment without a trial (whether by default judgment under Part 13 RDC or summary judgment under Part 24) unless the Defendant can show genuine grounds of making out one of the narrow defences referred to above. Thereafter, judgment is given and there is then a *DIFC* Court judgment for the debt.

(ii) Enforcement – conversion of judgments into awards

Under PD 2/2015, conceived and drafted by the Chief Justice and Tim Taylor QC with our assistance, parties subject to the jurisdiction of the DIFC may agree that any dispute arising out of the non-payment of a money judgment of the Court (a ‘Judgment Payment Dispute’) should be referred to arbitration under the DIFC-LCIA Arbitration Rules, where certain criteria (the ‘Referral Criteria’) are met. These include the absence of any appeal and the expiry of the time permitted for a party to apply for permission to appeal.

The Practice Direction provides a recommended arbitration agreement for that purpose, which may be used in conjunction with a clause opting in to the DIFC Courts’ jurisdiction. The model arbitration clause provides for the arbitration to be seated in the DIFC-LCIA Arbitration Centre.

The significance of the Practice Direction is considered by the Chief Justice in his article, *Commercial courts and international arbitration – competitors or partners?*, *Arbitration International*, 2015, Vol 31, Issue 2, pp. 193-212. The option so to ‘convert’ a judgment is intended to provide the judgment creditor with another option which may add to the pressure applied to ensure satisfaction of the debt.

The judgment creditor’s option to pursue an arbitration does not, on the wording of the model clause, preclude him from other means of enforcement including by litigation in the Courts of other jurisdictions, for example where the judgment debtor is believed to have assets in Dubai or other jurisdiction with which there exist mechanisms for reciprocal enforcement, whether by treaty or common law.

The idea that a final judgment can be 'converted' into an award for the purpose of obtaining better international enforcement under the New York Convention has proved controversial. Some have questioned whether the relevant 'dispute' is genuine in suggesting that the 'conversion' is no more than a 'rubber-stamping' exercise. However, the Chief Justice has explained in the above article that there is a long line of common law jurisprudence establishing for the purpose of determining whether an issue can be arbitrated that a 'dispute' exists wherever the respondent refuses to pay or keeps silent but, in any event does not pay.

We cannot yet determine whether commercial parties are giving themselves an additional enforcement option by incorporating the model arbitration clause in their agreements. However, in his commentary to PD 2/2015, the Chief Justice, himself a very experienced arbitration lawyer, gives his own view that *'it is likely that the vast majority of the New York Convention countries will uphold this arbitration clause and the arbitration award could be enforced in almost all of the more than 150 countries that have acceded to the New York Convention'*.

APPENDIX

APPENDIX

CORE DUBAI, DIFC AND TREATY LEGAL PROVISIONS AND GUIDANCE RELEVANT TO THE 'CONDUIT JURISDICTION' OF THE DIFC COURTS

A. BRINGING A JUDGMENT OR AWARD INTO THE DIFC FOR RECOGNITION AND/OR ENFORCEMENT

1. Dubai Law No. 12 of 2004 ('the Judicial Authority Law') as amended:

Article 7

Execution

- (4) Where the subject matter of execution is situated in the DIFC, the judgments, decisions and orders rendered by Dubai Courts or Arbitral Awards ratified by Dubai Courts shall be executed by the execution judge of the Courts subject to the following conditions:
 - (a) The judgment, decision or order to be executed is final and executory;
 - (b) The judgment, decision or order is translated into English by the person requesting execution;
 - (c) Dubai Courts affix the executory formula on the judgment, decision or order.
- (5) In addition to Paragraphs (a), (b), (c) of Clause (4) of this Article, when executing, through the Courts, the judgments, decisions and orders issued by Dubai Courts and Arbitral Awards ratified by Dubai Courts, the following must be observed:
 - (a) the Dubai Courts shall issue an execution letter to the Chief Justice of the Courts stating the procedure to be carried out;

- (b) the person requesting execution shall submit to the execution judge of the Courts an application accompanied by a copy of the judgment, decision or order, legal translation of the same, and the execution letter;
 - (c) the execution judge of the Courts shall apply the execution procedure and rules stipulated in the Rules of the court, including any objections to execution. The execution judge may not reconsider the merits of the judgment, decision or order;
 - (d) the Courts shall collect the execution fees for each execution request submitted to them in accordance with their applicable fees law.
- (6) The judgments, decisions and ratified Arbitral Awards rendered outside DIFC by any court other than Dubai Courts shall be executed within DIFC in accordance with the procedure prescribed in the Rules of the Courts.

2. DIFC Law No. 10 of 2004 ('the Court Law')

[as correctively construed in accordance with the decision of Justice Ali Al Madhani in *DNB Bank ASA v. (1) Gulf Eyadah Corp (2) Gulf Navigation Holding PJSC* (2 July 2015) CFI 043 at [30]]

24 Ratification of Judgments

- (1) Pursuant to Article 7(4) [to 7(6)] of the Judicial Authority Law, the Court of First Instance has jurisdiction to ratify any judgment, order or award of any recognised:
- (a) Foreign court;
 - (b) Courts of Dubai or the United Arab Emirates;
 - (c) Arbitral Award;
 - (d) Foreign Arbitral Award; or

- (e) orders for the purpose of any subsequent application for enforcement in the Courts of Dubai;
- (2) Where the UAE has entered into an applicable treaty for the mutual enforcement of judgment, orders or awards, the Court of First Instance shall comply with the terms of such treaty.

3. DIFC Law No. 1 of 2008 ('the Arbitration Law')

Part 4: The recognition and enforcement of awards

42. Recognition and enforcement of awards

- (1) An arbitral award, irrespective of the State or jurisdiction in which it was made, shall be recognised as binding within the DIFC and, upon application in writing to the DIFC Court, shall be enforced subject to the provisions of this Article and of Articles 43 and 44. For the avoidance of doubt, where the UAE has entered into any applicable treaty for the mutual enforcement of judgments, orders or awards, the DIFC Court shall comply with the terms of such treaty.
- (2) The party relying on an award or applying for its enforcement shall supply the original award or a duly certified copy thereof and the original Arbitration Agreement referred to in Article 12 or a duly certified copy thereof. If the award or the agreement is not made in English, the DIFC Court may request the party to supply a duly certified translation thereof.
- (3) For the purposes of the recognition and enforcement of any award within the DIFC, an original award or an original Arbitration Agreement shall be duly certified if it is a copy that is certified in the manner required by the laws of the jurisdiction in the place of arbitration or elsewhere. A translation shall be duly certified if it has been certified as correct by an official or sworn translator in the place of arbitration or elsewhere.

- (4) Awards issued by the DIFC Court may be enforced within the DIFC in the manner prescribed in this Law and any rules of Court made for this purpose. Awards recognised by the DIFC Court may be enforced outside the DIFC in accordance with the Judicial Authority Law and recognition under this Law includes ratification for the purposes of Article 7 of the Judicial Authority Law.

43. Recognition

- (1) Where, upon the application of a party for recognition of an arbitral award, the DIFC Court decides that the award shall be recognised, it shall issue an order to that effect.
- (2) An order recognising an arbitral award shall be issued in English and Arabic unless the DIFC Court shall determine otherwise. Either language version, in its original or certified copy form, shall constitute sufficient proof of recognition.

44. Grounds for refusing recognition and enforcement

- (1) Recognition or enforcement of an arbitral award, irrespective of the State or jurisdiction in which it is made, may be refused by the DIFC Court only:
 - (a) at the request of the party against whom it is invoked, if that party furnishes to the DIFC Court proof that:
 - (i) a party to the Arbitration Agreement as defined at Article 12 of this Law was under some incapacity; or the said Arbitration Agreement is not valid under the law to which the parties have subjected it or, in the absence of any indication thereon, under the law of the State or jurisdiction where the award was made;
 - (ii) the party against whom the award is invoked was not given proper notice of the appointment of an arbitrator or of the arbitral proceedings or was otherwise unable to present its case;

- (iii) the award deals with a dispute not contemplated by or not falling within the terms of the submission to Arbitration, or it contains decisions on matters beyond the scope of the submission to Arbitration, provided that, if the decisions on matters submitted to arbitration can be separated from those not so submitted, that part of the award which contains decisions on matters submitted to Arbitration may be recognised and enforced;
 - (iv) the composition of the Arbitral Tribunal or the arbitral procedure was not in accordance with the agreement of the parties or, in the absence of such agreement, was not in accordance with the law of the State or jurisdiction where the arbitration took place; or
 - (v) the award has not yet become binding on the parties or has been set aside or suspended by a Court of the State or jurisdiction in which, or under the law of which, the award was made; or
- (b) if the DIFC Court finds that:
- (vi) the subject-matter of the dispute would not have been capable of settlement by Arbitration under the laws of the DIFC; or
 - (vii) the enforcement of the award would be contrary to the public policy of the UAE.
- (2) If an application for the setting aside or suspension of an award has been made to a Court referred to in paragraph (1)(a)(v) of this Article, the Court may, if it considers it proper, adjourn its decision and may also, on the application of the party seeking recognition and enforcement of the award, order the other party to provide appropriate security.
- (3) Any party seeking recourse against an arbitral award made in the Seat of the DIFC shall not be permitted to make an application

under paragraph (1)(a) of this Article if it has made or could have made an application under Article 41 of this Law.

4. Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards ('the New York Convention') [to which the UAE acceded on 21 August 2006]

Article III

Each Contracting State shall recognize arbitral awards as binding and enforce them in accordance with the procedure of the territory where the award is relied upon, under the conditions laid down in the following articles. There shall not be imposed substantially more onerous conditions or higher fees or charges on the recognition or enforcement of arbitral awards to which this Convention applies than are imposed on the recognition or enforcement of domestic arbitration awards.

Article IV

1. To obtain the recognition and enforcement mentioned in the preceding article, the party applying for recognition and enforcement shall, at the time of the application, supply:
 - (a) The duly authenticated original award or a duly certified copy thereof;
 - (b) The original agreement referred to in article II or a duly certified copy thereof.
2. If the said award or agreement is not made in an official language of the country in which the award is relied upon, the party applying for recognition and enforcement of the award shall produce a translation of these documents into such language. The translation shall be certified by an official or sworn translator or by a diplomatic or consular agent.

Article V

1. Recognition and enforcement of the award may be refused, at

the request of the award may be refused, at the request of the party against whom it is invoked, only if that party furnishes to the competent authority where the recognition and enforcement is sought, proof that:

- (a) The parties to the agreement referred to in article II were, under the law applicable to them, under some incapacity, or the said agreement is not valid under the law to which the parties have subjected it or, failing any indication thereon, under the law of the country where the award is made; or
 - (a) The party against whom the award is invoked was not given proper notice of the appointment of the arbitrator or of the arbitration proceedings or was otherwise unable to present his case; or
 - (b) The award deals with a difference not contemplated by or not falling within the terms of the submission to arbitration, or it contains decisions on matters beyond the scope of the submission to arbitration, provided that, if the decisions on matters submitted to arbitration can be separated from those not so submitted, that part of the award which contains decisions on matters submitted to arbitration may be recognized and enforced; or
 - (d) The composition of the arbitral authority or the arbitral procedure was not in accordance with the agreement of the parties, or, failing such agreement, was not in accordance with the law of the country where the arbitration took place; or
 - (e) The award has not yet become binding on the parties, or has been set aside or suspended by a competent authority of the country in which, or under the law of which, that award was made.
2. Recognition and enforcement of an arbitral award may also be refused if the competent authority in the country where recognition and enforcement is sought finds that:
- (a) The subject matter of the difference is not capable of settlement by arbitration under the law of that country; or

- (b) The recognition or enforcement of the award would be contrary to the public policy of that country.

5. Rules of the DIFC Courts

RDC 43.61 to 43.75 (Arbitral awards)

RDC 45.8 to 45.17 (Judgments of Courts, tribunals and other bodies)

6. Memorandum of Guidance as to Enforcement between the DIFC Courts and the Commercial Court, Queen's Bench Division, England and Wales

[See comparable provisions based on principles of common law enforcement in similar Memoranda of Guidance between DIFC Courts and Courts of New South Wales, the Federal Court of Australia, the High Court of Kenya, the Courts of Singapore, the District Court for the Southern District of New York, the Courts of Kazakhstan, and the Courts of Korea.]

Introduction

1. The purpose of this memorandum is to set out the parties' understanding of the procedures for the enforcement of each other's money judgments in the other party's courts. This memorandum is concerned only with judgments requiring a person to pay a sum of money to another person.
2. This memorandum is of no binding legal effect. It does not constitute a treaty or legislation, is not binding on the judges of either party and does not supersede any existing laws, judicial decisions or court rules. It is not intended to be exhaustive and is not intended to create or alter any existing legal rights or obligations.
3. The parties desire and believe that the cooperation demonstrated by this memorandum will promote a mutual understanding of their laws and judicial processes and will improve public perception and understanding.

...The requirements for enforcing Commercial Court judgments in the DIFC Courts

15. The same principles set out above will be applied to determine whether a party may sue on a Commercial Court judgment in the DIFC Courts.
16. In order to be sued upon in the DIFC Courts, a judgment of the Commercial Court must be final and conclusive. It may be final and conclusive even though it is subject to an appeal.
17. The DIFC Courts will not enforce certain types of Commercial Court judgment, for example judgments ordering the payment of taxes, fines or penalties.
18. The Commercial Court must have had jurisdiction, according to the DIFC rules on the conflicts of laws, to determine the dispute. The DIFC Courts will generally consider the Commercial Court to have had the required jurisdiction only where the person against whom judgment was given:
 - a. was, at the time the proceedings were commenced, present in the jurisdiction; or
 - b. was the claimant, or counterclaimant, in the proceedings; or
 - c. submitted to the jurisdiction of the Commercial Court; or
 - d. agreed, before commencement, in respect of the subject matter of the proceedings, to submit to the jurisdiction of the Commercial Court.
19. Where the above requirements are established to the satisfaction of the DIFC Courts, a Commercial Court judgment may be challenged in the DIFC Courts only on limited grounds. Those grounds include (but are not limited to):
 - a. where the judgment was obtained by fraud;

- b. where the judgment is contrary to public policy; and
 - c. where the proceedings were conducted in a manner which the DIFC Courts regard as contrary to the principles of natural justice.
20. The DIFC Courts will not re-examine the merits of a Commercial Court judgment. The judgment may not be challenged on the grounds that it contains an error of fact or law. A Commercial Court judgment will be enforced on the basis that the defendant has a legal obligation, recognised by the DIFC Courts, to satisfy a judgment of the Commercial Court.

...The procedure for enforcement of Commercial Court judgments in the DIFC Courts

28. In order to enforce a Commercial Court judgment in the DIFC Courts, the procedure is very similar.
29. In order to enforce a Commercial Court judgment in the DIFC Courts, a party must issue a Claim Form in the DIFC Courts, providing a concise statement of the nature of the claim and claiming the amount of the judgment debt. A certified copy of the judgment should be exhibited to the claim form.
30. A party may obtain a certified copy of a Commercial Court judgment by making an application to the Commercial Court. The application may be made without notice and must exhibit a copy of the judgment which is required to be certified. Where the Commercial Court provides a certified copy of the Commercial Court judgment, it will provide a copy of the judgment on which will be endorsed a certificate that it is a true copy. The certificate will be signed by a Judge. The certified copy of the judgment will be sealed with the seal of the Commercial Court.
31. Under Rules 9.52 of the Rules of the DIFC Courts 2011, there is no requirement to obtain the permission of the DIFC Courts before serving proceedings outside the DIFC. However, it remains open to the defendant to challenge the jurisdiction of the DIFC Courts.

32. If, following service, the defendant does not respond to the claim, the claimant will be entitled to obtain judgment in default under Part 13 of the Rules of the DIFC Courts 2011.
33. If the defendant acknowledges service, the claimant must file and serve Particulars of Claim, setting out a concise statement of the facts relied upon in support of the claim. The Particulars of Claim should contain a statement that the Commercial Court had jurisdiction on the grounds set out in paragraph 18 above.
34. In most cases, a party will be entitled to obtain summary judgment without trial under Part 24 of the Rules of the DIFC Courts 2011 (as amended), unless the debtor can satisfy the Court that it has a real prospect of establishing at trial one of the grounds set out in paragraph 19 above. Applications for summary judgment are dealt with swiftly, without the need for oral evidence.
35. If the claim on the Commercial Court judgment is successful, the judgment creditor will then have the benefit of a DIFC Court judgment. The judgment creditor will be entitled, if necessary, to use the procedures of the DIFC Courts to enforce the judgment, including:
 - (a) third party debt orders, requiring third parties who are indebted to the judgment debtor to pay the sum owed to the judgment creditor;
 - (b) charging orders, imposing charges over the judgment debtor's property in favour of the judgment creditor;
 - (c) orders for possession of land;
 - (d) orders for sale of land or other property over which the judgment creditor has the benefit of a charge;
 - (e) orders requiring judgment debtors to provide information about their assets;

- (f) orders appointing enforcement officers to seize and sell the judgment debtor's goods;
- (g) orders appointing receivers;
- (h) orders for committal for contempt of court;
- (i) orders relating to insolvency procedures.

B. TAKING A JUDGMENT OR RATIFIED AWARD FROM THE DIFC TO THE DUBAI COURTS FOR 'AUTOMATIC' RECOGNITION AND/OR ENFORCEMENT

1. Dubai Law No. 12 of 2004 ('the Judicial Authority Law') as amended:

Article 7

Execution

...(2) Where the subject matter of execution is situated outside the DIFC, the judgments, decisions and orders rendered by the Courts and the Arbitral Awards ratified by the Courts shall be executed by the competent entity having jurisdiction outside DIFC in accordance with the procedure and rules adopted by such entities in this regard, as well as with any agreements or memoranda of understanding between the Courts and these entities. Such execution shall be subject to the following conditions:

- (a) The judgment, decision, order or ratified Arbitral Award to be executed is final and executory;
- (b) The judgment, decision, order or ratified Arbitral Award is translated into the official language of the entity through which execution is carried out;
- (c) The Courts affix the executory formula on the judgment, decision, order or ratified Arbitral Award.

- (3) In addition to Paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) of Clause (2) of this Article, when executing the judgments, decisions, and orders issued by the Courts or Arbitral Awards ratified by the Courts through Dubai Courts, the following must be observed:
- (a) the Courts shall issue an execution letter to the Chief Justice of the Court of First Instance of Dubai Courts stating the procedure to be carried out;
 - (b) the person requesting execution shall submit to the execution of Dubai Courts an application accompanied by a copy of the judgment, decision or order, legal translation of the same, and the execution letter;
 - (c) the execution judge of Dubai Courts shall apply the execution procedure and rules stipulated in the aforementioned Federal Civil Procedure Code, including any objections to the execution; the execution judge may not reconsider the merits of the judgment, decision or order;
 - (d) Dubai Courts shall collect the execution fees for each execution request submitted to them in accordance with the aforementioned Dubai Courts Fees Law.

2. Rules of the DIFC Courts

RDC 45.18 to 45.24

(DIFC Court judgments, decisions, orders and awards ratified by the DIFC Courts)

3. Protocol of Enforcement between Dubai Courts and DIFC Courts

(23 April 2009, taking effect from 1 May 2009)

...2 – Purposes and Principles.

Whereas, Article 7 of DIFC Law No. 12 of 2004 regarding the Judiciary at DIFC, granted the Enforcement Judge at Dubai Courts the power to

enforce the Judgments, Awards and Orders issued by the DIFC Courts and Arbitral Awards ratified by the DIFC Courts, if the subject of enforcement falls outside the Dubai International Finance Centre's jurisdiction the procedure for enforcement is not clearly set out in the law. Article 7 of DIFC Law No. 12 of 2004 does not set out the legal procedures to be followed either by the parties to litigation or the Dubai Courts and the DIFC Courts. This resulted in many difficulties and rendered its application almost impossible. Therefore, there is an urgent need to create an accurate mechanism to enact those rules and procedures in light of the applicable laws in the Emirate of Dubai to reflect the significance of this Protocol.

[NB The Arabic text does not refer to Law No. 12 of 2004 as being a DIFC statute, and it is clear from the context that the reference made is to the Judicial Authority Law, which is a Dubai statute.]

3 – Enforcement Procedures

3.1 If the subject of enforcement falls outside the Dubai International Finance Centre's jurisdiction the following procedure will apply. Judgments, Awards and Orders issued by the DIFC Courts and Arbitral Awards ratified by the DIFC Courts shall be enforced by an Execution Judge at Dubai Courts, subject to the following:

- (a) The Judgment, Award or Order is final and is appropriate for enforcement.
- (b) The Judgments, Awards and Orders issued by the DIFC Courts and Arbitral Awards shall be ratified by the DIFC Courts' Registry.
- (c) The Applicant shall translate the entire Judgment, Award or Order into Arabic by legal translation and shall be ratified by the DIFC Courts' Registry.
- (d) The DIFC Courts' Registry will issue a letter to the Dubai Courts addressed to the Chief Justice of the Court of First Instance asking him to enforce the DIFC Courts' Judgment or Order.

- (e) The Applicant shall submit the properly ratified documents to the Execution Judge at Dubai Courts.
- (f) The Execution Judge will apply the Civil Procedures Law, and has no jurisdiction to review the merits of a Judgment, Award or Order of the DIFC Courts.
- (g) The Applicant will be required to pay an enforcement fee to the Dubai Courts according to the Civil Procedures Law and fees law.

Rules of the DIFC Court RDC Amendments 2014

PART 1

Citation, commencement, application and the overriding objective

Citation

1.1

These Rules^G may be cited as The Rules of the Dubai International Financial Centre Courts 2014 and may be abbreviated to “RDC 2014”.

Commencement

1.2

These Rules^G are made by the President of the Dubai International Financial Centre on the date specified in the Enactment Notice in respect of these Rules^G.

1.3

These Rules^G come into force on the date specified in the Enactment Notice in respect of these Rules^G.

1.4

Any rule amendments subsequent to the enactment of law No. 7 of Year 2011 on 10 July 2011 are made by the Chief Justice of the DIFC Courts.

Application

1.5

These Rules^G apply to all proceedings in:

- (1) the Court^G of First Instance; and
- (2) the Court^G of Appeal

established in accordance with Article 3 of the Law of the Judicial Authority at Dubai International Financial Centre, Law No.12 of 2004, and

- (3) tribunals established in accordance with Article 14(3) of the DIFC Courts Law of 2004

which are collectively called the DIFC Courts^G.

The Overriding Objective

1.6

These Rules^G have the overriding objective of enabling the Courts^G to deal with cases justly. Dealing with a case justly includes, so far as is practicable:

- (1) ensuring that the parties are on an equal footing;

- (2) saving expense;
- (3) dealing with the case in ways which are proportionate—
 - (a) to the amount of money involved;
 - (b) to the importance of the case;
 - (c) to the complexity of the issues; and
 - (d) to the financial position of each party;
- (4) ensuring that it is dealt with expeditiously and fairly; and
- (5) allotting to it an appropriate share of the Courts^G resources, while taking into account the need to allot resources to other cases.

The Overriding Objective

1.6.1

The Court is keen to balance fairness against the requirement of providing justice that is both cost effective and expeditious. In *ABC v. XYZ & MNO* (9 September 2012) CFI 016/2012, Justice Chadwick, in deciding whether on appeal to remit a bonus claim to the Small Claims Tribunal ('SCT') after confirming the SCT's jurisdiction to hear the claim, found that the only remaining issue was on a short point of construction of the relevant contract. The Defendant argued its entitlement to have its defence considered at trial and that there would be unfairness in its being denied a full trial. Justice Chadwick found that there was no such unfairness, as the SCT would be in no better position than he was to decide the remaining issue, but further found that all of remaining factors pointed to his giving judgment without the need for a trial. He cited not only the small amount of the claim and the financial position of the Claimant (who appeared in person), but also the fact that the claim had already been on foot for some 10 months [25]-[27]. He gave judgment accordingly.

Application by the Courts of the overriding objective

1.7

The Courts^G must seek to give effect to the overriding objective when it—

- (1) exercises any power given to it by the Rules^G; or
- (2) interprets any Rule^G or Practice Direction subject only to the public interest.

Duty of the parties

1.8

The parties are required to help the Courts^G to further the overriding objective.

Courts' duty to manage cases

1.9

The Courts^G must further the overriding objective by actively managing cases including:

- (1) encouraging the parties to co-operate with each other in the conduct of the proceedings;

- (2) identifying the issues at an early stage;
- (3) deciding promptly which issues need full investigation and trial and accordingly disposing summarily of the others;
- (4) deciding the order in which issues are to be resolved;
- (5) encouraging the parties to use an alternative dispute resolution procedure if the Courts^G considers that appropriate and facilitating the use of such procedure;
- (6) helping the parties to settle the whole or part of the case;
- (7) fixing timetables or otherwise controlling the progress of the case;
- (8) considering whether the likely benefits of taking a particular step justify the cost of taking it;
- (9) dealing with as many aspects of the case as it can on the same occasion;
- (10) dealing with the case without the parties needing to attend at the Courts^G;
- (11) making use of technology; and
- (12) giving directions to ensure that the trial of a case proceeds quickly and efficiently.

PART 2

Interpretation

Authentic text and language of proceedings

2.1

The English text is the authentic text of these Rules^G.

2.2

All proceedings before the Courts^G shall be conducted in the English language.

2.3

All documents required to be filed or served in accordance with these Rules^G shall be in the English language.

2.4

Where any judgment^G, order or direction is to be served outside the DIFC in the UAE^G, unless the Courts^G otherwise direct:

- (1) in proceedings on notice the parties must agree and lodge with the Courts^G an Arabic translation of the judgment^G, order or direction within 2 days of same being given, made or handed down as the case may be,

in default of which the Courts^G may accept one party's translation or suspend or revoke the judgment^G, order or direction on such terms as it thinks fit;

- (2) in without notice proceedings the party seeking relief must provide an Arabic translation of the order sought and made;
- (3) in the event of any inconsistency between the Arabic and English versions of any judgment^G, order or direction the English version shall prevail.

Definitions

2.5

Unless the context otherwise requires, the words and expressions set out in the Schedule to this Rule shall have the respective meanings assigned to each of them for all purposes in connection with these Rules^G.

Rules of interpretation

2.6

In these Rules^G, a reference to:

- (1) a person includes any natural person, body corporate or body unincorporate, including a company, partnership, unincorporated association, government or state;
- (2) the masculine gender includes the feminine;
- (3) an obligation to publish or cause to be published a particular document shall, unless expressly provided otherwise in these Rules^G, include publishing or causing to be published in printed or electronic form.

2.7

The headings in these Rules^G shall not affect their interpretation.

2.8

References to legislation in these Rules^G shall be construed in accordance with the following provisions:

- (1) Federal Law is law made by the federal government of the State^G;
- (2) Dubai Law is law made by the Ruler^G, as applicable in the Emirate^G;
- (3) DIFC Law is law made by the Ruler^G as applicable in the DIFC^G;
- (4) DIFC Regulations include any rules, regulations, bylaws, or orders relating to the Centre^G issued by the President^G or the Centre Bodies^G.
- (5) these Rules^G are made by the Chief Justice^G of the DIFC Courts;
- (6) legislation includes rules or regulations made under legislation;

- (7) reference to a statutory provision includes a reference to the statutory provision as amended or re-enacted from time to time.

2.9

Pending the enactment of a DIFC^G Interpretation Act and subject to the express provisions of these Rules^G and any other DIFC^G legislation, the English Interpretation Act 1978 shall apply as far as necessary for the interpretation of these Rules^G as it applies to English subordinate legislation made after the commencement of that Act.

Application of the CPR and the Guide

2.10

If no provision is made or no appropriate form is provided by the Rules^G or any law in force in the DIFC^G, the following rules of practice and procedure shall be followed and adopted:

- (1) Such Rules^G as shall have been enacted;
- (2) To the extent that no Rule or Practice Direction dealing with the matter shall have been enacted, with regard to the Court of First Instance, the Guide^G together with any prescribed forms with such changes as the Court^G considers appropriate to be applied in the circumstances;
- (3) Insofar as the Guide^G does not deal with the matter or makes reference to the CPR^G, the CPR^G together with any prescribed forms with such changes as the Court^G considers appropriate to be applied in the circumstances;
- (4) With regard to the Court of Appeal, Part 52 of the CPR^G and any associated practice directions, as therein defined, together with any prescribed forms with such changes as the Court^G considers appropriate to be applied in the circumstances.
- (5) With regard to insolvency proceedings, the English Insolvency Rules 1986.

Applicability of English procedural law

2.10.1

In International Electromechanical Services Co LLC v. Al Fattan Engineering LLC & Anor (14 October 2012) CFI 004/2012, the Court referred to RDC 2.10, the hierarchy of procedural laws there set out, and in particular the references to the English Civil Procedure Rules 1998 ('the CPR') and Commercial Court Guide ('the Guide'), as enabling it to refer to notes in Volume 2 of the English White Book on s. 19(2)(b) of the Senior Courts Act 1981 in its preservation of the inherent jurisdiction of the High Court as a superior court of record [100]-[101].

Reference to White Book commentary on the CPR

2.10.2

In Vannin Capital PCC plc v. Al Khorafi & Ors (11 February 2016) CFI 036/2014, Justice Al Madhani relied on RDC 2.10 in noting the absence of any provision in the RDC as to the limits of the Court's power to vary an existing order and proceeding, on that

basis, to refer to the *notes and commentary* in the English ‘White Book’ on the analogous power of the English Courts to vary orders.

Time

2.11

All dates shall be ascertained in accordance with the Gregorian calendar.

2.12

A period of time expressed as a number of days shall be computed as clear days. “Clear days” means that in computing the number of days—

- (1) the day on which the period begins; and
- (2) If the end of the period is defined by reference to an event, the day on which that event occurs are not included.

2.13

Where the specified period—

- (1) is 5 days or less; and
- (2) includes a day which is not a business day^G

that day does not count.

2.14

Where the specified period is 6 days or more, both normal working days in the DIFC and non-working days in the DIFC shall be counted.

2.15

When the period specified—

- (1) by these Rules^G; or
- (2) by any judgment^G or Courts^G order,

for doing any act at the Registry^G ends on a day on which the Registry^G is closed, that act shall be in time if done on the next day on which the Registry^G is open.

Dates for compliance to be calendar dates and to include time of day

2.16

Where the Courts^G give a judgment^G, order or direction which imposes a time limit for doing any act, the last date for compliance must, wherever practicable—

- (1) be expressed as a calendar date; and
- (2) include the time of day by which the act must be done.

2.17

Where a party is required by a Rule, Practice Direction, Judgment or Order to do any act on or before a particular date, the act must be done by 4pm on that date.

2.18

Where the date by which an act must be done is inserted in any document, the date must, wherever practicable, be expressed as a calendar date.

Meaning of 'month' in judgments, etc.

2.19

Where 'month' occurs in any judgment^G, order, direction or other document, it means a calendar month.

Time limits may be varied by parties

2.20

Unless these Rules^G provide otherwise or the Court^G orders otherwise, the time specified by a Rule or Practice Direction or by the Court^G for a person to do any act may be varied by the written agreement of the parties and the Court.

Schedule to Part 2

Terms	Definitions
Affidavit	A written, sworn statement of evidence.
Alternative Dispute Resolution	Collective description of methods of resolving disputes otherwise than through the normal trial process.
Arbitral Award	An award made in Arbitration proceedings under the DIFC Arbitration Law No.8 of 2004, DIFC Dispute Resolution Scheme, foreign awards, or any awards that satisfy the requirements of the Centre's ^G laws.
Assessor	A person appointed under Article 18 of the Court Law ^G .
Attorney General	The Attorney General of the Government of the Emirate of Dubai
Base Rate	The 1 month Emirates Interbank Offer Rate (EIBOR)
Business Day	A normal working day at the DIFC Courts ^G .
The Centre	Dubai International Financial Centre.
Centre Authority	Dubai International Financial Centre Authority.
Centre Bodies	Has the meaning given in Dubai Law No.9 of 2004.
Centre Establishments	Any entity or business duly established or carrying on activity in the Centre ^G , including any Licensed Centre Establishment ^G .
Chief Justice	The person appointed by the Ruler ^G as Chief Justice of the DIFC Courts ^G .
Claim for personal injuries	Proceedings in which there is a claim for damages ^G in respect of personal injuries to the claimant ^G or any other person or in respect of a person's death, and 'personal injuries' includes any disease and any impairment of a person's physical or mental condition.

Claimant	A person who makes a claim.
Contribution	A right of someone to recover from a third person all or part of the amount which he himself is liable to pay.
Counterclaim	A claim brought by a defendant ^G in response to the claimant's ^G claim, which is included in the same proceedings as the claimant's ^G claim.
Courts or Court	The courts established in the DIFC, that is the Court of First Instance and/or the Court of Appeal.
Court Law or the Law	The DIFC Court Law No.10 of 2004.
Court Officer	A member of the Court staff.
CPR	English Civil Procedure Rules as up-dated from time to time. The full text of the CPR may be found at www.dca.gov.uk/civil/procrules_fin/index.htm
Cross-examination	Questioning of a witness by a party other than the party who called the witness.
Damages	A sum of money awarded by the Court ^G as compensation to the claimant ^G .
Defence of tender before claim	A defence that, before the claimant ^G started proceedings, the defendant ^G unconditionally offered to the claimant ^G the amount due or, if no specified amount is claimed, an amount sufficient to satisfy the claim.
Defendant	A person against whom a claim is made.
Deputy Registrar	Deputy Registrar appointed under Article 16 of the Court Law ^G .
DFSA	The Dubai Financial Services Authority.
DIFC	The Dubai International Financial Centre.
DIFCA	Dubai International Financial Centre Authority.
DIFC Court or the Court	The Court of First Instance (including any circuit or division), the Court of Appeal and Tribunals established in accordance Article 14(3) of the Court Law ^G .
DIFC Courts Academy	The department of the DIFC Courts activities which deals, inter alia, with the provision of training programmes, both in English and in Arabic, on DIFC Court Law, procedure, advocacy training and other relevant topics.
Dubai Courts	The Emirate of Dubai Courts established pursuant to Dubai Law No.3 of 1992 in respect of the Formation of the Courts in Dubai.
Dubai Law No.7	Dubai Law No.7 of 2011 in respect of the Dubai International Financial Centre.

Dubai Law No.9	Dubai Law No.9 of 2004 in respect of the Dubai International Financial Centre.
The Emirate	The Emirate of Dubai.
Evidence in chief	The evidence given by a witness for the party who called him.
E-Filing	The Electronic Filing facility available on the DIFC Courts website used for the electronic submission of documents to the Registry ^G .
Filing	In relation to a document, means delivering it, by post or otherwise, to the Registry ^G .
The Financial Services Authority	Dubai Financial Services Authority.
The Government	The Government of the Emirate of Dubai.
The Governor	The Governor of the Dubai International Financial Centre
The Guide	The English Admiralty and Commercial Courts Guide 2006, as updated from time to time. The full text of the Guide may be found at: www.hmcourts-service.gov.uk/publications/guidance/admiralcomm/index.htm
Indemnity	A right of someone to recover from a third party the whole amount which he himself is liable to pay.
Injunction	A Court ^G order prohibiting a person from doing something or requiring a person to do something.
Joint liability	Parties who are jointly liable share a single liability and each party can be held liable for the whole of it.
Judge or Judges	Chief Justice and any Judges appointed under Article 9 of the DIFC Courts Law 2004.
Judge of the DIFC Courts	Chief Justice or any other Judge.
Judicial Authority Law	The Law of the Judicial Authority at Dubai International Financial Centre, Law No.12 of 2004.
Jurat	The jurat of an affidavit ^G is a statement set out at the end of the document which authenticates the affidavit ^G . The general form of jurat is set out in the Schedule to Part 29.
Jurisdiction	Unless the context requires otherwise, means the Dubai International Financial Centre.

Limitation period	The period within which a person who has a right to claim against another person must start court proceedings to establish that right. The expiry of the period may be a defence to the claim.
Legal Representative	A person authorised to issue and conduct proceedings or to appear before the Court ^G on behalf of others (as the context requires) who has been instructed to act for a party in relation to a claim.
Licensed Centre Establishments	Any entity licensed, registered or otherwise authorised to carry on financial and banking business including those activities and business referred to in Article 9 of Dubai Law No.9G or Dubai Law No.7 of 2011G in respect of the Dubai International Financial Centre.
Official copy	A copy of an official document supplied and marked as such by the Registry ^G .
The President	The President of the DIFC ^G .
Privilege	The right of a party to refuse to disclose a document or produce a document or to refuse to answer questions on the ground of some special interest recognised by law.
Recognised Company	A company that satisfies the requirements listed in Article 116 of the Companies Law, DIFC Law No.2 of 2009.
Registrar	Registrar appointed under Article 16 of the Court Law ^G and includes, where the context requires, the Consultant, Deputy and Acting Registrars.
Registry	The Registry of the DIFC Court ^G established in accordance with Article 17(1) of the Court Law ^G .
Ruler	H.H. Ruler of the Emirate of Dubai.
Rules or Rules of Court	he Rules of the Dubai International Financial Centre Courts 2007 or any re-enactment or modification thereof.
Seal	A seal is a mark which the Courts ^G put on a document to indicate that the document has been issued by the Courts ^G .
Service	Steps required by Rules of Courts ^G to bring documents used in court proceedings to a person's attention.
Set aside	Cancelling a judgment ^G or order or a step taken by a party in the proceedings.
Several liability	A person who is severally liable with others may remain liable for the whole claim even where judgment ^G has been obtained against the others.

The State or UAE	The United Arab Emirates.
Statement of Case	(1) A claim form, particulars of claim where these are not included in a claim form, defence, additional claim notice, or reply to defence; and (2) Any formal written further information given in relation to a statement of case, whether given voluntarily or by court order.
Stay	A stay imposes a halt on proceedings, apart from taking any steps allowed by the Rules ^G or the terms of the stay. Proceedings can be continued if a stay is lifted.
Strike out	Striking out means the Courts ^G ordering written material to be deleted so that it may no longer be relied upon.
Tribunal	Tribunal established by the Chief Justice of the DIFC Courts ^G under Article 14(3) of the Court Law ^G .

PART 3

Organisation of the Court

I JUDGES, REGISTRAR AND COURT STAFF

Power of Judge or Registrar to perform functions of the Court

3.1

Where these Rules^G provide for the Court^G to perform any act then, except where an enactment, Rule or Practice Direction provides otherwise, that act may be performed by any Judge^G or Registrar^G.

Allocation of cases to levels of judiciary

3.2

Only the Registrar^G may make the orders specified in Rules^G 3.6 to 3.13. Wherever the Registrar^G has jurisdiction^G, he may refer the matter to a Judge^G instead of dealing with it himself.

3.3

Subject to Rules 3.6 and 3.7 search orders (Rule 25.1(8)), freezing orders (Rule 25.1(6)), an ancillary order under Rule 25.1(7) and orders authorising a person to enter land to recover, inspect or sample property (Rule 25.1(4)) may only be made by a Judge^G.

3.4

Where the Court^G has made a freezing order under Rule 25.1(6) and has ordered a person to make a witness statement or affidavit^G about his assets and to be cross-examined on its contents, unless the Judge^G directs otherwise, the cross-examination^G may take place before the Registrar^G, or if a Judge^G or the Registrar^G directs, before an examiner of the Court.

3.5

Except where paragraphs 3.6, 3.7 or 3.9 apply, injunctions^G and orders relating to injunctions, including orders for specific performance where these involve an injunction^G must be made by a Judge^G.

3.6

Except where paragraph 3.9 applies, the Registrar^G may only make an injunction^G:

- (1) in cases of urgency where no Judge^G can be made available within the timescale requested by the applicant and then only:
 - (a) if the Registrar^G considers it necessary in the interests of justice; and
 - (b) to the extent necessary to preserve the status quo until such time as a judge^G can made available;
- (2) in terms agreed by the parties;
- (3) in connection with or ancillary to a charging order;
- (4) in connection with or ancillary to an order appointing a receiver by way of equitable execution.

3.7

The Registrar^G may make an order varying or discharging an injunction^G or undertaking given to the Court^G if all parties to the proceedings have consented to the variation or discharge.

3.8

The Registrar^G may not make orders or grant interim remedies:

- (1) relating to a claim for judicial review, except for interim applications;
- (2) relating to any appeal, except as provided in Rule 44.148.

3.9

The Registrar^G may, subject to any Practice Direction, try a case with the consent of the parties. Restrictions on the trial jurisdiction^G of the Registrar^G do not prevent him from hearing applications for immediate judgment^G or, if the parties consent, for the determination of a preliminary issue.

3.10

The Registrar^G may assess the damages^G or sum due to a party under a judgment^G without limit as to the amount.

3.11

With the consent of the Chief Justice^G, the Registrar^G may:

- (1) approve compromises on behalf of a person under a disability and on behalf of absent, unborn and unascertained persons;
- (2) make declarations;

- (3) make final orders under article 28(3) or article 30(6) of the Trust Law, DIFC Law 11 of 2005, except for the removal of protective trusts where the interest of the principal beneficiary has not failed or determined;
- (4) where the proceedings are brought by a **Part 8** claim form, determine any question of law or as to the construction of a document which is raised by the claim form;
- (5) give permission to executors, administrators and trustees to bring or defend proceedings or to continue the prosecution or defence of proceedings, and grant an indemnity^G for costs out of the trust estate, in straightforward cases;
- (6) grant an indemnity^G for costs out of the assets of a company on the application of minority shareholders bringing a derivative action, in straightforward cases.

3.12

Where a winding-up order has been made against a company, any proceedings against the company by or on behalf of debenture holders may be dealt with by the Registrar^G.

3.13

Subject to Rules 3.3 to 3.12 and to any other Rule or Practice Direction, the Registrar^G may exercise the chambers jurisdiction^G of the Court^G.

Court staff

3.14

Where these Rules^G require or permit the Court^G to perform an act of a formal or administrative character, that act may be performed by a Court Officer^G.

3.15

A requirement that a Court Officer^G carry out any act at the request of a party is subject to the payment of any fee required by a fees order for the carrying out of that act.

II PRACTICE DIRECTIONS

3.16

Directions as to the practice and procedure of the Court^G may be made by the Chief Justice^G.

3.17

These Rules^G may, instead of providing for any matter, refer to provision made or to be made about that matter by directions.

3.18

The power of the Chief Justice^G to make directions under Rule 3.16 includes power to vary or revoke directions made by him or any other person, and to make different provision for different cases or different areas, including different

provision for a specific court, circuit or tribunal^G, or for specific proceedings, or a specific jurisdiction^G, specified in the directions.

3.19

References in Rules 3.16 and 3.18 to the Chief Justice^G include any person authorised by him to act on his behalf.

III COURT DOCUMENTS

Court documents to be sealed

3.20

The Court^G must seal^G the following documents on issue:

- (1) all process issued by the Court^G;
- (2) any order issued by the Court^G; and
- (3) any other document which a Rule or Practice Direction requires it to seal^G.

3.21

The Court^G may place the seal^G on the document:

- (1) by hand; or
- (2) by printing a facsimile of the seal^G on the document whether electronically or otherwise.

3.22

A document purporting to bear the Court's^G seal^G shall be admissible in evidence without further proof.

Court documents to be signed

3.23

The following documents must be signed on issue by a Judge^G or the Registrar^G or by a Court Officer^G acting with the authority of the Registrar^G:

- (1) all process issued by the Court^G;
- (2) any order issued by the Court^G; and
- (3) any other document which is required by a Rule or Practice Direction to be so signed.

IV VENUE AND SITTINGS

Court's discretion as to where it deals with cases

3.24

The Court^G may, with the consent of the parties, deal with a case at any place that it considers appropriate and may at any stage of the proceedings direct that:

- (1) the proceedings or a part of the proceedings be conducted or continued at a place specified in the order, subject to such conditions as the DIFC Court^G may impose;
- (2) the Court Law^G shall continue to apply to the proceedings in that venue; and
- (3) these Rules^G shall continue to apply.

Court Registry

3.25

The Court Registry is located at the Ground Floor, Building 4, Gate District, Dubai, UAE.

Sittings of the Court

3.26

Sittings of the Court^G will take place on dates to be published on the Court's^G website (www.difccourts.ae) and on such other dates as may be specified by the Chief Justice^G or the Registrar^G on his behalf.

3.27

In the interest of justice, the Court^G may hear an application by video link, telephone, electronic device or other appropriate means. Attention is drawn to the Video-conferencing Protocol and the guidance on telephone hearings at Schedules B and C to Part 23.

3.28

Sittings of the Court^G will generally take place in the courtroom located at the Ground Floor, Building 4, The Gate District, Dubai, UAE.

V COMMUNICATING WITH THE COURT BY E-MAIL

3.29

Guidance on communicating with the Court^G by e-mail is set out in the Schedule to this Part.

Schedule to Part 3 Communicating with the Court by E-mail Documents for which E-mail may be used

Where possible, parties should file documents online using the Courts' e-filing system. Where that is not possible, save as set out in paragraphs 3 and 4 below, e-mail may be used to communicate with the Registry^G in relation to any matter.

Superseding of requirements of Schedule to Part 3

3.29.1

The Court in *Hardt v. DAMAC (DIFC) Company Limited* (31 March 2010) CFI 36/2009 found that certain provisions of the Schedule to Part 3 were superseded by the provision in RDC 23.20 that an application would be made within a specified time if the application notice was received by the Court within that time. See further the notes to RDC 23.20 below.

Email not primary means of filing documents

3.29.2

A 2014 amendment to paragraph 1 of the Schedule to RDC Part 23 provides that the primary or normal means of submitting or filing documents at Court should be the Courts' e-filing system, but without excluding a secondary filing by email.

- 1A. Should E-mail be used for the submission of inter alia, documents, materials and information that require the payment of an accompanying fee, the submitted email's contents and attachments will be considered incomplete and thus unprocessed by the Registry until the requisite fee has been paid and received by the Courts in full.

Restrictions

2. A party should not use e-mail to issue a claim unless the procedure set out at RDC 9.64 applies, although parties are encouraged to use the e-filing facilities available using www.difccourts.ae.
- 2A. A party should not use email to file bundles prepared for hearings. Email may be used to make minor additions (of 20 pages or less) to any bundles already lodged with the Court, but they must be accompanied by clear instructions as to where such additions are to be inserted.
3. A large document may not be sent by email. A large document is
 - (a) any document which, when scanned as a PDF file, exceeds 10MB in size; or,
 - (b) any document which is incapable of being scanned in accordance with paragraph 16 below.
4. Where a party sends or lodges a document by e-mail he should still comply with any Rule or Practice Direction requiring the document to be served on any other person.
5. Nothing in this Schedule shall affect or otherwise alter any obligation on a party or his legal representative^G to file any document or bundle of documents with the Court^G or to serve any document or bundle of documents on any other party, but only the manner in which documents are filed with the Court^G.
6. Nothing in this Section requires any person to accept service of a document by email.

Sending E-mails to the Court

7. For all correspondence for the Registry, the address is: registry@difccourts.ae

The subject line

8. The subject line of the e-mail should contain only the following information which should be in the following order:

- (a) First, the claim number;
- (b) Second, the proper title of the claim (abbreviated as necessary) with the claimant named first and the defendant named second.

Form and content of the E-mail

- 9. Any e-mail filing^G with the Court^G must include the following information in the covering e-mail itself:
 - (a) the name of the individual who has sent the e-mail and his firm;
 - (b) the fullest possible contact details for the individual and the firm of which he is a member, ordinarily to including—
 - (i) the full postal address;
 - (ii) a landline telephone number (and a direct number if possible);
 - (iii) a fax number (if applicable);
 - (iv) a professional e-mail address;
 - (v) a business mobile or cellular telephone number (if applicable);
 - (vi) the name of an alternative person who may be contacted if the originator of the e-mail is unavailable;
 - (c) a short description of each document which is being filed with the Court^G as an attachment to the e-mail;
 - (d) if appropriate, the name of the Judge^G before whom the e-mail and any attachment is intended to be placed.
- 10. Any e-mail message sent to the Court^G must be in plain text and not in rich text or HTML format.
- 11. Where a party files a document by e-mail, he should not send a hard copy in addition, unless there are good reasons for so doing or a Rule or the Court^G requires it.
- 12. Where a time limit applies, it remains the responsibility of the party to ensure that the document is filed in time. Parties are advised to allow for delays or downtime on their server or the servers used by the Court^G.

Attachments

- 13. Any attachment must comply with the following technical requirements:
 - (a) in order to preserve the legibility and original pagination of documents filed by e-mail all documents filed electronically with the Court^G must be sent as a PDF file attachment (unless otherwise directed). Any document purporting to be filed by e-mail in any other format shall be treated by the Court^G as not having been received or filed; and
 - (b) all documents e-mailed to the Court^G must be scanned as A4 pages with margins of at least 1 inch. All documents prepared by the parties for filing^G with the Court^G electronically should be paginated. Documents prepared using Word, WordPerfect or other software packages should be converted to PDF format either by scanning a physical copy of the document, or by using a PDF printer driver.

Receipt of E-mail by the Court

14. A document is not filed until the e-mail is received by the CourtG at the addressee's computer terminal, whatever time it is shown to have been sent.
15. The time of receipt of an e-mail at the addressee's computer terminal will be recorded.
16. If an e-mail is received after 4 p.m. it will be treated as having been received on the next day the CourtG office is open.
17. Where a party makes an application by filing an application notice by email and that application attracts a fee, save in cases of extreme urgency, the application will not be considered filed until the fee is paid.
18. The Registry will acknowledge receipt of emails. If a response to the subject matter of the e-mail is not received within a reasonable period, the sender should assume that the CourtG has not received it and should send the e-mail again, or file the document by another means.
19. Parties should not telephone to enquire as to the receipt of an e-mail. They should observe the procedure set out in paragraph 18.
20. Exceptionally, if a document which is filed with the CourtG by email requires urgent attention, a party may contact the CourtG by telephone, but such contact should be rare.

Replies to E-mails sent to the Court

21. The CourtG will normally send any reply by e-mail to documents or correspondence sent by e-mail.
 - (a) All replies will be sent to the e-mail address from which the e-mail has been sent. If the sender wishes the reply to be copied to other parties or to another e-mail address used by the sender of the message, such e-mail addresses must be specified in the copy line.
 - (b) The CourtG will not send copies to clients or others not on the record; the copy line must therefore not contain the addresses of such persons.
 - (c) The e-mail should also contain in the body of the e-mail the name and telephone number of the sender.
22. It is important that legal representatives consider putting in place a system to deal with the absence of the individual who has sent the e-mail and to whom the CourtG will ordinarily reply. Two possible solutions are:
 - (a) a central mail box within each firm, either from which the e-mail is sent to the CourtG (and which will therefore receive the reply) or to which it is copied by the individual sender who sends it direct to the CourtG (and who will receive a copy of the reply);
 - (b) a second individual e-mail address within the firm to which the reply will be copied so that any reply can be monitored.

PART 4

The Court's case management powers

The Court's general powers of management

4.1

The list of powers set out below is in addition to any powers given to the Court^G by any other Rule or Practice Direction or by any other enactment or any powers it may otherwise have.

Preservation of the Court's inherent jurisdiction

4.1.1

In *International Electromechanical Services Co LLC v. Al Fattan Engineering LLC & Anor* (14 October 2012) CFI 004/2012, Justice Williams took the view that the words 'any other powers [the Court] may otherwise have' was a reference to 'the inherent jurisdiction which any superior court of record, such as the DIFC Court, possesses' [98]. He explained, by reference to the commentary in Volume 2 of the White Book on English Civil Procedure on s. 19(2)(b) of the Senior Courts Act dealing with the inherent jurisdiction of the English High Court, and the authorities there cited, that the court must enjoy such powers 'in order to enforce its rules of practice and to suppress any abuse of its process and to defeat any attempted thwarting of its practices': *Connelly v. DPP* [1964] AC 1254 at 1301 per Lord Morris.

In the *Al Fattan* case itself, Justice Williams found that a statutory provision disapplying the *mandatory* stay provisions in Art 13 of DIFC Law No. 1 of 2008 ('the Arbitration Law') to foreign-seated arbitrations did *not* have the effect of eliminating the Court's *discretion* to grant a stay under its inherent jurisdiction as preserved under RDC 4.1 [102]-[104]. The test derived by Justice Williams from the New Zealand, Hong Kong and English authorities considered by him, was whether the relevant statutory intervention contained a 'defined power to regulate and control' or a 'detailed and precise Parliamentary intervention' [104]-[110]. If it did, then the relevant inherent jurisdiction, in that case to stay proceedings, was ousted.

In finding that there was no elimination of the Court's inherent jurisdiction to stay proceedings in support of an arbitration agreement, Justice Williams expressly declined to follow the contrary earlier decision of the Court in *Injazat Capital & Anor v. Denton Wilde Sapte & Co* (6 March 2012) CFI 019/2010 [8]. There is nothing in DIFC law to suggest that the CFI's decision in the *Al Fattan* case takes precedence over its earlier decision in the *Injazat* case, although it is suggested that reliance be placed on the *later* case in view of: (i) the expressed reluctance of Justice Steel in the earlier case to conclude as he did; (ii) the widespread criticism of the result in that case; and (iii) the exhaustive analysis of all relevant arguments and authorities in the later case in his lengthy judgment.

The Court in *IES v. Al Fattan* rejected the submission that the express exclusion of a *mandatory* stay eliminated the inherent jurisdiction to order a *discretionary* stay [111]-[112]. It was confirmed in that view: by the continuing validity of the arbitration clause, such that a breach would sound in damages [115]; by the damage that an elimination of the inherent jurisdiction to stay would do to 'the promotion of the DIFC as a jurisdiction supportive of arbitration as an expeditious and cost effective

dispute resolution process' [116], not least as it would give the DIFC Arbitration Law the effect of making the DIFC's Arbitration law more restrictive than the original UAE Code of Civil Procedure [117]; and, in the case of a non-UAE-seated arbitration, by the breach of the UAE's obligations under the New York Convention that would result, contrary to the relevant Federal law which created the DIFC [118]-[119].

4.2

Except where these Rules^G provide otherwise, the Court^G may:

- (1) extend or shorten the time for compliance with any Rule, Practice Direction or Court^G order (even if an application for extension is made after the time for compliance has expired);
- (2) adjourn or bring forward a hearing;
- (3) require a party or a party's legal representative^G to attend the Court^G;
- (4) hold a hearing and receive evidence by telephone or by using any other method of direct oral communication;
- (5) direct that part of any proceedings (such as a counterclaim^G) be dealt with as separate proceedings;
- (6) stay^G the whole or part of any proceedings or judgment^G either generally or until a specified date or event;
- (7) consolidate proceedings;
- (8) try two or more claims on the same occasion;
- (9) direct a separate trial of any issue;
- (10) decide the order in which issues are to be tried;
- (11) exclude an issue from consideration;
- (12) dismiss or give judgment^G on a claim after a decision on a preliminary issue;
- (13) order any party to file and serve an estimate of costs; or
- (14) take any other step or make any other order for the purpose of managing the case and furthering the overriding objective.

Retrospective extension of time under RDC 4.2(1)

4.2.1

In *Hardt v. DAMAC (DIFC) Company Limited* (31 March 2010) CFI 36/2009 ('Judgment No.1') [28]-[31], the Court retrospectively extended the time period of 14 days for its receipt of an application under RDC 12.4 by some 3 days. It did so because it found

that to have refused an extension would have been disproportionate, in circumstances where other procedural delays were insufficiently serious or reprehensible, and there would be no unfairness or injustice to the Claimants.

Adjournment of trial

4.2.2

In *Corinth Pipeworks SA v. Barclays Bank plc v. Afras Ltd & Anor* (22 April 2014) CFI 024/2010, Justice Sir David Steel refused to adjourn the trial of the third party proceedings noting that ‘*the Court approaches an application for an adjournment in these circumstances with a considerable degree of caution if not scepticism*’. He explained that the Court had reserved a considerable period of time for the trial, and that the expense of an adjournment in that case would have been very significant, so that ‘*the Court should only contemplate it if it really is necessary to achieve justice between the parties*’ [9].

Adjournment of application for recognition and enforcement of arbitral award

4.2.3

On an application under Art 44(2) of the DIFC Arbitration Law to adjourn an application for the recognition and enforcement of an arbitral award pending the determination of annulment proceedings in the court of the country of origin, the DIFC Court applied the criteria identified by Gross J in *IPCO (Nigeria) Ltd. v. Nigerian National Petroleum Corp* [2005] EWHC 726 (QB) as requiring to be considered on an application under Section 103(5) of the Arbitration Act 1996: *A v. B*, ARB 002/2014 [31]. The criteria are: (i) whether the application before the courts of the country of origin is brought *bona fide* and not simply by way of delaying tactic; (ii) whether the application before the court in the country of origin has a real (i.e. realistic) prospect of success; and (iii) the extent of the delay occasioned by an adjournment and any resulting prejudice.

Staying proceedings on case management grounds

4.2.4

In *IGPL v. Standard Chartered Bank* (19 November 2015) CA 004/2015, the Court of Appeal considered the circumstances in which it should grant a stay on the proper application of case management principles. It agreed that such a stay should be granted only in ‘*rare and compelling cases*’, by reference to circumstances including, on the facts in that case, whether any jurisdictional conflict was likely to arise with the Sharjah courts, the ‘maturity’ of the DIFC proceedings in the sense of how far advanced they were, and the likely prejudice to the party opposing the application of a stay [71]-[78].

Staying proceedings for abuse of process

4.2.5

In *Arqaam Capital Ltd v. DFSA* (4 September 2012) CFI 006/2012, on an appeal of a decision of the Hearing Panel of the Financial Markets Tribunal (‘FMT’) against staying regulatory proceedings by the DFSA in the exercise of a power to stay proceedings under Art 34(3)(g) of the DIFC Law No. 1 of 2004 (‘the Regulatory Law’), Justice Chadwick found no authority for a proposition that the FMT in the exercise of its regulatory powers should conduct satellite proceedings to determine whether it was offended by the alleged motives of the regulator in bringing proceedings that were *prima facie* properly brought [54].

Giving judgment on a claim after a decision on a preliminary issue

4.2.6

Although the decision of Justice Chadwick in *ABC v. XYZ & MNO* (9 September 2012) CFI 016/2012 was subsequently identified by Chief Justice Hwang in a subsequent decision in *ABC v. XYZ & MNO* (26 November 2012) CFI 016/2012 at [5] as having been an application of the power in RDC 53.78 not to refer matters back to the Court below, it is suggested that the same principles would apply to the same effect if the decision of Justice Chadwick were to be characterised as an exercise of the Court's discretion under RDC 4.2(12) to give judgment on a claim after a decision on a preliminary issue: see Note 53.78.1.

Orders made where documents improperly obtained

4.2.7

In *Al Hendi v. Dubai Aerospace Enterprise* (DAE) Ltd (17 April 2013) CFI 026/2012, the Court found it likely that the Claimant had improperly retained documents that were confidential and belonged to the Defendant [8]. In exercise either of its inherent jurisdiction or of its general discretion in managing the case and furthering the overriding objective under RDC 4.2(14), the Court ordered that: (i) the Claimant deliver up and return all the documents taken [10]; (ii) confirm by disclosure statement on oath what he had done [11]; and (iii) the Claimant be restrained from any further processing or disclosing of the documents taken by him or their contents without the order of the Court [12].

4.3

When the Court^G makes an order, it may:

- (1) make it subject to conditions, including a condition to pay a sum of money into Court^G; and
- (2) specify the consequence of failure to comply with the order or a condition.

4.4

The Court^G may order a party to pay a sum of money into Court^G if that party has, without good reason, failed to comply with a Rule or Practice Direction.

4.5

When exercising its power under Rule 4.4 the Court^G must have regard to:

- (1) the amount in dispute; and
- (2) the costs which the parties have incurred or which they may incur.

4.6

Where a party pays money into Court^G following an order under Rules 4.3 or 4.4 the money shall be security for any sum payable by that party to any other party in the proceedings.

4.7

A power of the Court^G under these Rules^G to make an order includes a power to vary or revoke the order.

Limits on the Court's power to vary an order

4.7.1

In *Vannin Capital PCC plc v. Al Khorafi & Ors* (11 February 2016) CFI 036/2014, Justice Al Madhani noted the absence of any provisions in the RDC itself as to the limits of the power of the Court to vary an order. Acting pursuant to the Court's default power under RDC 2.10 to refer to the English CPR, which he construed broadly to include the 'White Book' commentary on the same, Justice Al Madhani noted the commentary to CPR 3.1(7) in Note 3.1.9 et ff. This confirmed the application of the rule in *Henderson v. Henderson* to prevent successive applications raising grounds that had been relied upon and rejected by the Court, or had been available, at the time of the first application.

The Court's jurisdiction to vary an order should not be used for the purpose of enabling a party to re-argue submissions and evidence that were available at the time of an earlier hearing: *Lloyds Investment (Scandinavia) v. Ager-Hanssen* [2003] EWHC 1740 (Ch). That jurisdiction should not normally be exercised unless the applicant is able to put new material before the Court: *Collier v. Williams* [2006] EWCA Civ 20; [2006] 1 WLR 194. Justice Al Madhani confirmed that parties should not be permitted to continue fighting over the same issues and that even interim orders should provide some stability and finality [40]. On the facts of that case, issues as to the Khorafis' alleged need of the funds preserved in order to finance their response to Bank Sarasin's appeal had *already* been raised at the time of the original application before Justice Al Muhairi in *Vannin* (18 February 2015) CFI 036/2014.

Court Officer's power to refer to a Judge

4.8

Where a step is to be taken by a Court Officer^G:

- (1) the Court Officer^G may consult a Judge^G before taking that step;
- (2) the step may be taken by a Judge^G instead of the Court Officer^G.

Court's power to make order of its own initiative

4.9

Except where a Rule, Practice Direction or some other enactment provides otherwise, the Court^G may exercise its powers on an application or of its own initiative.

Statutory basis of the Court's power to make order of its own initiative

4.9.1

Art 32 of DIFC Law No. 10 of 2004 ('the Court Law') gives the Court power to make orders and give directions as to the conduct of any proceedings before the DIFC Court that it considers appropriate, including ...(f) orders made in the interests of justice'.

4.10

Where the Court^G proposes to make an order of its own initiative:

- (1) it may give any person likely to be affected by the order an opportunity to make representations; and

- (2) where it does so it must specify the time by and the manner in which the representations must be made.

4.11

Where the Court^G proposes:

- (1) to make an order of its own initiative; and
- (2) to hold a hearing to decide whether to make the order,

it must give each party likely to be affected by the order at least 3 days' notice of the hearing.

4.12

The Court^G may make an order of its own initiative, without hearing the parties or giving them an opportunity to make representations.

4.13

Where the Court^G has made an order under Rule 4.12—

- (1) a party affected by the order may apply to have it set aside^G, varied or stayed^G; and
- (2) the order must contain a statement of the right to make such an application.

4.14

An application under Rule 4.13(1) must be made:

- (1) within such period as may be specified by the Court^G; or
- (2) if the Court^G does not specify a period, not more than 7 days after the date on which the order was served on the party making the application.

Power to strike out a statement of case

4.15

In Rules 4.16 to 4.24, reference to a statement of case^G includes reference to part of a statement of case^G.

4.16

The Court^G may strike out^G a statement of case^G if it appears to the Court:

- (1) that the statement of case^G discloses no reasonable grounds for bringing or defending the claim;
- (2) that the statement of case^G is an abuse of the Court's^G process or is otherwise likely to obstruct the just disposal of the proceedings; or
- (3) that there has been a failure to comply with a Rule, Practice Direction or Court^G order.

Discretion to strike out where no reasonable grounds

4.16.1

Although RDC 4.16 suggests that the Court has a *discretion* to strike out a statement of case where it appears to the Court that the pleading discloses no reasonable grounds for bringing or defending the claim, Justice Al Madhani in *DIFC Authority v. Al Shaykh* (12 February 2012) CFI 001/2012 at [4] suggests that where a claimant has failed to address any reasonable grounds or explain on what basis it has any rights to its claim, the Court is *required* under RDC 4.16 to strike out the claim.

Again, in *Firststrand Property Holding (Middle East) Ltd v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (2 April 2015) CFI 030/2014, Justice Roger Giles struck out the pleaded claim of a tortious duty of care to act in accordance with contractual obligations on the basis that it was misconceived, was otiose in the face of the relevant contractual duty, and would bring incoherence to the law [45]-[46]. Where such a pleading is found to be untenable, the Court is likely to proceed on the basis that it *should* be struck out in exercise of its discretion [44]-[47].

There may, however, be circumstances where an averment is not struck out, even if found summarily to be hopeless, because it will not add significantly to the costs of the action and to strike out the allegation may create a risk of delay, for example if that decision is appealed.

The Court will ordinarily exercise its discretion to strike out a case lacking in reasonable grounds where this will significantly reduce the time and costs required in trial preparation and at trial.

A good example of this is *Ahmed Mohamed Abdel Aziz Saleh v. Chartis Memsa Insurance Company* (5 July 2012) CFI 021/2011. In that employment case, the Claimant claimed, in addition to relief for wrongful dismissal, and among other things: (i) damages for bad faith, gross unfairness and procedural impropriety in violation of best practices; (ii) a fine for contravention of the DIFC Employment Law; (iii) payment in respect of time in excess of his contractual hours and work done on weekends and national holidays; and (iv) damages for pain and suffering said to have been caused by anxiety and depression resulting from the high stress of his job. Such claims would plainly have generated significant document production, witness evidence and even expert evidence.

Deputy Chief Justice Sir Anthony Colman struck out all of the claims save for the claim for a monetary remedy for wrongful dismissal, conceded to be arguable, because: (i) DIFC law had no prohibition of unfair dismissal; (ii) there was no power under the DIFC Employment Law for the DIFC Court, as opposed to the Director of Employment Standards, to impose a fine; (iii) there was no provision in the Claimant's employment contract for overtime payments (but there was a clear implication that he would may be required to work outside his contractual hours); and (iv) the Claimant had pleaded no facts such as would have put his employer on notice of any enhanced risk of personal injury.

Discretion on strike-out application to require or permit amendment of claim

4.16.2

A related discretion of the Court, whether under RDC 4.17 where the relevant pleading

is struck out or otherwise under RDC 4.2(14) or 18.2, is as to whether it should permit the relevant party to re-plead its claim where a proper basis for making or defending the claim has been intimated by the party in its submissions or identified by the Court. To require a Claimant to dismiss the claim or to enter judgment in circumstances where there has been found to be an arguable issue might be thought to be inconsistent with the Overriding Objective. In *Amjad Hafeez v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (30 June 2014) CFI 002/2014, Justice Roger Giles found that a pleading of fraudulent misrepresentation was defective and that this allegation, and an implicit case that a contractual release was invalid, should be re-pleaded pursuant to permission he gave for the filing of amended particulars of claim [15]; [20]; [29]. In giving leave to amend, the Court even brought to the Claimant's attention a defect in its case of which the Defendant had not complained. Notwithstanding that the Judge ordered the striking out of the existing particulars of claim, it reserved the costs of the application to the final costs hearing in the case [28].

In *Firstrand Property Holding (Middle East) Ltd v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (2 April 2015) CFI 030/2014, Justice Roger Giles similarly gave a claimant permission to amend its pleadings in conformity with the Court's reasoning in striking out a significant number of paragraphs of the original claim, including amendments consequential to its striking out a particular paragraph. The claimant's permission to amend in that case was so limited, in contrast to the general permission to amend its case granted to the defendant [75].

Discretion to strike out for procedural irregularity

4.16.3

While the Court has a discretion to strike out for procedural irregularity, the Court may alternatively make an order under Art 44(2) of DIFC Law No. 10 of 2004 ('the Court Law') and/or RDC 4.51(2) remedying the defect and/or declaring the proceedings to be invalid. In *International Electromechanical Services Co LLC v. Al Fattan Engineering LLC & Anor* (14 October 2012) CFI 004/2012, Justice Williams relied on Art 44 of the Court Law to treat an application wrongly brought under RDC 12.7 and 4.6(2) as if it were an application made under RDC 12.1(1) [31].

Practice of court first to make an unless order

4.16.4

In *Roberto's Club & Anor v. Rella* (5 February 2014) CFI 019/2013, DCJ Sir John Chadwick emphasised that it was usual to seek a peremptory 'unless' order before applying to strike out a claim for procedural irregularity: 'The jurisdiction to strike out in circumstances where there has been a failure to comply with a rule, practice direction or court order, is conferred by RDC 4.16. It is, of course, a draconian sanction to strike out the claim or the defence for failure to comply with an order. Such an order has the effect of driving the party from the court; and of exposing him to a default judgment on the other party's case. It is not a power to be exercised lightly; and it is for that reason that it is usual to seek an unless order before seeking an order to strike out under rule 4.16' [19].

The court further observed that an unless order is itself draconian, so that it is necessary for the court, before making one, 'to be satisfied that there has been a contumacious failure to comply with an order which it has made; sufficient to justify the sanction of striking out the pleadings of the party in default' [20].

Time for making strike out application

4.16.5

In *Ahmed Zaki Beydoun v. (1) Daman Real Estate Capital Partners Limited, (2) Asteco Property Management LLC* (10 July 2014) CFI 032/2012 Justice Sir Anthony Colman had to consider an application by the First Defendant, Daman, to strike out the proceedings. The application was made in most unusual circumstances: it was made after the Claimant had already obtained judgment on his claim, after Daman's appeal had been dismissed and after Daman had successfully applied to re-open the appeal hearing. The application to strike out was brought on the basis that (so it was said) the Claimant had never had any title to sue on a sale and purchase agreement. The issue was whether the Claimant had divested himself of title to sue by entering into an assignment of the SPA. Daman submitted that the Claimant deliberately failed to disclose the assignment, had therefore concealed its lack of title to sue, and that therefore the proceedings were an abuse of process. Justice Sir Anthony Colman dismissed the application and held that the claim was not an abuse of process [41]. The Judge commented on the approach the Court will take in relation to late applications to strike out. Citing his decision in *National Westminster Bank plc v. Rabobank Nederland* [2006] EWHC 2959, he noted that it was only in very rare cases that a trial court would entertain applications to strike out a claim after the commencement of a trial; the delay in bringing the application in the present proceedings was even more striking than in *Rabobank* [45].

4.17

When the Court^G strikes out a statement of case^G it may make any consequential order it considers appropriate.

Consequential orders on striking out a statement of case

4.17.1

In practice, the issue which commonly arises on the striking out of a statement of case is as to whether the relevant party should be permitted to file an amended pleading, where the alternative would be (against a claimant) for that party to be required to re-issue its claim or (against a defendant) for judgment to be entered. In exercising its discretion to make consequential orders, including as to whether to permit the re-pleading of a defective statement of case, the Court must give effect to the Overriding Objective: RDC 1.7.

In *Amjad Hafeez v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (30 June 2014) CFI 002/2014, Justice Roger Giles, found that the Claimant's pleading of its case in fraudulent misrepresentation was defective in various respects [13]-[14], and ordered that it should be struck out [14]; [29]. However, as the Claimant's legal representative had 'helpfully clarified his case at the hearing of the application', and the Judge implicitly found the case as clarified to be arguable, the Court then gave permission to the Claimant to file amended particulars of claim within 28 days from the date of judgment [15]; [29].

See also *Firstrand Property Holding (Middle East) Ltd v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (2 April 2015) CFI 030/2014, in which Justice Roger Giles permitted the Claimant to amend its pleadings in conformity with the Court's reasoning in striking out a significant number of paragraphs of the original claim, including amendments consequential to its striking out a particular paragraph. The claimant's permission to amend in that case was so limited, in contrast to the *general* permission to amend its case granted to the defendant [75].

4.18

Where:

- (1) the Court^G has struck out a claimant's^G statement of case^G;
- (2) the claimant^G has been ordered to pay costs to the defendant^G; and
- (3) before the claimant^G pays those costs, he starts another claim against the same defendant^G, arising out of facts which are the same or substantially the same as those relating to the claim in which the statement of case^G was struck out, the Court^G may, on the application of the defendant^G, stay^G that other claim until the costs of the first claim have been paid.

4.19

Rule 4.16 does not limit any other power of the Court^G to strike out^G a statement of case^G.

Inherent jurisdiction of the Court to strike out a statement of case

4.19.1

In *International Electromechanical Services Co LLC v. Al Fattan Engineering LLC & Anor* (14 October 2012) CFI 004/2012, Justice Williams found that a similar reference to provisions in RDC 4.1 as being in addition to '*any other powers it may otherwise have*' as referring to the DIFC Court Law and to the inherent jurisdiction which any superior court of record, such as the DIFC Court, possesses [98].

Judgment without trial after striking out

4.20

Rules 4.21 to 4.24 apply where:

- (1) the Court^G makes an order which includes a term that the statement of case^G of a party shall be struck out if the party does not comply with the order; and
- (2) the party against whom the order was made does not comply with it.

4.21

A party may obtain judgment^G with costs by filing^G a request for judgment^G if:

- (1) the order referred to in Rule 4.20(1) relates to the whole of a statement of case^G; and
- (2) where the party wishing to obtain judgment^G is the claimant^G, the claim is for
 - (a) a specified amount of money;
 - (b) an amount of money to be decided by the Court^G;
 - (c) delivery of goods where the claim form gives the defendant^G the alternative of paying their value; or
 - (d) any combination of these remedies.

4.22

Where judgment^G is obtained in a case to which Rule 4.21(2)(c) applies, it will be

judgment^G requiring the defendant^G to deliver goods, or (if he does not do so) pay the value of the goods as decided by the Court^G (less any payments made).

4.23

The request must state that the right to enter judgment^G has arisen because the Court's^G order has not been complied with.

4.24

A party must make an application in accordance with Part 23 if he wishes to obtain judgment^G in a case to which Rule 4.21 does not apply.

Setting aside judgment entered after striking out

4.25

A party against whom the Court^G has entered judgment^G under Rule 4.21 may apply to the Court^G to set the judgment^G aside.

4.26

An application under Rule 4.25 must be made not more than 14 days after the judgment^G has been served on the party making the application.

4.27

If the right to enter judgment^G had not arisen at the time when judgment^G was entered, the Court^G must set aside the judgment^G.

4.28

If the application to set aside is made for any other reason, Rule 4.49 (relief from sanctions) shall apply.

Sanctions for non-payment of fees

4.29

The Court^G will serve a notice on the claimant^G requiring payment of a Court^G fee if, at the time the fee is due, the claimant^G has not paid it.

4.30

The notice will specify the date by which the claimant^G must pay the fee.

4.31

If the claimant^G does not pay the fee by the date specified in the notice:

- (1) the claim may be struck out without further order of the Court^G; and
- (2) the claimant^G shall be liable for the costs which the defendant^G has incurred unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

Discretion of the Court to strike out for non-payment of notified fee

4.31.1

The 2014 amendments replaced the former provision for an *automatic* strike-out of the claim where the notified fee was not paid by the notified day with a *discretion* in the Court then to strike out the claim without further order.

4.32

If:

- (1) a claimant^G applies to have the claim reinstated; and
- (2) the Court^G grants relief,

the relief shall be conditional on the claimant^G paying the fee within the period specified in Rule 4.33.

4.33

The period referred to in Rule 4.32 is:

- (1) if the order granting relief is made at a hearing at which the claimant^G is present or represented, 2 days from the date of the order;
- (2) in any other case, 7 days from the date of service^G of the order on the claimant^G.

4.34

Rules 4.35 to 4.39 apply where a defendant^G files a counterclaim^G without payment of any fee specified.

4.35

The Court^G will serve a notice on the defendant^G requiring payment of the fee if, at the time the fee is due, the defendant^G has not paid it.

4.36

The notice will specify the date by which the defendant^G must pay the fee.

4.37

If the defendant^G does not pay the fee by the date specified in the notice, the counterclaim^G will automatically be struck out without further order of the Court^G.

4.38

If:

- (1) the defendant^G applies to have the counterclaim^G reinstated; and
- (2) the Court^G grants relief,

the relief will be conditional on the defendant^G paying the fee within the period specified in Rule 4.39.

4.39

The period referred to in Rule 4.38 is:

- (1) if the order granting relief is made at a hearing at which the defendant^G is present or represented, 2 days from the date of the order;
- (2) in any other case, 7 days from the date of service^G of the order on the defendant^G.

Sanctions for dishonoured cheque

4.40

Rules 4.41 to 4.44 apply where any fee is paid by cheque and that cheque is subsequently dishonoured.

4.41

The Court^G will serve a notice on the paying party^G requiring payment of the fee which will specify the date by which the fee must be paid.

4.42

If the fee is not paid by the date specified in the notice:

- (1) where the fee is payable by the claimant^G, the claim will automatically be struck out without further order of the Court^G;
- (2) where the fee is payable by the defendant^G, the defence will automatically be struck out without further order of the Court^G,

and the paying party^G shall be liable for the costs which any other party has incurred unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

4.43

If:

- (1) the paying party^G applies to have the claim or defence reinstated; and
- (2) the Court^G grants relief,

the relief shall be conditional on that party paying the fee within the period specified in Rule 4.44.

4.44

The period referred to in Rule 4.43 is:

- (1) if the order granting relief is made at a hearing at which the paying party^G is present or represented, 2 days from the date of the order;
- (2) in any other case, 7 days from the date of service^G of the order on the paying party^G.

4.45

For the purposes of Rules 4.41 to 4.44, “claimant” includes a party making an additional claim^G.

Sanctions have effect unless defaulting party obtains relief

4.46

Where a party has failed to comply with a Rule, Practice Direction or Court^G order, any sanction for failure to comply imposed by the Rule, Practice Direction or Court^G order has effect unless the party in default applies for and obtains relief from the sanction.

4.47

Where the sanction is the payment of costs, the party in default may only obtain relief by appealing against the order for costs.

4.48

Where a Rule, Practice Direction or Court^G order:

- (1) requires a party to do something within a specified time, and
- (2) specifies the consequence of failure to comply,

the time for doing the act in question may not be extended by agreement between the parties.

Relief from sanctions

4.49

On an application for relief from any sanction imposed for a failure to comply with any Rule, Practice Direction or Court^G order the Court^G will consider all the circumstances including:

- (1) the interests of the administration of justice;
- (2) whether the application for relief has been made promptly;
- (3) whether the failure to comply was intentional;
- (4) whether there is a good explanation for the failure;
- (5) the extent to which the party in default has complied with other Rules^G, Practice Directions and Court^G orders;
- (6) whether the failure to comply was caused by the party or his legal representative^G;
- (7) whether the trial date or the likely trial date can still be met if relief is granted;
- (8) the effect which the failure to comply had on each party; and
- (9) the effect which the granting of relief would have on each party.

Relief from sanction – the interests of the administration of justice

4.49.1

In *Mana & Anor v. Clariden Leu Asset Management (Dubai) Ltd* (29 August 2013) CFI 030/2011, Justice Tan Sri Siti Norma Yaakob explained that consideration of the interests of the administration of justice under RDC 4.49(1) requires consideration of ‘the bigger picture of the timely conduct of the [party in default] in adhering to time frames set by the Rules and by the Court’. In that case, there had been a series of defaults, characterised by the Judge as examples of the defaulting party ‘dragging their feet in total disregard of due process in the administration of justice’. That, however,

was a case where there was no reasonable explanation, at least on the evidence, for the party's dilatory conduct for the purposes of RDC 4.49(3), so that they 'had only themselves to blame and should bear the consequences of their actions' [31].

4.50

An application for relief must be supported by evidence.

General power of the Court to rectify matters where there has been an error of procedure

4.51

Where there has been an error of procedure such as a failure to comply with a Rule or Practice Direction:

- (1) the error does not invalidate any step taken in the proceedings unless the Court^G so orders; and
- (2) the Court^G may make an order to remedy the error.

Power of the Court to rectify procedural errors

4.51.1

The statutory basis of the Court's power to rectify procedural errors is Art 44 of DIFC Law No. 10 of 2004 ('the Court Law'), of which (1) provides that '[n]o proceedings in the DIFC Court are invalidated by a technical defect or irregularity, unless the DIFC Court orders otherwise', and (2) that '[t]he DIFC Court may, on conditions the DIFC Court considers appropriate, make an order declaring that a proceeding is no invalid by reason of a defect or irregularity'.

In *International Electromechanical Services Co LLC v. Al Fattan Engineering LLC & Anor* (14 October 2012) CFI 004/2012, the Defendants sought a declaration from the Court that it should not exercise its jurisdiction under RDC 12.7 and an order dismissing or staying the proceedings under RDC 4.6(2) [7]. Justice Williams found that the application should instead have been made under RDC 12.1(2) [6]-[8] [30], but exercised its jurisdiction to amend defects and errors of its own initiative under Arts 32(f) and 44 of DIFC Law No. 10 of 2004 ('the Court Law') [31] in order to treat the Defendant's application as having been made under RDC 12.1(2). It is suggested that the Court's jurisdiction arises further under RDC 4.5, which gives effect to the said articles of the Court Law.

PART 5

Forms

5.1

The Chief Justice^G may by Practice Direction prescribe the forms to be used in proceedings before the Court^G.

5.2

A form may be varied by the Court^G or a party if the variation is required by the circumstances of a particular case.

5.3

A form must not be varied so as to leave out any information or guidance which the form gives to the recipient.

5.4

Where these Rules^G require a form to be sent by the Court^G or by a party for another party to use, it must be sent without any variation except such as is required by the circumstances of the particular case.

PART 6

Court documents

Preparation of documents

6.1

Where under these Rules^G, a document is to be prepared by the Court^G, the document may be prepared by the party whose document it is, unless a Court Officer^G otherwise directs.

6.2

Nothing in these Rules^G shall require a Court Officer^G to accept a document which is illegible, has not been duly authorised, or is unsatisfactory for some other similar reason.

Signature of documents by mechanical means

6.3

Where these Rules^G require a document to be signed, that requirement shall be satisfied if the signature is printed by computer or other mechanical means.

6.4

Where a replica signature is printed electronically or by other mechanical means on any document, the name of the person whose signature is printed must also be printed so that the person may be identified.

Form of documents

6.5

Statements of case and other documents drafted by a legal representative^G should bear his signature and if they are drafted by a legal representative^G as a member or employee of a firm they should be signed in the name of the firm.

6.6

Every document prepared by a party for filing^G or use at the Court^G must—

- (1) unless the nature of the document renders it impracticable, be on A4 paper,
- (2) be fully legible and should normally be typed,

- (3) where possible be bound securely in a manner which would not hamper filing^G or otherwise each page should be endorsed with the case number,
- (4) have the pages numbered consecutively,
- (5) be divided into numbered paragraphs,
- (6) have all numbers, including dates, expressed as figures, and
- (7) give the reference of every document mentioned that has already been filed.

Register of claims

6.7

The Court^G shall keep an online publicly accessible register of claims which have been issued.

Supply of documents to a party from Court records

6.8

A party to proceedings may, unless the Court^G orders otherwise, obtain from the records of the Court^G a copy of any of the following documents:

- (1) a claim form or other statement of case^G together with any documents filed with or attached to or intended by the claimant^G to be served with such claim form;
- (2) an acknowledgment of service^G together with any documents filed with or attached to or intended by the party acknowledging service^G to be served with such acknowledgement of service^G;
- (3) a certificate of service^G, other than a certificate of service^G of an application notice^G or order in relation to a type of application mentioned in sub-paragraph (5) below;
- (4) a notice of non-service;
- (5) an application notice^G, other than in relation to:
 - (a) an application by a legal representative^G for an order declaring that he has ceased to be the legal representative^G acting for a party; or
 - (b) an application for an order that the identity of a party or witness should not be disclosed;
- (6) any written evidence filed in relation to an application, other than a type of application mentioned in sub-paragraph (5) above;
- (7) a judgment^G or order given or made in public (whether made at a hearing or without a hearing);
- (8) a statement of costs;
- (9) a list of documents;

- (10) a notice of payment into Court^G;
- (11) a notice of discontinuance;
- (12) a notice of change of legal representative^G; or
- (13) an appellant's^G or respondent's notice of appeal.

6.9

A party to proceedings may, if the Court^G gives permission, obtain from the records of the Court^G a copy of any other document filed by a party or communication between the Court^G and a party or another person.

Supply of documents to a non-party from Court records

6.10

Save where Rule 6.11 applies, the general rule is that a person who is not a party to proceedings may obtain from the Court^G records a copy of:

- (1) a statement of case^G, but not any documents filed with or attached to the statement of case^G, or intended by the party whose statement it is to be served with it;
- (2) a judgment^G or order given or made in public (whether made at a hearing or without a hearing).

Public disclosure of documents disclosed in proceedings

6.10.1

It is implicit in the general rule in RDC 6.10 that there *may* be public disclosure of documents filed by a party where the circumstances justify a departure from that general rule. In considering such public disclosure of disclosed materials under the applicable rule of the Rules of the Financial Markets Tribunal ('FMT') in *Arqaam Capital Ltd v. DFSA* (4 September 2012) CFI 006/2012, Justice Chadwick found that the Hearing Panel of the FMT had been required 'to ask itself whether Arqaam would, or might, suffer harm to its legitimate business interests by the disclosure of confidential information as to its financial position and business activities in advance of a public hearing; and, if so, whether (and to what extent) disclosure of that information in advance of a public hearing was necessary' [37]. It is suggested in the case of an application by a non-party for disclosure that the applicant would have the burden of showing that there would be no such harm and that such disclosure was necessary.

Where such an application is made for public disclosure of documents disclosed in proceedings is made, one way of mitigating damage to private interests may be for the applicant to seek, and/or the Court to order, public disclosure only after judgment is given and at a date of which the parties would be given prior notice, as directed by the Hearing Panel of the FMT in *Arqaam* [33]-[34].

Public disclosure of statements of case

6.10.2

It is further implicit in the general rule in RDC 6.10 that the Court *may* order against the provision of statements of case to non-parties where the circumstances, on a

weighing of the relevant public and private interests, would justify the imposition of such privacy. In considering the refusal of the Hearing Panel of the FMT to make such an order in *Arqaam Capital Ltd v. DFSA* (24 September 2012) CFI/2012, albeit under the FMT Rules, Justice Chadwick upheld the view of the Hearing Panel that the statement of case identified the relevant and agreed facts, and the issues arising from them, in unambiguous terms that did not lend themselves to misleading reporting or misinterpretation, so that there was no basis for the confidential treatment of such statements [43].

The Court rejected Arqaam's submission in that case that the regulator's challenge to a particular accounting treatment, intentionally adopted by Arqaam in the honest belief that it was not misleading, carried a significant risk of being misreported as an allegation of fraud [47]. Justice Chadwick considered such misinterpretation of statements of case to be unlikely where they are to be explained by the parties' advocates in being heard in public [48].

6.11

Unless the Court orders otherwise, a non-party may not obtain information or documents from the Court records relating to insolvency proceedings before a winding-up petition has been advertised.

6.12

A non-party may, if the Court^G gives permission, obtain from the records of the Court^G a copy of any other document filed by a party, or communication between the Court^G and a party or another person.

6.13

A non-party may obtain a copy of a statement of case^G or judgment^G or order under Rule 6.11 only if:

- (1) where there is one defendant^G, the defendant^G has filed an acknowledgment of service^G or a defence;
- (2) where there is more than one defendant^G:
 - (a) all the defendants^G have filed an acknowledgment of service^G or a defence; or
 - (b) at least one defendant^G has filed an acknowledgment of service^G or a defence, and the Court^G gives permission;
- (3) the claim has been listed for a hearing; or
- (4) judgment^G has been entered in the claim.

6.14

The Court^G may, on the application of a party or of any person identified in a statement of case^G:

- (1) order that a non-party may not obtain a copy of that statement of case^G under Rule 6.11;

- (2) restrict the persons or classes of persons who may obtain a copy of that statement of case^G;
- (3) order that persons or classes of persons may only obtain a copy of that statement of case^G if it is edited in accordance with the directions of the Court^G; or
- (4) make such other order as it thinks fit.

6.15

A person wishing to apply for an order under Rule 6.14 must file an application notice^G in accordance with Part 23.

6.16

Where the Court^G makes an order under Rule 6.14, a non-party who wishes to obtain a copy of the statement of case^G, or to obtain an unedited copy of the statement of case^G, may apply on notice to the party or person identified in the statement of case^G who requested the order, for permission.

Supply of documents from Court records – General

6.17

A person wishing to obtain a copy of a document under Rules 6.9 to 6.12 must pay any prescribed fee and if the Court's^G permission is required, file an application notice^G in accordance with Part 23.

6.18

An application for an order under Rule 6.14 or for permission to obtain a copy of a document under Rules 6.9 to 6.12 may be made without notice, but the Court^G may direct notice to be given to any person who would be affected by its decision.

6.19

Rules 6.7 to 6.16 do not apply in relation to any proceedings in respect of which a rule or practice direction makes different provision.

Filing documents

6.20

All documents to be filed in the DIFC Courts^G should be submitted to the Court^G in electronic form only preferably through the E-Filing^G facility available on the DIFC Courts^G website, with the following exception:

- (1) Bundles prepared for hearings.

6.21

Electronic documents may be filed with the Courts^G by:

- (1) The E-Filing^G facility – this should be used unless it is impossible in the circumstances; or
- (2) Emailing the documents to the Registry^G email account at registry@difccourts.ae; or

- (3) Delivering any form of data storage media containing the electronic files to the Registry^G.

6.22

Where documents are filed by email:

- (1) Each email must be no larger than 10MB in size;
- (2) The body of the email must contain a list of all the documents attached to the email;
- (3) The Registry^G will acknowledge receipt of the email.

6.23

Where documents are filed by delivering any form of data storage media to the Court^G:

- (1) The data storage media must be accompanied by a covering letter listing all of the documents contained in the disk;
- (2) The Registry^G will acknowledge the covering letter as received once the contents of the data storage media have been checked to confirm the presence of all of the documents listed in the covering letter.

6.24

Where a document is filed in hard copy, the date on which the document was filed at Court^G must be recorded on the document. This may be done by a seal^G or a receipt stamp.

6.25

A surcharge may be imposed by the Court^G for documents not submitted using the E-Filing^G facility as per the fee schedule as amended from time to time.

6.26

Particulars of the date of delivery at Court^G of any document for filing^G and the title of the proceedings in which the document is filed shall be entered in Court^G records, on the Court^G file or on a computer record kept for the purpose. Except where a document has been delivered at the Registry^G through the mail, the time of delivery should also be recorded.

6.27

Applications may be made by filing an application notice by email. Save in cases of extreme urgency, where the application attracts a fee, the application will not be considered filed until the fee is paid.

6.28

Where the Court^G orders any document to be lodged in Court^G, the document must, unless otherwise directed, be deposited in the Registry^G.

Sending documents

6.29

A document filed, lodged or held in the Registry^G shall not be taken out of the office without the permission of the Court^G except in accordance with Rules 6.30 to 6.35 below.

6.30

Where a document filed, lodged or held in the Registry^G is required to be produced to any court, tribunal^G or arbitrator, the document may be produced by sending it by courier (together with a Certificate as set out below) to the court, tribunal^G or arbitrator.

6.31

On receipt of the request the Court Officer^G will submit the same to the Registrar^G who may direct that the request be complied with. Before giving a direction the Registrar^G may require to be satisfied that the request is made in good faith and that the document is required to be produced for the reasons stated. The Registrar^G may also direct that, before the document is sent, an official copy^G of it is made and filed in the Registry^G at the expense of the party requiring the document to be produced.

6.32

On the direction of the Registrar^G the Court Officer^G shall send the document by courier addressed to the court, tribunal^G or arbitrator, with:

- (1) an envelope stamped and addressed for use in returning the document to the Court^G;
- (2) a Certificate as set out below;
- (3) a covering letter describing the document, stating at whose request and for what purpose it is sent and containing a request that the document be returned to the Court^G in the enclosed envelope as soon as the court, tribunal^G or arbitrator no longer requires it.

6.33

It shall be the duty of the court, tribunal^G or arbitrator to whom the document was sent to keep it in safe custody, and to return it by courier to the Court^G as soon as the court, tribunal^G or arbitrator no longer requires it.

6.34

A record shall be kept of each document sent and the date on which it was sent and the court, tribunal^G or arbitrator to whom it was sent and the date of its return. It shall be the duty of the Court Officer^G who has signed the certificate as set out below to ensure that the document is returned within a reasonable time and to make inquiries and report to the Registrar^G if the document is not returned, so that steps may be taken to secure its return.

6.35

Notwithstanding the above, the Registrar^G may direct a Court Officer^G to attend the court, tribunal^G or arbitrator for the purpose of producing the document.

Form of Request

I, of , an officer of the court/tribunal at /an arbitrator of /the Claimant / Defendant /Legal Representative of the Claimant/ Defendant [describing the Applicant so as to show that he is a proper person to make the request] in the case of v.

REQUEST that the following document [or documents] be produced to the court/tribunal/arbitrator on the day of 20xx [and following days] and I request that the said document [or documents] be sent by courier to the proper officer of the court/tribunal/arbitrator for production to that court/tribunal/arbitrator on that day.

(Signed)

Dated the day of 20xx

Form of Certificate

I, A.B., an officer of the DIFC Court certify that the document sent herewith for production to the court/tribunal/arbitrator on the day of 20xx in the case of v. and marked 'A.B.' is the document requested on the day of 20xx and I FURTHER CERTIFY that the said document has been filed in and is produced from the custody of the Court.

(Signed)

Dated the day of 20xx

PART 7

How to start proceedings — the claim form

How to start proceedings

7.1

Except as provided by a Practice Direction proceedings must be started by a claim form under Part 7 or Part 8 using Form P7/01 or Form P8/01 respectively.

7.2

If a claimant^G wishes his claim to proceed under Part 8, or if the claim is required to proceed under Part 8, the claim form should so state. Otherwise the claim will proceed under Part 7.

7.3

Proceedings are started when the Court^G issues a claim form at the request of the claimant^G.

7.4

A claim form is issued on the date entered on the form by the Court .

Date of start of proceedings

7.5

Where the claim form as issued was received in the Registry^G on a date earlier than the date on which it was issued by the Court^G, the claim is 'brought' for the purposes of limitation on that earlier date.

7.6

The date on which the claim form was received by the Registry^G will be recorded by a date stamp either on the claim form held on the Court^G file or on the letter that accompanied the claim form when it was received by the Court^G.

7.7

An enquiry as to the date on which the claim form was received by the Registry^G should be directed to a Court Officer^G.

7.8

Parties proposing to start a claim which is approaching the expiry of the limitation period^G should recognise the potential importance of establishing the date the claim form was received by the Registry^G and should themselves make arrangements to record the date.

Claims by and against partnerships within the DIFC or Dubai

7.9

Rules 7.9 to 7.11 apply to claims that are brought by or against two or more persons who:

- (1) were partners; and
- (2) carried on that partnership business within the DIFC^G or Dubai,

at the time when the cause of action accrued.

7.10

For the purposes of Rules 7.9 and 7.11, "partners" includes persons claiming to be entitled as partners and persons alleged to be partners.

7.11

Where that partnership has a name, unless it is inappropriate to do so, claims must be brought in or against the name under which that partnership carried on business at the time the cause of action accrued.

Partnership membership statements

7.12

In Rules 7.13 and 7.14 a "partnership membership statement" is a written statement of the names and last known places of residence of all the persons who were partners in the partnership at the time when the cause of action accrued, being the date specified for this purpose in accordance with Rule 7.14.

7.13

If the partners are requested to provide a copy of a partnership membership

statement by any party to a claim, the partners shall do so within 14 days of receipt of the request.

7.14

In that request the party seeking a copy of a partnership membership statement must specify the date when the relevant cause of action accrued.

Persons carrying on business in another name

7.15

Rule 7.16 applies where:

- (1) a claim is brought against an individual;
- (2) that individual carries on a business within the DIFC^G or Dubai (but need not himself be within the DIFC^G or Dubai); and
- (3) that business is carried on in a name other than his own name ("the business name").

7.16

Where this Rule applies, the claim may be brought against the business name as if it were the name of a partnership.

Right to use one claim form to start two or more claims

7.17

A claimant^G may use a single claim form to start all claims which can be conveniently disposed of in the same proceedings.

Title of proceedings

7.18

The claim form and every other statement of case^G must be headed with the title of the proceedings. The title should state:

- (1) the number of proceedings,
- (2) the circuit or division of the Court^G (if any) or Tribunal^G in which they are proceeding,
- (3) the full name of each party,
- (4) his status in the proceedings (i.e. claimant^G /defendant^G).

7.19

Where there is more than one claimant^G and/or more than one defendant^G, the parties should be described in the title as follows:

- (1) AB
- (2) CD

(3) EF Claimants
and

(1) GH

(2) IJ

(3) KL Defendants

Service of claim form

7.20

After a claim form has been issued, it must be served on the defendant^G by the claimant^G:

- (1) within 4 months after the date of issue where the claim form is to be served within the DIFC^G or Dubai; and
- (2) within 6 months after the date of issue where the claim form is to be served out of the DIFC^G or Dubai.

Service of a claim form

7.20.1

In the case of an Arbitration Claim Form, service must be effected within only 30 days of the date of issue, and RDC 7.20 is modified accordingly: see RDC 43.9.

Extension of time for serving a claim form

7.21

The claimant^G may apply for an order extending the period within which the claim form may be served.

7.22

The general rule is that an application to extend the time for service^G must be made:

- (1) within the period for serving the claim form specified by Rule 7.20; or
- (2) where an order has been made extending the period within which the claim form may be served, within the period for service^G specified by that order.

7.23

If the claimant^G applies for an order to extend the time for service^G of the claim form after the end of the periods specified by Rule 7.22 the Court^G may make such an order only if:

- (1) the Court^G has been unable to serve the claim form; or
- (2) the claimant^G has taken all reasonable steps to serve the claim form but has been unable to do so; and

- (3) in either case, the claimant^G has acted promptly in making the application.

7.24

An application for an order extending the time for service^G must be made in accordance with **Part 23** and supported by evidence stating:

- (1) all the circumstances relied on,
- (2) the date of issue of the claim,
- (3) the expiry date of any Rule 7.21 extension, and
- (4) a full explanation as to why the claim has not been served.

7.25

The application may be made without notice.

Application by defendant for service of claim form

7.26

Where a claim form has been issued against a defendant^G, but has not yet been served on him, the defendant^G may serve a notice on the claimant^G requiring him to serve the claim form or discontinue the claim within a period specified in the notice.

7.27

The period specified in a notice served under Rule 7.26 must be at least 14 days after service^G of the notice.

7.28

If the claimant^G fails to comply with the notice, the Court^G may, on the application of the defendant^G:

- (1) dismiss the claim; or
- (2) make any other order it thinks just.

Statement of truth

7.29

The claim form and, where they are not included in the claim form, the particulars of claim, must be verified by a statement of truth in accordance with **Part 22**.

7.30

The form of the statement of truth is as follows:

‘[I believe][the claimant believes] that the facts stated in [this claim form] [these particulars of claim] are true.’

Particulars of claim

7.31

If particulars of claim are not contained in or served with the claim form:

- (1) the claim form must state that, if an acknowledgment of service^G is filed which indicates an intention to defend the claim, particulars of claim will follow;
- (2) the claimant^G must serve particulars of claim within 28 days of the filing^G of an acknowledgment of service^G which indicates an intention to defend.

7.32

If the claimant^G is claiming interest, he must:

- (1) include a statement to that effect; and
- (2) give the details set out in Rule 17.18,

in both the claim form and the particulars of claim.

7.33

Where the claimant^G serves particulars of claim separately from the claim form in accordance with Rule 7.31(2) he must within 7 days of service^G on the defendant^G, file a copy of the particulars together with a certificate of service^G.

7.34

All claim forms and counterclaims must state:

- (a) the law which the claimant maintains governs the dispute. The defendant must expressly agree or disagree with that assertion (in the acknowledgment of service form); and
- (b) the law which the claimant maintains gives rise to the jurisdiction of the DIFC Courts in respect of their claim. The defendant must expressly agree or disagree with that assertion (in the acknowledgment of service form).

7.35

When the claim form is served on a defendant^G, it must be accompanied by a cover letter indicating that: (a) a form for defending the claim; (b) a form for admitting the claim or; (c) a form for acknowledging service must be filed by the defendant using the Court's e-filing system.

7.36

Where the claimant^G is using the procedure set out in Part 8 (alternative procedure for claims), the claimant must serve with the claim form a cover letter indicating that a form for defending the claim, a form for admitting the claim or a form for acknowledging service must subsequently be filed by the defendant using the Court's e-filing system.

Fixed date and other claims

7.37

A Practice Direction:

- (1) may set out the circumstances in which the Court^G may give a fixed date for a hearing when it issues a claim;
- (2) may list claims in respect of which there is a specific claim form for use and set out the claim form in question; and
- (3) may disapply or modify these Rules^G as appropriate in relation to the claims referred to in sub-paragraphs (1) and (2) above.

PART 8

Alternative procedure for claims

Types of claim in which Part 8 procedure may be followed

8.1

A claimant^G may use Part 8 procedure where:

- (1) he seeks the Court's^G decision on a question which is unlikely to involve a substantial dispute of fact; or
- (2) a Rule or Practice Direction in relation to a specified type of proceedings requires or permits the use of the Part 8 procedure.

8.2

Rule 8.1 does not apply if a Rule or Practice Direction provides that the Part 8 procedure may not be used in relation to the type of claim in question.

8.3

Where it appears to a Court Officer^G that a claimant^G is using the Part 8 procedure inappropriately, he may refer the claim to a Judge^G for the Judge^G to consider the point.

8.4

The Court^G may at any stage order the claim to continue as if the claimant^G had not used the Part 8 procedure and, if it does so, the Court^G may give any directions it considers appropriate.

Application of Part 8 and other Rules and Practice Directions

8.5

Part 8 contains Rules^G applicable to all claims, including those to which Part 8 applies. Those rules and directions should be applied where appropriate.

8.6

Where Part 8 procedure is followed:

- (1) provision is made in this Part for the matters which must be stated in the claim form and the defendant^G is not required to file a defence and

therefore:

- (a) Part 16 (defence and reply) does not apply;
- (b) Part 17 (statements of case) does not apply;
- (c) any time limit in these Rules^G which prevents the parties from taking a step before a defence is filed does not apply;
- (d) the requirement under Rule 7.35 to serve on the defendant^G a form for defending the claim does not apply;

(2) the claimant^G may not obtain default judgment^G under Part 13.

(3) the claimant^G may not obtain judgment^G by request on an admission and therefore:

- (a) Rules 15.14 to 15.31 do not apply; and
- (b) the requirement under Rule 7.35 to serve on the defendant^G a form for admitting the claim does not apply.

8.7

A Rule or Practice Direction may, in relation to a specified type of proceedings:

- (1) require or permit the use of the Part 8 procedure; and
- (2) disapply or modify any of the rules set out in this Part as they apply to those proceedings.

Contents of the claim form

8.8

Where the claimant^G uses Part 8 procedure the claim form (Form P8/01) should be used and must state:

- (1) that this Part applies;
- (2) the question which the claimant^G wants the Court^G to decide; or the remedy which the claimant^G is seeking and the legal basis for the claim to that remedy;
- (3) if the claim is being made under an enactment, what that enactment is;
- (4) if the claimant^G is claiming in a representative capacity, what that capacity is; and
- (5) if the defendant^G is sued in a representative capacity, what that capacity is.

Issue of claim form without naming defendants

8.9

A Practice Direction may set out circumstances in which a claim form may be issued under this Part without naming a defendant^G.

8.10

The Practice Direction may set out those cases in which an application for

permission must be made by application notice^G before the claim form is issued.

8.11

The application notice^G for permission:

- (1) need not be served on any other person; and
- (2) must be accompanied by a copy of the claim form that the applicant proposes to issue.

8.12

Where the Court^G gives permission it will give directions about the future management of the claim.

Acknowledgment of service

8.13

The defendant^G must:

- (1) file an acknowledgment of service^G in Form P8/02 not more than 14 days after service^G of the claim form; and
- (2) serve the acknowledgment of service^G on the claimant^G and any other party.

8.14

The acknowledgment of service^G must state:

- (1) whether the defendant^G contests the claim; and
- (2) if the defendant^G seeks a different remedy from that set out in the claim form, what that remedy is.

8.15

The following rules of Part 11 (acknowledgment of service^G) apply:

- (1) Rule 11.6 (exceptions to the period for filing^G an acknowledgment of service^G); and
- (2) Rule 11.8 (contents of acknowledgment of service^G).

Consequence of not filing an acknowledgment of service

8.16

Where:

- (1) the defendant^G has failed to file an acknowledgment of service^G; and
- (2) the time period for doing so has expired;

the defendant^G may attend the hearing of the claim but may not take part in the hearing unless the Court^G gives permission.

Procedure where defendant objects to use of the Part 8 procedure

8.17

Where the defendant^G contends that the Part 8 procedure should not be used because:

- (1) there is a substantial dispute of fact; and
- (2) the use of the Part 8 procedure is not required or permitted by a Rule or Practice Direction;

he must state his reasons when he files his acknowledgment of service^G. If the statement of reasons includes matters of evidence, it should be verified by a statement of truth.

8.18

When the Court^G receives the acknowledgment of service^G and any written evidence it will give directions as to the future management of the case.

Managing the claim

8.19

The Court^G may give directions immediately after a Part 8 claim form is issued, either on the application of a party or on its own initiative.

8.20

Where the Court^G does not fix a hearing date when the claim form is issued, it will give directions for the disposal of the claim as soon as practicable after the defendant^G has acknowledged service^G of the claim form or, as the case may be, after the period for acknowledging service^G has expired.

8.21

Certain applications may not require a hearing.

8.22

Court^G may convene a directions hearing before giving directions.

Filing and serving written evidence

8.23

The claimant^G must file any written evidence on which he intends to rely when he files his claim form.

8.24

The claimant's^G evidence must be served on the defendant^G with the claim form (unless the evidence is contained in the claim form itself).

8.25

Evidence will normally be in the form of a witness statement or an affidavit^G but a claimant^G may rely on the matters set out in his claim form provided that it has been verified by a statement of truth.

8.26

A defendant^G who wishes to rely on written evidence must file it when he files his acknowledgment of service^G.

8.27

If he does so, he must also, at the same time, serve a copy of his evidence on the other parties.

8.28

The claimant^G may, within 14 days of service^G of the defendant's^G evidence on him, file further written evidence in reply.

8.29

If he does so, he must also, within the same time limit, serve a copy of his evidence on the other parties.

8.30

A party may apply to the court for an extension of time to serve and file evidence or for permission to serve and file additional evidence.

8.31

The parties may, subject to the following provisions, agree in writing on an extension of time for serving and filing^G evidence.

8.32

An agreement extending time for a defendant^G to file evidence:

- (1) must be filed by the defendant^G at the same time as he files his acknowledgement of service^G; and
- (2) must not extend time by more than 14 days after the defendant^G files his acknowledgement of service^G.

8.33

An agreement extending time for a claimant^G to file evidence in reply must not extend time to more than 28 days after service^G of the defendant's^G evidence on the claimant^G.

Evidence — General**8.34**

No written evidence may be relied on at the hearing of the claim unless:

- (1) it has been served in accordance with Rules 8.23 to 8.31; or
- (2) the Court^G gives permission.

8.35

The Court^G may require or permit a party to give oral evidence at the hearing.

8.36

The Court^G may give directions requiring the attendance for cross-examination^G of a witness who has given written evidence.

Additional claims

8.37

Where Part 8 procedure is used, Part 21 (counterclaims^G and other additional claims^G) applies except that a party may not make an additional claim^G (as defined by Rule 21.2) without the Court's^G permission.

Pre-action applications

8.38

All applications made before a claim is commenced should be made under this Part, unless the Court orders otherwise.

Pre-action applications under Part 8

8.38.1

For an example of an urgent pre-action application brought under Part 8 pursuant to RDC 8.38, see *Vannin Capital PCC plc v. Al Khorafi & Ors* (18 February 2015) CFI 036/2014. In that case, the Claimant litigation funder was seeking an order under RDC 25.12 for the payment into Court of part of the Defendant's recovery in the funded litigation. The issue of the Claimant's entitlement to the part of the recovered funds was to be determined in a related arbitral claim in the DIFC-LCIA Arbitration Centre, and the DIFC Court had jurisdiction as the supervisory court of those arbitral proceedings.

8.39

The written evidence in support of such an application must state that the claimant intends to bring proceedings.

PART 9

Service

I GENERAL RULES ABOUT SERVICE

Part 9 Rules about service apply generally

9.1

The Rules in this Section of this Part apply to the service^G of documents in the DIFC^G and Dubai, except where:

- (1) any other enactment, a Rule in another Part, or a Practice Direction makes a different provision; or
- (2) the Court^G orders otherwise.

Methods of service — General

9.2

A document may be served by any of the following methods:

- (1) personal service^G, in accordance with Rules 9.8 to 9.13;
- (2) courier (or an alternative service^G which provides for delivery on the same or next working day);
- (3) leaving the document at a place specified in Rules 9.15 to 9.21;
- (4) by means of electronic communication.

9.3

Where a document is to be served by electronic means:

- (1) the party who is to be served or his legal representative^G must previously have expressly indicated in writing to the party serving:
 - (a) that he is willing to accept service^G by electronic means; and
 - (b) the fax number, e-mail address or electronic identification to which it should be sent;
- 2) the following shall be taken as sufficient written indication for the purposes of sub-paragraph (1) above:
 - (a) a fax number set out on the writing paper of the legal representative^G of the party who is to be served; or
 - (b) a fax number, e-mail address or electronic identification set out on a statement of case^G or a response to a claim filed with the Court^G;
- (3) the party seeking to serve the document should first seek to clarify with the party who is to be served whether there are any limitations to the recipient's agreement to accept service^G by such means including the format in which documents are to be sent and the maximum size of attachments that may be received;
- (4) the address for service^G given by a party must be within the DIFC^G or Dubai and any fax number must be at the address for service^G. Where an e-mail address or electronic identification is given in conjunction with an address for service^G, the e-mail address or electronic identification will be considered to be at the address for service^G;
- (5) the party serving the document need not in addition send a hard copy by mail.

9.4

A company may be served by any method permitted under this Part as an alternative to:

- (1) service^G by leaving a document at or mailing it to the registered office of a company (Article 20(3) of the Companies Law (Amended and Restated), Law 3 of 2006);
- (2) service^G upon a Recognised Company by a person appointed to accept service^G on the behalf of that Company pursuant to Article 116(1) of the Companies Law (Amended and Restated), Law 3 of 2006.

Who is to serve

9.5

The party on whose behalf a document is to be served shall serve a document which it has issued or prepared except where:

- (1) a Rule or Practice Direction provides that the Court must serve the document in question;
- (2) the Court^G orders otherwise; or
- (3) the Court^G has failed to serve and has sent a notice of non-service to the party on whose behalf the document is to be served in accordance with Rule 9.37.

9.6

Where the Court^G is to serve a document, it is for the Court^G to decide which of the methods of service^G specified in Rule 9.2 is to be used.

9.7

Where a party prepares a document which is to be served by the Court^G, that party must file a copy for the Court^G, and for each party to be served.

Personal service

9.8

A document to be served may be served personally, except as provided in Rules 9.9 and 9.10.

9.9

Where a legal representative^G:

- (1) is authorised to accept service^G on behalf of a party; and
- (2) has notified the party serving the document in writing that he is so authorised;

a document must be served on the legal representative^G, unless personal service^G is required by an enactment, Rule, Practice Direction or Court^G order.

9.10

In civil proceedings brought before the Court^G to which the Centre^G, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G is a party, documents required to be served before the Court^G may not be served personally.

9.11

A document is served personally on an individual by leaving it with that individual.

9.12

A document is served personally on a company or other corporation by leaving it with a person holding a senior position within the company or corporation. Each

of the following persons is a person holding a senior position:

- (1) in respect of a registered company or corporation, a director, the treasurer, secretary, chief executive, manager or other officer of the company or corporation, and
- (2) in respect of a corporation which is not a registered company, in addition to those persons set out in sub-paragraph (1), the mayor, chairman, president, town clerk or similar officer of the corporation.

Personal service on a company

9.12.1

In terms of the categories of officer identified as being ‘a person holding a senior position’ in a company for the purposes of personal service on the company, it is unclear whether ‘manager’ is ‘*the* manager’ or ‘*a* manager’. If the latter, then there may be a very broad class of individuals on whom personal service can be effected. In *ABC v. (1) XYZ (2) DEF* (21 October 2015) SCT XXXX, that issue did not arise because the claim form was served on the general manager [14].

9.13

A document is served personally on a partnership where partners are being sued in the name of their firm by leaving it with:

- (1) a partner; or
- (2) a person who, at the time of service^G, has the control or management of the partnership business at its principal place of business.

Address for service

9.14

Except as provided by Section III of this Part, a document must be served within the DIFC^G or Dubai.

9.15

A party must give an address for service^G within the DIFC^G or Dubai. A physical address in Dubai or the UAE will not be required if an email address is given.

Address for service

9.15.1

The 2014 amendment to this rule means that a party no longer needs to give a physical address within Dubai or the UAE. An email address will be sufficient where this has been provided. It looks as though the dispensation applies for the benefit both of the party effecting service and of the person served. It is anticipated that the provision is likely to result in fewer technical points being taken concerning service of documents during the course of proceedings.

9.16

Where a party:

- (1) does not give the business address of his legal representative^G as his address for service^G; and

- (2) resides or carries on business within the DIFC^G or Dubai;

he must give his residence or place of business as his address for service^G.

9.17

Any document to be served:

- (1) by courier (or an alternative service^G which provides for delivery on the same or next working day);
- (2) by leaving it at the place of service^G; or
- (3) by means of electronic communication;

must be sent or transmitted to, or left at, the address for service^G given by the party to be served.

9.18

Where:

- (1) a legal representative^G is acting for the party to be served; and
- (2) the document to be served is not the claim form;

the party's address for service^G is the business address of his legal representative^G.

9.19

Where:

- (1) no legal representative^G is acting for the party to be served; and
- (2) the party has not given an address for service^G,

the document must be sent or transmitted to, or left at, the place shown in the following table.

Nature of party to be served	Place of service
Individual	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Usual or last known residence.
Proprietor of a business	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Usual or last known residence; or• Place of business or last known place of business.
Individual who is suing or being sued in the name of a firm	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Usual or last known residence; or• Principal or last known place of business of the firm.

Corporation incorporated in the DIFC ^G other than a company	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Principal office of the corporation; or Any place within the DIFC^G or Dubai where the corporation carries on its activities and which has a real connection with the claim.
Company registered in the DIFC ^G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Principal office of the company; or Any place of business of the company within the DIFC^G or Dubai which has a real connection with the claim.
Any other company or corporation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any place within the DIFC^G or Dubai where the corporation carries on its activities; or Any place of business of the company within the DIFC^G or Dubai.

9.20

Rules 9.14 to 9.19 do not apply where an order made by the Court^G under Rule 9.31 (service^G by an alternative method) specifies where the document in question may be served.

9.21

A party or his legal representative^G who changes his address for service^G shall give notice in writing of the change as soon as it has taken place to the Court^G and every other party.

Service of documents brought before the Court to which the Centre or any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government is a party

9.22

In proceedings brought before the Court to which the Centre, or any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government is a party, service must be effected in accordance with Part 41.

Service of documents on children and patients

9.23

The following table shows the person on whom a document must be served if it is a document which would otherwise be served on a child or a patient—

Type of document	Nature of party	Person to be served
Claim form	Child who is not also a patient	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One of the child's parents or guardians; or If there is no parent or guardian, the person with whom the child resides or in whose care the child is.

Claim form	Patient	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The person authorised to conduct the proceedings in the name of the patient or on his behalf; or • If there is no person so authorised, the person with whom the patient resides or in whose care the patient is.
------------	---------	--

9.24

The Court^G may make an order permitting a document to be served on the child or patient, or on some person other than the person specified in the table in Rule 9.23.

9.25

An application for an order under Rule 9.24 may be made without notice.

9.26

The Court^G may order that, although a document has been served on someone other than the person specified in the table in Rule 9.23, the document is to be treated as if it had been properly served.

Considered date of service

9.27

A document which is served in accordance with these Rules^G or any relevant Practice Direction shall be considered to be served on the day shown in the following table

Method of service	Considered day of service
Courier (or an alternative service ^G which provides for delivery on the same or next working day)	The second business day after the date it was sent.
Delivering the document to or leaving it at a permitted address	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If it is delivered, left or transmitted on a business day^G before 4 p.m., on that day; or • In any other case, on the business day^G after the day on which it is delivered, left or transmitted.
Fax	
Other electronic method	

9.28

If a document is served personally:

(1) after 4 p.m., on a business day^G; or

(2) at any time on a day which is not a business day^G;

it will be treated as being served on the next business day^G.

Translation of claim form

9.29

Where the claim form is to be served in the United Arab Emirates outside the DIFC^G, it must be accompanied by a certified Arabic translation of the claim form.

9.30

Every translation to be served under **Rule 9.29** must be accompanied by a statement by the person making it that it is a correct translation, and the statement must include:

- (1) the name of the person making the translation;
- (2) his address; and
- (3) his qualifications for making a translation.

Service by an alternative method

9.31

Where it appears to the Court^G that there is a good reason to authorise service^G by a method not permitted by these Rules^G, the Court^G may make an order permitting service^G by an alternative method.

9.32

An application for an order permitting service^G by an alternative method—

- (1) must be supported by evidence stating
 - (a) the reason an order for an alternative method of service^G is sought; and
 - (b) what steps have been taken to serve by other permitted means; and
- (2) may be made without notice.

9.33

An order permitting service^G by an alternative method must specify:

- (1) the method of service^G; and
- (2) the date when the document will be considered to be served.

Power of Court to dispense with service

9.34

The Court^G may dispense with service^G of a document.

9.35

An application for an order to dispense with service^G may be made without notice.

Certificate of service

9.36

Where a Rule, Practice Direction or Court^G order requires a certificate of service^G, the certificate must state the details set out in the following table:

Method of service	Details to be certified
Courier	Date of delivery
Personal	Date and time of personal service ^G
Delivery of document to or leaving it at a permitted place	Date and time when the document was delivered to or left at the permitted place
Fax	Date and time of transmission
Other electronic means	Date and time of transmission and the means used
Alternative method permitted by the Court	As required by the Court

Notification of outcome of service by courier by the Court

9.37

Where—

- (1) a document to be served by the Court^G is served by courier; and
- (2) such document is returned to the Court^G,

the Court^G must send notification to the party who requested service^G stating that the document has been returned.

Notice of non-service by Court Bailiff

9.38

Where:

- (1) the Court Bailiff is to serve a document; and
- (2) the Court Bailiff is unable to serve it;

the Court^G must send notification to the party who requested service^G.

II SPECIAL PROVISIONS ABOUT SERVICE OF THE CLAIM FORM

General Rules about service subject to special Rules about service of claim form

9.39

The general rules about service^G are subject to the special rules about service^G contained in Rules 9.40 to 9.50.

Service of claim form by the Court — Defendant's address for service

9.40

Where a claim form is to be served by the Court^G, the claim form must include the defendant's^G address for service^G.

9.41

For the purposes of Rule 9.40, the defendant's^G address for service^G may be the business address of the defendant's^G legal representative^G if he is authorised to accept service^G on the defendant's^G behalf but not otherwise.

Certificate of service relating to the claim form

9.42

Where a claim form is served by the Court^G, the Court^G must send the claimant^G a notice which will include the date when the claim form is considered to be served under Rule 9.27.

9.43

Where the claim form is served by the claimant^G:

- (1) he must file a certificate of service^G not later than 7 days after the date for the filing by the defendant of the acknowledgment of service^G, unless by that date the acknowledgment of service^G has been filed; and
- (2) he may not obtain judgment^G in default under Part 13 unless he has filed the certificate of service^G.

Service of claim form by contractually agreed method

9.44

Where:

- (1) a contract contains a term providing that, in the event of a claim being issued in relation to the contract, the claim form may be served by a method specified in the contract; and
- (2) a claim form containing only a claim in respect of that contract is issued;

the claim form shall be considered to be served on the defendant^G if it is served by a method specified in the contract.

Service of claim form by contractually agreed method

9.44.1

An amendment to the rules confirms that, where the parties have contractually agreed on an specific method for service of the claim form, then a claim form served in accordance with that method shall be considered to be properly served. Registrar's Direction 1 of 2013 makes clear that such contractual methods of service: (i) can be used not only for the claim form but also for 'any further documents'; and (ii) can be agreed either before or during proceedings. It is hoped that this provision will encourage consensus and for parties to take a more practical approach to the service of documents. As the RD1/2013 makes clear, this provision does not undermine the parties' ability to nominate a lawyer to accept service of documents on their behalf (see Rules 9.9, 9.16, and 9.18).

9.45

Should parties wish to agree in writing on an alternative address for service, such as a chosen domicile service (CDS) provider, at which to serve their claim form in the event of any future dispute, as well as any further documents in the course of the proceedings, they may do so.

The chosen address for service may be located in the DIFC, Dubai or another location, provided that the parties' intention to opt for such an agreed method for service is evident in the applicable contract, or subsequent written agreement.

This in no way limits parties' prerogative to nominate a lawyer to accept service of court documents on their behalf (see in this regard Rules 9.9, 9.16 and 9.18 above).

Service of claim form on agent of principal who is outside the DIFC

9.46

Where:

- (1) the defendant^G is outside the DIFC^G or Dubai; and
- (2) the conditions specified in Rule 9.47 are satisfied;

the Court^G may, on an application only, permit a claim form relating to a contract to be served on a defendant's^G agent.

9.47

The Court^G may not make an order under the preceding Rule unless it is satisfied that:

- (1) the contract to which the claim relates was entered into within the DIFC^G or Dubai with or through the defendant's^G agent; and
- (2) at the time of the application either the agent's authority has not been terminated or he is still in business relations with his principal.

9.48

An application under Rule 9.46:

- (1) must be supported by evidence setting out:
 - (a) full details of the contract and that it was entered into within the DIFC^G or Dubai with or through an agent who is either an individual residing or carrying on business within the DIFC^G or Dubai, or a registered company or corporation having a registered office or a place of business within the DIFC^G or Dubai;
 - (b) that the principal for whom the agent is acting was, at the time the contract was entered into and is at the time of making the application, neither an individual, registered company or corporation as described in sub-paragraph (a) above; and
 - (c) why service^G out of the DIFC^G or Dubai cannot be effected; and
- (2) may be made without notice.

9.49

An order under Rule 9.47 must state a period within which the defendant^G must respond to the claim.

9.50

The power conferred by this rule is additional to the power conferred by Rule 9.31 (service^G by an alternative method).

9.51

Where the Court^G makes an order under this rule, the claimant^G must send to the defendant^G copies of:

- (1) the order; and
- (2) the claim form.

III SPECIAL PROVISIONS ABOUT SERVICE OUT OF THE DIFC OR DUBAI

Scope of this section

9.52

This Section contains rules about—

- (1) service^G out of the DIFC^G or Dubai; and
- (2) the procedure for serving out of the DIFC^G or Dubai.

Service out of the DIFC or Dubai

9.53

Given the international nature of the DIFC^G, permission to serve process outside the DIFC^G is not required, but it is the responsibility of the party serving process to ensure he complies with the rules regarding service^G of the place where he is seeking to effect service^G.

9.54

Where a claim form is to be served out of the DIFC^G or Dubai, it may be served by any method permitted by the law of the place in which it is to be served.

9.55

Nothing in these Rules^G or in any Court^G order shall authorise or require any person to do anything in the place where the claim form is to be served which is against the law of that place.

9.56

A defendant^G who wishes to dispute the Court's^G jurisdiction^G may make an application to have service^G set aside^G under Part 12.

Period for acknowledging service or admitting the claim where the claim form is served out of the DIFC or Dubai

9.57

The period for filing^G an acknowledgment of service^G or filing^G or serving an admission where a claim form has been served out of the DIFC^G or Dubai is 28 days after the service^G of the claim form.

Period for filing a defence where the claim form is served out of the DIFC or Dubai

9.58

The period for filing^G a defence where a claim form has been served out of the DIFC^G or Dubai is:

- (1) Where particulars of claim were included in or accompanied the claim form the period for serving and filing^G a defence is 45 days; or
- (2) Where particulars of claim were not included in and did not accompany the claim form, the period for serving and filing^G a defence is 28 days from service^G of the particulars of claim.

Translation of claim form

9.59

Except where Rule 9.62 applies, every copy of the claim form to be served out of the DIFC^G or Dubai must be accompanied by a translation of the claim form.

9.60

The translation must be:

- (1) in the official language of the place in which it is to be served; or
- (2) if there is more than one official language of that place, in any official language which is appropriate to the place where the claim form is to be served.

9.61

Every translation to be served under Rule 9.59 must be accompanied by a statement by the person making it that it is a correct translation, and the statement must include:

- (1) the name of the person making the translation;
- (2) his address; and
- (3) his qualifications for making a translation.

9.62

The claimant^G is not required to provide a translation of a claim form under Rule 9.59 where the claim form is to be served:

- (1) in a place where English is an official language; or
- (2) on a State where English is an official language of the State where the claim form is to be served.

Undertaking to be responsible for expenses of the Registrar

9.63

Every request for service^G out of the DIFC^G and Dubai through the Registrar^G must contain an undertaking by the person making the request:

- (1) to be responsible for all expenses incurred by the Registrar^G or foreign judicial authority; and
- (2) to pay those expenses to the Registrar^G or foreign judicial authority on being informed of the amount.

Service of documents other than the claim form

9.64

Where an application notice^G is to be served out of the DIFC^G and Dubai under this Section of this Part:

- (1) Rules 9.57 and 9.58 do not apply; and
- (2) where the person on whom the application notice^G has been served is not a party to proceedings, that person may make an application to the Court^G under Rule 12.1 as if he were a defendant^G and Rule 12.2 does not apply.

Proof of service

9.65

Where:

- (1) a hearing is fixed when the claim is issued;
- (2) the claim form is served on a defendant^G out of the DIFC^G and Dubai; and
- (3) that defendant^G does not appear at the hearing;

the claimant^G may take no further steps against that defendant^G until the claimant^G files written evidence showing that the claim form has been duly served.

IV SERVICE OF PROCESS OF OTHER COURTS

Scope and definitions

9.66

This Section of this Part applies to the service^G in the DIFC^G of any court process in connection with civil or commercial proceedings in another court or tribunal^G.

Request for service

9.67

Process will be served where the Registrar^G receives:

- (1) a written request for service^G:
 - (a) from a consular or other authority; or
 - (b) from the Governor^G with a recommendation that service^G should be effected;
- (2) a translation of that request into English;

- (3) two copies of the process to be served; and
- (4) unless the other court or tribunal^G certifies that the person to be served understands the language of the process, two copies of a translation of it into English.

Method of service

9.68

The process will be served by the Court Bailiff unless the Registrar^G otherwise directs.

After service

9.69

The Court Bailiff must:

- (1) send the Registrar^G a copy of the process, and:
 - (a) proof of service^G; or
 - (b) a statement why the process could not be served; and
 - (c) if the Registrar^G directs, specify the costs incurred in serving or attempting to serve the process.

9.70

The Registrar^G will send the following documents to the person who requested service^G:

- (1) a certificate, sealed with the seal^G of the Court^G, stating:
 - (a) when and how the process was served or the reason why it has not been served; and
 - (b) where appropriate, an amount certified to be the costs of serving or attempting to serve the process; and
 - (c) a copy of the process.

V PROCEDURE FOR ISSUE OF CLAIM FORM WHEN REGISTRY CLOSED

Procedure

9.71

The procedure is as follows:

- (1) The claim form must be signed by the legal representative^G acting on behalf of the claimant^G, and must not require the permission of the Court^G for its issue (unless such permission has already been given)
- (2) The legal representative^G causing the claim form to be issued ("the issuing legal representative") must
 - (a) endorse on the claim form the endorsement shown below and sign that endorsement;
 - (b) send a copy of the claim form so endorsed to the Registry^G by email for issue under this Section; and
 - (c) complete and sign the certificate shown below.

- (3) When the Registry^G is next open to the public after the issue of a claim form in accordance with this procedure, the issuing legal representative^G or his agent shall attend and deliver to the Registry^G the document which was transmitted by email (including the endorsement and the certificate), or if that document has been served, a true and certified copy of it, together with as many copies as the Registry^G shall require and pay any required Court^G fees.
- (4) When the proper officer at the Registry^G has checked and is satisfied that the document delivered under sub-paragraph (3) fully accords with the document received under sub-paragraph (2), and that all proper fees for issue have been paid, he shall allocate a number to the case, and seal^G, mark as “original” and date the claim form with the date on which it was issued (being, as indicated below, the date when the email is recorded at the Registry^G as having been received).
- (5) As soon as practicable thereafter, the issuing legal representative^G shall inform any person served with the unsealed claim form of the case number, and (on request) shall serve any such person with a copy of the claim form sealed and dated under sub-paragraph (4) above and the person may, without paying a fee, inspect and take copies of the documents lodged at the Registry^G under sub-paragraphs (2) and (3) above.

Effect of issue following request by email

9.72

The issue of a claim form in accordance with this procedure takes place when the email is recorded at the Registry^G as having been received, and the claim form bearing the endorsement shall have the same effect for all purposes as a claim form issued under RDC Part 7 or 8, as the case may be. Unless otherwise ordered the sealed version of the claim form retained by the Registry^G shall be conclusive proof that the claim form was issued at the time and on the date stated. If the procedure set out in this Section is not complied with, the Court^G may declare (on its own initiative or on application) that the claim form shall be treated as not having been issued.

Endorsement

9.73

A claim form issued pursuant to a request by email must be endorsed as follows:

1. This claim form is issued under RDC Rule 9.71 and may be served notwithstanding that it does not bear the seal^G of the Court^G.
2. A true copy of this claim form and endorsement has been transmitted to the Registry, DIFC Court, Level 4, The Gate, Dubai, UAE at the time and date certified below by the undersigned legal representative.
3. It is the duty of the undersigned legal representative^G or his agent to

attend at the Registry^G when it is next open to the public for the claim form to be sealed.

4. Any person upon whom this unsealed claim form is served will be notified by the undersigned legal representative^G of the number of the case and may require the undersigned legal representative^G to serve a copy of the sealed claim form and may inspect without charge the documents which have been lodged at the Registry^G by the undersigned legal representative^G.
5. I, the undersigned legal representative^G, undertake to the Court^G, to the defendants^G named in this claim form, and to any other person upon whom this claim form may be served:
 - (i) that the statement in paragraph 2 above is correct;
 - (ii) that the time and date given in the certificate at the foot of this endorsement are correct;
 - (iii) that this claim form is a claim form which may be issued under RDC Rule 9.71;
 - (iv) that I will comply in all respects with the requirements of RDC Rule 9.71;
 - (v) that I will indemnify any person served with the claim form before it is sealed against any loss suffered as a result of the claim form being or becoming invalid in accordance with RDC Rule 9.71.

(Signed)

Legal Representative for the claimant"

[Note: the endorsement may be signed in the name of the firm rather than an individual legal representative^G, or by agents in their capacity as agents acting on behalf of their professional clients.]

Certificate

9.74

A legal representative^G who causes a claim form to be issued pursuant to a request sent by email must sign a certificate in the following form:

"I, the undersigned legal representative, certify that I have sent a copy of this claim form to the Registry by email on [enter the time and date when the email was sent].

Dated

(Signed)

Legal Representative for the claimant."

[Note: the certificate may be signed in the name of the firm rather than an individual legal representative, or by agents in their capacity as agents acting on behalf of their professional clients]

PART 10

Responding to particulars of claim — General

10.1

When a defendant^G is served with a claim form that is not accompanied by Particulars of Claim, he may—

- (1) file or serve an admission in accordance with Part 15 admitting the whole of the claim;
- (2) where he admits only part of the claim, serve an admission in accordance with Part 15 admitting that part of the claim and file an acknowledgment of service^G in accordance with Part 11 in relation to the balance of the claim; or
- (3) where he does not admit any part of the claim, file an acknowledgment of service^G in accordance with Part 11.

10.2

When particulars of claim are served on a defendant^G, the defendant^G may:

- (1) file or serve an admission in accordance with Part 15;
 - (2) file a defence in accordance with Part 16;
- (or do both, if he admits only part of the claim); or
- (3) where particulars of claim are served with the claim form, file an acknowledgment of service^G in accordance with Part 11.

PART 11

Acknowledgment of service

Acknowledgment of service

11.1

Where the claimant^G uses the procedure set out in Part 8 (alternative procedure for claims) this Part applies subject to the modifications set out in Rules 8.13 to 8.15.

11.2

A defendant^G shall file an acknowledgment of service^G if:

- (1) the claimant^G serves a claim form without serving particulars of claim;
- (2) the defendant^G is unable to file a defence within the period specified in Rule 16.9; or
- (3) the defendant^G wishes to dispute the Court's^G jurisdiction^G.

11.3

A defendant^G who wishes to acknowledge service^G of a claim should do so by using Form P11/01.

Consequence of not filing an acknowledgment of service

11.4

If:

- (1) a defendant^G fails to file an acknowledgment of service^G within the period specified in Rule 11.5; and
- (2) does not within that period file a defence in accordance with Part 16 or serve or file an admission in accordance with Part 15,

the claimant^G may obtain default judgment^G if Part 13 allows it.

The period for filing an acknowledgment of service

11.5

The general rule is that the period for filing^G an acknowledgment of service^G is 14 days after service^G of the claim form.

11.6

The general rule is subject to the following rules:

- (1) Rule 9.56 (which specifies how the period for filing^G an acknowledgment of service^G is calculated where the claim form is served out of the DIFC^G and Dubai); and
- (2) Rule 9.48 (which requires the Court^G to specify the period for responding to the particulars of claim when it makes an order under that Rule).

Notice to claimant that defendant has filed an acknowledgment of service

11.7

On filing an acknowledgement of service^G, the defendant^G must provide a copy to the claimant^G.

Contents of acknowledgment of service

11.8

An acknowledgment of service^G must:

- (1) be signed by the defendant^G or his legal representative^G; and
- (2) include the defendants' ^G address for service^G.

11.9

Where the defendant^G is represented by a legal representative^G and the legal representative^G has signed the acknowledgment of service^G form, the address must be the legal representative's^G business address; otherwise the address for service^G that is given should be as set out in Rules 9.15 and 9.16.

11.10

Where the defendant^G is a company or other corporation, a person holding a senior position in the company or corporation may sign the acknowledgment of service^G on the defendant's^G behalf, but must state the position he holds.

11.11

Each of the following persons is a person holding a senior position:

- (1) in respect of a registered company or corporation, a director, the treasurer, secretary, chief executive, manager or other officer of the company or corporation, and
- (2) in respect of a corporation which is not a registered company, in addition to those persons set out in (1), the mayor, chairman, president, town clerk or similar officer of the corporation.

11.12

Where a claim is brought against a partnership:

- (1) service^G must be acknowledged in the name of the partnership on behalf of all persons who were partners at the time when the cause of action accrued; and
- (2) the acknowledgment of service^G may be signed by any of those partners, or by any person authorised by any of those partners to sign it.

General**11.13**

The defendant's^G name should be set out in full on the acknowledgment of service^G.

11.14

Where the defendant's^G name has been incorrectly set out in the claim form, it should be correctly set out on the acknowledgment of service^G followed by the words 'described as' and the incorrect name.

11.15

If two or more defendants^G to a claim acknowledge service^G of a claim through the same legal representative^G at the same time, only one acknowledgment of service^G need be used.

11.16

An acknowledgment of service^G may be amended or withdrawn only with the permission of the Court^G. The application must be made in accordance with Part 23 and supported by evidence.

PART 12

Disputing the Court's jurisdiction

12.1

A defendant^G who wishes to:

- (1) dispute the Court's^G jurisdiction^G to try the claim; or
- (2) argue that the Court^G should not exercise its jurisdiction^G;

may apply to the Court^G for an order declaring that it has no such jurisdiction^G or should not exercise any jurisdiction^G which it may have.

Disputing the Court's jurisdiction on the wrong basis

12.1.1

In *International Electromechanical Services Co LLC v. Al Fattan Engineering LLC*, 14 October 2012 (CFI 004/2012) Justice David Williams found that an application made under RDC 12.1(1) for an order that the Court did not have jurisdiction should rather have been made under RDC 12.1(2) for an order that the Court would decline to exercise its jurisdiction. However, the Court had jurisdiction to amend any defects or errors in the pleadings of its own initiative where necessary to determine the real controversy between the parties by reference to its jurisdiction under Arts 32(f) and 44 of the DIFC Court Law (No. 10 of 2004). The application was accordingly treated as if made under RDC 12.1(2) [30]-[31].

Jurisdiction decision on grounds as stated in application notice

12.1.2

In the particular procedural circumstances of *Barclays Bank plc v. Afras Ltd & Anor* (11 July 2013) (after the applicant had dismissed its attorneys shortly before the hearing of the application), Deputy Chief Justice Sir John Chadwick was content to dismiss an application for an order under RDC 12.1 on the basis that the grounds as they were stated in the Defendant's application notice, by reference to other proceedings in the Dubai Courts, had no substance [9] [17].

12.2

A defendant^G who wishes to make such an application must first file an acknowledgment of service^G in accordance with Part 11.

12.3

A defendant^G who files an acknowledgment of service^G does not, by doing so, lose any right that he may have to dispute the Court's^G jurisdiction^G.

12.4

An application under this Part must:

- (1) be made within 14 days after filing^G an acknowledgment of service^G; and
- (2) be supported by evidence.

12.5

If the defendant^G files an acknowledgment of service^G and does not make an application disputing the Court's^G jurisdiction within the period specified in Rule 12.4:

- (1) he is to be treated as having accepted that the Court^G has jurisdiction^G to try the claim; and
- (2) if his acknowledgment of service indicates an intention to dispute jurisdiction, he will be treated as if he has not filed an acknowledgment of service for the purposes of any application for judgment in default under Part 13.

Failure to apply for a declaration of no jurisdiction

12.5.1

The effect of RDC 12.5 is 'analogous to that of a submission to the jurisdiction', so that there is in substance a 'deemed submission': *Hardt v. DAMAC (DIFC) Company Limited* (31 March 2010) CFI 36/2009 ('Judgment No.1') [33]. The decision of Justice Sir Anthony Colman was in respect of the RDC 2007, but would apply with equal force in respect of RDC 12.5 of the RDC 2011, so that there would be both (i) a deemed submission to the DIFC jurisdiction and (ii) a deemed failure to acknowledge service for the purposes of an application for default judgment.

The Court emphasised that the mere fact of a submission to jurisdiction, actual or deemed, was not sufficient by itself to confer jurisdiction on the Court. It merely removed objections that were personal to the party submitting but did not stop the Defendants from challenging jurisdiction: *Hardt (No.1)* [32]-[39]; *NBC v. (1) Taaleem (2) Deyaar Development* (5 May 2011) CA 001/2011 [31].

12.6 If the defendant^G files an acknowledgment of service^G indicating an intention to dispute the Court's^G jurisdiction^G, the claimant^G need not serve particulars of claim before the hearing of the application.

12.7 An order containing a declaration that the Court^G has no jurisdiction^G or will not exercise its jurisdiction^G may also make further provision including:

- (1) setting aside the claim form;
- (2) setting aside service^G of the claim form;
- (3) discharging any order made before the claim was commenced or before the claim form was served; or
- (4) staying the proceedings.

12.8

If on an application under this Part the Court^G does not make a declaration:

- (1) the acknowledgment of service^G shall cease to have effect;

- (2) the defendant^G may file a further acknowledgment of service^G within 14 days or such other period as the Court^G may direct; and
- (3) the Court^G shall give directions as to the filing^G and service^G of the defence in a claim under Part 7 or the filing^G of evidence in a claim under Part 8 in the event that a further acknowledgment of service^G is filed.

12.9

If the defendant^G files a further acknowledgment of service^G in accordance with Rule 12.8(2) he shall be treated as having accepted that the Court^G has jurisdiction^G to try the claim.

12.10

If a defendant^G makes an application under this Part, he must file and serve his written evidence in support with the application notice^G, but he need not before the hearing of the application file:

- (1) in a Part 7 claim, a defence; or
- (2) in a Part 8 claim, any other written evidence.

PART 13

Default judgment

Meaning of ‘Default judgment’

13.1

In these Rules^G, ‘default judgment’ means judgment^G without trial where a defendant^G —

- (1) has failed to file an acknowledgment of service^G; or
- (2) has failed to file a defence^G.

13.2

For the purposes of this Part, a defence includes any document purporting to be a defence.

Claims in which default judgment may not be obtained

13.3

A claimant^G may not obtain a default judgment^G —

- (1) where he uses the procedure set out in Part 8 (alternative procedure for claims); or
- (2) in any other case where a Rule or Practice Direction provides that the claimant^G may not obtain default judgment^G.

Conditions to be satisfied

13.4

The claimant^G may obtain judgment^G in default of an acknowledgment of service^G only if—

- (1) the defendant^G has not filed an acknowledgment of service^G or a defence^G to the claim (or any part of the claim); and
- (2) the relevant time for doing so has expired.

13.5

Judgment^G in default of defence^G may be obtained only—

- (1) where an acknowledgement of service^G has been filed but a defence^G has not been filed;
- (2) in a counterclaim^G made under Rule 21.7, where a defence^G has not been filed,

and, in either case, the relevant time limit for doing so has expired.

13.6

The claimant^G may not obtain a default judgment^G:

- (1) if the defendant^G has applied:
 - (a) to have the claimant's^G statement of case^G struck out under Rule 4.16; or
 - (b) for immediate judgment^G under Part 24;

and, in either case, that application has not been disposed of;

- (2) if the defendant^G has satisfied the whole claim (including any claim for costs) on which the claimant^G is seeking judgment^G;
- (3) if the claimant^G is seeking judgment^G on a claim for money and the defendant^G has filed or served on the claimant^G an admission under Rule 15.14 or 15.24 (admission of liability to pay all of the money claimed) together with a request for time to pay;
- (4) unless he has either filed a certificate of service^G under Rule 9.43 or the defendant^G has filed an acknowledgment of service.

Procedure for obtaining default judgment

13.7

A claimant^G may obtain a default judgment^G by filing^G a request in Form P13/01 or Form P13/02.

Request for default judgment

13.7.1

In filing a request for default judgment, a Claimant may wish to consider in turn each

of the relevant conditions to be satisfied under RDC 13.1, 13.4 and 13.5, as well as the exclusion of each of the circumstances in which default judgment may not be obtained as set out in RDC 13.3 and 13.6. This appears to be the approach of the Court in giving default judgment: see, for example, the default judgments in *Ozcelik v. Salman & Anor* (30 October 2014) CFI 024/2014 and *FirstRand Property Holding (Middle East) Ltd v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (29 October 2014) CFI 030/2014 (although the latter default judgment was subsequently set aside).

13.8

Requests for default judgment^G:

- (1) in respect of a claim for a specified amount of money or for the delivery of goods where the defendant^G will be given the alternative of paying a specified sum representing their value, or for fixed costs only, must be in Form P13/01,
- (2) in respect of a claim where an amount of money (including an amount representing the value of goods) is to be decided by the Court^G, must be in Form P13/02 and
- (3) in every other case, must be in Form P13/02 amended as necessary to describe the judgment^G requested.

Nature of judgment where default judgment obtained by filing a request

13.9

Where the claim is for a specified sum of money, the claimant^G may specify in a request filed under Rule 13.7—

- (1) the date by which the whole of the judgment^G debt is to be paid; or
- (2) the times and rate at which it is to be paid by instalments.

13.10

Except where Rule 13.12 applies, a default judgment^G on a claim for a specified amount of money obtained on the filing^G of a request, will be judgment^G for the amount of the claim (less any payments made) and costs—

- (1) to be paid by the date or at the rate specified in the request for judgment^G; or
- (2) if none is specified, immediately.

13.11

Where the claim is for an unspecified amount of money a default judgment^G obtained on the filing^G of a request will be for an amount to be decided by the Court^G and costs.

13.12

Where the claim is for delivery of goods and the claim form gives the defendant^G

the alternative of paying their value, a default judgment^G obtained on the filing^G of a request will be judgment^G requiring the defendant^G to—

- (1) deliver the goods or (if he does not do so) pay the value of the goods as decided by the Court^G (less any payments made); and
- (2) pay costs.

13.13

The claimant's^G right to enter judgment^G requiring the defendant^G to deliver goods is subject to Rule 36.50 (judgment^G in favour of certain part owners relating to the detention of goods).

Interest

13.14

A default judgment^G on a claim for a specified amount of money obtained on the filing^G of a request may include the amount of interest claimed to the date of judgment^G if—

- (1) the claim form includes the details required by Rule 17.18;
- (2) where interest is claimed under
 - (a) Articles 118 or 119 of the Contract Law 2004;
 - (b) Articles 17, 18 or 32 of the Law of Damages and Remedies 2005;
 - (c) Article 121(b) of the Law of Obligations 2005;
 - (d) Article 80 of the DIFC Employment Law 2005;

the rate is no higher than the rate of interest fixed by these Rules^G under Article 39(2)(a) of the Court Law^G payable on judgment^G debts at the date when the claim form was issued; and

- (3) the claimant's^G request for judgment^G includes a calculation of the interest claimed for the period from the date up to which interest was stated to be calculated in the claim form to the date of the request for judgment^G.

13.15

In any case where Rule 13.14 does not apply, judgment^G will be for an amount of interest to be decided by the Court^G.

Procedure for deciding an amount or value

13.16

Where the claimant^G obtains a default judgment^G on the filing^G of a request and judgment^G is for—

- (1) an amount of money to be decided by the Court^G;
- (2) the value of goods to be decided by the Court^G; or
- (3) an amount of interest to be decided by the Court

when the Court^G enters judgment^G it will give any directions it considers appropriate.

Claim against more than one defendant

13.17

A claimant^G may obtain a default judgment^G on request under this Part against one of two or more defendants^G, and proceed with his claim against the other defendants^G.

13.18

Where a claimant^G applies for a default judgment^G against one of two or more defendants^G —

- (1) if the claim can be dealt with separately from the claim against the other defendants^G
 - (a) the Court^G may enter a default judgment^G against that defendant^G; and
 - (b) the claimant^G may continue the proceedings against the other defendants^G;
- (2) if the claim cannot be dealt with separately from the claim against the other defendants^G
 - (a) the Court^G will not enter default judgment^G against that defendant^G; and
 - (b) the Court^G must deal with the application at the same time as it disposes of the claim against the other defendants^G.

13.19

A claimant^G may not enforce against one of two or more defendants^G any judgment^G obtained under this Part for delivery of goods unless—

- (1) he has obtained a judgment^G for delivery (whether or not obtained under this Part) against all the defendants^G to the claim; or
- (2) the Court^G gives permission.

Procedure for obtaining a default judgment for costs only

13.20

Where a claimant^G wishes to obtain a default judgment^G for costs only—

- (1) if the claim is for fixed costs, he may obtain it by filing^G a request in the relevant practice form;
- (2) if the claim is for any other type of costs, he must make an application in accordance with **Part 23**.

13.21

Where an application is made under **Rule 13.20** for costs only, judgment^G shall be for an amount to be decided by the Court^G.

Evidence

13.22

On a request for default judgment^G the Court^G must be satisfied that:

- (1) the claim form has been served on the defendant^G (a certificate of service^G on the Court^G file will be sufficient evidence);
- (2) either the defendant^G has not filed an acknowledgment of service^G or has not filed a defence^G and that in either case the relevant period for doing so has expired;
- (3) the defendant^G has not satisfied the claim; and
- (4) the defendant^G has not returned an admission to the claimant^G under Rule 15.14 or filed an admission with the Court^G under Rule 15.24.

13.23

On a request where the defendant^G was served with the claim outside the jurisdiction^G and the defendant^G has not acknowledged service^G, the evidence must establish that:

- (1) the claim is one that the Court^G has power to hear and decide,
- (2) no other court has exclusive jurisdiction^G to hear and decide the claim, and
- (3) the claim has been properly served.

13.24

Evidence referred to in Rule 13.23 above must be by affidavit^G.

13.25

On an application for judgment^G for delivery up of goods where the defendant^G will not be given the alternative of paying their value, the evidence must identify the goods and state where the claimant^G believes the goods to be situated and why their specific delivery up is sought.

Currency

13.26

Where default judgment^G is given on a claim for a sum of money expressed in a currency other than US Dollars, the judgment^G should be for the amount of that currency with the addition of 'or the US Dollar equivalent at the time of payment'.

PART 14

Setting aside or varying default judgment

Cases where the Court must set aside judgment entered under Part 13

14.1

The Court^G must set aside^G a judgment^G entered under Part 13 if judgment^G was wrongly entered because—

- (1) in the case of a judgment^G in default of an acknowledgment of service^G, any of the conditions in Rules 13.4 and 13.6 was not satisfied;
- (2) in the case of a judgment^G in default of a defence, any of the conditions in Rules 13.5 and 13.6 was not satisfied; or
- (3) the whole of the claim was satisfied before judgment^G was entered.

Cases where the Court may set aside or vary judgment entered under Part 13

14.2

In any other case, the Court^G may, on such conditions as it sees fit, set aside^G or vary a judgment^G entered under Part 13 if:

- (1) the defendant^G has a real prospect of successfully defending the claim; or
- (2) it appears to the Court^G that there is some other good reason why:
 - (a) the judgment^G should be set aside^G or varied; or
 - (b) the defendant^G should be allowed to defend the claim.

14.3

In considering whether to set aside^G or vary a judgment^G entered under Part 13, the matters to which the Court^G must have regard include whether the person seeking to set aside^G the judgment^G made an application to do so promptly.

Application to set aside or vary judgment — Procedure

14.4

An application under Rule 14.2 (cases where the Court^G may set aside^G or vary judgment^G) must be supported by evidence.

PART 15

Admissions

Making an admission

15.1

A party may admit the truth of the whole or any part of another party's case by giving notice in writing (such as in a statement of case^G or by letter).

15.2

Where the only remedy which the claimant^G is seeking is the payment of money, the defendant^G may also make an admission in accordance with:

- (1) Rule 15.14 (admission of whole claim for specified amount of money);
- (2) Rule 15.18 (admission of part of claim for specified amount of money);
- (3) Rule 15.19 (admission of liability to pay whole of claim for unspecified amount of money); or

- (4) Rule 15.24 (admission of liability to pay claim for unspecified amount of money where defendant^G offers a sum in satisfaction of the claim).

15.3

Where the defendant^G makes an admission as mentioned in Rule 15.2, the claimant^G has a right to enter judgment^G.

15.4

The permission of the Court^G is required to amend or withdraw an admission.

Withdrawal of an admission

15.4.1

In deciding whether to give permission for the withdrawal of an admission, the Court will have regard to all of the circumstances, including the specific circumstances set out in RDC 15.53.

Whilst not directly relevant to the Court's discretion to permit the withdrawal of amendment of an admission, the Court's approach to the related discretion of an appellate court to permit the withdrawal of a concession below may assist in giving a greater understanding of how the Court is likely to consider the potential prejudice to the other party. That discretion is considered in some detail by reference to relevant English authorities in the decision of the DIFC Court of Appeal in *IGPL v. Standard Chartered Bank* (19 November 2015) CA 004/2015 [43]-[67].

How to make an admission

15.5

A defendant may make an admission by filing a form electronically using the Court's e-filing system.

15.6

If the defendant^G wishes to make an admission in respect of the whole of a claim for a specified amount of money, the admission form or other written notice of the admission should be completed and returned to the claimant^G within the period set out in Rule 15.8.

15.7

If the defendant^G wishes to make an admission in respect of a part of a claim for a specified amount of money, or in respect of a claim for an unspecified amount of money:

- (1) the admission form or other written notice of admission should be completed and filed with the Court^G within the period set out in Rule 15.8; and
- (2) the defendant^G may also file a defence under Rule 16.3.

Period for making an admission

15.8

The period for returning an admission under Rule 15.14 or for filing^G it under Rules 15.18, 15.19 or 15.24 is:

- (1) where the defendant^G is served with a claim form which states that particulars of claim will follow, 14 days after service^G of the particulars; and
- (2) in any other case, 14 days after service^G of the claim form.

Consequence of returning or filing an admission out of time

15.8.1

If an admission is returned or filed out of time, then it would appear by inference from the Order made by Justice Al Mahani in *Huawei Technologies Co (Nigeria) Ltd v. Expresso Telecom Group Ltd* (20.12.12) CF 031/2012 that no valid admission has been made for the purposes of RDC 15.14, so that the Defendant is not entitled to make a request for time to pay under RDC 15.33, with the further consequence that the Court will enter judgment and refuse the Defendant's request for further time to pay.

15.9

Rule 15.8 is subject to the following rules:

- (1) Rule 9.57 (which specifies how the period for filing^G or returning an admission is calculated where the claim form is served out of the jurisdiction^G); and
- (2) Rule 9.49 (which requires the Court^G to specify the period for responding to the particulars of claim when it makes an order under that rule).

15.10

A defendant^G may return an admission under Rule 15.14 or file it under Rules 15.18, 15.19 or 15.24 after the end of the period for returning or filing^G it specified in Rule 15.8 if the claimant^G has not obtained default judgment^G under Part 13.15.11

If he does so, this Part shall apply as if he had made the admission within that period.

Admission by notice in writing — Application for judgment

15.12

Where a party makes an admission under Rule 15.1 (admission by notice in writing), any other party may apply for judgment^G on the admission.

15.13

Judgment^G shall be such as it appears to the Court^G that the applicant is entitled to on the admission.

Admission of whole of claim for specified amount of money

15.14

Where:

- (1) the only remedy which the claimant^G is seeking is the payment of a specified amount of money; and
- (2) the defendant^G admits the whole of the claim

the defendant^G may admit the claim by returning to the claimant^G an admission in Form 15/01 or Form 15/02.

15.15

The claimant^G may obtain judgment^G by filing^G a request in Form 13/01, and, if he does so:

- (1) if the defendant^G has not requested time to pay, the procedure in Rules 15.16 to 15.17 will apply;
- (2) if the defendant^G has requested time to pay, the procedure in Rules 15.33 to 15.38 will apply.

15.16

The claimant^G may specify in his request for judgment^G:

- (1) the date by which the whole of the judgment^G debt is to be paid; or
- (2) the times and rate at which it is to be paid by instalments.

15.17

On receipt of the request for judgment^G the Court^G will enter judgment^G for the amount of the claim (less any payments made) and costs:

- (1) to be paid by the date or at the rate specified in the request for judgment^G; or
- (2) if none is specified, immediately.

Admission of part of a claim for a specified amount of money

15.18

If the defendant^G admits part of a claim for a specified amount of money, the claimant^G may apply under Rule 15.12 for judgment^G on the admission.

Admission of liability to pay whole of claim for unspecified amount of money

15.19

Where:

- (1) the only remedy which the claimant^G is seeking is the payment of money;
- (2) the amount of the claim is not specified; and
- (3) the defendant^G admits liability but does not offer to pay a specified amount of money in satisfaction of the claim,

the defendant^G may admit the claim by filing^G an admission in the relevant Form P15/03.

15.20

On receipt of the admission, the Court^G will serve a copy on the claimant^G

15.21

The claimant^G may obtain judgment^G by filing^G a request in Form P15/03.

15.22

If the claimant^G does not file a request for judgment^G within 14 days after service^G of the admission on him, the claim is stayed^G until he files the request.

15.23

On receipt of the request for judgment^G the Court^G will enter judgment^G for an amount to be decided by the Court^G and costs.

Admission of liability to pay claim for unspecified amount of money where defendant offers a sum in satisfaction of the claim**15.24**

Where:

- (1) the only remedy which the claimant^G is seeking is the payment of money;
- (2) the amount of the claim is not specified; and
- (3) the defendant^G
 - (a) admits liability; and
 - (b) offers to pay a specified amount of money in satisfaction of the claim

the defendant^G may admit the claim by filing^G an admission in Form P15/01.

15.25

On receipt of the admission, the Court^G will serve a notice on the claimant^G requiring him to return the notice stating whether or not he accepts the amount in satisfaction of the claim.

15.26

If the claimant^G does not file the notice within 14 days after it is served on him, the claim is stayed^G until he files the notice.

15.27

If the claimant^G accepts the offer he may obtain judgment^G by filing^G a request in Form P13/01 and if he does so:

- (1) if the defendant^G has not requested time to pay, the procedure in

Rules 15.28 to 15.31 will apply;

- (2) if the defendant^G has requested time to pay, the procedure in Rules 15.33 to 15.38 will apply.

15.28

The claimant^G may specify in his request for judgment^G:

- (1) the date by which the whole of the judgment^G debt is to be paid; or

- (2) the times and rate at which it is to be paid by instalments.

15.29

On receipt of the request for judgment^G, the Court^G will enter judgment^G for the amount offered by the defendant^G (less any payments made) and costs:

- (1) to be paid on the date or at the rate specified in the request for judgment^G; or
- (2) if no such date or rate is specified, to be paid immediately.

15.30

If the claimant^G does not accept the amount offered by the defendant^G, he may obtain judgment^G by filing^G a request in Form P15/03.

15.31

Judgment^G under Rule 15.30 will be for an amount to be decided by the Court^G and costs.

Directions in relation to outstanding matters

15.32

Where the Court^G enters judgment^G under Rules 15.23 or 15.30 for an amount to be decided by the Court^G, it will give any directions it considers appropriate.

Request for time to pay

15.33

A defendant^G who makes an admission under Rules 15.14, 15.18 or 15.24 (admission relating to a claim for a specified amount of money or offering to pay a specified amount of money) may make a request for time to pay.

15.34

A request for time to pay is a proposal about the date of payment or a proposal to pay by instalments at the times and rate specified in the request.

15.35

The defendant's^G request for time to pay must be served or filed (as the case may be) with his admission.

15.36

If the defendant^G is requesting time to pay he should complete as fully as possible the statement of means contained in the admission form, or otherwise give in writing the same details of his means as could have been given in the admission form.

15.37

If the claimant^G accepts the defendant's^G request, he may obtain judgment^G by filing^G a request in Form P13/01.

15.38

On receipt of the request for judgment^G, the Court^G will enter judgment^G:

- (1) where Rule 15.14 applies, for the amount of the claim (less any payments made) and costs;
- (2) where Rule 15.18 applies, for the amount admitted (less any payments made) and costs; or
- (3) where Rule 15.24 applies, for the amount offered by the defendant^G (less any payments made) and costs; and
- (4) (in all cases) for payment at the time and rate specified in the defendant's^G request for time to pay.

Determination of rate of payment

15.39

Where the defendant^G has made a request for time to pay under Rule 15.33 if the claimant^G does not accept the defendant's^G proposals for payment, he must file a notice in Form P13/01.

15.40

Where the defendant's^G admission was served direct on the claimant^G, a copy of the admission and the request for time to pay must be filed with the claimant's^G notice.

15.41

When the Court^G receives the claimant's^G notice, it will enter judgment^G for the amount admitted (less any payments made) to be paid at the time and rate of payment determined by the Registrar^G.

15.42

Where the Registrar^G is to determine the time and rate of payment, he may do so without a hearing.

15.43

If there is to be a hearing to determine the time and rate of payment, the Court^G must give each party at least 7 days' notice of the hearing.

15.44

Where the Registrar^G has determined the time and rate of payment under Rule 15.42, either party may apply for the decision to be re-determined by a Judge^G.

15.45

An application for re-determination must be made within 14 days after service^G of the determination on the applicant.

15.46

In deciding the time and rate of payment the Court^G will take into account:

- (1) the defendant's^G statement of means set out in the admission form or in any other written notice of the admission filed,
- (2) the claimant's^G objections to the defendant's^G request set out in the claimant's^G notice, and

- (3) any other relevant factors.

15.47

Either party may, on account of a change in circumstances since the date of the decision (or re-determination as the case may be) apply to vary the time and rate of payment of instalments still remaining unpaid.

15.48

An application to vary under Rule 15.47 above should be made in accordance with Part 23.

Interest

15.49

Judgment^G under Rule 15.14 (admission of whole of claim for specified amount of money) shall include the amount of interest claimed to the date of judgment^G if:

- (1) the claim form includes the details required by Rule 17.18;
- (2) where interest is claimed under
 - (a) Articles 118 or 119 of the Contract Law 2004;
 - (b) Articles 17, 18 or 32 of the Law of Damages and Remedies 2005;
 - (c) Article 121(b) of the Law of Obligations 2005; or
 - (d) Article 80 of the DIFC Employment Law 2005;

the rate is no higher than the rate of interest fixed by these Rules under Article 39(2)(a) of the Court Law^G payable on judgment^G debts at the date when the claim form was issued; and

- (3) the claimant's^G request for judgment^G includes a calculation of the interest claimed for the period from the date up to which interest was stated to be calculated in the claim form to the date of the request for judgment^G.

15.50

In any case where judgment^G is entered under Rule 15.14 and the conditions in Rule 15.49 are not satisfied judgment^G shall be for an amount of interest to be decided by the Court^G.

15.51

Where judgment^G is entered for an amount of interest to be decided by the Court^G, the Court^G will give directions for the management of the case.

Withdrawing an admission

15.52

An admission made under this Part may be withdrawn with the Court's^G permission.

15.53

In deciding whether to give permission for an admission to be withdrawn, the Court^G will have regard to all the circumstances of the case, including:

- (1) the grounds upon which the applicant seeks to withdraw the admission including whether or not new evidence has come to light which was not available at the time the admission was made;
- (2) the conduct of the parties, including any conduct which led the party making the admission to do so;
- (3) the prejudice that may be caused to any person if the admission is withdrawn;
- (4) the prejudice that may be caused to any person if the application is refused;
- (5) the stage in the proceedings at which the application to withdraw is made, in particular in relation to the date or period fixed for trial;
- (6) the prospects of success (if the admission is withdrawn) of the claim or part of the claim in relation to which the offer was made; and
- (7) the interests of the administration of justice.

Withdrawal of an admission

15.53.1

The requirement of the Court's permission for the withdrawal of any admission is found in RDC 15.4. In considering the particular circumstances which will be weighed by the Court, it may be instructive to consider the related circumstances that will be considered by an appellate court in considering its discretion to allow the withdrawal of a concession made below. In *IGPL v. Standard Chartered Bank* (19 November 2015) CA 004/2015, the Court of Appeal endorsed the approach of the English Courts, as summarised by Mann J in *BT Pension Scheme Ltd v. BT plc & Anor* [2011] EWHC 2071 (Ch) at [44] with its particular focus on the party seeking withdrawal being required to discharge a burden of establishing no risk of prejudice to the other party [43]-[53].

PART 16

Defence and reply

Exceptions to this part

16.1

This Part does not apply where the claimant^G uses the procedure set out in Part 8 (alternative procedure for claims).

16.2

In relation to specialist proceedings in respect of which special provisions for defence and reply are made by these Rules^G and Practice Directions applicable to those claims, the provisions of this Part apply only to the extent that they are not inconsistent with those Rules and Practice Directions.

Filing a defence

16.3

A defendant^G who wishes to defend all or part of a claim must file a defence.

Exception to need to file a defence

16.3.1

Under RDC 13.6 (and RDC 13.3), a claimant is not permitted to enter default judgment if the defendant has applied to have the claimant's statement of case struck out under RDC 4.16 or for immediate judgment under RDC Part 24 (and the defendant's application has not been disposed of). If such an application has been made, it is not necessary to file and serve a defence.

Indeed, in *Amjad Hafeez v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (30 June 2014) CFI 002/2014, where the Defendant had made an application under RDC 4.16 and/or RDC 24.1, and the Court duly ordered that the particulars of claim be struck out, Justice Roger Giles justified his decision against then giving the defendant its costs in part because 'the Defendant chose to plead where it could have immediately complained that the points of claim were defective' [28].

Consequence of not filing a defence

16.4

If a defendant^G fails to file a defence, the claimant^G may obtain default judgment^G if Part 13 allows it.

Form of defence

16.5

A party may file a defence by submitting it electronically using the Court's e-filing system.

Statement of truth

16.6

Part 22 requires a defence to be verified by a statement of truth.

16.7

The form of the statement of truth is as follows:

'[I believe][the defendant believes] that the facts stated in this defence are true.'

16.8

Attention is drawn to Section VI of Part 29 which sets out the consequences of verifying a statement of case^G containing a false statement without an honest belief in its truth.

The period for filing a defence

16.9

The general rule is that the period for filing^G a defence is:

- (1) 14 days after service^G of the particulars of claim; or
- (2) if the defendant^G files an acknowledgment of service^G under Part 11, 28 days after service^G of the particulars of claim.

16.10

The general rule is subject to the following rules:

- (1) Rule 9.58 (which specifies how the period for filing^G a defence is calculated where the claim form is served out of the jurisdiction^G);
- (2) Rule 12.10 (which provides that, where the defendant^G makes an application disputing the Court's^G jurisdiction^G, he need not file a defence before the hearing);
- (3) Rule 24.5 (which provides that, if the claimant^G applies for immediate judgment^G before the defendant^G has filed a defence, the defendant^G need not file a defence before the immediate judgment^G hearing); and
- (4) Rule 9.49 (which requires the Court^G to specify the period for responding to the particulars of claim when it makes an order under Rule 9.46).

Agreement extending the period for filing a defence

16.11

- (1) The defendant^G and the claimant^G may agree that the period for filing^G a defence specified in Rules 16.9 and 16.10 shall be extended by up to 28 days.
- (2) Alternatively, the defendant may apply for an order extending the period within which a defence may be served.

16.12

Where the defendant^G and the claimant^G agree to extend the period for filing^G a defence, the defendant^G must notify the Court^G in writing.

Service of copy of defence

16.13

A copy of the defence must be served on every other party.

Making a counterclaim

16.14

Part 21 applies to a defendant^G who wishes to make a counterclaim^G.

16.15

Where a defendant^G to a claim serves a counterclaim^G under Part 21, the defence and counterclaim^G should normally form one document, with the counterclaim^G following on from the defence.

Reply to defence

16.16

If a claimant^G files a reply to the defence, he must:

- (1) file his reply within 21 days after service^G of the defence; and
- (2) serve it on all other parties at the same time.

16.17

The reply should be served before case management information sheets are provided to the Court^G. In the normal case, this will allow the parties to consider any reply before completing the case management information sheet, and allow time for the preparation of the case memorandum and the list of issues each of which is required for the case management conference.

16.18

In some cases, more than 21 days may be needed for the preparation, service^G and filing^G of a reply. In such cases an application should be made on paper for an extension of time and for a postponement of the case management conference.

16.19

Where a claimant^G serves a reply and a defence to counterclaim^G, the reply and defence to counterclaim^G should normally form one document, with the defence to counterclaim^G following on from the reply.

No statement of case after a reply to be filed without Court's permission**16.20**

A party may not file or serve any statement of case^G after a reply without the permission of the Court^G.

Claimant's notice where defence is that money claimed has been paid**16.21**

Where:

- (1) the only claim (apart from a claim for costs and interest) is for a specified amount of money; and
- (2) the defendant^G states in his defence that he has paid to the claimant^G the amount claimed,

the Court^G will send notice to the claimant^G requiring him to state in writing whether he wishes the proceedings to continue.

16.22

The claimant^G must respond to a notice issued by the Court^G under Rule 16.21 within 28 days after service^G of the notice on him. When the claimant^G responds, he must serve a copy of his response on the defendant^G.

16.23

If the claimant^G fails to respond under Rule 16.22 within 28 days after service^G of the Court's^G notice on him, the claim shall be stayed^G.

16.24

Where a claim is stayed^G under this Rule, any party may apply for the stay^G to be lifted.

Claim stayed if it is not defended or admitted

16.25

Where:

- (1) at least 6 months have expired since the end of the period for filing^G a defence specified in Rules 16.9 and 16.10;
- (2) no defendant^G has served or filed an admission or filed a defence or counterclaim^G; and
- (3) the claimant^G has not entered or applied for judgment^G under Part 13 (default judgment^G), or Part 24 (immediate judgment^G);

the claim shall be stayed^G.

16.26

Where a claim is stayed^G under Rule 16.25, any party may apply for the stay^G to be lifted.

Application to lift stay

16.27

Any application made under Rule 16.24 or Rule 16.26 should be made in accordance with Part 23 and should give the reason for the applicant's delay in proceeding with or responding to the claim.

PART 17

Statements of case

Exceptions to this part

17.1

This Part does not apply where the claimant^G uses the procedure set out in Part 8 (alternative procedure for claims).

17.2

Where special provisions about statements of case are made by the Rules^G and Practice Directions applying to particular types of proceedings, the provisions of this Part apply only to the extent that they are not inconsistent with those Rules and Practice Directions.

17.3

The Court^G may at any time before or after the issue of the claim form order a claim to proceed without the filing^G or service^G of statements of case.

Heading of claim form

17.4

The claim form must be headed with the title of the proceedings, including the full name of each party. The full name means, in each case where it is known:

- (1) in the case of an individual, his full unabbreviated name and title by which he is known;
- (2) in the case of an individual carrying on business in a name other than his own name, the full unabbreviated name of the individual, together with the title by which he is known, and the full trading name;
- (3) in the case of a partnership (other than a limited liability partnership (LLP)—
 - (a) where partners are being sued in the name of the partnership, the full name by which the partnership is known, together with the words '(A Firm)'; or
 - (b) where partners are being sued as individuals, the full unabbreviated name of each partner and the title by which he is known;
- (4) in the case of a company or limited liability partnership registered in the DIFC^G, the full registered name, including suffix (LLC, limited, LLP, etc), if any;
- (5) in the case of any other company or corporation, the full name by which it is known, including suffix where appropriate.

Claimant's address to be included in the claim form

17.5

The claim form must include the claimant's^G mailing address or an address at which the claimant^G resides or carries on business. This Rule applies even though the claimant's^G address for service^G is the business address of his legal representative^G.

17.6

Where the defendant^G is an individual, the claimant^G should (if he is able to do so) include in the claim form the defendant's^G mailing address or an address at which the defendant^G resides or carries on business. This Rule applies even though the defendant's^G legal representative^G has agreed to accept service^G on the defendant's^G behalf.

17.7

If the claim form does not show an address as required by Rule 17.5, the claim form will not be issued. The Court^G will notify the claimant^G.

Contents of the claim form

17.8

The claim form must:

- (1) contain a concise statement of the nature of the claim;
- (2) specify the remedy which the claimant^G seeks;
- (3) where the claimant's^G only claim is for a specified sum, contain a statement of the interest accrued on that sum; and

(4) contain such other matters as may be set out in a Practice Direction.

17.9

In proceedings against the Government^G, the Centre Authority^G or any of the Centre's Bodies, the claim form must comply with the requirements of Part 41.

17.10

If the particulars of claim are not contained in, or are not served with the claim form, the claimant^G must state on the claim form that the particulars of claim will follow if an acknowledgement of service is filed which indicates an intention to defend the claim.

17.11

If the claimant^G is claiming in a representative capacity, the claim form must state what that capacity is.

17.12

If the defendant^G is sued in a representative capacity, the claim form must state what that capacity is.

17.13

The Court^G may grant any remedy to which the claimant^G is entitled even if that remedy is not specified in the claim form.

Contents of the particulars of claim — General

17.14

A claimant^G is not required to serve particulars of claim unless and until the defendant^G serves an acknowledgment of service^G in response to the claim form.

17.15

Where the claimant^G does not include the particulars of claim in the claim form, particulars of claim may be served separately:

- (1) either at the same time as the claim form, or
- (2) within 28 days of the filing of an acknowledgment of service^G which indicates an intention to defend.

17.16

Particulars of claim served separately from the claim form must also contain:

- (1) the claim number,
- (2) the title of the proceedings, and
- (3) the claimant's^G address for service^G.

17.17

Particulars of claim must include:

- (1) a concise statement of the facts on which the claimant^G relies;

- (2) if the claimant^G is seeking interest, a statement to that effect and the details set out in paragraph 17.18;
- (3) if the claimant^G is seeking multiple damages^G under Article 40(2) of the Law of Damages and Remedies 2005, a statement to that effect and his grounds for claiming them;
- (4) such other matters as may be set out in a Practice Direction.

Requirement to plead all the elements of a relevant cause of action

17.17.1

In *Firststrand Property Holding (Middle East) Ltd v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (2 April 2015) CFI 030/2014, Justice Roger Giles struck out an allegation as to the falsity of a particular representation because the pleading failed to allege facts whereby the representation could be found to have been false prior to the parties' entry into the relevant contract [51]. Similarly, he noted the failure of the claimant clearly to plead the avoidance of the contract alleged to have been induced by fraud, but did not strike out the relevant claim because that point had not been taken by the defendant in its strike-out application [60].

Requirement to plead all facts necessary to reach a pleaded legal conclusion

17.17.2

In *Firststrand Property Holding (Middle East) Ltd v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (2 April 2015) CFI 030/2014, Justice Roger Giles considered an application under RDC 4.16 to have various claims struck out as disclosing no reasonable grounds. He struck out an allegation of agency on the basis that agency was a conclusion that could not be pleaded without pleading the facts from which the relevant agency could be found [26]. The Court, by reference to the decision of the English decision in *Pilmore v. Hood* (1838) 5 Bing (NC) 98, observed that a defendant might be liable for a representation by it repeated by a third party where that defendant had known of the repetition and stood by. However, it is implicit in the Court's judgment that the relevant ingredients, there including knowledge of the repetition by the defendant, would need to be properly alleged by specific pleading [27]-[28].

Requirements to plead specific facts and details

17.17.3

See RDC 17.43 in respect of the specific requirements of pleading certain causes of action, including fraud, misrepresentation, breach of trust, notice or knowledge of a fact, unsoundness of mine or undue influence, and willful default.

Inclusion of a claim for costs

17.17.4

There is no reference in RDC Part 17 to any requirement to plead a claim for costs. However, in *Wheatley v. Simmons & Company International Ltd* (15 April 2013) CFI 007/2011, the Court, in giving judgment on an employment claim, declined to make an order for costs 'as they are not claimed in the Claimant's submissions'. There may therefore be merit in including costs within the section of any Particulars of Claim stating the relief sought.

17.18

If the claimant^G is seeking interest he must:

- (1) state whether he is doing so:
 - (a) under the terms of a contract;
 - (b) under an enactment and if so which; or
 - (c) on some other basis and if so what that basis is; and
- (2) if the claim is for a specified amount of money, state:
 - (a) the percentage rate at which interest is claimed;
 - (b) the date from which it is claimed;
 - (c) the date to which it is calculated, which must not be later than the date on which the claim form is issued;
 - (d) the total amount of interest claimed to the date of calculation; and
 - (e) the daily rate at which interest accrues after that date.

Contents of the particulars of claim — Specific**17.19**

Where a claim is made for an injunction^G or declaration in respect of or relating to any land the particulars of claim must:

- (1) state whether or not the injunction^G or declaration relates to residential premises, and
- (2) identify the land (by reference to a plan where necessary).

17.20

Where a claim is brought to enforce a right to recover possession of goods the particulars of claim must contain a statement showing the value of the goods.

17.21

Where a claim is brought for personal injury:

- (1) the particulars of claim must contain:
 - (a) the claimant's^G date of birth, and
 - (b) brief details of the claimant's^G personal injuries;
- (2) the claimant^G must attach to his particulars of claim a schedule of details of any past and future expenses and losses which he claims; and
- (3) where the claimant^G is relying on the evidence of a medical practitioner the claimant^G must attach to or serve with his particulars of claim a report from a medical practitioner about the personal injuries which he alleges in his claim.

Matters which must be specifically set out in the particulars of claim if relied on**17.22**

A claimant^G who wishes to rely on:

- (1) a Certificate of Contravention under Article 55 of the Markets Law 2004; or

- (2) a Certificate setting out a decision of the Financial Markets Tribunal under Article 34(6) of the Regulatory Law 2004;

must include in his particulars of claim a statement to that effect and give the following details:

- (a) the type of finding and its date;
- (b) the issue in the claim to which it relates.

Contents of defence

17.23

If the defendant^G has not filed an acknowledgment of service^G under Part 11, he must give an address for service^G.

17.24

Where the defendant^G is an individual, and the claim form does not contain an address for the defendant^G in accordance with Rule 17.6, or contains an incorrect address, the defendant^G must provide such an address in the defence.

17.25

Where the defendant's^G address for service^G is not the defendant's^G mailing address or the address where he resides or carries on business, the defendant^G must still provide the address required by Rule 17.24.

17.26

In his defence, the defendant^G must state:

- (1) which of the allegations in the particulars of claim he denies;
- (2) which allegations he is unable to admit or deny, but which he requires the claimant^G to prove; and
- (3) which allegations he admits.

Requirements to plead specific facts and details

17.26.1

See RDC 17.43 in respect of specific requirements of pleading certain defences, including unsoundness of mind, undue influence and the failure on the part of the claimant to mitigate its claimed loss or damage.

Reference in Defence to a claim for costs

17.26.2

There is no reference in RDC Part 17 to any requirement to plead a claim for costs. However, in *Wheatley v. Simmons & Company International Ltd* (15 April 2013) CFI 007 2011, the Court, in giving judgment on an employment claim, declined to make an order for costs 'as they are not claimed in the Claimant's submissions'. There may therefore be merit in a Defendant, by its Defence, stating that it will seek an Order for the payment of its costs.

17.27

Where the defendant^G denies an allegation:

- (1) he must state his reasons for doing so; and
- (2) if he intends to put forward a different version of events from that given by the claimant^G, he must state his own version.

Requirement to plead a specific defence and its factual basis

17.27.1

In *Rohan & Ors v. Daman Real Estate Capital Partners* (16 October 2014) CA 005/2013 and 006/2013, DCJ Sir John Chadwick emphasised that, where a defendant has the burden of proof on a particular defence, as for example on the existence and causative effect of a *force majeure* event, it is for the defendant to plead the primary facts on which it relies in relying on that defence. It was said in that case that the Defendant seller had failed: to identify every *force majeure* event relied upon; to specify what delay was said to be attributable to each such event; and/or to assert that the seller could not reasonably have prevented all or any of that delay [39]-[42]. The result of that pleading failure had been that the disclosure had been inadequate, so that the CA was in no position to consider whether there had been a *force majeure* event, independently of any notices, when the defence was raised in the CA on a re-opening of the seller's appeal in that case [50].

17.28

A defendant^G who:

- (1) fails to deal with an allegation; but
- (2) has set out in his defence the nature of his case in relation to the issue to which that allegation is relevant,

shall be taken to require that allegation to be proved.

17.29

Where the claim includes a money claim, a defendant^G shall be taken to require that any allegation relating to the amount of money claimed be proved unless he expressly admits the allegation.

17.30

Subject to Rules 17.28 and 17.29, a defendant^G who fails to deal with an allegation shall be taken to admit that allegation.

17.31

If the defendant^G is defending in a representative capacity, he must state what that capacity is.

17.32

The defendant^G must give details of the expiry of any relevant limitation period^G relied on.

Defence of set-off

17.33

Where a defendant^G:

(1) contends he is entitled to money from the claimant^G; and

(2) relies on this as a defence to the whole or part of the claim,

the contention may be included in the defence and set off against the claim, whether or not it is also a counterclaim^G.

Reply to defence

17.34

A claimant^G who does not file a reply to the defence shall not be taken to admit the matters raised in the defence.

17.35

A claimant^G who:

(1) files a reply to a defence; but

(2) fails to deal with a matter raised in the defence,

shall be taken to require that matter to be proved.

General Rules about statements of case

17.36

Particulars of claim, the defence and any reply must be set out in separate consecutively numbered paragraphs and be as brief and concise as possible.

Requirement of explicit pleading

17.36.1

While RDC 17.36 requires pleadings to be brief and concise, the Court in *Amjad Hafeez v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (30 June 2014) CFI 002/2014 found that the pleading of the vitiation of a contractual release was ‘embryonic’, and ‘a more explicit pleading is required’ [20]. Justice Roger Giles was prepared to let that case continue, but only on the basis that it was properly pleaded [15]; [20].

17.37

A party may:

(1) refer in his statement of case^G to any point of law on which his claim or defence, as the case may be, is based;

(2) give in his statement of case^G the name of any witness he proposes to call; and

(3) serve with his statement of case^G a copy of any document which he considers is necessary to his claim or defence, as the case may be.

17.38

If it is necessary for the proper understanding of the statement of case^G to include substantial parts of a lengthy document the passages in question may be set out in a schedule rather than in the body of the statement of case^G.

17.39

Only the relevant parts of those documents which are obviously of critical importance and necessary for a proper understanding of the statement of case^G should be attached to or served with it. The statement of case^G must itself refer to the fact that documents are attached to or served with it.

17.40

If documents are to be served at the same time as a statement of case^G they should normally be served separately from rather than attached to the statement of case^G.

17.41

Where a party relies on an oral agreement, the statement of case^G should set out the contractual words used and state by whom, to whom, when and where they were spoken.

17.42

Where a party relies on an agreement by conduct, the statement of case^G must specify the conduct relied on and state by whom, when and where the acts constituting the conduct were done.

17.43

A party must specifically set out the following matters in his statement of case^G where he wishes to rely on them in support of his case:

- (1) full and specific details of any allegation of fraud, dishonesty, malice or illegality;
- (2) details of any misrepresentation;
- (3) details of all breaches of trust;
- (4) notice or knowledge of a fact;
- (5) details of unsoundness of mind or undue influence;
- (6) details of wilful default; and
- (7) any facts relating to mitigation of loss or damage.

Requirement to set out specifically details of any allegations of fraud**17.43.1**

In *Amjad Hafeez v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (30 June 2014) CFI 002/2014, Justice Roger Giles struck out pleadings containing an allegation of fraud. He found that '[t]heir deficiency is more fundamental than an absence of the requisite details; the case to be made is wholly obscure' [19]. Specifically: it was not clear which statements and representations were said to have been fraudulent; the pleading was not clear whether the misrepresentations were post-contractual failures to disclose or were pre-contractual; and the pleading ended with a 'wrapped-up allegation that the (non-specific) statements and representations were fraudulent because they were known to be false or because of recklessness as to their correctness', there being an 'important

difference' between those alternatives [13]. The judge went on to explain that it is necessary to plead, with precision, how the representations were made, what made them false and what it was that made the Defendant's conduct deceitful [14].

Notwithstanding the Claimant's failure to set out specific details of the fraudulent misrepresentations, the Court permitted the Claimant an opportunity to re-plead its case, and reserved any issue of costs to the final costs order [28].

In *Firststrand Property Holding (Middle East) Ltd v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (2 April 2015) CFI 030/2014, the Defendant went further in suggesting that a claimant must allege with precision not only the representations and the respects in which they were false, but also the primary facts that provided the basis for any inference of falsity, in accordance with the judgment of Lord Millett in *Three Rivers District Council v. Bank of England* (No. 3) [2001] UKHL 16; (2001) 2 All ER 513 and the English Chancery Guide at [2.8]-[2.9]. Justice Roger Giles declined to follow the dissenting judgment of Lord Millett and found that it was sufficient for the purposes of *pleading* fraud that the claimant plead facts and omissions capable of making out the fraud alleged [67]-[69]. Beyond that, the court limited itself to saying that as long as fraud is directly alleged, and the relevant representation and falsity pleaded in such a way as to enable the defendant to know the case being made against it and to prepare to meet it, the question of its *proof* should be left until trial [67]-[69]. The court did, however, accept, as a matter of generality 'the full importance of restraint in, and the need for strict requirements for, pleading fraud' [68].

17.44

Any legislative provision on which an allegation is based must be clearly identified and the basis of its application explained.

17.45

Any principle of foreign law or foreign legislative provision on which a party's case is based must be clearly identified and the basis of its application explained.

17.46

An expert's report should not be attached to the statement of case^G and should not be filed with the statement of case^G at the Registry^G. A party must obtain permission from the Court^G in order to adduce expert evidence at trial and therefore any party which serves an expert's report without obtaining such permission does so at his own risk as to costs.

17.47

If a statement of case^G exceeds 25 pages (excluding schedules), a summary, not exceeding 4 pages, must also be filed and served at the same time as the statement of case^G. The summary should cross-refer to the paragraph numbering of the full statement of case^G. The summary is to be included in the case management bundle.

17.48

A statement of case^G must be signed by the individual person(s) who drafted it or bear the name of the lawyer by whom it was settled.

17.49

A statement of case^G must be verified by a statement of truth, the form of which is as follows:

'[I believe][the claimant/defendant believes] that the facts stated in this claim form/these particulars of claim/this defence [and counterclaim/this reply and defence to counterclaim] are true.'

17.50

A subsequent statement of case^G must not contradict or be inconsistent with an earlier one; for example a reply to a defence must not bring in a new claim. Where new matters have come to light the appropriate course is to seek the Court's^G permission to amend the statement of case^G.

PART 18

Amendments to statements of case

Amendments to statements of case

18.1

A party may amend his statement of case^G at any time before it has been served on any other party.

Power of Court to amend pleadings

18.1.1

In *International Electromechanical Service Co v. Al Fattan Engineering*, 14 October 2012 (CFI 004/2012), Justice David Williams noted that the Court has jurisdiction to amend any defects or errors in the pleadings *of its own initiative* pursuant to Arts 32(f) and 44 of the DIFC Court Law (No. 10 of 2004) when to do so is necessary for determining the real controversy between the parties [31]. In that case an application for a stay had been brought on the wrong basis.

18.2

If his statement of case^G has been served, a party may amend it only:

- (1) with the written consent of all the other parties; or
- (2) with the permission of the Court^G.

Permission of Court to amend pleadings

18.2.1

The DIFC Court has been keen to emphasise the importance of proper pleadings that enable the opposing party to know the case being made and to prepare to meet it: *First Rand Property Holdings (Middle East) Ltd v. Damac Park Towers* (2 April 2015) CFI 030/2014 [68]. Once pleadings have been served, they may only be amended by consent or with the permission of the Court.

In appropriate cases, the Court may be willing to consider unpleaded causes of action and/or late applications to amend. *Nida Fatima Raza v. Millenium Finance Corporation*

Ltd (23 June 2010) CFI 027/2009 is instructive. The case concerned a claim by the Claimant that she had not been paid the full amount due to her on termination of her employment and that she should have been issued shares in the Defendant employer under her contract of employment. Despite the fact that the Claimant was legally represented, Justice Sir John Chadwick stated that he would have been sympathetic to an application by the Claimant to amend her pleaded case to include a claim of estoppel by representation and would also have been sympathetic to the view that no real prejudice would have been caused to the Defendant by granting an application to amend [59]. Although such application had not been made, Justice Sir John Chadwick went on to note that such a claim would in any event have failed for want of evidence of reliance.

18.3

If a statement of case^G has been served, an application to amend it by removing, adding or substituting a party must be made in accordance with Part 20.

Power of Court to disallow amendments made without permission

18.4

If a party has amended his statement of case^G where permission of the Court^G was not required, the Court^G may disallow the amendment.

18.5

A party may apply to the Court^G for an order under Rule 18.4 within 14 days of service^G of a copy of the amended statement of case^G on him.

Amendments to statements of case with the permission of the court

18.6

Where the Court^G gives permission for a party to amend his statement of case^G, it may give directions as to:

- (1) amendments to be made to any other statement of case^G; and
- (2) service^G of any amended statement of case^G.

18.7

The power of the Court^G to give permission under Rule 18.6 is subject to:

- (1) Rules 20.6 to 20.9 (change of parties — general);
- (2) Rules 20.27 to 20.29 (special provisions about adding or substituting parties after the end of a relevant limitation period^G); and
- (3) Rule 18.8 (amendments of statement of case^G after the end of a relevant limitation period^G).

Amendments to statements of case after the end of a relevant limitation period

18.8

Rules 18.9 to 18.11 apply where:

- (1) a party applies to amend his statement of case^G in one of the ways mentioned in **Rule 18**; and
- (2) a period of limitation has expired under:
 - (a) the Court Law^G;
 - (b) the Contract Law 2004;
 - (c) the Law of Damages and Remedies 2005;
 - (d) the Law of Obligations 2005;
 - (e) the Trust Law 2005; or
 - (f) any other enactment which allows such an amendment, or under which such an amendment is allowed.

18.9

The Court^G may allow an amendment whose effect will be to add or substitute a new claim, but only if the new claim arises out of the same facts or substantially the same facts as a claim in respect of which the party applying for permission has already claimed a remedy in the proceedings.

18.10

The Court^G may allow an amendment to correct a mistake as to the name of a party, but only where the mistake was genuine and not one which would cause reasonable doubt as to the identity of the party in question.

18.11

The Court^G may allow an amendment to alter the capacity in which a party claims if the new capacity is one which that party had when the proceedings started or has since acquired.

Applications to amend where the permission of the Court is required

18.12

Questions of amendment, and consequential amendment, should wherever possible be dealt with by consent. A party should consent to a proposed amendment unless he has substantial grounds for objecting to it.

18.13

The application may be dealt with at a hearing or, if **Rule 23.68** applies, without a hearing.

18.14

When making an application to amend a statement of case^G, the applicant should file with the Court:

- (1) the application notice^G;
- (2) a copy of the statement of case^G with the proposed amendments; and
- (3) any evidence submitted in support of the application.

18.15

Late amendments should be avoided and may be disallowed.

General

18.16

Where permission to amend has been given, the applicant should within 14 days of the date of the order, or within such other period as the Court^G may direct, file with the Court^G the amended statement of case^G.

18.17

A copy of the order and the amended statement of case^G should be served on every party to the proceedings, unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

18.18

Where the Court's^G permission is not required the amended statement of case^G must be filed with the Court^G and served on every party to the proceedings.

18.19

The amended statement of case^G and the Court^G copy of it should be endorsed as follows:

(1) where the Court's permission was required:

"Amended [Particulars of Claim or as may be] by Order of [The Honourable Chief Justice, the Honourable Mr. Justice X, Mr. Registrar X, Deputy Registrar X or as may be] dated....."

(2) Where the Court's permission was not required:

"Amended [Particulars of Claim or as may be] under RDC [Rule 18.1 or 18.2(1)] dated....."

18.20

The statement of case^G in its amended form must show the original text, unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

18.21

Amendments may be shown by using footnotes or marginal notes, provided they identify precisely where and when an amendment has been made.

18.22

However, the Court^G may direct that the amendments should be shown either:

- (1) by coloured amendments, either manuscript or computer generated, or
- (2) by use of a numerical code in a monochrome computer generated document.

18.23

Where colour is used, the text to be deleted should be struck through in colour and any text replacing it should be inserted or underlined in the same colour.

18.24

The order of colours to be used for successive amendments is: (1) red, (2) green, (3) blue and (4) brown.

18.25

If there have been extensive amendments, it may be desirable to prepare a fresh version of the statement of case^G as amended. However, a copy of the statement of case^G showing where and when amendments have been made must also be made available.

18.26

All amendments to any statement of case^G must be verified by a statement of truth unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

18.27

A party applying for an amendment will usually be responsible for the costs of and arising from the amendment, but the Court^G will have regard to any failure of a party to consent to an amendment in accordance with Rule 18.12.

PART 19

Further information

Obtaining further information**19.1**

The Court^G may at any time order a party to:

- (1) clarify any matter which is in dispute in the proceedings; or
- (2) give additional information in relation to any such matter;

whether or not the matter is contained or referred to in a statement of case^G.

Extent of clarification or additional information ordered to be given**19.1.1**

In *Al Hendi v. Dubai Aerospace Enterprise (DAE) Ltd* (16 January 2013) CFI 026/2012, the Claimant sought a peremptory order for the provision of further information pursuant to an existing Order of Justice Williams. However, Justice Chadwick found that the Claimant was seeking information on matters, specifically the date by which objectives should have been negotiated and agreed, in respect of which the Defendant made no case [11].

In those circumstances, the Court found that it would be inappropriate to consider making an ‘unless order’ before the Claimant had first invited the Defendant to confirm that it made no case as to the date by which the objectives should have been set [12].

Adequacy of information assessed by reference to the stage in litigation

19.1.2

In the employment case of *Al Hendi v. Dubai Aerospace Enterprise (DAE) Ltd* (16 January 2013) CFI 026/2012, the Defendant employer alleged that the Claimant employee was estopped from certain benefits by his acts in having instructed subordinates in the payroll department to pay him cash in lieu of the very benefits in respect of which the employee now claimed. Justice Chadwick found that there was a lack of particularity in the Defendant's pleading as to the alleged instructions given by the employee, but it was not necessary to order that the Defendant further particularise that allegation *at this stage*, because if the Defendant relied on its allegation at trial (if disputed), it would have to call (detailed) evidence that such instructions were in fact given by the Claimant [26].

Answering requests individually or by compound response

19.1.3

In *Al Hendi v. Dubai Aerospace Enterprise (DAE) Ltd* (16 January 2013) CFI 026/2012, Justice Chadwick found it unfortunate that a party ordered to provide information had, instead of answering the questions individually in turn, chose to 'wrap them up in a compound response', which had led the requesting party to think that certain questions had not been answered, and had required the Court to 'unwrap' that compound response to show that they had been answered [19] [22] [25].

Requirement of clarity in the request

19.1.4

It is not sufficient in seeking clarification of a pleading or pleaded calculation to say that it is 'embarrassing', mutually inconsistent or contains calculation errors. The relevant cause of 'embarrassment' (the ambiguity), inconsistency or error should be properly identified and explained: *Al Hendi v. Dubai Aerospace Enterprise (DAE) Ltd* (16 January 2013) CFI 026/2012 [36]-[39].

19.2

Rule 19.1 is subject to any rule of law to the contrary.

19.3

Where the Court^G makes an order under Rule 19.1, the party against whom it is made must:

- (1) file his response; and
- (2) serve it on the other parties;

within the time specified by the Court^G.

Restriction on the use of further information

19.4

The Court^G may direct that information provided by a party to another party (whether given voluntarily or following an order made under Rule 19.1) must not be used for any purpose except for that of the proceedings in which it is given.

Preliminary request for further information or clarification

19.5

Before making an application to the Court^G for an order under Rule 19.1, the party seeking clarification or information should first serve on the party from whom it is sought a written request for that clarification or information (“a Request”), stating a date by which the response to the Request should be served. The date must allow the party providing clarification or further information a reasonable time to respond.

19.6

A Request should be concise and strictly confined to matters which are reasonably necessary and proportionate to enable the first party to prepare his own case or to understand the case he has to meet.

19.7

Requests must be made as far as possible in a single comprehensive document and not piecemeal.

19.8

A Request may be made by letter if the text of the Request is brief and the reply is likely to be brief; otherwise the Request should be made in a separate document.

19.9

If a Request is made in a letter, the letter should, in order to distinguish it from any other that might routinely be written in the course of a case:

- (1) state that it contains a Request made under **Part 19**, and
- (2) deals with no matters other than the Request.

19.10

A Request (whether made by letter or in a separate document) must:

- (1) be headed with the title and number of the claim;
- (2) in its heading state that it is a Request made under **Part 19**, identify the party seeking clarification or information and the party from whom it is sought and state the date on which it is made;
- (3) set out in a separate numbered paragraph each request for information or clarification;
- (4) where a Request relates to a document, identify that document and (if relevant) the paragraph or words to which it relates; and
- (5) state the date by which the party seeking clarification or information expects a response to the Request.

19.11

A Request which is not in the form of a letter may, if convenient, be prepared in such a way that the response may be given on the same document:

- (1) to do this, the numbered paragraphs of the Request should appear on the left hand half of each sheet so that the paragraphs of the response may then appear on the right;
- (2) where a Request is prepared in this form an extra copy should be served for the use of the second party.

19.12

Subject to Rules 9.3(1) to (4) a Request should be served by e-mail if reasonably practicable.

Responding to a request**19.13**

A response to a Request must be in writing, dated and signed by the party providing clarification or further information or his legal representative^G.

19.14

Where the Request is made in a letter:

- (1) the party providing clarification or further information may give his response in a letter or in a formal reply;
- (2) such a letter should identify itself as a response to the Request and deal with no other matters than the response.

19.15

Unless the Request is in the format described in Rule 19.11 and the party providing clarification or further information uses the document supplied for the purpose, a response must:

- (1) be headed with the title and number of the claim;
- (2) in its heading identify itself as a response to that Request;
- (3) repeat the text of each separate paragraph of the Request and set out under each paragraph the response to it; and
- (4) refer to and have attached to it a copy of any document not already in the possession of the first party which forms part of the response.

19.16

A second or supplementary response to a Request must identify itself as such in its heading.

19.17

The party providing clarification or further information must when he serves his

response on the party seeking it serve on every other party and file with the Court^G a copy of the Request and of his response.

Statements of truth

19.18

A response to a Part 19 Request must be verified by a statement of truth in the following form:

"[I believe] [the claimant/ defendant believes] that the facts stated in this response to the claimant's/ defendant's Part 19 Request for further information are true".

General matters

19.19

If the party providing clarification or further information objects to complying with the Request or part of it or is unable to do so at all or within the time stated in the Request:

- (1) he must inform the party seeking clarification or information promptly and in any event within that time; and
- (2) he may do so in a letter or in a separate document (a formal response), but in either case he must give reasons and, where relevant, give a date by which he expects to be able to comply.

19.20

There is no need for a party providing clarification or further information to apply to the Court^G if he objects to a Request or is unable to comply with it at all or within the stated time. He need only comply with Rule 19.19.

19.21

Where a party providing clarification or further information considers that a Request can only be complied with at disproportionate expense and objects to comply for that reason he should say so in his reply and explain briefly why he has taken that view.

Applications for orders under Part 19

19.22

An application notice^G for an order under Part 19 should set out or have attached to it the text of the order sought and in particular should specify the matter or matters in respect of which the clarification or information is sought.

19.23

If a Request under Rule 19.5 for the information or clarification has not been made, the application notice^G should, in addition, explain why not.

19.24

If a Request for clarification or information has been made, the application notice^G or the evidence in support should describe the response, if any.

19.25

Both the party seeking clarification or information and the party from whom it is sought should consider whether evidence in support of or in opposition to the application is required.

19.26

Where the party from whom clarification or information is sought has made no response to a Request served on him:

- (1) the party seeking the clarification or information need not serve the application notice^G on that party, and the Court^G may deal with the application without a hearing; and
- (2) sub-paragraph (1) above only applies if at least 14 days have passed since the Request was served and the time stated in it for a response has expired.

19.27

Unless Rule 19.26 applies the application notice^G must be served on the second party and on all other parties to the claim.

19.28

An order made under Part 19 must be served on all parties to the claim.

PART 20

Addition and substitution of parties

Parties — General**20.1**

Any number of claimants^G or defendants^G may be joined as parties to a claim.

20.2

Parties may be removed, added or substituted in existing proceedings either on the Court's^G own initiative or on the application of either an existing party or a person who wishes to become a party.

20.3

The application may be dealt with without a hearing where all the existing parties and the proposed new party are in agreement.

20.4

The application to add or substitute a new party should be supported by evidence setting out the proposed new party's interest in or connection with the claim.

20.5

The application notice^G should be filed in accordance with Rule 23.2 and, unless the application is made under Rule 20.9, be served in accordance with Rule 23.25.

I ADDITION AND SUBSTITUTION OF PARTIES

Change of parties — General

20.6

Rules 20.6 to 20.9 apply where a party is to be added or substituted except where the case falls within Rules 20.27 to 20.29 (special provisions about changing parties after the end of a relevant limitation period^G).

20.7

The Court^G may order a person to be added as a new party if:

- (1) it is desirable to add the new party so that the Court^G can resolve all the matters in dispute in the proceedings; or
- (2) there is an issue involving the new party and an existing party which is connected to the matters in dispute in the proceedings, and it is desirable to add the new party so that the Court^G can resolve that issue.

20.8

The Court^G may order any person to cease to be a party if it is not desirable for that person to be a party to the proceedings.

20.9

The Court^G may order a new party to be substituted for an existing one if:

- (1) the existing party's interest or liability has passed to the new party; and
- (2) it is desirable to substitute the new party so that the Court^G can resolve the matters in dispute in the proceedings.

20.10

Where a claimant^G claims a remedy to which some other person is jointly entitled with him, all persons jointly entitled to the remedy must be parties unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

Procedure for adding and substituting parties

20.11

The Court's^G permission is required to remove, add or substitute a party, unless the claim form has not been served.

20.12

An application for permission under Rule 20.11 may be made by:

- (1) an existing party; or
- (2) a person who wishes to become a party.

20.13

An application for an order under Rule 20.9 (substitution of a new party where existing party's interest or liability has passed):

- (1) may be made without notice; and
- (2) must be supported by evidence setting out the proposed new party's interest in, or connection with, the claim.

20.14

An order for the removal, addition or substitution of a party must be served on:

- (1) all parties to the proceedings; and
- (2) any other person affected by the order.

20.15

When the Court^G makes an order for the removal, addition or substitution of a party, it may give consequential directions about:

- (1) filing^G and serving the claim form on any new defendant^G;
- (2) serving relevant documents on the new party; and
- (3) the management of the proceedings.

Addition or substitution of claimant

20.16

Nobody may be added or substituted as a claimant^G unless:

- (1) he has given his consent in writing; and
- (2) that consent has been filed with the Court^G.

20.17

If any person does not agree to be a claimant^G, he must be made a defendant^G, unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

20.18

Where an application is made to the Court^G to add or to substitute a new party to the proceedings as claimant^G, the party applying must file:

- (1) the application notice^G;
- (2) the proposed amended claim form and particulars of claim; and
- (3) the signed, written consent of the new claimant^G to be so added or substituted.

20.19

Where the Court^G makes an order adding or substituting a party as claimant^G but the signed, written consent of the new claimant^G has not been filed:

- (1) the order; and

- (2) the addition or substitution of the new party as claimant^G;

will not take effect until the signed, written consent of the new claimant^G is filed.

20.20

Where the Court^G has made an order adding or substituting a new claimant^G, the Court^G may direct:

- (1) a copy of the order to be served on every party to the proceedings and any other person affected by the order;
- (2) copies of the statements of case and of documents referred to in any statement of case^G to be served on the new party; and
- (3) the party who made the application to file within 14 days an amended claim form and particulars of claim.

Addition or substitution of defendant

20.21

These Rules apply to a new defendant^G who has been added or substituted as they apply to any other defendant^G.

20.22

Where the Court^G has made an order adding or substituting a defendant^G whether on its own initiative or on an application, the Court^G may direct:

- (1) the claimant^G to file with the Court^G within 14 days (or as ordered) an amended claim form and particulars of claim for the Court^G file;
- (2) a copy of the order to be served on all parties to the proceedings and any other person affected by it;
- (3) the amended claim form and particulars of claim, forms for admitting, defending and acknowledging the claim and copies of the statements of case and any other documents referred to in any statement of case^G to be served on the new defendant^G; and
- (4) unless the Court^G orders otherwise, the amended claim form and particulars of claim to be served on any other defendants^G.

20.23

A new defendant^G does not become a party to the proceedings until the amended claim form has been served on him.

Removal of party

20.24

Where the Court^G makes an order for the removal of a party from the proceedings:

- (1) the claimant^G must file with the Court^G an amended claim form and particulars of claim; and
- (2) a copy of the order must be served on every party to the proceedings and on any other person affected by the order.

Transfer of interest or liability

20.25

Where the interest or liability of an existing party has passed to some other person, application should be made to the Court^G to add or substitute that person.

20.26

The application must be supported by evidence showing the stage the proceedings have reached and what change has occurred to cause the transfer of interest or liability.

Special provisions about adding or substituting parties after the end of a relevant limitation period

20.27

Rules 20.28 to 20.29 apply to a change of parties after the end of a period of limitation under:

- (1) the Court Law^G;
- (2) the Contract Law 2004;
- (3) the Law of Damages and Remedies 2005;
- (4) the Law of Obligations 2005;
- (5) the Trust Law 2005; or
- (6) any other enactment which allows such an amendment, or under which such an amendment is allowed.

20.28

The Court^G may add or substitute a party only if:

- (1) the relevant limitation period^G was current when the proceedings were started; and
- (2) the addition or substitution is necessary.

20.29

The addition or substitution of a party is necessary only if the Court^G is satisfied that:

- (1) the new party is to be substituted for a party who was named in the claim form in mistake for the new party;

- (2) the claim cannot properly be carried on by or against the original party unless the new party is added or substituted as claimant^G or defendant^G; or
- (3) the original party has died or had a bankruptcy order made against him and his interest or liability has passed to the new party.

Special Rules about parties in claims for wrongful interference with property
20.30

A claimant^G in a claim for wrongful interference with property must, in the particulars of claim, state the name and address of every person who, to his knowledge, has or claims an interest in the property and who is not a party to the claim.

20.31

A defendant^G to a claim for wrongful interference with property may apply for a direction that another person be made a party to the claim to establish whether the other person:

- (1) has a better right to the goods than the claimant^G; or
- (2) has a claim which might render the defendant^G with double liability.

20.32

In Rule 20.31 “double liability” means the double liability of the wrongdoer which can arise:

- (1) where one of two or more rights of action for wrongful interference is founded on a possessory title; or
- (2) where the measure of damages^G in an action for wrongful interference founded on a proprietary title is or includes the entire value of the goods, although the interest is one of two or more interests in the goods.

20.33

Where the person referred to in Rule 20.31 fails to attend the hearing of the application, or comply with any directions, the Court^G may order that he is deprived of any claim against the defendant^G in respect of the goods.

20.34

The application notice^G must be served on all parties and on the person referred to in Rule 20.31.

II REPRESENTATIVE PARTIES

Representative parties with same interest

20.35

Where more than one person has the same interest in a claim:

(1) the claim may be begun; or

(2) the Court^G may order that the claim be continued;

by or against one or more of the persons who have the same interest as representatives of any other persons who have that interest.

20.36

The Court^G may direct that a person may not act as a representative.

20.37

Any party may apply to the Court^G for an order under Rule 20.36.

20.38

Unless the Court^G otherwise directs any judgment or order^G given in a claim in which a party is acting as a representative under this rule:

(1) is binding on all persons represented in the claim; but

(2) may only be enforced by or against a person who is not a party to the claim with the permission of the Court^G.

20.39

This rule does not apply to a claim to which Rules 20.41 to 20.46 apply.

Representation of interested persons who cannot be ascertained Etc.

20.40

Rules 20.41 to 20.46 apply to claims about:

(1) property subject to a trust; or

(2) the meaning of a document, including a Law.

20.41

The Court^G may make an order appointing a person to represent any other person or persons in the claim where the person or persons to be represented:

(1) are unborn;

(2) cannot be found;

(3) cannot easily be ascertained; or

(4) are a class of persons who have the same interest in a claim and;
(a) one or more members of that class are within sub-paragraphs (1), (2) or (3); or
(b) to appoint a representative would further the overriding objective.

20.42

An application for an order under Rule 20.41:

- (1) may be made by:
 - (a) any person who seeks to be appointed under the order; or
 - (b) any party to the claim; and
- (2) may be made at any time before or after the claim has started.

20.43

An application notice^G for an order under Rule 20.41 must be served on:

- (1) all parties to the claim, if the claim has started;
- (2) the person sought to be appointed, if that person is not the applicant or a party to the claim; and
- (3) any other person as directed by the Court^G.

20.44

The Court's^G approval is required to settle a claim in which a party is acting as a representative under Rules 20.41 to 20.46.

20.45

The Court^G may approve a settlement where it is satisfied that the settlement is for the benefit of all the represented persons.

20.46

Unless the Court^G otherwise directs, any judgment^G or order given in a claim in which a party is acting as a representative under Rules 20.41 to 20.46:

- (1) is binding on all persons represented in the claim; but
- (2) may only be enforced by or against a person who is not a party to the claim with the permission of the Court^G.

Representation of beneficiaries by trustees Etc.**20.47**

A claim may be brought by or against trustees, executors or administrators in that capacity without adding as parties any persons who have a beneficial interest in the trust or estate (the 'beneficiaries').

20.48

Any judgment^G or order given or made in the claim is binding on the beneficiaries unless the Court^G orders otherwise in the same or other proceedings.

Death**20.49**

Where a person who had an interest in a claim has died and that person has no personal representative ("person representing his estate") the Court^G may order:

- (1) the claim to proceed in the absence of a person representing the estate of the deceased; or
- (2) a person to be appointed to represent the estate of the deceased.

20.50

Where a defendant^G against whom a claim could have been brought has died and:

- (1) a grant of representation has been made, the claim must be brought against the persons who are the personal representatives of the deceased;
- (2) a grant of representation has not been made:
 - (a) the claim must be brought against 'the estate of' the deceased; and
 - (b) the claimant^G must apply to the Court^G for an order appointing a person to represent the estate of the deceased in the claim.

20.51

A claim shall be treated as having been brought against 'the estate of' the deceased in accordance with Rule 20.50(2)(a) where:

- (1) the claim is brought against the 'personal representatives' of the deceased but a grant of probate or administration has not been made; or
- (2) the person against whom the claim was brought was dead when the claim was started.

20.52

Before making an order under Rule 20.49 or 20.50(2)(b), the Court^G may direct notice of the application to be given to any other person with an interest in the claim.

20.53

Where an order has been made under Rule 20.49 or 20.50(2)(b) any judgment^G or order made or given in the claim is binding on the estate of the deceased.

Power to make judgments binding on non-parties

20.54

Rules 20.55 to 20.62 apply to any claim relating to:

- (1) the estate of a deceased person;
- (2) property subject to a trust; or
- (3) the sale of any property.

20.55

The Court^G may at any time direct that notice of:

- (1) the claim; or

- (2) any judgment^G or order given in the claim;

be served on any person who is not a party but who is or may be affected by it.

20.56

An application under Rule 20.55:

- (1) may be made without notice; and
- (2) must be supported by written evidence which includes the reasons why the person to be served should be bound by the judgment^G in the claim.

20.57

Unless the Court^G orders otherwise:

- (1) a notice of a claim or of a judgment^G or order under Rule 20.55 must be:
 - (a) in Form P20/01 (claim) or Form P20/02 (judgment^G);
 - (b) issued by the Court^G; and
 - (c) accompanied by a form of acknowledgment of service^G with any necessary modifications;
 - (d) a notice of a judgment^G or order must also be accompanied by a copy of the judgment^G or order.
- (2) a notice of a claim must also be accompanied by:
 - (a) a copy of the claim form;
 - (b) such other statements of case, witness statements or affidavits^G as the Court^G may direct.

20.58

If a person served with notice of a claim files an acknowledgment of service^G of the notice within 14 days he will become a party to the claim.

20.59

If a person served with notice of a claim does not acknowledge service^G of the notice, he will be bound by any judgment^G given in the claim as if he were a party.

20.60

If, after service^G of a notice of a claim on a person, the claim form is amended so as substantially to alter the relief claimed, the Court^G may direct that a judgment^G shall not bind that person unless a further notice, together with a copy of the amended claim form, is served on him.

20.61

Any person served with a notice of a judgment^G or order under Rule 20.55:

- (1) shall be bound by the judgment^G or order as if he had been a party to the claim; but

- (2) may, provided he acknowledges service^G:
 - (a) within 28 days after the notice is served on him, apply to the Court^G to set aside^G or vary the judgment^G or order; and
 - (b) take part in any proceedings relating to the judgment^G or order.
- (3) The following rules of Part 11 (acknowledgment of service^G) apply:
 - (a) Rule 11.7; and
 - (b) Rule 11.8, subject to the modification that references to the defendant^G are to be read as references to the person served with the notice.

20.62

A notice under Rule 20.55 is issued on the date entered on the notice by the Court^G.

Derivative claims

20.63

Rules 20.64 to 20.69 apply where a company or other incorporated body is alleged to be entitled to claim a remedy and a claim is made by one or more members of the company or body for it to be given that remedy (a 'derivative claim').

20.64

The company or body for whose benefit a remedy is sought must be a defendant^G to the claim.

20.65

After the claim form has been issued the claimant^G must apply to the Court^G for permission to continue the claim and may not take any other step in the proceedings except:

- (1) as provided by Rule 20.67; or
- (2) where the Court^G gives permission.

20.66

An application in accordance with Rule 20.65 must be supported by written evidence.

20.67

The:

- (1) claim form;
- (2) application notice^G; and
- (3) written evidence in support of the application;

must be served on the defendant^G within the period within which the claim form must be served and, in any event, at least 14 days before the

Court^G is to deal with the application.

20.68

If the Court^G gives the claimant^G permission to continue the claim, the Court^G will give directions for the management of the claim.

20.69

The Court^G may order the company or body to indemnify the claimant^G against any liability in respect of costs incurred in the claim.

III GROUP LITIGATION

Definition

20.70

A Group Litigation Order ('GLO') means an order made under Rule 20.72 to provide for the case management of claims which give rise to common or related issues of fact or law (the 'GLO issues').

20.71

This Section III of Part 20 (group litigation orders) also applies where the multiple parties are defendants^G. The Court^G will give such directions in such a case as are appropriate.

Group Litigation Order (GLO)

20.72

The Court^G may make a GLO where there are or are likely to be a number of claims giving rise to the GLO issues.

20.73

An application for a GLO must be made in accordance with Part 23, may be made at any time before or after any relevant claims have been issued and may be made either by a claimant^G or by a defendant^G.

20.74

The following information should be included in the application notice^G or in written evidence filed in support of the application:

- (1) a summary of the nature of the litigation;
- (2) the number and nature of claims already issued;
- (3) the number of parties likely to be involved;
- (4) the common issues of fact or law (the GLO issues) that are likely to arise in the litigation; and
- (5) whether there are any matters that distinguish smaller groups of claims within the wider group.

20.75

A GLO may not be made without the consent of the Chief Justice^G.

20.76

Subject to obtaining the appropriate consent referred to in Rule 20.75 the Court^G may make a GLO of its own initiative.

20.77

A GLO must:

- (1) contain directions about the establishment of a register (the 'group register') on which the claims managed under the GLO will be entered; and
- (2) specify the GLO issues which will identify the claims to be managed as a group under the GLO.

20.78

A GLO may:

- (1) in relation to claims which raise one or more of the GLO issues:
 - (a) order their stay^G until further order; and
 - (b) direct their entry on the group register;
- (2) direct that from a specified date claims which raise one or more of the GLO issues should be entered on the group register; and
- (3) give directions for publicising the GLO.

The Group Register**20.79**

A claim must be issued before it can be entered on a group register.

20.80

An application for details of a case to be entered on a group register may be made by any party to the case.

20.81

An order for details of the case to be entered on the group register will not be made unless the case gives rise to at least one of the GLO issues.

20.82

The Court^G, if it is not satisfied that a case can be conveniently case managed with the other cases on the group register, or if it is satisfied that the entry of the case on the group register would adversely affect the case management of the other cases, may refuse to allow details of the case to be entered on the group register, or order their removal from the register if already entered, although the case gives rise to one or more of the GLO issues.

20.83

The group register will normally be maintained by and kept at the Court^G but the Court^G may direct this to be done by the legal representative^G of one of the parties to a case entered on the register.

20.84

Rules 6.9 to 6.19 (supply of documents from Court^G records) apply where the register is maintained by the Court^G. A party to a claim on the group register may request documents relating to any other claim on the group register in accordance with Rule 6.9 as if he were a party to those proceedings.

20.85

Where the register is maintained by a legal representative^G, any person may inspect the group register during normal business hours and upon giving reasonable notice to the legal representative^G; the legal representatives^G may charge a fee not exceeding the fee prescribed for a search at the Court^G office.

Effect of the GLO**20.86**

Where a judgment^G or order is given or made in a claim on the group register in relation to one or more GLO issues:

- (1) that judgment^G or order is binding on the parties to all other claims that are on the group register at the time the judgment^G is given or the order is made unless the Court^G orders otherwise; and
- (2) the Court^G may give directions as to the extent to which that judgment^G or order is binding on the parties to any claim which is subsequently entered on the group register.

20.87

Unless Rule 20.88 applies, any party who is adversely affected by a judgment^G or order which is binding on him may seek permission to appeal the order.

20.88

A party to a claim which was entered on the group register after a judgment^G or order which is binding on him was given or made may not:

- (1) apply for the judgment^G or order to be set aside^G, varied or stayed^G; or
- (2) appeal the judgment^G or order;

but may apply to the Court^G for an order that the judgment^G or order is not binding on him.

20.89

Unless the Court^G orders otherwise, production of any document relating to the GLO issues by a party to a claim on the group register is production of that document to all parties to claims:

- (1) on the group register; and
- (2) which are subsequently entered on the group register.

Case management

20.90

Directions may include directions:

- (1) varying the GLO issues;
- (2) providing for one or more claims on the group register to proceed as test claims;
- (3) appointing the legal representative^G of one or more parties to be the lead legal representative^G for the claimants^G or defendants^G;
- (4) specifying the details to be included in a statement of case^G in order to show that the criteria for entry of the claim on the group register have been met;
- (5) specifying a date after which no claim may be added to the group register unless the Court^G gives permission;
- (6) for the entry of any particular claim which meets one or more of the GLO issues on the group register;
- (7) for the trial of common issues; and
- (8) for the trial of individual issues.

Statements of case

20.91

The Court^G may direct that the GLO claimants^G serve 'Group Particulars of Claim' which set out the various claims of all the claimants^G on the group register at the time the particulars are filed. Such particulars of claim will usually contain:

- (1) general allegations relating to all claims; and
- (2) a schedule containing entries relating to each individual claim specifying which of the general allegations are relied on and any specific facts relevant to the claimant^G.

20.92

The directions given under **Rule 20.91** should include directions as to whether the Group Particulars of Claim should be verified by a statement or statements of truth and, if so, by whom.

20.93

The specific facts relating to each claimant^G on the group register may be obtained by the use of a questionnaire. Where this is proposed, the Court^G should be asked to approve the questionnaire. The Court^G may direct that the questionnaires completed by individual claimants^G take the place of the schedule referred to in **Rule 20.91(2)**.

20.94

The Court^G may also give directions about the form that particulars of claim relating to claims which are to be entered on the group register should take.

Removal from the register**20.95**

A party to a claim entered on the group register may apply to the Court^G for the claim to be removed from the register.

20.96

If the Court^G orders the claim to be removed from the group register it may give directions about the future management of the claim.

Test claims**20.97**

Where a direction has been given for a claim on the group register to proceed as a test claim and that claim is settled, the Court^G may order that another claim on the group register be substituted as the test claim.

20.98

Where an order is made under Rule 20.97, any order made in the test claim before the date of substitution is binding on the substituted claim unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

PART 21

Counterclaims and other additional claims

Scope and interpretation**21.1**

This Part applies to:

- (1) a counterclaim^G by a defendant^G against the claimant^G or against the claimant^G and some other person;
- (2) an additional claim^G by a defendant^G against any person (whether or not already a party) for contribution^G or indemnity^G or some other remedy; and
- (3) where an additional claim^G has been made against a person who is not already a party, any additional claim^G made by that person against any other person (whether or not already a party).

21.2

In these Rules^G:

- (1) 'additional claim' means any claim other than the claim by the claimant^G against the defendant^G; and

- (2) unless the context requires otherwise, references to a claimant^G or defendant^G include a party bringing or defending an additional claim^G.

Application of these Rules to additional claims

21.3

An additional claim^G shall be treated as if it were a claim for the purposes of these Rules^G, except as provided by this Part.

21.4

Rules 7.20 to 7.25 (time within which a claim form may be served) do not apply to additional claims^G.

21.5

Part 13 (default judgment^G) applies to a counterclaim^G but not to other additional claims^G.

21.6

Part 15 (admissions) applies to a counterclaim^G, but only:

- (1) Rule 15.1 (which provides that a party may admit the truth of another party's case in writing); and
- (2) Rules 15.12 and 15.13 (admission by notice in writing — application for judgment^G):

apply to other additional claims^G.

Defendant's counterclaim against the claimant

21.7

A defendant^G may make a counterclaim^G against a claimant^G by filing^G particulars of the counterclaim^G.

21.8

A defendant^G may make a counterclaim^G against a claimant^G:

- (1) without the Court's^G permission if he files it with his defence.
The defence and counterclaim^G should normally form one document, with the counterclaim^G following on from the defence; or
- (2) at any other time with the Court's^G permission.

21.9

Part 11 (acknowledgment of service^G) does not apply to a claimant^G who wishes to defend a counterclaim^G.

21.10

Where a claimant^G serves a reply and a defence to counterclaim^G, the reply and the defence to counterclaim^G should normally form one document, with the defence to counterclaim^G following on from the reply.

Counterclaim against a person other than the claimant**21.11**

A defendant^G who wishes to counterclaim^G against a person who is not already a party must apply to the Court^G for an order that that person be added as an additional party.

21.12

An application for an order under Rule 21.11 may be made without notice unless the Court^G directs otherwise.

21.13

Where the Court^G makes an order under Rule 21.11, it will give directions as to the management of the case.

Defendant's additional claim for contribution or indemnity from another party**21.14**

A defendant^G who has filed an acknowledgment of service^G or a defence may make an additional claim^G for contribution^G or indemnity^G against a person who is already a party to the proceedings by:

- (1) filing^G a notice containing a statement of the nature and grounds of his additional claim^G; and
- (2) serving the notice on that party.

21.15

A defendant^G may file and serve a notice under Rule 21.14:

- (1) without the Court's^G permission, if he files and serves it:
 - (a) with his defence; or
 - (b) if his additional claim^G for contribution^G or indemnity^G is against a party added to the claim later, within 28 days after that party files his defence; or
- (2) at any other time with the Court's^G permission.

Procedure for making any other additional claim**21.16**

Rules 21.17 to 21.24 apply to any additional claim^G except:

- (1) a counterclaim^G only against an existing party; and
- (2) a claim for contribution^G or indemnity^G made in accordance with Rule 21.14.

21.17

An additional claim^G is made when the Court^G issues the appropriate claim form.

21.18

A defendant^G may make an additional claim^G:

- (1) without the Court's^G permission if the additional claim^G is issued before or at the same time as he files his defence; and
- (2) at any other time with the Court's^G permission.

21.19

Particulars of an additional claim^G must be contained in or served with the additional claim^G.

21.20

An application for permission to make an additional claim^G may be made without notice, unless the Court^G directs otherwise.

21.21

Where an application is made for permission to make an additional claim^G, the application notice^G should be filed together with a copy of the proposed additional claim^G.

21.22

An application for permission to make an additional claim^G must be supported by evidence stating:

- (1) the stage which the proceedings have reached;
- (2) the nature of the additional claim^G to be made or details of the question or issue which needs to be decided;
- (3) a summary of the facts on which the additional claim^G is based; and
- (4) the name and address of any proposed additional party.

21.23

Where delay has been a factor contributing to the need to apply for permission to make an additional claim^G an explanation of the delay should be given in evidence.

21.24

Where possible the applicant should provide a timetable of the proceedings to date.

Service of claim form

21.25

Where an additional claim^G may be made without the Court's^G permission, any claim form must:

- (1) in the case of a counterclaim^G against an additional party only, be served on every other party when a copy of the defence is served;
- (2) in the case of any other additional claim^G, be served on the person against whom it is made within 14 days after the date on which the additional claim^G is issued by the Court^G.

21.26

Rule 21.25 does not apply to a claim for contribution^G or indemnity^G made in accordance with Rule 21.14.

21.27

Where the Court^G gives permission to make an additional claim^G, it will at the same time give directions as to its service^G.

Statement of truth**21.28**

The contents of an additional claim^G should be verified by a statement of truth.

Matters relevant to question of whether an additional claim should be separate from the claim**21.29**

Rule 21.30 applies where the Court^G is considering whether to:

- (1) permit an additional claim^G to be made;
- (2) dismiss an additional claim^G; or
- (3) require an additional claim^G to be dealt with separately from the claim by the claimant^G against the defendant^G.

21.30

The matters to which the Court^G may have regard include:

- (1) the connection between the additional claim^G and the claim made by the claimant^G against the defendant^G;
- (2) whether the additional claimant^G is seeking substantially the same remedy which some other party is claiming from him; and
- (3) whether the additional claimant^G wants the Court^G to decide any question connected with the subject matter of the proceedings:
 - (a) not only between existing parties but also between existing parties and a person not already a party; or
 - (b) against an existing party not only in a capacity in which he is already a party but also in some further capacity.

Effect of service of an additional claim**21.31**

A person on whom an additional claim^G is served becomes a party to the proceedings if he is not a party already.

21.32

When an additional claim^G is served on an existing party for the purpose of requiring the Court^G to decide a question against that party in a further capacity, that party also becomes a party in the further capacity specified in the additional claim^G.

Special provisions relating to default judgment on an additional claim other than a counterclaim or a contribution or indemnity notice

21.33

Rules 21.34 to 21.38 apply if:

- (1) the additional claim^G is not:
 - (a) a counterclaim^G; or
 - (b) a claim by a defendant^G for contribution^G or indemnity^G against another defendant^G under Rule 21.14; and
- (2) the party against whom an additional claim^G is made fails to file an acknowledgment of service^G or defence in respect of the additional claim^G.

21.34

The party against whom the additional claim^G is made is deemed to admit the additional claim^G, and is bound by any judgment^G or decision in the proceedings in so far as it is relevant to any matter arising in the additional claim^G.

21.35

Subject to Rule 21.36, if default judgment^G under Part 13 is given against the additional defendant^G, the additional claimant^G may obtain judgment^G in respect of the additional claim^G by filing^G a request in Form P13/01 or Form P13/02.

21.36

An additional claimant^G may not enter judgment^G under Rule 21.35 without the Court's^G permission if:

- (1) he has not satisfied the default judgment^G which has been given against him; or
- (2) he wishes to obtain judgment^G for any remedy other than a contribution^G or indemnity^G.

21.37

An application for the Court's^G permission under Rule 21.36 may be made without notice unless the Court^G directs otherwise.

21.38

The Court^G may at any time set aside^G or vary a judgment^G entered under Rule 21.35.

Procedural steps on service of an additional claim form on a non-party

21.39

Where an additional claim^G form is served on a person who is not already a party it must be accompanied by the following, which can be set out in one or more documents:

- (1) a cover letter stating that the defendant to the additional claim must file a form for defending the claim, a form for admitting the claim or a form for acknowledging service using the Court's e-filing system; and

(2) a copy of:

- (a) every statement of case^G which has already been served in the proceedings; and
- (b) such other documents as the Court^G may direct.

21.40

A copy of the additional claim^G form must be served on every existing party.

Case management where a defence to an additional claim is filed

21.41

Where a defence is filed to an additional claim^G the Court^G must consider the future conduct of the proceedings and give appropriate directions.

21.42

In giving directions under Rule 21.41 the Court^G must ensure that, so far as practicable, the original claim and all additional claims^G are managed together.

21.43

Where the defendant^G to an additional claim^G files a defence, other than to a counterclaim^G, the Court^G will arrange a hearing to consider case management of the additional claim^G. This will normally be at the same time as a case management hearing for the original claim and any other additional claims^G.

21.44

The Court^G will give notice of the hearing to each party likely to be affected by any order made at the hearing.

21.45

At the hearing the Court^G may:

- (1) treat the hearing as a immediate judgment^G hearing;
- (2) order that the additional claim^G be dismissed;
- (3) give directions about the way any claim, question or issue set out in or arising from the additional claim^G should be dealt with;
- (4) give directions as to the part, if any, the additional defendant^G will take at the trial of the claim; and
- (5) give directions about the extent to which the additional defendant^G is to be bound by any judgment^G or decision to be made in the claim.

21.46

The Court^G may make any of the orders in Rule 21.45(1) to 21.45(5) either before or after any judgment^G in the claim has been entered by the claimant^G against the defendant^G.

Titles of proceedings where there are additional claims

21.47

Where there are additional claims^G which add parties, the title to the proceedings

should comprise a list of all parties describing each by giving them a single identification. Subject to Rule 21.56, this identification should be used throughout.

21.48

Claimants^G and defendants^G in the original claim should always be referred to as such in the title to the proceedings, even if they subsequently acquire an additional procedural status.

21.49

Additional parties should be referred to in the title to the proceedings in accordance with the order in which they are joined to the proceedings, for example 'Third Party' or 'Fourth Party', whatever their actual procedural status.

Examples:

- (1) If the defendant^G makes an additional claim^G against a single additional party, the additional party should be referred to in the title as 'Third Party'.
- (2) If the defendant^G makes separate additional claims^G against two additional parties, the additional parties should be referred to in the title as 'Third Party' and 'Fourth Party'.
- (3) If the defendant^G makes a counterclaim^G against the claimant^G and an additional party, the claimant^G should remain as 'Claimant' and the additional party should be referred to in the title as 'Third Party'.
- (4) If the Third Party in example (2) makes an additional claim^G against a further additional party, that additional party should be referred to in the title as 'Fifth Party'.

21.50

If an additional claim^G is brought against more than one party jointly, they should be referred to in the title to the proceedings as, for example, 'First Named Third Party' and 'Second Named Third Party'.

21.51

In group litigation, the Court^G should give directions about the designation of parties.

21.52

All parties should co-operate to ensure that two parties each making additional claims^G do not attribute the same nominal status to more than one party.

21.53

In proceedings with numerous parties, the Court^G will if necessary give directions as to the preparation and updating of a list of parties giving their roles in the claim and each additional claim^G.

21.54

If an additional party ceases to be a party to the proceedings, for example because the claim against that party is discontinued or dismissed, all other additional parties should retain their existing nominal status.

21.55

In proceedings where there are additional parties, the description of all statements of case or other similar documents should clearly identify the nature of the document with reference to each relevant party.

Examples:

- (1) In example 21.49(1), the defendant's^G additional claim^G should be headed 'Defendant's Additional Claim against Third Party' and the Third Party's defence to it should be headed 'Third Party's Defence to Defendant's Additional Claim'.
- (2) In example 21.49(3), the Defendant's^G counterclaim^G should be headed 'Defendant's Counterclaim against Claimant and Third Party' and the Third Party's defence to it should be headed 'Third Party's defence to Defendant's Counterclaim'.

21.56

In proceedings where there are Fourth or subsequent parties, additional parties should be referred to in the text of statements of case or other similar documents by name, suitably abbreviated if appropriate. If parties have similar names, suitable distinguishing abbreviations should be used.

PART 22

Statements of truth

Documents to be verified by a statement of truth

22.1

The following documents must be verified by a statement of truth:

- (1) a statement of case^G;
- (2) a response complying with an order under Rule 19.1 to provide further information;
- (3) a witness statement;
- (4) an acknowledgement of service^G in a claim begun by way of the Part 8 procedure;
- (5) a certificate of service^G;
- (6) any other document where a Rule or Practice Direction requires;
- (7) an application notice^G for:
 - (a) a charging order under Article 43 of the Law of Damages and Remedies 2005;
 - (b) an order for attachment of future assets under Article 44 of the Law of Damages and Remedies 2005; and

- (c) an order for execution against assets under Article 45 of the Law of Damages and Remedies 2005;
- (8) a notice of objections to an account being taken by the Court^G, unless verified by an affidavit^G or witness statement; and
- (9) a schedule or counter-schedule of expenses and losses in a personal injury claim, and any amendments to such a schedule or counter-schedule, whether or not they are contained in a statement of case^G.

22.2

An expert's report should also be verified by a statement of truth. For the form of the statement of truth verifying an expert's report (which differs from that set out below) see Rule 31.55.

22.3

The statement of truth may be contained in the document it verifies or it may be in a separate document served subsequently, in which case it must identify the document to which it relates.

22.4

Where the form to be used includes a jurat^G for the content to be verified by an affidavit^G then a statement of truth is not required in addition.

22.5

Where a statement of case^G is amended, the amendments must be verified by a statement of truth unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

22.6

If an applicant wishes to rely on matters set out in his application notice^G as evidence, the application notice^G must be verified by a statement of truth.

22.7

A statement of truth is a statement that:

- (1) the party putting forward the document;
- (2) in the case of a witness statement, the maker of the witness statement; or
- (3) in the case of a certificate of service^G, the person who signs the certificate;

believes the facts stated in the document are true.

22.8

The statement of truth must be signed by:

- (1) in the case of a statement of case^G, a response or an application:
 - (a) the party; or
 - (b) the legal representative^G on behalf of the party; and

- (2) in the case of a witness statement, the maker of the statement.

22.9

A statement of truth in a statement of case^G may be made by:

- (1) a person who is not a party; or
- (2) by two parties jointly.

Form of the statement of truth

22.10

The form of the statement of truth verifying a statement of case^G, a response, an application notice^G or a notice of objections should be as follows:

'[I believe][The (claimant or as may be) believes] that the facts stated in this [name document being verified] are true.'

22.11

The form of the statement of truth verifying a witness statement should be as follows:

'I believe that the facts stated in this witness statement are true.'

22.12

Where the statement of truth is contained in a separate document, the document containing the statement of truth must be headed with the title of the proceedings and the claim number. The document being verified should be identified in the statement of truth as follows:

- (1) claim form: 'the claim form issued on [date]';
- (2) particulars of claim: 'the particulars of claim issued on [date]';
- (3) statement of case^G: 'the [defence or as may be] served on the [name of party] on [date]';
- (4) application notice^G: 'the application notice issued on [date] for [set out the remedy sought]'; or
- (5) witness statement: 'the witness statement filed on [date] or served on [party] on [date]'.

Who may sign the statement of truth

22.13

In a statement of case^G, a response or an application notice^G, the statement of truth must be signed by:

- (1) the party; or
- (2) the party's legal representative^G.

22.14

A statement of truth verifying a witness statement must be signed by the witness.

22.15

A statement of truth verifying a notice of objections to an account must be signed by the objecting party or his legal representative^G.

22.16

Where a document is to be verified on behalf of a company or other corporation, subject to Rule 22.21 below, the statement of truth must be signed by a person holding a senior position in the company or corporation. That person must state the office or position he holds.

22.17

Each of the following persons is a person holding a senior position:

- (1) in respect of a registered company or corporation, a director, the treasurer, secretary, chief executive, manager or other officer of the company or corporation; and
- (2) in respect of a corporation which is not a registered company, in addition to those persons set out in (1), the mayor, chairman, president or other similar officer of the corporation.

22.18

Where the document is to be verified on behalf of a partnership, those who may sign the statement of truth are:

- (1) any of the partners; or
- (2) a person having the control or management of the partnership business.

22.19

An insurer may sign a statement of truth in a statement of case^G on behalf of a party where the insurer has a financial interest in the result of proceedings brought wholly or partially by or against that party.

22.20

If insurers are conducting proceedings on behalf of many claimants^G or defendants^G, a statement of truth in a statement of case^G may be signed by a senior person responsible for the case at a lead insurer, but;

- (1) the person signing must specify the capacity in which he signs;
- (2) the statement of truth must be a statement that the lead insurer believes that the facts stated in the document are true; and
- (3) the Court^G may order that a statement of truth also be signed by one or more of the parties.

22.21

Where a party is legally represented, the legal representative^G may sign the statement of truth on his behalf. The statement signed by the legal representative^G will refer to the client's belief, not his own. In signing he must state the capacity in which he signs and the name of his firm where appropriate.

22.22

Where a legal representative^G has signed a statement of truth, his signature will be taken by the Court^G as his statement:

- (1) that the client on whose behalf he has signed had authorised him to do so;
- (2) that before signing he had explained to the client that in signing the statement of truth he would be confirming the client's belief that the facts stated in the document were true; and
- (3) that before signing he had informed the client of the possible consequences to the client if it should subsequently appear that the client did not have an honest belief in the truth of those facts (see Section VI of Part 29).

22.23

The individual who signs a statement of truth must print his full name clearly beneath his signature.

22.24

A legal representative^G who signs a statement of truth must sign in his own name and not that of his firm or employer.

22.25

The following are examples of the possible application of this Part describing who may sign a statement of truth verifying statements in documents other than a witness statement. These are only examples and not an indication of how a Court^G might apply this Part to a specific situation.

Managing Agent	An agent who manages property or investments for the party cannot sign a statement of truth. It must be signed by the party or by the legal representative ^G of the party.
Trusts	Where some or all of the trustees comprise a single party one, some or all of the trustees comprising the party may sign a statement of truth. The legal representative ^G of the trustees may sign it.

Insurers	If an insurer has a financial interest in a claim involving its insured then, if the insured is the party, the insurer may sign a statement of truth in a statement of case ^G for the insured party. Rules 22.16 and 22.17 apply to the insurer if it is a company. The claims manager employed by the insurer responsible for handling the insurance claim or managing the staff handling the claim may sign the statement of truth for the insurer (see next example).
Companies	Rules 22.16 and 22.17. The word 'manager' will be construed in the context of the phrase 'a person holding a senior position' which it is used to define. The Court ^G will consider the size of the company and the size and nature of the claim. It would expect the manager signing the statement of truth to have personal knowledge of the content of the document or to be responsible for managing those who have that knowledge of the content. A small company may not have a manager, apart from the directors, who holds a senior position. A large company will have many such managers. In a larger company with specialist claims, insurance or legal departments the statement may be signed by the manager of such a department if he or she is responsible for handling the claim or managing the staff handling it.
In-house legal representatives	Legal representative ^G is defined in the Schedule to Part 2. A legal representative ^G employed by a party may sign a statement of truth. A person employed by the company who is not legally qualified cannot sign a statement of truth on behalf of the party.

22.26

A party may apply to the Court^G for permission that a statement of truth be signed by a person other than one of those required by the Part.

Power of the Court to require a document to be verified

22.27

The Court^G may order a person who has failed to verify a document in accordance with Rule 22.1 to verify the document.

22.28

Any party may apply to the Court^G for an order under Rule 22.27 that unless within such period as the Court^G may specify the statement of case^G is verified by the service^G of a statement of truth, the statement of case^G will be struck out.

22.29

The usual order for the costs of an application referred to in Rule 22.28 will be that the costs be paid by the party who had failed to verify in any event and forthwith.

Failure to verify a statement of case

22.30

If a party fails to verify his statement of case^G by a statement of truth:

- (1) the statement of case^G shall remain effective unless struck out; but
- (2) the party may not rely on the statement of case^G as evidence of any of the matters set out in it.

22.31

The Court^G may strike out^G a statement of case^G which is not verified by a statement of truth.

Penalty

22.32

Attention is drawn to Section VI of Part 29 which sets out the consequences of verifying a statement of case^G containing a false statement without an honest belief in its truth.

PART 23

General Rules about applications for Court orders

Meaning of 'Application Notice' and 'Respondent'

23.1

In this Part:

- (1) 'application notice' means a document in which the applicant states his intention to seek a Court^G order; and
- (2) 'respondent' means:
 - (a) the person against whom the order is sought; and
 - (b) such other person as the Court^G may direct.

I FILING AND SERVICE OF NOTICE OF AN APPLICATION

Application notice to be filed

23.2

The general rule is that an applicant must file an application notice^G.

23.3

An applicant may make an application without filing^G an application notice^G if:

- (1) this is permitted by a Rule or Practice Direction; or
- (2) the Court^G dispenses with the requirement for an application notice^G.

Dispensation with requirement for an application notice

23.3.1

In *Hardt v. DAMAC (DIFC) Company Limited* (31 March 2010) CFI 36/2009 ('Judgment

No.1”) [27] and [30], the Court retrospectively dispensed with the requirement for an application notice, although without explicit reference to RDC 23.3. The reference by the Court in that case to the Overriding Objective, and in particular fairness and the absence of injustice to any party, suggests that the criteria for dispensation will resemble those formally required in respect of a dispensation of *service* of an application notice under RDC 23.6.

Notice of an application

23.4

The general rule is that a copy of the application notice^G must be served by the applicant on each respondent^G even if such service^G is on short notice.

23.5

An application may be made without serving a copy of the application notice^G if this is permitted by:

- (1) a Rule; or
- (2) a Court^G order.

Applications without service of application notice

23.6

The Court’s^G permission is required for an application to be made without serving an application notice^G. The Court’s^G permission will be granted only:

- (1) where there is exceptional urgency;
- (2) where the overriding objective is best furthered by doing so;
- (3) by consent of all parties;
- (4) where Rule 23.19 below applies;
- (5) where a Rule or Practice Direction permits; or
- (6) where there are good reasons for making the application without notice, for example, because notice would or might defeat the object of the application.

23.7

Where an application notice^G should be served but there is not sufficient time to do so, informal notification of the application should be given unless the circumstances of the application require secrecy.

23.8

Where an application without notice does not involve the giving of undertakings to the Court^G, it will normally be made and dealt with without a hearing, as, for example, applications for an extension of time in which to serve a claim form.

23.9

Any application for an interim injunction^G or similar remedy will require an oral hearing.

23.10

A party wishing to make an application without notice which requires an oral hearing before a Judge^G should contact the Court^G at the earliest opportunity.

23.11

On all applications without notice it is the duty of the applicant and those representing him to make full disclosure of all matters relevant to the application including, in particular, disclosure of any possible defences that may be available to the respondent^G in response to the application.

23.12

The papers lodged for the application should include two copies of a draft of the order sought. Save in exceptional circumstances where time does not permit, all the evidence relied upon in support of the application and any other relevant documents must be lodged in advance with the Registry^G. If the application is urgent, the Court^G should be informed in writing of the fact and of the reasons for the urgency.

Expedited applications**23.13**

The Court^G will expedite the hearing of an application on notice in cases of sufficient urgency and importance.

23.14

Where a party wishes to make an expedited application a request should be made to the Registrar^G on notice to all other parties.

Pre-action applications**23.15**

All applications made before a claim is commenced should be made under Part 8 of the Rules, unless the Court orders otherwise.

Pre-action applications to be under Part 8**23.15.1**

Pre-action applications must be made not on a stated intention to bring proceedings but instead as Part 8 proceedings in their own right. See further RDC 8.38 and the above note to that provision.

Time when an application is made**23.16**

Every application should be made as soon as it becomes apparent that it is necessary or desirable to make it.

23.17

Applications should, wherever possible, be made so that they can be considered at any other hearing for which a date has already been fixed or for which a

date is about to be fixed. This is particularly so in relation to Case Management Conferences, listing hearings and pre-trial reviews fixed by the Court^G.

23.18

Where a date for a hearing has been fixed and a party wishes to make an application at that hearing but he does not have sufficient time to serve an application notice^G he should inform the other party and the Court^G (if possible in writing) as soon as he can of the nature of the application and the reason for it. He should then make the application orally at the hearing.

23.19

The Court^G will only entertain applications at a hearing for which a date has been fixed if the proposed (additional) application will not increase the time estimate already given for the hearing.

23.20

Where an application must be made within a specified time, it is so made if the application notice^G is received by the Court^G within that time.

Timing of application by reference to Court's receipt of application notice

23.20.1

Where the application notice is sent by email, then its receipt by the Court will be timed by the arrival of the email on the Court's apparatus: *Hardt v. DAMAC (DIFC) Company Limited* (31 March 2010) CFI 36/2009 ('Judgment No.1') [22]-[26]. This is consistent with the time of deemed *filing* of a document *filed* by email: Part 3, Schedule, paragraph 14. In the case of *Hardt (No.1)*, in which an emailed application notice had taken 16 hours to arrive, this would have resulted in the notice being out of time, had the Court not been able under RDC 4.1(1) retrospectively to extend the period of time specified in RDC 12.4.

The Court has inferred from RDC 23.20 (as it now is) that the *filing* at the Registry of the application, and the filing and service of the *evidence* supporting the application, did not need to be effected for an application to have been received by the Court for the purposes of RDC 23.20.

Under the RDC 2007, RDC 23.20 was found to supersede the applicability of Part 3, Schedule, paragraph 2 (which provided that email should not be used for steps requiring the payment of a fee): *Hardt (No.1)* [23]. It may now supersede Part 3, Schedule, paragraph 1A of the RDC 2011, which provides that an emailed document will be considered 'incomplete' and 'unprocessed by the Registry' until the relevant Court fees have been paid.

The Court has further held that RDC 23.20 supersedes Part 3, Schedule, paragraph 19, which provides that a document received after 4 p.m. will be treated as having been received on the following day: *Hardt (No.1)* [24]. In the latter case, it would have been sufficient for the email to have been received by 5 p.m., at which time the Registry appears to have closed.

What an application notice must include

23.21

An application notice^G must state:

- (1) what order the applicant is seeking; and
- (2) briefly, why the applicant is seeking the order.

23.22

An application notice^G must be signed and include:

- (1) the title of the claim;
- (2) the reference number of the claim;
- (3) the full name of the applicant;
- (4) where the applicant is not already a party, his address for service^G; and
- (5) either a request for a hearing or a request that the application be dealt with without a hearing.

23.23

On receipt of an application notice^G containing a request for a hearing the Court^G will notify the applicant of the time and date for the hearing of the application.

Service of a copy of an application notice

23.24

Unless the Court^G otherwise directs, or Rule 23.5 applies a copy of the application notice^G:

- (1) must be served as soon as practicable after it is filed; and
- (2) except where another time limit is specified in these Rules^G, must in any event be served at least 3 days before the Court^G is to deal with the application.

23.25

When a copy of an application notice^G is served it must be accompanied by:

- (1) a copy of any written evidence in support; and
- (2) a copy of any draft order which the applicant has attached to his application.

23.26

If:

- (1) an application notice^G is served; but
- (2) the period of notice is shorter than the period required by these Rules^G;

the Court^G may direct that, in the circumstances of the case, sufficient notice has been given and hear the application.

II EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATIONS

Evidence already filed

23.27

Rule 23.25(1) does not require written evidence:

- (1) to be filed if it has already been filed; or
- (2) to be served on a party on whom it has already been served.

Filing and service of evidence

23.28

The requirement for evidence in certain types of applications is set out in some of the Rules^G. Where there is no specific requirement to provide evidence it should be borne in mind that, as a practical matter, the Court^G will often need to be satisfied by evidence of the facts that are relied on in support of or for opposing the application.

23.29

The Court^G may give directions for the filing^G of evidence in support of or opposing a particular application. The Court^G may also give directions for the filing^G of evidence in relation to any hearing that it fixes on its own initiative. The directions may specify the form that evidence is to take and when it is to be served.

23.30

Where it is intended to rely on evidence which is not contained in the application itself, the evidence, if it has not already been served, should be served with the application.

23.31

Where a respondent^G to an application wishes to rely on evidence which has not yet been served, he should serve it as soon as possible and in any event in accordance with any directions the Court^G may have given.

23.32

If it is necessary for the applicant to serve any evidence in reply, it should be served as soon as possible and in any event in accordance with any directions the Court^G may have given.

23.33

Evidence must be filed with the Court^G as well as served on the parties. Exhibits should not be filed unless the Court^G otherwise directs.

Evidence verified by statement of truth

23.34

Although evidence may be given by affidavit^G, the Court^G will generally exercise its discretion under Article 51(1) of the Court Law^G to permit evidence to be given by witness statement, except where Part 29 requires evidence to be given on affidavit^G (as, for example, in the case of an application for a freezing order or

a search order). In other cases the Court^G may order that evidence be given by affidavit^G.

23.35

Witness statements and affidavits^G must comply with the requirements of Part 29, save that photocopy documents should be used unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

23.36

Witness statements must be verified by a statement of truth signed by the maker of the statement.

23.37

At hearings other than trial, an applicant may rely on the application notice^G itself, and a party may rely on his statement of case^G, if the application notice^G or statement of case^G (as the case may be) is verified by a statement of truth.

23.38

Proceedings for contempt of court may be brought against a person who makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a witness statement (or any other document verified by a statement of truth) without an honest belief in its truth. Evidence in support of an application for contempt of court should generally be given by affidavit^G unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

III PREPARATIONS FOR APPLICATIONS

Ordinary applications

23.39

Applications likely to require an oral hearing lasting 2 hours or less are regarded as “ordinary” applications.

Ordinary applications

23.39.1

Skeleton Arguments submitted for ordinary applications must not, unless the Court permits, exceed 15 pages, excluding any index, chronology, reading list, timetable, glossary, dramatis personae or attachments. The text should be double spaced and in a font of at least 12 point. See further PD 2/2016.

23.40

Many ordinary applications are very short indeed (e.g. applications to extend time). Such applications can normally be heard without evidence and on short (i.e. a few days) notice.

23.41

Where evidence is necessary, the timetable for ordinary applications is as follows:

- (1) evidence in support must be filed and served with the application;
- (2) evidence in answer must be filed and served within 14 days thereafter;

- (3) evidence in reply (if any) must be filed and served within 7 days thereafter.

23.42

The timetable may be abridged or extended by agreement between the parties provided that any date fixed for the hearing of the application is not affected. In appropriate cases, this timetable may be abridged by the Court^G.

23.43

If the date fixed for the hearing of an application means that the times in Rules 23.41(2) and 23.41(3) cannot both be achieved, the evidence must be filed and served:

- (1) as soon as possible; and
- (2) in sufficient time to ensure that the application may fairly proceed on the date fixed.

Heavy applications

23.44

Applications likely to require an oral hearing lasting more than 2 hours are regarded as “heavy” applications.

Heavy applications

23.44.1

New provisions in the 2014 amendments to the RDC for the filing of documents and skeleton arguments are aimed at greater efficiency in terms of use of court time: see, for example, the provision RDC 23.64 for the delivery of bundles on Heavy Applications. The provisions also put an onus on the parties to find out when the Judge hearing the application is sitting in the same week (see also RDC 35.19). Parties should be aware of the additional charge of US\$200 for each day of delay in providing submissions: RDC 23.65. The mandatory wording of the provision, suggests that this charge will be applied as a matter of course.

In the case of a heavy application, the page limit that applies to the parties’ Skeleton Arguments, save where the Court permits, is 25 pages, rather than the 15 page limit applying in the case of an ordinary application. The text must be double spaced and in a font of at least 12 point. See further PD 2/2016.

23.45

Heavy applications normally involve a greater volume of evidence and other documents and more extensive issues. They accordingly require a longer leadtime for preparation and exchange of evidence.

23.46

The timetable for heavy applications is as follows:

- (1) evidence in support must be filed and served with the application;
- (2) evidence in answer must be filed and served within 28 days thereafter;

- (3) evidence in reply (if any) must be filed and served as soon as possible, and in any event within 14 days of service^G of the evidence in answer.

23.47

The timetable may be abridged or extended by agreement between the parties provided that any date fixed for the hearing of the application is not affected. In appropriate cases, the timetable may be abridged by the Court.

Liaison with the Registrar

23.48

In all cases the parties must liaise with the Registrar^G:

- (1) for directions as to the preparations for the hearing of the application;
- (2) to fix a date for the hearing; and
- (3) for directions as to the management of the hearing.

Hearing dates, time estimates and time limits

23.49

Not later than 5 days before the date fixed for the hearing the applicant must provide the Registry^G with his current estimate of the time required to dispose of the application.

23.50

It is essential for the efficient conduct of the Court's^G business that the parties inform the Court^G of the reading required in order to enable the Judge^G to dispose of the application within the time allowed for the hearing and of the time likely to be required for that purpose. Accordingly:

- (1) each party must lodge with the Registry^G a reading list with an estimate of the time required to complete the reading; and
- (2) each party's reading list should identify the material on both sides which the Court^G needs to read.

23.51

All time estimates should be given on the assumption that the Judge^G will have read in advance the skeleton arguments and the documents identified in the reading list lodged in accordance with Rule 23.50(1).

23.52

If at any time either party considers that there is a material risk that the hearing of the application will exceed the time currently allowed, it must inform the Registry^G immediately.

23.53

A time estimate for an ordinary application should allow time for judgment^G and consequential matters; a time estimate for a heavy application should not.

23.54

Save in the situation referred to in Rule 23.55, a separate estimate must be given for each application, including any application issued after, but to be heard at the same time as, another application.

23.55

A separate estimate need not be given for any application issued after, but to be heard at the same time as, another application where the legal representative^G in the case certifies in writing that:

- (1) the determination of the application first issued will necessarily determine the application issued subsequently; or
- (2) the matters raised in the application issued subsequently are not contested.

23.56

If it is found at the hearing that the time required for the hearing has been significantly underestimated, the Judge^G hearing the application may adjourn the matter and may make any special costs orders (including orders for the immediate payment of costs) as may be appropriate.

Applications — Preparation of documents**Application Bundles****23.57**

Bundles for use on applications may be compiled in any convenient manner but must contain the following documents (preferably in separate sections in the following order):

- (1) a copy of the application notice^G;
- (2) a draft of the order which the applicant seeks;
- (3) a copy of the statements of case;
- (4) copies of any previous orders which are relevant to the application; and
- (5) copies of the witness statements and affidavits^G filed in support of, or in opposition to, the application, together with any exhibits.

23.58

Copies of the statements of case and of previous orders in the action should be provided in a separate section of the bundle. They should not be exhibited to witness statements.

23.59

Witness statements and affidavits^G previously filed in the same proceedings should be included in the bundle at a convenient location. They should not be exhibited to witness statements.

23.60

The applicant must, as a matter of course, provide all other parties to the application with a copy of the application bundle at the cost of the receiving party. Further copies should be supplied on request, again at the cost of the receiving party.

Bundles of Legal Authorities

23.61

On some applications there will be key legal authorities that it would be useful for the Judge^G to read before the oral hearing of the application. Copies of these authorities should be provided with the skeleton arguments.

23.62

It is also desirable for bundles of all of the legal authorities on which the parties wish to rely to be provided to the Judge^G hearing the application as soon as possible after skeleton arguments have been exchanged.

Skeleton Arguments

23.63

Skeleton arguments (with a chronology unless one is unnecessary, and with a list of persons if one is warranted) must be lodged with the Registry^G and served on the legal representatives for all other parties to the application. Guidelines on the preparation of chronologies are set out in Schedule A to this Part.

General

23.64

- (1) Where the hearing is fixed for a Sunday, or for a Monday where the Judge is hearing other matters on the Sunday, unless the Court orders otherwise:
 - (a) All bundles to be submitted for the hearing of the Heavy Application must be received by the DIFC Courts Registry by 10am on the Wednesday prior to the hearing date.
 - (b) Skeleton arguments shall be exchanged and filed with the DIFC Courts by no later than 2pm on the Thursday prior to the hearing.
- (2) For all other applications or those to be heard by video conference, all bundles must be received by the DIFC Courts Registry by
 - (a) 10am on the Wednesday prior to any hearing fixed for a Monday or Tuesday, with Skeleton arguments to be filed with the Courts by 2pm on the Thursday prior to the hearing and
 - (b) 10am on the Sunday prior to any hearing fixed for a Wednesday or Thursday with Skeleton arguments to be filed with the Courts by 2pm on the day falling two working days prior to the hearing.

23.65

For each day that a submission or filing for a hearing as set out in 23.4 above is delayed, a charge of US\$200 will be applied to the party responsible for making the filing.

23.66

Problems with the lodging of bundles or skeleton arguments should be notified to the Registrar^G as far in advance as possible. If the application bundle or skeleton

argument is not lodged by the time specified, the application may be stood out of the list without further warning.

23.67

Failure to comply with the requirements for lodging bundles for the application will normally result in the application not being heard on the date fixed at the expense of the party in default. An order for immediate payment of costs may be made.

23.68

The parties must anticipate that at any hearing the Court^G may wish to review the conduct of the case as a whole and give any necessary case management directions. They should be ready to assist the Court^G in doing so and to answer questions the Court^G may ask for this purpose.

IV HEARINGS

Applications which may be dealt with without a hearing

23.69

The Court^G may deal with an application without a hearing if:

- (1) the parties agree as to the terms of the order sought;
- (2) the parties agree that the Court^G should dispose of the application without a hearing; or
- (3) the Court^G does not consider that a hearing would be appropriate.

Agreed orders

23.70

Rule 36.28 sets out the circumstances where an agreed judgment^G or order may be entered and sealed.

23.71

Where an agreed order is to be made by a Judge^G the order must be drawn so that the Judge's^G name and judicial title can be inserted.

23.72

The parties to an application for an agreed order must ensure that they provide the Court^G with any material it needs to be satisfied that it is appropriate to make the order. Subject to any Rule or Practice Direction, a letter will generally be acceptable for this purpose.

23.73

Agreed orders may be submitted to the Court^G in draft for approval and initialling without the need for attendance.

- (1) Two copies of the draft, one of which (or a counterpart) must be signed on behalf of all parties to whom it relates, should be lodged at the

Registry^G. The copies should be undated. The order will be dated with the date on which the Judge^G initials it, but that does not prevent the parties acting on their agreement immediately if they wish.

- (2) The parties should act promptly in lodging the copies at the Registry^G. If it is important that the orders are made by a particular date, that fact (and the reasons for it) should be notified in writing to the Registry^G.

23.74

Where a judgment^G or order has been agreed in respect of an application or claim where a hearing date has been fixed, the parties must inform the Court^G immediately.

Parties agree hearing unnecessary

23.75

If the applicant considers that the application is suitable for determination on paper, he should ensure before lodging the papers with the Court:

- (1) that the application notice^G together with any supporting evidence has been served on the respondent^G;
- (2) that the respondent^G has been allowed the appropriate period of time in which to serve evidence in opposition;
- (3) that any evidence in reply has been served on the respondent^G; and
- (4) that there is included in the papers
 - (a) the written consent of the respondent^G to the disposal of the application without a hearing; or
 - (b) a statement by the applicant of the grounds on which he seeks to have the application disposed of without a hearing, together with confirmation that a copy has been served on the respondent^G.

23.76

The Court^G at its discretion may dispose of an application without a hearing in the absence of the respondent's^G consent.

23.77

Certain applications relating to the management of proceedings may conveniently be made in correspondence without issuing an application notice^G. It must be clearly understood that such applications are not applications without notice and the applicant must therefore ensure that a copy of the letter making the application is sent to all other parties to the proceedings. Accordingly, the following procedure should be followed when making an application of this kind:

- (1) the applicant should first ascertain whether the application is opposed by the other parties;
- (2) if it is, the applicant should apply to the Court^G by letter stating the nature of the order which it seeks and the grounds on which the application is made;

- (3) a copy of the letter should be sent (by fax or email where possible) to all other parties at the same time as it is sent to the Court^G;
- (4) any other party wishing to make representations should do so by letter within two days (i.e. two clear days) of the date of the applicant's letter of application. The representations should be sent (by fax or email where possible) to the applicant and all other parties at the same time as they are sent to the Court^G; and
- (5) the Court^G will advise its decision by letter to the applicant. The applicant must forthwith copy the Court's^G letter to all other parties, by email where possible.

Court does not consider hearing appropriate

23.78

Where Rule 23.69 (3) applies the Court^G will treat the application as if it were proposing to make an order on its own initiative.

Hearings to be in public

23.79

Applications (other than arbitration applications) will be heard in public in accordance with Article 13 of the Court Law^G, save where otherwise ordered.

23.80

With certain exceptions, arbitration applications will normally be heard in private.

23.81

An application without notice for a freezing order or a search order will normally be heard in private.

Hearings by video link, telephone, electronic device or other appropriate means

23.82

Parties must consider whether hearings can conveniently take place by video link, telephone, electronic device or other appropriate means in accordance with Article 51 of the Court Law^G.

23.83

- (1) The general rule for all hearings before the DIFC Courts is that the advocate for each party should appear physically in the DIFC Courts
- (2) There may be exceptional situations in which an advocate is unable to appear, for example due to the urgency of the matter, or because the estimated cost of his appearance in person before the Court is wholly disproportionate to the matters to be addressed at the hearing. In such circumstances that advocate may, by application on notice under RDC Part 23.77, request permission from the Registrar to participate in a hearing by video or telephone conference.

Hearings by video link, telephone, electronic device or other appropriate means
23.83.1

The Court's stated preference is to have advocates appear in person before the DIFC Courts, but the Court will entertain applications on notice to the Registrar for advocates to appear by video link in exceptional situations where a matter is urgent or it is not proportionate in terms of costs to require personal attendance. The provision will be useful to parties retaining counsel from outside the jurisdiction. Situations where it might be appropriate for hearings to be conducted by such Counsel by video link include CMCs where little remains in dispute or the hearing of short interim applications, in particular in cases involving relatively small sums. The rule may be helpful in ensuring that an advocate from outside Dubai whom a party intends to retain for trial may be able to assist the Court at CMCs and on interim procedural applications.

However, there are cases where even the trial is conducted by counsel by video. See, for example, (*Corinth Pipeworks SA*) *Barclays Bank plc v. (1) Afras Ltd & (2) Nanda Kumar* (12 January 2015) CFI 024/2010, in which counsel for Barclays Bank plc attended the resumed trial of its Part 21 proceedings from London by video [15]. That was, however, a trial at which the relevant Part 21 Defendants had declined to appear after an order that their legal representatives come off the record [11] and Steel J conceded that his judgment was based substantially on the written submissions for the Part 21 Claimant [16].

The Registrar or Court is likely to be less amenable where detailed factual or legal points are in issue and unlikely to allow an application where cross-examination of witnesses is required.

The rule, as added in the 2014 amendments, is silent on issues as to whether the Court will be more willing to allow attendance not in person where the Judge is attending by similar means, or where the Judge and counsel for all parties are in the *same* remote location. There are often cases where the judge required or best suited to hear a particular matter is required to attend by video link because they cannot be present in Dubai on the date of a relevant hearing.

23.84

Guidelines on the conduct of hearings by video link and telephone are set out Schedules B and C to this Part.

Power of the Court to proceed in the absence of a party

23.85

Where the applicant or any respondent^G fails to attend the hearing of an application, the Court^G may proceed in his absence.

23.86

Where:

- (1) the applicant or any respondent^G fails to attend the hearing of an application; and
- (2) the Court^G makes an order at the hearing;

the Court^G may, on application or of its own initiative, re-list the application.

23.87

The power to re-list the application in Rule 23.86 is in addition to any other powers of the Court^G with regard to the order (for example to set aside^G, vary, discharge or suspend the order).

Note of proceedings

23.88

The Court^G will keep, either by way of a note or a tape recording, brief details of all proceedings before it, including the dates of the proceedings and a short statement of the decision taken at each hearing.

Schedule C to Part 23 Telephone hearings

1. The Court^G may order that an application or part of an application be dealt with by a telephone hearing.
2. The applicant should indicate on his application notice^G if he seeks a Court^G order under paragraph 1. Where he has not done so but nevertheless wishes to seek an order the request should be made as early as possible.
3. An order under paragraph 1 will not normally be made unless every party entitled to be given notice of the application and to be heard at the hearing has consented to the order.
4. No representative of a party to an application being heard by telephone may attend before the Judge^G in person while the application is being heard unless the other party to the application has agreed that he may do so.
5. If an application is to be heard by telephone the following directions will apply, subject to any direction to the contrary:
 - (a) The applicant's legal representative^G must arrange the telephone conference for precisely the time fixed by the Court^G. The telecommunications provider must be capable of connecting the parties and the Court^G.
 - (b) He must tell the operator the telephone numbers of all those participating in the conference call and the sequence in which they are to be called.
 - (c) It is the responsibility of the applicant's legal representative^G to ascertain from all the other parties whether their legal representatives^G will be on the same or different telephone numbers.
 - (d) The sequence in which they are to be called will be:
 - (i) the applicant's legal representatives^G,
 - (ii) the legal representatives^G for all other parties, and
 - (iii) the Judge^G.

- (iv) The applicant's legal representatives^G must arrange for the conference to be recorded on tape by the telecommunications provider whose system is being used and must send the tape to the Court^G.
- (v) Each speaker is to remain on the line after being called by the operator setting up the conference call. The call may be 2 or 3 minutes before the time fixed for the application.
- (vi) When the Judge^G has been connected the applicant's legal representative^G will introduce the parties in the usual way.
- (vii) If the use of a 'speakerphone' by any party causes the Judge^G or any other party any difficulty in hearing what is said the Judge^G may require that party to use a hand held telephone.
- (viii) The telephone charges debited to the account of the party initiating the conference call will be treated as part of the costs of the application.

V JUDGMENTS, ORDERS AND DIRECTIONS

Orders generally drawn up by the parties

23.89

Except for orders made by the Court^G on its own initiative and unless the Court^G orders otherwise, every judgment^G, order or direction will be drawn up by the parties.

Agreed orders

23.90

An application for an agreed order must include a draft of the proposed order signed on behalf of all the parties to whom it relates in accordance with Rule 23.73(1).

Arabic translations

23.91

Parties are reminded of the obligation to produce Arabic translations of any judgment^G, order or direction in accordance with Rule 2.4.

Time for compliance with Orders

23.92

Where an order provides a time by which something is to be done, the order should wherever possible state the particular date by which the thing is to be done rather than specify a period of time from a particular date or event.

Service of application where application made without notice

23.93

This Rule applies where the Court^G has disposed of an application which it permitted to be made without service^G of a copy of the application notice^G.

- (1) Where the Court^G makes an order, whether granting or dismissing the application, a copy of the application notice^G and any evidence in support must, unless the Court^G orders otherwise, be served with the order on any party or other person:

- (a) against whom the order was made; and
 - (b) against whom the order was sought.
- (2) The order must contain a statement of the right to make an application to set aside^G or vary the order under Rule 23.94.

23.94

A person who was not served with a copy of the application notice^G before an order was made under Rule 23.91, may apply to have the order set aside^G or varied.

23.95

An application under this Rule 23.94 must be made within 7 days after the date on which the order was served on the person making the application.

VI COSTS

Immediate assessment of costs

23.96

Attention is drawn to Part 38 and, in particular, to the Court's^G power to make an immediate assessment of costs.

23.97

Attention is also drawn to Rule 38.56 which provides that if an order makes no mention of costs, none are payable in respect of the proceedings to which it relates.

23.98

In carrying out an immediate assessment of costs, the Court^G may have regard amongst other matters to:

- (1) advice from the Registrar^G on costs of legal representatives^G;
- (2) any survey published showing the average hourly expense rate for legal representatives^G in the DIFCG or Dubai;
- (3) any information provided to the Court^G by a Bar Association (or similar body) on average charges by legal representatives^G.

Schedule A to Part 23 Skeleton arguments, chronologies and indices

Skeleton arguments

- 1. A skeleton argument is intended to identify both for the parties and the Court^G those points which are, and are not, in issue and the nature of the argument in relation to those points that are in issue. It is not a substitute for oral argument.
- 2. Skeleton arguments must therefore:
 - (a) identify concisely:
 - (i) the nature of the case generally and the background facts insofar as they are relevant to the matter before the Court^G;

- (ii) the propositions of law relied on with references to the relevant authorities;
- (iii) the submissions of fact to be made with references to the evidence;
- (b) be in numbered paragraphs and state the name of the legal representative(s) who prepared them; and
- (c) should avoid arguing the case at length.

Chronologies and indices

- 3. As far as possible chronologies and indices should be uncontroversial. The ideal is that the Court^G and the parties should have a single point of reference that all find useful and are happy to work with.
- 4. Where there is disagreement about a particular event or description, it is useful if that fact is indicated in neutral terms and the competing versions shortly stated.
- 5. If time and circumstances allow its preparation, a chronology or index to which all parties have contributed and agreed can be invaluable.
- 6. Chronologies and indices once prepared can be easily updated and are of continuing usefulness throughout the life of the case.

Page limits for Skeleton Arguments

23A.1.1

PD 2/2016 now limits: (i) any Skeleton Argument on an ordinary application to 15 pages; (ii) any Skeleton Argument on a heavy application or at trial to 25 pages; and (iii) any Skeleton Argument on an appeal to 35 pages. In all cases, the relevant Skeleton Argument must be double-spaced, and any attachments, other than chronologies, reading lists, timetables, glossaries, dramatis personae, must be limited to key extracts of relevant documents or authorities. The limits may be exceeded only by permission of the court on an application by letter, and the sanctions for exceeding the limits include wasted costs orders.

Schedule B to Part 23 Video-conferencing protocol

This protocol is for the use of video conferencing (VCF) in civil proceedings. It is in part based, with permission, upon the protocol of the Federal Court of Australia. It is intended to provide a guide to all persons involved in the use of VCF, although it does not attempt to cover all the practical questions which might arise.

Video Conferencing generally

- 1. The protocol covers the use of VCF equipment both (a) in a courtroom, whether via equipment which is permanently placed there or via a mobile unit, and (b) in a separate studio or conference room. In either case, the location at which the Judge^G sits is referred to as the "local site". The other site or sites to and from which transmission is made are referred to as "the remote site" and in any particular case any such site may be another courtroom. The protocol applies to cases where VCF is used for the taking of evidence and also to its use for other parts of any legal proceeding (for example, interim applications, case management conferences, pre-trial reviews).

2. VCF may be a convenient way of dealing with any part of proceedings: it can involve considerable savings in time and cost. Its use for the taking of evidence from overseas witnesses will, in particular, be likely to achieve a material saving of costs, and such savings may also be achieved by its use for taking domestic evidence. It is, however, inevitably not as ideal as having the witness physically present in court. Its convenience should not therefore be allowed to dictate its use. A judgment^G must be made in every case in which the use of VCF is being considered not only as to whether it will achieve an overall cost saving but as to whether its use will be likely to be beneficial to the efficient, fair and economic disposal of the litigation. In particular, it needs to be recognised that the degree of control a court can exercise over a witness at the remote site is or may be more limited than it can exercise over a witness physically before it.
3. When used for the taking of evidence, the objective should be to make the VCF setting as close as possible to the usual practice in a trial court where evidence is taken in open court. To gain the maximum benefit, several differences have to be taken into account. Some matters, which are taken for granted when evidence is taken in the conventional way, take on a different dimension when it is taken by VCF: for example, the administration of the oath, ensuring that the witness understands who is at the local site and what their various roles are, the raising of any objections to the evidence and the use of documents.
4. It should not be presumed that all foreign governments are willing to allow their nationals or others within their jurisdiction^G to be examined before a court in the DIFCG by means of VCF. If there is any doubt about this, enquiries should be directed to the Registry^G with a view to ensuring that the country from which the evidence is to be taken raises no objection to it at diplomatic level. The party who is directed to be responsible for arranging the VCF (see paragraph 8 below) will be required to make all necessary inquiries about this well in advance of the VCF and must be able to inform the Court^G what those inquiries were and of their outcome.
5. Time zone differences need to be considered when a witness abroad is to be examined in the DIFCG by VCF. The convenience of the witness, the parties, their representatives and the Court^G must all be taken into account. The cost of the use of a commercial studio is usually greater outside normal business hours.
6. Those involved with VCF need to be aware that, even with the most advanced systems currently available, there are the briefest of delays between the receipt of the picture and that of the accompanying sound. If due allowance is not made for this, there will be a tendency to “speak over” the witness, whose voice will continue to be heard for a millisecond or so after he or she appears on the screen to have finished speaking.
7. With current technology, picture quality is good, but not as good as a television picture. The quality of the picture is enhanced if those appearing on VCF monitors keep their movements to a minimum.

Preliminary arrangements

8. The Court's^G permission is required for any part of any proceedings to be dealt with by means of VCF. Before seeking a direction, the applicant should notify the Registrar^G or other appropriate Court Officer^G of the intention to seek it, and should enquire as to the availability of Court^G VCF equipment for the day or days of the proposed VCF. The application for a direction should be made to the Registrar^G or Judge^G, as may be appropriate. If all parties consent, permission can be sought by letter or e-mail, although the Court^G may still require an oral hearing. All parties are entitled to make submissions on whether or not such a direction should be given and as to its terms. If a witness at a remote site is to give evidence by an interpreter, consideration should be given at this stage as to whether the interpreter should be at the local site or the remote site. If a VCF direction is given, arrangements for the transmission will then need to be made. The Court^G will ordinarily direct that the party seeking permission to use VCF is to be responsible for this. That party is hereafter referred to as "the VCF arranging party".
9. Subject to any order to the contrary, all costs of the transmission, including the costs of hiring equipment and technical personnel to operate it, will initially be the responsibility of, and must be met by, the VCF arranging party. All reasonable efforts should be made to keep the transmission to a minimum and so keep the costs down. All such costs will be considered to be part of the costs of the proceedings and the Court^G will determine at such subsequent time as is convenient or appropriate who, as between the parties, should be responsible for them and (if appropriate) in what proportions.
10. The local site will, if practicable, be a courtroom but it may instead be an appropriate studio or conference room. The VCF arranging party must contact the Registry^G and make arrangements for the VCF transmission. Details of the remote site, and of the equipment to be used both at the local site (if not being supplied by the Court) and the remote site (including the number of ISDN lines and connection speed), together with all necessary contact names and telephone numbers, will have to be provided to the Registry^G. The Court^G will need to be satisfied that any equipment provided by the parties for use at the local site and also that at the remote site is of sufficient quality for a satisfactory transmission. The VCF arranging party must ensure that a technical assistant will be present at the local site to supervise the operation of the VCF throughout the transmission in order to deal with any technical problems. That party must also arrange for a technical assistant to be similarly present at the remote site for like purposes.
11. It is recommended that the Judge^G, legal representatives^G and witness should arrive at their respective VCF sites about 20 minutes prior to the scheduled commencement of the transmission.
12. If the local site is not a courtroom, but a conference room or studio, the

Judge^G will need to determine who is to sit where. The VCF arranging party must take care to ensure that the number of microphones is adequate for the speakers and that the panning of the camera for the practitioners' table encompasses all legal representatives^G so that the viewer can see everyone seated there.

13. The proceedings, wherever they may take place, form part of a trial to which the public is entitled to have access (unless only the Court^G has determined that they should be heard in private). If the local site is to be a studio or conference room, the VCF arranging party must ensure that it provides sufficient accommodation to enable a reasonable number of members of the public to attend.
14. In cases where the local site is a studio or conference room, the VCF arranging party should make arrangements, if practicable, for the insignia of the DIFC Court^G to be placed above the Judge's^G seat.
15. In cases in which the VCF is to be used for the taking of evidence, the VCF arranging party must arrange for recording equipment to be provided by the Court^G which made the VCF direction so that the evidence can be recorded. A Court Officer^G will normally be present to operate the recording equipment when the local site is a courtroom. The VCF arranging party should take steps to ensure that a Court Officer^G is present to do likewise when it is a studio or conference room. The equipment should be set up and tested before the VCF transmission. It will often be a valuable safeguard for the arranging party also to arrange for the provision of recording equipment at the remote site. This will provide a useful back-up if there is any reduction in sound quality during the transmission. A direction from the Court^G for the making of such a back-up recording must, however, be obtained first. This is because the proceedings are court proceedings and, save as directed by the Court^G, no other recording of them must be made.
16. Some countries may require that any oath or affirmation to be taken by a witness accord with local custom. The VCF arranging party must make all appropriate prior inquiries and put in place all arrangements necessary to enable the oath or affirmation to be taken in accordance with any local custom. That party must be in a position to inform the Court^G what those inquiries were, what their outcome was and what arrangements have been made. If the oath or affirmation can be administered in the manner normal in the DIFCG, the VCF arranging party must arrange in advance to have the appropriate holy book at the remote site. The Court Officer^G will normally administer the oath.
17. Consideration will need to be given in advance to the documents to which the witness is likely to be referred. The parties should endeavour to agree on this. It will usually be most convenient for a bundle of the copy documents to be prepared in advance, which the VCF arranging party should then send to the remote site.

18. Additional documents are sometimes quite properly introduced during the course of a witness's evidence. To cater for this, the VCF arranging party should ensure that equipment is available to enable documents to be transmitted between sites during the course of the VCF transmission. Consideration should be given to whether to use a document camera. If it is decided to use one, arrangements for its use will need to be established in advance. The panel operator will need to know the number and size of documents or objects if their images are to be sent by document camera. In many cases, a simpler and sufficient alternative will be to ensure that there are reception facilities at the participating sites.

The hearing

19. The procedure for conducting the transmission will be determined by the Judge^G. He will determine who is to control the cameras. In cases where the VCF is being used for an application in the course of the proceedings, the Judge^G will ordinarily not enter the local site until both sites are on line. Similarly, at the conclusion of the hearing, he will ordinarily leave the local site while both sites are still on line. The following paragraphs apply primarily to cases where the VCF is being used for the taking of the evidence of a witness at a remote site. In all cases, the Judge^G will need to decide whether court dress is appropriate when using VCF facilities. It might be appropriate when transmitting from courtroom to courtroom. It might not be when a commercial facility is being used.
20. At the beginning of the transmission, the Judge^G will probably wish to introduce himself and the legal representatives^G to the witness. He will probably want to know who is at the remote site and will invite the witness to introduce himself and anyone else who is with him. He may wish to give directions as to the seating arrangements at the remote site so that those present are visible at the local site during the taking of the evidence. He will probably wish to explain to the witness the method of taking the oath or of affirming, the manner in which the evidence will be taken, and who will be conducting the examination and cross-examination^G. He will probably also wish to inform the witness of the matters referred to in paragraphs 6 and 7 above (coordination of picture with sound, and picture quality).
21. The examination of the witness at the remote site should follow as closely as possible the practice adopted when a witness is in the courtroom. During examination, cross-examination^G and re-examination, the witness must be able to see the legal representative^G asking the question and also any other person (whether another legal representative^G or the Judge^G) making any statements in regard to the witness's evidence. It will in practice be most convenient if everyone remains seated throughout the transmission.

Schedule C to Part 23 Telephone hearings

1. The Court may order that an application or part of an application be dealt with by a telephone hearing.

2. The applicant should indicate on his application notice if he seeks a Court order under paragraph 1. Where he has not done so but nevertheless wishes to seek an order the request should be made as early as possible.
3. An order under paragraph 1 will not normally be made unless every party entitled to be given notice of the application and to be heard at the hearing has consented to the order.
4. No representative of a party to an application being heard by telephone may attend the Judge in person while the application is being heard unless the other party to the application has agreed that he may do so.
5. If an application is to be heard by telephone the following directions will apply, subject to any direction to the contrary:
 - (a) The applicant's legal representative must arrange the telephone conference for precisely the time fixed by the Court. The telecommunications provider must be capable of connecting the parties and the Court.
 - (b) He must tell the operator the telephone numbers of all those participating in the conference call and the sequence in which they are to be called.
 - (c) It is the responsibility of the applicant's legal representative to ascertain from all the other parties whether their legal representatives will be on the same or different telephone numbers.
 - (d) The sequence in which they are to be called will be:
 - (i) the applicant's legal representatives;
 - (ii) the legal representatives for all other parties; and
 - (iii) the Judge.
 - (e) The applicant's legal representatives must arrange for the conference to be recorded on tape by the telecommunications provider whose system is being used and must send the tape to the Court.
 - (f) Each speaker is to remain on the line after being called by the operator setting up the conference call. The call may be 2 or 3 minutes before the time fixed for the application.
 - (g) When the Judge has been connected the applicant's legal representative will introduce the parties in the usual way.
 - (h) If the use of a 'speakerphone' by any party causes the Judge or any other party any difficulty in hearing what is said the Judge may require that party to use a hand held telephone.
 - (i) The telephone charges debited to the account of the party initiating the conference call will be treated as part of the costs of the application.

PART 24

Immediate judgment

Grounds for immediate judgment

24.1

The Court^G may give immediate judgment^G against a claimant^G or defendant^G on the whole of a claim, part of a claim or on a particular issue if:

- (1) it considers that:
 - (a) that claimant^G has no real prospect of succeeding on the claim or issue; or
 - (b) that defendant^G has no real prospect of successfully defending the claim or issue; and
- (2) there is no other compelling reason why the case or issue should be disposed of at a trial.

Immediate judgment

24.1.1

In *ABC v. XYZ & MNO* (9 September 2012) CFI 016/2012, the Court gave immediate judgment of its own initiative and without any application by its general liberty under RDC 4.9 consistently with the Overriding Objective.

Other powers of the Court, such as its power to give judgment on a claim after a decision on a preliminary issue and its power to order an injunction that may be of final and determinative effect, further permit the Court to give immediate judgment without necessary reference to the procedural requirements in RDC Part 24: see Notes 4.2.3 and 25.1 respectively on the above powers.

Immediate judgment not available where oral evidence required

24.1.2

In *Dattani & Ors v. Damac Real Estate Asset Management Ltd* (20 October 2013) CFI 034/2012, Justice Tan Sri Siti Norma Yaakob declined to give immediate judgment on the Claimant purchasers' claims for the return of installments paid for the purchase of certain apartments and a retail unit in the DIFC. She so ordered because she found that oral evidence would be required to determine the validity of the parties' rival termination notices and that the evidence as to whether the Claimants had themselves defaulted in paying certain earlier installments was 'unsatisfactory' [21]-[22]. In these circumstances, the Court did not proceed to determine the legal issues as to the effect of any earlier defaults by the Claimant on their subsequent entitlement to terminate the contracts.

24.2

An application for immediate judgment^G under Rule 24.1 may be based on:

- (1) a point of law (including a question of construction of a document);
- (2) the evidence which can reasonably be expected to be available at trial or the lack of it; or

- (3) a combination of these.

Types of proceedings in which immediate judgment is available

24.3

The Court^G may give immediate judgment^G against a claimant^G or defendant^G in any type of proceedings.

Procedure

24.4

A claimant^G may not apply for immediate judgment^G until the defendant^G against whom the application is made has filed:

- (1) an acknowledgement of service^G; or
 - (2) a defence;
- unless
- (a) the Court^G gives permission; or
 - (b) a Practice Direction provides otherwise.

24.5

If a claimant^G applies for immediate judgment^G before a defendant^G against whom the application is made has filed a defence, that defendant^G need not file a defence before the hearing.

24.6

Where an immediate judgment^G hearing is fixed, the respondent (or the parties where the hearing is fixed of the Court's^G own initiative) must be given at least 14 days' notice of:

- (1) the date fixed for the hearing; and
- (2) the issues which it is proposed that the Court^G will decide at the hearing.

Procedure for making an application

24.7

The application notice^G must include a statement that it is an application for immediate judgment^G made under Part 24.

24.8

The application notice^G or the evidence referred to in it or served with it must:

- (1) identify concisely any point of law or provision in a document on which the applicant relies; and/or
- (2) state that it is made because the applicant believes that on the evidence the respondent has no real prospect of succeeding on the claim or issue or (as the case may be) of successfully defending the claim or issue to which the application relates;

and in either case state that the applicant knows of no other compelling reason why the case or issue should be disposed of at a trial.

Evidence for the purposes of an immediate judgment hearing

24.9

The parties are to comply with Part 23 but it is not necessary for written evidence:

- (1) to be filed if it has already been filed; or
- (2) to be served on a party on whom it has already been served.

24.10

Where an immediate judgment^G hearing is fixed by the Court^G of its own initiative:

- (1) any party who wishes to rely on written evidence at the hearing must:
 - (a) file the written evidence; and
 - (b) unless the Court^G orders otherwise, serve copies on every other party to the proceedings;
at least 7 days before the date of the hearing;
- (2) any party who wishes to rely on written evidence at the hearing in reply to any other party's written evidence must:
 - (a) file the written evidence in reply; and
 - (b) unless the Court^G orders otherwise serve copies on every other party to the proceedings;
at least 3 days before the date of the hearing.

Orders the Court may make

24.11

The orders the Court^G may make on an application under Part 24 include:

- (1) judgment^G on the claim or any part of the claim;
- (2) the striking out or dismissal of the claim;
- (3) the dismissal of the application; and
- (4) a conditional order.

24.12

Where it appears to the Court^G possible that a claim or defence may succeed but improbable that it will do so, the Court^G may make a conditional order.

24.13

A conditional order is an order which requires a party:

- (1) to pay a sum of money into Court^G; or
- (2) to take a specified step in relation to his claim or defence, as the case may be, and provides that that party's claim will be dismissed or his statement of case^G will be struck out if he does not comply.

Accounts and inquiries

24.14

If a remedy sought by a claimant^G in his claim form includes, or necessarily involves, taking an account or making an inquiry, an application can be made under Part 24 by any party to the proceedings for an order directing any necessary accounts or inquiries to be taken or made.

Specific performance

24.15

If a remedy sought by a claimant^G in his claim form includes a claim:

- (1) for specific performance of an agreement (whether in writing or not) for the sale, purchase, exchange, mortgage or charge of any property, or for the grant or assignment of a lease or tenancy of any property, with or without an alternative claim for damages^G; or
- (2) for rescission of such an agreement; or
- (3) for the forfeiture or return of any deposit made under such an agreement;

the claimant^G may apply under Part 24 for judgment^G.

24.16

The claimant^G may do so at any time after the claim form has been served, whether or not the defendant^G has acknowledged service^G of the claim form, whether or not the time for acknowledging service^G has expired and whether or not any particulars of claim have been served.

24.17

The application notice^G by which an application under Rule 24.15 is made must have attached to it the text of the order sought by the claimant^G.

24.18

The application notice^G and a copy of every affidavit^G in support and of any exhibit referred to therein must be served on the defendant^G not less than 4 days before the hearing of the application.

Case management

24.19

Where the Court^G dismisses the application or makes an order that does not completely dispose of the claim it may:

- (1) give directions as to the filing^G and service^G of a defence; and
- (2) give further directions about the management of the case.

Costs

24.20

Attention is drawn to Part 38 and, in particular, to the Court's^G power to make an immediate assessment of costs.

24.21

Attention is also drawn to Rule 38.56 which provides that if an order makes no mention of costs, none are payable in respect of the proceedings to which it relates.

Setting aside order for immediate judgment

24.22

If an order for immediate judgment^G is made against a respondent who does not appear at the hearing of the application, the respondent may apply for the order to be set aside^G or varied (see also Rules 23.85 to 23.87).

24.23

On the hearing of an application under Rule 24.22, the Court^G may make such order as it thinks just.

PART 25

Interim remedies and security for costs

Orders for interim remedies

25.1

The Court^G may grant the following interim remedies:

- (1) an interim injunction^G;
- (2) an interim declaration;
- (3) an order:
 - (a) for the detention, custody or preservation of relevant property;
 - (b) for the inspection of relevant property;
 - (c) for the taking of a sample of relevant property;
 - (d) for the carrying out of an experiment on or with relevant property;
 - (e) for the sale of relevant property which is of a perishable nature or which for any other good reason is desirable to sell quickly; and
 - (f) for the payment of income from relevant property until a claim is decided.
- (4) an order authorising a person to enter any land or building in the possession of a party to the proceedings for the purposes of carrying out an order under sub-paragraph (3);
- (5) an order providing for the delivery up of any goods which are or may become the subject matter of subsequent proceedings in the Court^G or as to which any question may arise in proceedings, to the claimant^G or to a person appointed by the Court^G for the purpose on such terms and conditions as may be specified in the order;
- (6) an order (referred to as a 'freezing order'):
 - (a) restraining a party from removing from the jurisdiction^G assets

- located there; or
 - (b) restraining a party from dealing with any assets whether located within the jurisdiction^G or not;
- (7) an order directing a party to provide information about the location of relevant property or assets or to provide information about relevant property or assets which are or may be the subject of an application for a freezing order;
 - (8) an order (referred to as a 'search order') requiring a party to admit another party to premises for the purpose of preserving evidence;
 - (9) an order for production of documents or inspection of property before a claim has been made;
 - (10) an order for production of documents or inspection of property against a non-party;
 - (11) an order (referred to as an order for interim payment) under Section II of this Part for payment by a defendant^G on account of any damages^G, debt or other sum (except costs) which the Court^G may hold the defendant^G liable to pay;
 - (12) an order for a specified fund to be paid into Court^G or otherwise secured, where there is a dispute over a party's right to the fund;
 - (13) an order permitting a party seeking to recover personal property to pay money into Court^G pending the outcome of the proceedings and directing that, if he does so, the property shall be given up to him;
 - (14) an order directing a party to prepare and file accounts relating to the dispute;
 - (15) an order directing any account to be taken or inquiry to be made by the Court^G;
 - (16) an order appointing a receiver or receiver and manager, having such powers as the Court^G may see fit, of the property or any of the property of any body corporate;
 - (17) where the person against whom the order is to be made is a natural person:
 - (a) an order appointing a receiver or trustee, having such powers as the Court^G may see fit, of the property or any of the property of that person;
 - (b) an order requiring that person to deliver up to the Court^G his passport and such other documents as the Court^G sees fit; or
 - (c) an order prohibiting that person from leaving the DIFC^G, Dubai or the UAEG without the consent of the Court^G; and

- (18) any other remedy provided by any other law, whether enacted before or after these Rules^G.

Interim injunction of final and determinative effect

25.1.1

Where the relief sought by way of an application for an interim injunction under RDC 25.1 would be of final and determinative effect, then it follows that: (i) the Court may deem the application to have been made under RDC Part 23 as an ordinary application; and (ii) the Court is no longer required to consider the ‘balance of convenience’ under the principles established in *American Cyanamid v. Ethicon* [1975] AC 396: see *McDuff v. KBH Kaanuun Ltd* (24 February 2013) CFI 027/2012 per Justice Al Madhani at [22]-[26].

It is suggested that in such cases the Court might further require that the Claimant has met the substantive and/or procedural requirements, for example as to notice, of immediate judgment under RDC Part 24, insofar as the Court is in effect granting immediate judgment of its own initiative pursuant to its general liberty to do so under RDC 4.9.

Test for obtaining injunctions and interim orders

25.1.2

Under Art 32 of the DIFC Court Law, the Court has power to make orders and give directions as to the conduct of proceedings that it considers ‘appropriate’. In *Bocimar International NV v. Emirates Trading Agency LLC* (31 January 2016) CFI 008/2015, Justice Chadwick found that there was no difference in substance between the test of what is ‘appropriate’ under DIFC law and the equivalent test of what is ‘just and convenient’ under section 37 of the Senior Courts Act 1981 that applies in England and Wales [7] in respect of the granting of injunctions.

Worldwide freezing injunctions

25.1.3

For an example of a worldwide freezing injunction, see *Bocimar International NV v. Emirates Trading Agency LLC* (31 January 2016) CFI 008/2015. In practice, the primary focus of many Claimants is assets in Dubai and the wider UAE, and such Claimants will usually offer an undertaking not to enforce outside Dubai or the UAE without the further permission of the Court.

Interim pre-action production orders

25.1.4

In respect of an interim pre-action production order under RDC 25.1(9), see: (i) RDC 25.71 in respect of the requirements of evidence and service; and (ii) RDC 28.48 in respect of the Court’s discretion and the requirements for its exercise.

Interim non-party production orders

25.1.5

In respect of an interim non-party production order under RDC 25.1(10), see: (i) RDC 25.71 in respect of the requirements of evidence and service; and (ii) RDC 28.52 in respect of the Court’s discretion and the requirements for its exercise.

Interim orders for the payment into Court of a specified fund

25.1.6

In *Vannin Capital PCC plc v. Al Khorafi & Ors* (18 February 2015) CFI 036/2014, the Court, on the application of the Claimant litigation funder, made a preservation order under RDC 25.1(12) that the Defendant in the funded litigation should pay into Court a part of the damages and costs it had been ordered to pay the funded Claimant on an interim basis. The monies were required to be held in Court until further instruction of the Court pursuant either to the consent of the parties or an order of any competent court and/or an arbitration award. The Court was implicitly satisfied that the specific amount claimed by the Claimant was a specified fund for this purpose. The original preservation order was subsequently upheld by Justice Al Madhani in *Vannin* (11 February 2016) CFI 036/2014 notwithstanding assertions made by the Khorafis, on their application to vary the preservation order, that they would need the preserved fund in order to pay their legal fees in resisting Bank Sarasin's appeal.

25.2

In Rules 25.1(3) and 25.1(7), relevant property means property (including land) which is the subject of a claim or as to which any question may arise on a claim.

25.3

The Court^G may grant an interim remedy whether or not there has been a claim for a final remedy of that kind.

Jurisdiction

25.4

A Judge^G may make an order for any interim remedy.

25.5

The powers of the Registrar^G to make orders for interim remedies are set out in Rules 3.4 to 3.14.

I INTERIM REMEDIES — GENERAL

Time when an order for an interim remedy may be made

25.6

An order for an interim remedy may be made at any time, including:

- (1) before proceedings are started; and
- (2) after judgment^G has been given.

Post-judgment freezing injunctions

25.6.1

In *Bocimar International NV v. Emirates Trading Agency LLC* (31 January 2016) CFI 008/2015, on an application for a post-judgment worldwide freezing order, Justice Chadwick suggested that the applicant should have a significant tail wind because the Claimant, as a judgment creditor, 'is entitled to ask for the assistance of this Court in enabling that judgment to be enforced against assets which are or may be available to satisfy it' [8]. There may, however, be an issue as to why the Claimant has delayed making its application until after judgment.

The Court further confirmed by reference to *Nomihold Securities Inc v. Mobile Telesystems Finance SA* [2011] EWHC 337 (Comm) that, where an application for a freezing injunction is made *post-judgment*, the Claimant should not be required to fortify its cross-undertaking as to damages where the amount of the judgment debt significantly exceeds any damage that the Defendant could suffer, so that the Defendant has sufficient security in its ability to set off any losses as against the amount of its judgment debt [24].

25.7

However:

- (1) Rule 25.6 is subject to any Rule, Practice Direction or other enactment which provides otherwise;
- (2) the Court^G may grant an interim remedy before a claim has been made only if:
 - (a) the matter is urgent; or
 - (b) it is otherwise desirable to do so in the interests of justice; and
 - (c) unless the Court^G otherwise orders, a defendant^G may not apply for any of the orders listed in Rule 25.1 before he has filed either an acknowledgment of service^G or a defence.
- (3) Where the Court^G grants an interim remedy before a claim has been commenced, it may give directions requiring a claim to be commenced.
- (4) In particular, the Court^G need not direct that a claim be commenced where the application is made for production of documents or inspection of property before a claim is made.

How to apply for an interim remedy

25.8

The Court^G may grant an interim remedy on an application made without notice if it appears to the Court^G that there are good reasons for not giving notice.

25.9

An application for an interim remedy must be supported by evidence, unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

25.10

If the applicant makes an application without giving notice, the evidence in support of the application must state the reasons why notice has not been given.

Urgent applications and applications without notice

25.11

Urgent applications and applications without notice fall into two categories:

- (1) applications where a claim form has already been issued; and
- (2) applications where a claim form has not yet been issued;

and, in both cases, where notice of the application has not been given to the respondent.

25.12

Where a party makes an urgent application:

- (1) The party should telephone the Court^G between 10:00am and 5:00pm Sunday to Thursday on + 917 4427 3333 and ask to be put in touch with the Registrar^G or Deputy Registrar^G. The party should state that the call relates to an urgent application and provide an estimate of when they will be ready to present the application;
- (2) Where the application is so urgent that it must be made outside these hours, the party should telephone the Court's^G emergency telephone number on + 971 4427 3331;
- (3) The applications will normally be dealt with at a court hearing but cases of extreme urgency may be dealt with by telephone;
- (4) At the hearing of the application, the Court^G may consist of a resident Judge^G and the Registrar^G sitting together;
- (5) When acting under the procedure set out in this Part, the Court^G shall set a Return Date for the hearing of the application before a Judge^G sitting alone, which shall not be later than three days from the date upon which the interim order is issued.

25.13

Applications dealt with at a Court^G hearing after issue of a claim form:

- (1) the application notice^G, evidence in support and a draft order should be filed with the Court^G two hours before the hearing wherever possible;
- (2) if an application is made before the application notice^G has been issued, a draft order should be provided at the hearing, and the application notice^G and evidence in support must be filed with the Court^G on the same or next business day^G or as ordered by the Court^G; and
- (3) except in cases where secrecy is essential, the applicant should take steps to notify the respondent informally of the application.

25.14

Applications made before the issue of a claim form:

- (1) in addition to the provisions set out in Rule 25.13, unless the Court^G orders otherwise, either the applicant must undertake to the Court^G to issue a claim form immediately or the Court^G will give directions for the commencement of the claim;
- (2) where possible the claim form should be served with the order for the injunction^G; and

- (3) an order made before the issue of a claim form should state in the title after the names of the applicant and respondent 'the Claimant and Defendant in an Intended Action'.

25.15

Applications made by telephone:

- (1) the Judge^G will require a draft order to be emailed to him;
- (2) the application notice^G and evidence in support must be filed with the Court^G on the same or next working day or as ordered, together with two copies of the order for sealing;
- (3) injunctions^G will be heard by telephone only where the applicant is acting by legal representative^G.

Making an application — General

25.16

The application notice^G must state:

- (1) the order sought; and
- (2) the date, time and place of the hearing.

25.17

The application notice^G and evidence in support must be served as soon as practicable after issue and in any event not less than 3 days before the Court^G is due to hear the application.

25.18

A draft of the order sought should be filed with the application notice^G.

25.19

Unless the urgency means that this is not possible, the applicant should provide the Court^G at the earliest opportunity with a skeleton argument.

Evidence

25.20

Applications for search orders and freezing orders must be supported by affidavit^G evidence.

25.21

Applications for other interim injunctions^G must be supported by evidence set out in either:

- (1) a witness statement; or
- (2) a statement of case^G provided that it is verified by a statement of truth; or
- (3) the application notice^G provided that it is verified by a statement of truth;

unless the Court^G, a Law, a Rule or a Practice Direction requires evidence by affidavit^G.

25.22

The evidence must set out the facts on which the applicant relies for the claim being made against the respondent, including all material facts of which the Court^G should be made aware.

25.23

Where an application is made without notice to the respondent, the evidence must also set out why notice was not given.

Application for an interim remedy where there is no related claim

25.24

Where a party wishes to apply for an interim remedy by:

- (1) the remedy is sought in relation to proceedings which are taking place, or will take place, outside the DIFC^G; or
- (2) the application is made for an order for production of documents or inspection of property before a claim is made;

any application must be made in accordance with **Part 8**.

Orders for injunctions

25.25

Any order for an injunction^G, unless the Court^G orders otherwise, must contain:

- (1) (save where the applicant is the DFSA^G or the Registrar^G of Companies) an undertaking by the applicant to the Court^G to pay any damages^G which the respondent sustains which the Court^G considers the applicant should pay. Where the applicant for an interim remedy is not able to show sufficient assets within the jurisdiction^G of the Court^G to provide substance to the undertakings given he may be required to reinforce his undertakings by providing security:
 - (a) Security will be ordered in such form as the Judge^G decides is appropriate but may, for example, take the form of a payment into Court^G, a bond issued by an insurance company or a first demand guarantee or standby credit issued by a first-class bank;
 - (b) In an appropriate case the Judge^G may order a payment to be made to the applicant's legal representatives^G to be held by them as officers of the Court^G pending further order. The undertaking of a parent company may be acceptable;
- (2) if made without notice to any other party, an undertaking by the applicant to the Court^G to serve on the respondent the application notice^G, evidence in support and any order made as soon as practicable;
- (3) if made without notice to any other party, a return date for a further hearing at which the other party can be present;

- (4) if made before filing^G the application notice^G, an undertaking to file and pay the appropriate fee on the same or next working day; and
- (5) if made before issue of a claim form:
 - (a) an undertaking to issue a claim form and pay the appropriate fee on the same or next working day; or
 - (b) directions for the commencement of the claim.

25.26

When the Court^G makes an order for an injunction^G, it should consider whether to require an undertaking by the applicant to pay any damages^G sustained by a person other than the respondent, including another party to the proceedings or any other person who may suffer loss as a consequence of the order.

25.27

Any order for an injunction^G must set out clearly what the respondent must do or not do.

25.28

An order for an interim remedy should normally include a proviso which permits acts which would otherwise be a breach of the order to be done with the written consent of the claimant's^G legal representatives^G. This enables the parties to agree in effect to variations (or the discharge) of the order without the necessity of coming back to the Court^G.

25.29

If the parties agree, the return date may be postponed to a later date on which all parties will be ready to deal with any substantive issues. In this event, an agreed form of order continuing the injunction^G to the postponed return date should be submitted for consideration by a Judge^G and if the order is made in the terms submitted there will be no need for the parties to attend on the day originally fixed as the return date. In such a case the defendant^G and any other interested party will continue to have liberty to apply to vary or set aside^G the order.

25.30

A provision for the defendant^G to give notice of any application to discharge or vary the order is usually included as a matter of convenience but will not fetter the right of the defendant^G to apply without notice or on short notice if need be.

25.31

An order for an injunction^G made in the presence of all parties to be bound by it or made at a hearing of which they have had notice, may state that it is effective until trial or further order.

25.32

A phrase indicating that an interim remedy is to remain in force until judgment^G or further order means that it remains in force until the delivery of a final judgment^G. If an interim remedy continuing after judgment^G is required, say until judgment^G has been satisfied, an application to that effect must be made.

Freezing orders

25.33

An example of a freezing order is set out in Schedule A to this Part.

25.34

The example may be modified as appropriate in any particular case. In particular, the Court^G may, if it considers it appropriate, require the applicant's legal representatives^G, as well as the applicant, to give undertakings.

25.35

As regards freezing orders in respect of assets outside the jurisdiction^G, the standard wording in relation to effects on third parties should normally incorporate wording to enable overseas branches of banks or similar institutions which have offices within the jurisdiction^G to comply with what they reasonably believe to be their obligations under the laws of the country where the assets are located or under the proper law of the relevant banking or other contract relating to such assets.

25.36

Any bank or third party served with, notified of or affected by a freezing order may apply to the Court^G without notice to any party for directions, or notify the Court^G in writing without notice to any party, in the event that the order affects or may affect the position of the bank or third party under legislation, regulations or procedures aimed to prevent money laundering.

Search orders

25.37

The following provisions apply to search orders in addition to those listed above.

The Supervising Legal Representative

25.38

The Supervising Legal Representative^G must be experienced in the operation of search orders.

Evidence

25.39

The affidavit^G must state the name, firm and its address, and experience of the Supervising Legal Representative^G, also the address of the premises and whether it is a private or business address.

25.40

The affidavit^G must set out very fully the reason the order is sought, including the probability that relevant material would disappear if the order were not made.

Service

25.41

The order must be served personally by the Supervising Legal Representative^G, unless the Court^G otherwise orders, and must be accompanied by the evidence in support and any documents capable of being copied.

25.42

Confidential exhibits need not be served but they must be made available for inspection by the respondent in the presence of the applicant's legal representatives^G while the order is carried out and afterwards be retained by the respondent's legal representatives^G on their undertaking not to permit the respondent:

- (1) to see them or copies of them except in their presence; and
- (2) to make or take away any note or record of them.

25.43

The Supervising Legal Representative^G may be accompanied only by the persons mentioned in the order.

25.44

The Supervising Legal Representative^G must explain the terms and effect of the order to the respondent in everyday language (with the assistance of an interpreter if necessary) and advise him:

- (1) of his right to take legal advice and to apply to vary or discharge the order; and
- (2) that he may be entitled to avail himself of:
 - (a) legal professional privilege^G; and
 - (b) the privilege^G against self-incrimination.

25.45

Where the Supervising Legal Representative^G is a man and the respondent is likely to be an unaccompanied woman, at least one other person named in the order must be a woman and must accompany the Supervising Legal Representative^G.

25.46

The order may only be served between 9.30 a.m. and 5.30 p.m. Sunday to Thursday unless the Court^G otherwise orders.

Search and custody of materials**25.47**

No material shall be removed unless clearly covered by the terms of the order.

25.48

The premises must not be searched and no items shall be removed from them except in the presence of the respondent or a person who appears to be a responsible employee of the respondent.

25.49

Where copies of documents are sought, the documents should be retained for no more than 2 days before return to the owner.

25.50

Where material in dispute is removed pending trial, the applicant's legal representatives^G should place it in the custody of the respondent's legal representatives^G on their undertaking to retain it in safekeeping and to produce it to the Court^G when required.

25.51

In appropriate cases the applicant should insure the material retained in the respondent's legal representatives' ^G custody.

25.52

The Supervising Legal Representative^G must make a list of all material removed from the premises and supply a copy of the list to the respondent.

25.53

No material shall be removed from the premises until the respondent has had reasonable time to check the list.

25.54

If any of the listed items exists only in computer readable form, the respondent must immediately give the applicant's legal representatives^G effective access to the computers, with all necessary passwords, to enable them to be searched, and cause the listed items to be printed out.

25.55

The applicant must take all reasonable steps to ensure that no damage is done to any computer or data.

25.56

The applicant and his representatives may not themselves search the respondent's computers unless they have sufficient expertise to do so without damaging the respondent's system.

25.57

The Supervising Legal Representative^G shall provide a report on the carrying out of the order to the applicant's legal representatives^G.

25.58

As soon as the report is received the applicant's legal representatives^G shall:

- (1) serve a copy of it on the respondent; and
- (2) file a copy of it with the Court^G.

25.59

Where the Supervising Legal Representative^G is satisfied that full compliance with Rules 25.53 and 25.54 above is impracticable, he may permit the search to proceed and items to be removed without compliance with the impracticable requirements.

General

25.60

The Supervising Legal Representative^G must not be an employee or member of the applicant's firm of legal representatives^G.

25.61

If the Court^G orders that the order need not be served by the Supervising Legal Representative^G, the reason for so ordering must be set out in the order.

25.62

The search order must not be carried out at the same time as a police search warrant.

25.63

An example of a Search Order is set out in Schedule B to this Part.
The example may be modified as appropriate in any particular case.

Applications to discharge or vary freezing orders and search orders

25.64

Applications to discharge or vary freezing orders and search orders are treated as matters of urgency for listing purposes. Those representing applicants for discharge or variation should ascertain before a date is fixed for the hearing whether, having regard to the evidence which they wish to adduce, the claimant^G would wish to adduce further evidence in opposition. If so, all reasonable steps must be taken by all parties to agree upon the earliest practicable date at which they can be ready for the hearing, so as to avoid the last minute need to vacate a fixed date. In cases of difficulty the matter should be referred to a Judge^G who may be able to suggest temporary solutions pending the hearing.

25.65

If a freezing order or search order is discharged on an application to discharge or vary, or on the return date, the Judge^G will consider whether it is appropriate that he should assess damages^G at once and direct immediate payment by the applicant.

Interim injunction to cease if claim is stayed

25.66

If:

- (1) the Court^G has granted an interim injunction^G other than a freezing order;
and
- (2) the claim is stayed^G other than by agreement between the parties;

the interim injunction^G shall be set aside^G unless the Court^G orders that it should continue to have effect even though the claim is stayed^G.

Interim injunction to cease after 14 days if claim struck out
25.67

If:

- (1) the Court^G has granted an interim injunction^G; and
- (2) the claim is struck out under Rule 4.31 (sanctions for non-payment of certain fees);

the interim injunction^G shall cease to have effect 14 days after the date that the claim is struck out unless Rule 25.68 applies.

25.68

If the claimant^G applies to reinstate the claim before the interim injunction^G ceases to have effect under Rule 25.67, the injunction^G shall continue until the hearing of the application unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

Injunctions against third parties

25.69

Rule 25.70 applies to orders which will affect a person other than the applicant or respondent, who:

- (1) did not attend the hearing at which the order was made; and
- (2) is served with the order.

25.70

Where such a person served with the order requests:

- (1) a copy of any materials read by the Judge^G, including material prepared after the hearing at the direction of the Judge^G or in compliance with the order; or
- (2) a note of the hearing;

the applicant, or his legal representative^G, must comply promptly with the request, unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

Inspection of property before commencement or against a non-party

25.71

Where a person makes an application under Rules 25.1(9) or 25.1(10):

- (1) the evidence in support of such an application must show, if practicable by reference to any statement of case^G prepared in relation to the proceedings or anticipated proceedings, that the property:
 - (a) is or may become the subject matter of such proceedings; or
 - (b) is relevant to the issues that will arise in relation to such proceedings; and
- (2) he must serve a copy of the application notice^G and a copy of the

evidence in support on:

- (a) the person against whom the order is sought; and
- (b) in relation to an application under Rule 25.1(10), every party to the proceedings other than the applicant.

Delivery-up orders

25.72

Where orders, other than search orders, have been made for delivery up or preservation of evidence or property where it is likely that such an order will be executed at the premises of the respondent or a third party, the Court^G shall consider whether to include in the order for the benefit or protection of the parties similar provisions to those specified above in relation to injunctions^G and search orders.

II INTERIM PAYMENTS

Interim payments — General procedure

25.73

The claimant^G may not apply for an order for an interim payment before the end of the period for filing^G an acknowledgment of service^G applicable to the defendant^G against whom the application is made.

25.74

The claimant^G may make more than one application for an order for an interim payment.

25.75

An application for an interim payment must be supported by evidence.

25.76

The timetable for an application for an interim payment for is as follows:

- (1) evidence in support must be filed and served with the application;
- (2) evidence in answer must be filed and served within 14 days thereafter;
- (3) evidence in reply (if any) must be filed and served within 7 days thereafter.

25.77

Rule 25.76 does not require written evidence:

- (1) to be filed if it has already been filed; or
- (2) to be served on a party on whom it has already been served.

25.78

The Court^G may order an interim payment in one sum or in instalments.

Evidence

25.79

An application for an interim payment of damages^G must be supported by evidence dealing with the following:

- (1) the sum of money sought by way of an interim payment;
- (2) the items or matters in respect of which the interim payment is sought;
- (3) the sum of money for which final judgment^G is likely to be given;
- (4) the reasons for believing that the conditions set out in Rule 25.81 are satisfied;
- (5) any other relevant matters; and
- (6) in claims for personal injuries, details of special damages^G and past and future loss.

25.80

Any documents in support of the application should be exhibited, including, in personal injuries claims, the medical report(s).

Interim payments — conditions to be satisfied and matters to be taken into account

25.81

The Court^G may only make an order for an interim payment where any of the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) the defendant^G against whom the order is sought has admitted liability to pay damages^G or some other sum of money to the claimant^G;
- (2) the claimant^G has obtained judgment^G against that defendant^G for damages^G to be assessed or for a sum of money (other than costs) to be assessed;
- (3) it is satisfied that, if the claim went to trial, the claimant^G would obtain judgment^G for a substantial amount of money (other than costs) against the defendant^G from whom he is seeking an order for an interim payment whether or not that defendant^G is the only defendant^G or one of a number of defendants^G to the claim; or
- (4) in a claim in which there are two or more defendants^G and the order is sought against any one or more of those defendants^G, the following conditions are satisfied:
 - (a) the Court^G is satisfied that, if the claim went to trial, the claimant^G would obtain judgment^G for a substantial amount of money (other than costs) against at least one of the defendants^G (but the Court^G cannot determine which); and
 - (b) all the defendants^G are either:

- (i) a defendant^G that is insured in respect of the claim;
- (ii) a defendant^G that is a Centre Body.

25.82

The Court^G must not order an interim payment of more than a reasonable proportion of the likely amount of the final judgment^G.

25.83

The Court^G must take into account:

- (1) contributory negligence; and
- (2) any relevant set-off or counterclaim^G.

Interim payment where account to be taken

25.84

Where a party seeks an interim payment under Rule 25.81(2) where the Court^G has ordered an account to be taken, if the evidence on the application for interim payment shows that the account is bound to result in a payment to the applicant, the Court^G will, before making an order for interim payment, order that the liable party pay to the applicant 'the amount shown by the account to be due'.

Instalments

25.85

Where an interim payment is to be paid in instalments the order should set out:

- (1) the total amount of the payment;
- (2) the amount of each instalment;
- (3) the number of instalments and the date on which each is to be paid; and
- (4) to whom the payment should be made.

Powers of Court where it has made an order for interim payment

25.86

Where a defendant^G has been ordered to make an interim payment, or has in fact made an interim payment (whether voluntarily or under an order), the Court^G may make an order to adjust the interim payment.

25.87

The Court^G may in particular:

- (1) order all or part of the interim payment to be repaid;
- (2) vary or discharge the order for the interim payment;
- (3) order a defendant^G to reimburse, either wholly or partly, another defendant^G who has made an interim payment.

25.88

The Court^G may make an order under Rule 25.87(3) only if:

- (1) the defendant^G to be reimbursed made the interim payment in relation to a claim in respect of which he has made a claim against the other defendant^G for a contribution^G, indemnity^G or other remedy; and
- (2) where the claim or part to which the interim payment relates has not been discontinued or disposed of, the circumstances are such that the Court^G could make an order for interim payment under Rule 25.81.

25.89

The Court^G may make an order under Rule 25.86 without an application by any party if it makes the order when it disposes of the claim or any part of it.

25.90

Where:

- (1) a defendant^G has made an interim payment; and
- (2) the amount of the payment is more than his total liability under the final judgment^G or order;

the Court^G may award him interest on the overpaid amount from the date when he made the interim payment.

Adjustment of final judgment figure**25.91**

In Rules 25.92 to 25.95 'judgment' means:

- (1) any order to pay a sum of money;
- (2) a final award of damages^G;
- (3) an assessment of damages^G.

25.92

In a final judgment^G where an interim payment has previously been made which is less than the total amount awarded by the Judge^G, the order should set out in a preamble:

- (1) the total amount awarded by the Judge^G; and
- (2) the amounts and dates of the interim payment(s).

25.93

The total amount awarded by the Judge^G should then be reduced by the total amount of any interim payments, and an order made for entry of judgment^G and payment of the balance.

25.94

In a final judgment^G where an interim payment has previously been made which is more than the total amount awarded by the Judge^G, the order should set out in a preamble:

- (1) the total amount awarded by the Judge^G; and
- (2) the amounts and dates of the interim payment(s).

25.95

An order should then be made for repayment, reimbursement, variation or discharge under Rule 25.87 and for interest on an overpayment under Rule 25.90.

Restriction on disclosure of an interim payment**25.96**

The fact that a defendant^G has made an interim payment, whether voluntarily or by Court^G order, shall not be disclosed to the trial Judge^G until all questions of liability and the amount of money to be awarded have been decided unless the defendant^G agrees.

III SECURITY FOR COSTS**25.97**

A defendant^G to any claim may apply under this Section of this Part for security for his costs of the proceedings.

25.98

An order for security for costs may not be made against the DFSA^G or the Registrar of Companies in proceedings initiated by the DFSA^G or the Registrar of Companies under DIFC Law.

25.99

An application for security for costs must be supported by written evidence, setting out:

- (1) the grounds on which security is sought;
- (2) any factors relevant to the exercise of the Court's^G discretion, such as the location of the claimant's^G assets and any practical difficulties which may arise in enforcing any order for costs;
- (3) a statement of costs already incurred, including the information required by RDC 38.35 and signed by the party or his legal representative; and
- (4) An estimate of anticipated future costs calculated by reference to the elements set out at RDC 38.35 and signed by the party or his legal representative^G.

25.100

Where the Court^G makes an order for security for costs, it will:

- (1) determine the amount of security; and
- (2) direct:
 - (a) the manner in which; and
 - (b) the time within which;

the security must be given.

Conditions to be satisfied

25.101

The Court^G may make an order for security for costs under Rule 25.100 if it is satisfied, having regard to all the circumstances of the case that it is just to make such an order; and

- (1) one or more of the conditions in Rule 25.102 applies; or
- (2) an enactment permits the Court^G to require security for costs.

Power to order Security for Costs

25.101.1

In *Frontline Development Partners Ltd v. Asif Hakim Adil* (7 September 2015) CA 005/2015, the Court of Appeal emphasised that the Court has a discretion to order security for costs: ‘...*notwithstanding that one or more of those conditions [in RDC 25.102] is established, the Court must also be satisfied, having regard to all the circumstances of the case, that it is just to make such an order*’ [5].

On the facts of that case, the Judge had dismissed the Defendant’s application for security some five months before the listed trial date. The appeal of the Judge’s decision was itself listed to be heard two and a half months before trial but was adjourned generally pending an anticipated settlement. It could not then be heard until *after* the trial. The Court of Appeal found that the Judge’s order had been flawed in that his had not addressed himself correctly to his task under RDC 25.101 [8] and there was no sufficient basis for dismissing the Defendant’s application [10]. The Court of Appeal found that it would not be just to order security for costs *after* the trial, so that no purpose would be served by making an order for security [11]-[12]. The Defendant had in effect chosen to take the risk of proceeding to trial without the benefit of an order for security.

Furthermore, even though Condition (6) was satisfied because the Claimant had transferred a flat in Dubai to his wife, the Defendant had been offered, and refused, the security of an undertaking by the Claimant’s wife, who had substantial properties and cash in Dubai, to pay the Claimant’s costs up to a value of USD 200,000 [15]. That undertaking was to have been reinforced by its being made to the Court and incorporated in a consent order [16]

The Court was accordingly not satisfied, having regard to all the circumstances of the case, that it was just to make an order for security for costs [14].

25.102

The conditions are:

- (1) the claimant^G is resident out of the UAE;
- (2) the claimant^G is a company or other body (whether incorporated inside or outside the DIFC^G) and there is reason to believe that it will be unable to pay the defendant's^G costs if ordered to do so;
- (3) the claimant^G has changed his address since the claim was commenced with a view to evading the consequences of the litigation;
- (4) the claimant^G failed to give his address in the claim form, or gave an incorrect address in that form;
- (5) the claimant^{tG} is acting as a nominal claimant^G, other than as a representative claimant^G under Part 20, and there is reason to believe that he will be unable to pay the defendant's^G costs if ordered to do so;
- (6) the claimant^G has taken steps in relation to his assets that would make it difficult to enforce an order for costs against him.

Condition (1) – Claimant's residence out of the UAE

25.102.1

In *Frontline Development Partners Ltd v. Asif Hakim Adil* (7 September 2015) CA 005/2015, Justice Sir John Chadwick noted that 'condition (1) is that the Claimant is resident out of the UAE; it is not, in terms, that the Claimant is not resident in the UAE' [7]. He clearly had in mind circumstances in which a Claimant was resident *both* in the UAE *and* in another jurisdiction, in which case Condition (1) would be satisfied.

The Court further found that the fact that the Claimant held a residence visa permitting him to reside in the UAE was irrelevant in determining whether Condition (1) was satisfied. The issue was not whether he was *permitted* to reside in the UAE but where he *in fact* resided [7]. On the facts of that case, whether or not the Claimant was resident in the UAE, he was claiming at the time to be a permanent resident of India [14].

Condition (6) – Claimant's steps in relation to his assets

25.102.2

In *Frontline Development Partners Ltd v. Asif Hakim Adil* (7 September 2015) CA 005/2015, the Court of Appeal emphasised that the test in Condition (6) of whether the Claimant 'has taken steps in relation to his assets that would make it difficult to enforce an order for costs against him' is a wholly objective test: '[i]f the consequence of the transfer [of a flat in Dubai to the Claimant's wife] is that it makes it more difficult to enforce an order for costs against the Claimant/transferor, it is immaterial whether or not that consequence was intended by the Claimant/transferor' [6]. However, the Court of Appeal declined to overturn the Judge's dismissal of the Defendant's application for security for costs because the Defendant had been offered by way of security an undertaking from the Claimant's wife to pay his costs up to a stated value [16].

Security for costs other than from the claimant

25.103

The defendant^G may seek an order against someone other than the claimant^G, and the Court^G may make an order for security for costs against that person if:

- (1) it is satisfied, having regard to all the circumstances of the case, that it is just to make such an order; and
- (2) one or more of the conditions in Rule 25.104 applies.

25.104

The conditions are that the person:

- (1) has assigned the right to the claim to the claimant^G with a view to avoiding the possibility of a costs order being made against him; or
- (2) has contributed or agreed to contribute to the claimant's^G costs in return for a share of any money or property which the claimant^G may recover in the proceedings; and

is a person against whom a costs order may be made.

Security for costs of an appeal

25.105

The Court^G may order security for costs of an appeal against:

- (1) an appellant^G;
- (2) a respondent who also appeals;

on the same grounds as it may order security for costs against a claimant^G under this Part.

25.106

The Court^G may also make an order under Rule 25.105 where the appellant^G, or the respondent who also appeals, is a limited company and there is reason to believe it will be unable to pay the costs of the other parties to the appeal should its appeal be unsuccessful.

First applications

25.107

First applications for security for costs should not be made later than at the Case Management Conference and in any event any application should not be left until close to the trial date. Delay to the prejudice of the other party or the administration of justice will probably cause the application to fail, as will any use of the application to harass the other party. Where it is intended to make an application for security at the Case Management Conference the procedure, and timetable for evidence, for an ordinary application must be followed.

Successive applications

25.108

Successive applications for security can be granted where the circumstances warrant. If a claimant^G wishes to seek to preclude any further application, it is incumbent on him to make that clear.

Evidence

25.109

An affidavit^G or witness statement in support of an application for security for costs should deal not only with the residence of the claimant^G (or other respondent to the application) and the location of his assets but also with the practical difficulties (if any) of enforcing an order for costs against him.

Investigation of the merits of the case

25.110

Investigation of the merits of the case on an application for security is strongly discouraged. Only in those cases where it can be shown without detailed investigation of evidence or law that the claim is certain or almost certain to succeed or fail will the merits be taken into consideration.

Undertaking by the applicant

25.111

In appropriate cases an order for security for costs may only be made on terms that the applicant gives an undertaking to comply with any order that the Court^G may make if the Court^G later finds that the order for security for costs has caused loss to the claimant^G and that the claimant^G should be compensated for such loss. Such undertakings are intended to compensate claimants^G in cases where no order for costs is ultimately made in favour of the applicant.

Stay of proceedings

25.112

It is not usually convenient or appropriate to order an automatic stay^G of the proceedings pending the provision of the security. It leads to delay and may disrupt the preparation of the case for trial, or other hearing. Experience has shown that it is usually better to give the claimant^G (or other relevant party) a reasonable time within which to provide the security and the other party permission to apply to the Court^G in the event of default. This enables the Court^G to allow the claimant^G to choose if he wishes to provide the security and, if he does not, to dismiss the case.

Amount of security

25.113

Where the dispute on an application for security for costs relates to the correct evaluation of the amount of costs likely to be allowed to a successful defendant^G on an assessment of costs, parties should consider whether it would be advantageous for the Judge^G hearing the application to sit with a the Registrar^G as an informal assessor^G. The Judge^G himself may take such an initiative.

Schedule A to Part 25

FREEZING ORDER

**DUBAI INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL CENTRE COURT
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE
[] DIVISION/CIRCUIT**

Before The Honourable Mr. Justice

B E T W E E N:

Claim No.

Dated

Claimant

Defendant

Seal

Name, address and reference of Respondent

PENAL NOTICE

IF YOU [] DISOBEY THIS ORDER YOU MAY BE HELD TO BE IN CONTEMPT OF COURT AND MAY BE IMPRISONED, FINED OR HAVE YOUR ASSETS SEIZED.

ANY OTHER PERSON WHO KNOWS OF THIS ORDER AND DOES ANYTHING WHICH HELPS OR PERMITS THE RESPONDENT TO BREACH THE TERMS OF THIS ORDER MAY ALSO BE HELD TO BE IN CONTEMPT OF COURT AND MAY BE IMPRISONED, FINED OR HAVE THEIR ASSETS SEIZED.

THIS ORDER

- 1 This is a Freezing Order made against [] ('the Respondent') on [] by Mr Justice [] on the application of [] ('the Applicant'). The Judge read the Affidavits listed in Schedule A and accepted the undertakings set out in Schedule B at the end of this Order.
- 2 This order was made at a hearing without notice to the Respondent. The Respondent has a right to apply to the Court to vary or discharge the order — see paragraph 13 below.
- 3 There will be a further hearing in respect of this order on [] ('the return date').
- 4 If there is more than one Respondent:
 - (1) unless otherwise stated, references in this order to 'the Respondent' mean both or all of them; and
 - (2) this order is effective against any Respondent on whom it is served or who is given notice of it.

FREEZING ORDER

[For injunction limited to assets in the DIFC]

- 5 Until the return date or further order of the Court, the Respondent must not remove from the Dubai International Financial Centre (“the DIFC”) or in any way dispose of, deal with or diminish the value of any of his assets which are in the DIFC up to the value of US\$.

[For worldwide injunction]

5. Until the return date or further order of the Court, the Respondent must not:
 - (1) remove from the DIFC any of his assets which are in the DIFC up to the value of US\$; or
 - (2) in any way dispose of, deal with or diminish the value of any of his assets whether they are in or outside the DIFC up to the same value.

[For either form of injunction]

- 6 Paragraph 5 applies to all the Respondent’s assets whether or not they are in his own name and whether they are solely or jointly owned. For the purpose of this order the Respondent’s assets include any asset which he has the power, directly or indirectly, to dispose of or deal with as if it were his own. The Respondent is to be regarded as having such power if a third party holds or controls the asset in accordance with his direct or indirect instructions.
- 7 This prohibition includes the following assets in particular:
 - (1) the property known as [title/address] or the net sale money after payment of any mortgages if it has been sold;
 - (2) the property and assets of the Respondent’s business [known as [name]] [carried on at [address]] or the sale money if any of them have been sold; and
 - (3) any money in the account numbered [account number] at [title/address].

[For injunction limited to assets in the DIFC]

- 8 If the total value free of charges or other securities (‘unencumbered value’) of the Respondent’s assets in the DIFC exceeds US\$, the Respondent may remove any of those assets from the DIFC or may dispose of or deal with them so long as the total unencumbered value of his assets still in the DIFC remains above US\$.

[For worldwide injunction]

8.

- (1) If the total value free of charges or other securities ('unencumbered value') of the Respondent's assets in the DIFC exceeds US\$, the Respondent may remove any of those assets from the DIFC or may dispose of or deal with them so long as the total unencumbered value of the Respondent's assets still in the DIFC remains above US\$.
- (2) If the total unencumbered value of the Respondent's assets in the DIFC does not exceed US\$, the Respondent must not remove any of those assets from the DIFC and must not dispose of or deal with any of them. If the Respondent has other assets outside the DIFC, he may dispose of or deal with those assets outside the DIFC so long as the total unencumbered value of all his assets whether in or outside the remains above US\$.

PROVISION OF INFORMATION

9.

- (1) Unless paragraph (2) applies, the Respondent must [immediately/ within hours of service of this order] and to the best of his ability inform the Applicant's legal representatives of all his assets [in the DIFC/worldwide] [exceeding US\$ in value] whether in his own name or not and whether solely or jointly owned, giving the value, location and details of all such assets.
 - (2) If the provision of any of this information is likely to incriminate the Respondent, he may be entitled to refuse to provide it, but is recommended to take legal advice before refusing to provide the information. Wrongful refusal to provide the information is contempt of court and may render the Respondent liable to be imprisoned, fined or have his assets seized.
10. Within [] working days after being served with this order, the Respondent must swear and serve on the Applicant's legal representatives an affidavit setting out the above information.

EXCEPTIONS TO THIS ORDER

11.

- (1) This order does not prohibit the Respondent from spending US\$ a week towards his ordinary living expenses and also US\$ [or a reasonable sum] on legal advice and representation. [But before spending any money the Respondent must tell the Applicant's legal representatives where the money is to come from.]
- [(2) This order does not prohibit the Respondent from dealing with or disposing of any of his assets in the ordinary and proper course of business.]
- (3) The Respondent may agree with the Applicant's legal representatives that the above spending limits should be increased or that this order

should be varied in any other respect, but any agreement must be in writing.

- (4) The order will cease to have effect if the Respondent:
 - (a) provides security by paying the sum of US\$& into Court, to be held to the order of the Court; or
 - (b) makes provision for security in that sum by another method agreed with the Applicant's legal representatives.

COSTS

- 12. The costs of this application are reserved to the Judge hearing the application on the return date.

VARIATION OR DISCHARGE OF THIS ORDER

- 13. Anyone served with or notified of this order may apply to the Court at any time to vary or discharge this order (or so much of it as affects that person), but they must first inform the Applicant's legal representatives. If any evidence is to be relied upon in support of the application, the substance of it must be communicated in writing to the Applicant's legal representatives in advance.

INTERPRETATION OF THIS ORDER

- 14. A Respondent who is an individual who is ordered not to do something must not do it himself or in any other way. He must not do it through others acting on his behalf or on his instructions or with his encouragement.
- 15. A Respondent which is not an individual which is ordered not to do something must not do it itself or by its directors, officers, partners, employees or agents or in any other way.

PARTIES OTHER THAN THE APPLICANT AND RESPONDENT

- 16. **Effect of this order**
It is a contempt of court for any person notified of this order knowingly to assist in or permit a breach of this order. Any person doing so may be imprisoned, fined or have their assets seized.
- 17. **Set off by banks**
This injunction does not prevent any bank from exercising any right of set off it may have in respect of any facility which it gave to the respondent before it was notified of this order.
- 18. **Withdrawals by the Respondent**
No bank need enquire as to the application or proposed application of any money withdrawn by the Respondent if the withdrawal appears to be permitted by this order.

[For worldwide injunction]

19. **Persons outside the DIFC**

- (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2) below, the terms of this order do not affect or concern anyone outside the jurisdiction of this court.
- (2) The terms of this order will affect the following persons in a country or state outside the jurisdiction of this court:
 - (a) the Respondent or his officer or agent appointed by power of attorney;
 - (b) any person who:
 - (i) is subject to the jurisdiction of this court;
 - (ii) has been given written notice of this order at his residence or place of business within the jurisdiction of this court; and
 - (iii) is able to prevent acts or omissions outside the jurisdiction of this court which constitute or assist in a breach of the terms of this order; and
 - (c) any other person, only to the extent that this order is declared enforceable by or is enforced by a court in that country or state.

[For worldwide injunction]

20. **Assets located outside the DIFC**

Nothing in this order shall, in respect of assets located outside the DIFC, prevent any third party from complying with:

- (1) what it reasonably believes to be its obligations, contractual or otherwise, under the laws and obligations of the country or state in which those assets are situated or under the proper law of any contract between itself and the Respondent; and
- (2) any orders of the courts of that country or state, provided that reasonable notice of any application for such an order is given to the Applicant's legal representatives.

COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE COURT

All communications to the Court about this order should be sent to:

Ground Floor, Building 4, Gate District, DIFC, UAE

Telephone: +971 4 427 3333

Fax: +971 4 427 3330

Email: registry@difccourts.ae

The offices are open between 10am to 5pm, Sunday to Thursday
(excluding Public Holidays)

SCHEDULE A

AFFIDAVITS

The Applicant relied on the following affidavits—

[name] [number of affidavit] [date sworn] [filed on behalf of]

(1)

(2)

SCHEDULE B

UNDERTAKINGS GIVEN TO THE COURT BY THE APPLICANT

- (1) If the Court later finds that this order has caused loss to the Respondent, and decides that the Respondent should be compensated for that loss, the Applicant will comply with any order the Court may make.
- [(2) The Applicant will:
 - (a) on or before [date] cause a written guarantee in the sum of US\$ to be issued from a bank with a place of business within the DIFC, in respect of any order the Court may make pursuant to paragraph (1) above; and
 - (b) immediately upon issue of the guarantee, cause a copy of it to be served on the Respondent.]
- (3) As soon as practicable the Applicant will issue and serve a claim form [in the form of the draft produced to the Court] [claiming the appropriate relief].
- (4) The Applicant will [swear and file an affidavit/cause an affidavit to be sworn and filed] substantially in the terms of the draft affidavit produced to the Court confirming the substance of what was said to the Court by the Applicant's legal representatives.
- (5) The Applicant will serve upon the Respondent [together with this order/ as soon as practicable]:
 - (i) copies of the affidavits and exhibits containing the evidence relied upon by the Applicant, and any other documents provided to the Court on the making of the application;
 - (ii) the claim form; and
 - (iii) an application notice^G for continuation of the order.
- (6) Anyone notified of this order will be given a copy of it by the Applicant's legal representatives.
- (7) The Applicant will pay the reasonable costs of anyone other than the Respondent which have been incurred as a result of this order including the costs of finding out whether that person holds any of the Respondent's assets and if the Court later finds that this order has caused such person loss, and decides that such person should be compensated for that loss, the Applicant will comply with any order the Court may make.
- (8) If this order ceases to have effect (for example, if the Respondent provides security or the Applicant does not provide a bank guarantee as provided for above) the Applicant will immediately take all reasonable steps to inform in writing anyone to whom he has given notice of this

order, or who he has reasonable grounds for supposing may act upon this order, that it has ceased to have effect.

- (9) The Applicant will not without the permission of the Court use any information obtained as a result of this order for the purpose of any civil or criminal proceedings, either in the DIFC or in any other jurisdiction, other than this claim.

- [(10) The Applicant will not without the permission of the Court seek to enforce this order in any country outside the DIFC [or seek an order of a similar nature including orders conferring a charge or other security against the Respondent or the Respondent's assets].]

NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT'S LEGAL REPRESENTATIVES

The Applicant's legal representatives are:

[Name, address, reference, fax and telephone numbers both in and out of office hours and e-mail]

Schedule B to Part 25

SEARCH ORDER

DUBAI INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL CENTRE COURT COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE [] DIVISION/CIRCUIT

Before The Honourable Mr. Justice

B E T W E E N:

Claim No.

Dated

Claimant

Defendant

Seal

Name, address and reference of Respondent

PENAL NOTICE

IF YOU [] DISOBEY THIS ORDER YOU MAY BE HELD TO BE IN CONTEMPT OF COURT AND MAY BE IMPRISONED, FINED OR HAVE YOUR ASSETS SEIZED.

ANY OTHER PERSON WHO KNOWS OF THIS ORDER AND DOES ANYTHING WHICH HELPS OR PERMITS THE RESPONDENT TO BREACH THE TERMS OF THIS ORDER MAY ALSO BE HELD TO BE IN CONTEMPT OF COURT AND MAY BE IMPRISONED, FINED OR HAVE THEIR ASSETS SEIZED.

THIS ORDER

1. This is a Search Order made against [] ('the Respondent') on [] by Mr Justice [] on the application of [] ('the Applicant'). The Judge read the Affidavits listed in Schedule F and accepted the undertakings set out in Schedules C, D and E at the end of this order.
2. This order was made at a hearing without notice to the Respondent. The Respondent has a right to apply to the Court to vary or discharge the order — see paragraph 27 below.
3. There will be a further hearing in respect of this order on [] ('the return date').
4. If there is more than one Respondent:
 - (a) unless otherwise stated, references in this order to 'the Respondent' mean both or all of them; and
 - (b) this order is effective against any Respondent on whom it is served or who is given notice of it.
5. This order must be complied with by:
 - (a) the Respondent;
 - (b) any director, officer, partner or responsible employee of the Respondent; and
 - (c) if the Respondent is an individual, any other person having responsible control of the premises to be searched.

THE SEARCH

6. The Respondent must permit the following persons:
 - (a) [] ('the Supervising Legal Representative');
 - (b) [], a legal representative in the firm of [], the Applicant's legal representatives; and
 - (c) up to [] other persons being [their identity or capacity] accompanying them,(together 'the search party'), to enter the premises mentioned in Schedule A to this order and any other premises of the Respondent set out under paragraph 18 below and any vehicles under the Respondent's control on or around the premises ('the premises') so that they can search for, inspect, photograph or photocopy, and deliver into the safekeeping of the Applicant's legal representatives all the documents and articles which are listed in Schedule B to this order ('the listed items').
7. Having permitted the search party to enter the premises, the Respondent must allow the search party to remain on the premises until the search is complete. In the event that it becomes necessary for any of those persons to leave the premises before the search is complete, the Respondent must allow them to re-enter the premises immediately upon their seeking re-entry on the same or the following day in order to complete the search.

RESTRICTIONS ON SEARCH

8. This order may not be carried out at the same time as a police search warrant.
9. Before the Respondent allows anybody onto the premises to carry out this order, he is entitled to have the Supervising Legal Representative explain to him what it means in everyday language.
10. The Respondent is entitled to seek legal advice and to ask the Court to vary or discharge this order. Whilst doing so, he may ask the Supervising Legal Representative to delay starting the search for up to 2 hours or such other longer period as the Supervising Legal Representative may permit. However, the Respondent must:
 - (a) comply with the terms of paragraph 27 below;
 - (b) not disturb or remove any listed items; and
 - (c) permit the Supervising Legal Representative to enter, but not start to search.
11. Before permitting entry to the premises by any person other than the Supervising Legal Representative, the Respondent may, for a short time (not to exceed two hours, unless the Supervising Legal Representative agrees to a longer period) gather together any documents he believes may [be incriminating or] privileged; and hand them to the Supervising Legal Representative for him to assess whether they are [incriminating or] privileged as claimed. If the Supervising Legal Representative decides that of any of the documents may be incriminating or privileged or is in any doubt as to their status, he will exclude them from the search and retain them in his possession pending further of the Court.
12. If the Respondent wishes to take legal advice and gather documents as permitted, he must first inform the Supervising Legal Representative and keep him informed of the steps being taken.
13. No item may be removed from the premises until a list of the items to be removed has been prepared, and a copy of the list has been supplied to the Respondent, and he has been given a reasonable opportunity to check the list.
14. The premises must not be searched, and items must not be removed from them, except in the presence of the Respondent.
15. If the Supervising Legal Representative is satisfied that full compliance with paragraphs 13 or 14 is not practicable, he may permit the search to proceed and items to be removed without fully complying with them.

DELIVERY UP OF ARTICLES/DOCUMENTS

16. The Respondent must immediately hand over to the Applicant's legal representatives any of the listed items, which are in his possession or

under his control, save for any computer or hard disk integral to any computer. Any items the subject of a dispute as to whether they are listed items must immediately be handed over to the Supervising Legal Representative for safe keeping pending resolution of the dispute or further order of the Court.

17. The Respondent must immediately give the search party effective access to the computers on the premises, with all necessary passwords, to enable the computers to be searched. If they contain any listed items the Respondent must cause the listed items to be displayed so that they can be read and copied. The Respondent must provide the Applicant's legal representatives with copies of all listed items contained in the computers. All reasonable steps shall be taken by the Applicant and the Applicant's legal representatives to ensure that no damage is done to any computer or data. The Applicant and his representatives may not themselves search the Respondent's computers unless they have sufficient expertise to do so without damaging the Respondent's system.

PROVISION OF INFORMATION

18. The Respondent must immediately inform the Applicant's legal representatives (in the presence of the Supervising Legal Representative) so far as he is aware:
 - (a) where all the listed items are;
 - (b) the name and address of everyone who has supplied him, or offered to supply him, with listed items;
 - (c) the name and address of everyone to whom he has supplied, or offered to supply, listed items; and
 - (d) full details of the dates and quantities of every such supply and offer.
19. Within [] working days after being served with this order the Respondent must swear and serve an affidavit setting out the above information.

PROHIBITED ACTS

20. Except for the purpose of obtaining legal advice, the Respondent must not directly or indirectly inform anyone of these proceedings or of the contents of this order, or warn anyone that proceedings have been or may be brought against him by the Applicant until 4.30 p.m. on the return date or further order of the Court.
21. Until 4.30 p.m. on the return date the Respondent must not destroy, tamper with, cancel or part with possession, power, custody or control of the listed items otherwise than in accordance with the terms of this order.
22. [Insert any negative injunctions.]
23. [Insert any further order.]

COSTS

24. The costs of this application are reserved to the Judge hearing the application on the return date.

RESTRICTIONS ON SERVICE

25. This order may only be served between [] am/pm and [] am/pm [and on a weekday].
26. This order must be served by the Supervising Legal Representative, and paragraph 6 of the order must be carried out in his presence and under his supervision.

VARIATION AND DISCHARGE OF THIS ORDER

27. Anyone served with or notified of this order may apply to the Court at any time to vary or discharge this order (or so much of it as affects that person), but they must first inform the Applicant's legal representatives. If any evidence is to be relied upon in support of the application, the substance of it must be communicated in writing to the Applicant's legal representatives in advance.

INTERPRETATION OF THIS ORDER

28. Any requirement that something shall be done to or in the presence of the Respondent means:
- (a) if there is more than one Respondent, to or in the presence of any one of them; and
 - (b) if a Respondent is not an individual, to or in the presence of a director, officer, partner or responsible employee.
29. A Respondent who is an individual who is ordered not to do something must not do it himself or in any other way. He must not do it through others acting on his behalf or on his instructions or with his encouragement.
30. A Respondent which is not an individual which is ordered not to do something must not do it itself or by its directors, officers, partners, employees or agents or in any other way.

COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE COURT

All communications to the Court about this order should be sent to:

Ground Floor, Building 4, Gate District, DIFC, UAE
Telephone: + 971 4 427 3333
Fax: +971 4 427 3330
Email: registry@difccourts.ae

The offices are open between 10am to 5pm, Sunday to Thursday (excluding Public Holidays)

SCHEDULE A

THE PREMISES

SCHEDULE B

THE LISTED ITEMS

SCHEDULE C

UNDERTAKINGS GIVEN TO THE COURT BY THE APPLICANT

- (1) If the Court later finds that this order or carrying it out has caused loss to the Respondent, and decides that the Respondent should be compensated for that loss, the Applicant will comply with any order the Court may make. Further if the carrying out of this order has been in breach of the terms of this order or otherwise in a manner inconsistent with the Applicant's legal representatives' duties as officers of the Court, the Applicant will comply with any order for damages^G the Court may make.
- [(2) As soon as practicable the Applicant will issue a claim form [in the form of the draft produced to the Court] [claiming the appropriate relief].]
- (3) The Applicant will [swear and file an affidavit] [cause an affidavit to be sworn and filed] [substantially in the terms of the draft affidavit produced to the Court] [confirming the substance of what was said to the Court by the Applicant's legal representatives].
- (4) The Applicant will not, without the permission of the Court, use any information or documents obtained as a result of carrying out this order nor inform anyone else of these proceedings except for the purposes of these proceedings (including adding further Respondents) or commencing civil proceedings in relation to the same or related subject matter to these proceedings until after the return date.
- [(5) The Applicant will maintain pending further order the sum of US\$ [] in an account controlled by the Applicant's legal representatives.]
- [(6) The Applicant will insure the items removed from the premises.]

SCHEDULE D

UNDERTAKINGS GIVEN BY THE APPLICANT'S LEGAL REPRESENTATIVES

- (1) The Applicant's legal representatives will provide to the Supervising Legal Representative for service on the Respondent:
 - (i) a service copy of this order;
 - (ii) the claim form (with defendant's response pack) or, if not issued, the draft produced to the Court;
 - (iii) an application for hearing on the return date;

- (iv) copies of the affidavits [or draft affidavits] and exhibits capable of being copied containing the evidence relied upon by the applicant;
 - (v) a note of any allegation of fact made orally to the Court where such allegation is not contained in the affidavits or draft affidavits read by the Judge; and
 - (vi) a copy of the skeleton argument produced to the Court by the Applicant's legal representatives.
- (2) The Applicants' legal representatives will answer at once to the best of their ability any question whether a particular item is a listed item.
 - (3) Subject as provided below the Applicant's legal representatives will retain in their own safe keeping all items obtained as a result of this order until the Court directs otherwise.
 - (4) The Applicant's legal representatives will return the originals of all documents obtained as a result of this order (except original documents which belong to the Applicant) as soon as possible and in any event within [two] working days of their removal.

SCHEDULE E

UNDERTAKINGS GIVEN BY THE SUPERVISING LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE

- (1) The Supervising Legal Representative will use his best endeavours to serve this order upon the Respondent and at the same time to serve upon the Respondent the other documents required to be served and referred to in paragraph (1) of Schedule D.
- (2) The Supervising Legal Representative will offer to explain to the person served with the order its meaning and effect fairly and in everyday language, and to inform him of his right to take legal advice (including an explanation that the Respondent may be entitled to avail himself of the privilege against self-incrimination and legal professional privilege) and to apply to vary or discharge this order as mentioned in paragraph 27 above.
- (3) The Supervising Legal Representative will retain in the safe keeping of his firm all items retained by him as a result of this order until the Court directs otherwise.
- (4) Unless and until the Court otherwise orders, or unless otherwise necessary to comply with any duty to the Court pursuant to this order, the Supervising Legal Representative shall not reveal to any person any information relating to those items, and shall keep the existence of such items confidential.
- (5) Within 48 hours of completion of the search the Supervising Legal Representative will make and provide to the Applicant's legal representatives, the Respondent or his legal representatives and to the Judge who made this order (for the purposes of the Court file) a written report on the carrying out of the order.

SCHEDULE F

AFFIDAVITS

The Applicant relied on the following affidavits:

[name] [number of affidavit] [date sworn] [filed on behalf of]:

(1)

(2)

NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT'S LEGAL REPRESENTATIVES

The Applicant's legal representatives are:

[Name, address, reference, fax and telephone numbers both in and out of office hours and e-mail]

PART 26

Case Management

Fixing a Case Management Conference

26.1

- (1) The Court^G may fix a Case Management Conference at any time on its own initiative. If it does so, the Court^G will give at least 14 days' notice to the parties, unless there are compelling reasons for a shorter period of notice.
- (2) A party may apply in writing for a Case Management Conference at any time. Such application must, state the reasons why a case management conference is necessary.

Case Management Conferences

26.1.1

The provision requiring parties to apply to court for a Case Management Conference has been removed. However, the Court's power to fix a Case Management Conference remains, and any party can apply for a CMC, setting out the reasons that it considers a CMC to be necessary. The expectation is that the Court will fix a CMC in most proceedings of any complexity.

26.2

A Case Management Conference may not be postponed or adjourned without an order of the Court^G.

Requirements for a Case Management Conference

26.3

Not less than 7 days before a Case Management Conference, each party must file and serve:

- (1) a completed Case Management Information Sheet. A standard form of Case Management Information Sheet is set out in Schedule A to this Part; and
- (2) an application notice^G for any order which that party intends to seek at the Case Management Conference, other than directions referred to in the Case Management Information Sheet.

Case Management Information Sheet

26.3.1

The standard form appears at Schedule A to Part 26. The importance of the Case Management Information Sheet ('CMIS') was made apparent in the judgment at trial of Justice Sir David Steel in *Taaleem PJSC v. National Bonds Corporation PJSC & Anor* (19 February 2014) CFI 014/2010. In finding against the Second Defendant, the Judge noted that it had in its CMIS identified four witnesses whom it intended to rely on, and who had played a significant role in the relevant events, but that 'none of these latter witnesses were called (nor any statement served) and no explanation of that decision was furnished' [16]. There is some suggestion that the negative inference drawn when an obvious witness is not called will be even stronger where it has been intimated in the CMIS that the relevant party will rely on the evidence of that witness.

26.4

Where a party wishes to obtain an order not routinely made at a Case Management Conference and believes that his application will be opposed, he should issue and serve the application in time for it to be heard at the Case Management Conference.

26.5

If the time allowed for the Case Management Conference is likely to be insufficient for the application to be heard, the applicant should inform the Court^G at once so that a fresh date can be fixed.

26.6

A costs sanction may be imposed on a party who fails to comply with Rules 26.4 and 26.5.

26.7

Unless the Court^G orders otherwise, the claimant^G, in consultation with the other parties, must produce:

- (1) a Case Memorandum (see Rules 26.8 to 26.14);
- (2) a list of issues (see Rule 26.9); and
- (3) a Case Management Bundle (see Rules 26.16 to 26.18);

and provide copies of the Case Management Bundle for the Court^G and the other parties at least 7 days before the first Case Management Conference or any earlier hearing at which the Court^G may give case management directions.

Case Memorandum

26.8

In order that the Judge^G conducting the Case Management Conference may be informed of the general nature of the case and the issues which are expected to arise, after service^G of the defence and any reply, the legal representatives^G of each party shall agree a Case Memorandum.

26.9

The Case Memorandum should contain:

- (1) a short and uncontroversial description of what the case is about;
- (2) a very short and uncontroversial summary of the material procedural history of the case;
- (3) an agreed list of the important issues in the case. The list should include both issues of fact and issue of law. A separate section of the document should list what is common ground between the parties (or any of them, specifying which).

26.10

Unless otherwise ordered, the legal representatives^G of the claimant^G are to have responsibility for the production and revision of the list of issues.

26.11

Unless otherwise ordered, the legal representatives^G of the claimant^G are to be responsible for producing and filing^G the Case Memorandum.

26.12

The Case Memorandum should not refer to any application for an interim payment, to any order for an interim payment, to any voluntary interim payment, or to any payment or offer under Part 32 or Part 33.

26.13

It should be clearly understood that the only purpose of the Case Memorandum is to help the Judge^G understand broadly what the case is about. The Case Memorandum does not play any part in the trial. It is unnecessary, therefore, for parties to be unduly concerned about the precise terms in which it is drafted, provided it contains a reasonably fair and balanced description of the case.

26.14

Accordingly, in all but the most exceptional cases it should be possible for the parties to draft an agreed Case Memorandum. However, if it proves impossible to do so, the claimant^G must draft the Case Memorandum and send a copy to the defendant^G. The defendant^G may provide its comments to the Court^G (with a copy to the claimant^G) separately.

26.15

The failure of the parties to agree a Case Memorandum is a matter which the Court^G may wish to take into account when dealing with the costs of the Case Management Conference.

Case Management Bundle

Preparation

26.16

Before the Case Management Conference, a Case Management Bundle should be prepared by the legal representatives^G of the claimant^G.

Contents

26.17

The Case Management Bundle should only contain the documents listed below (where the documents have been created by the relevant time):

- (1) the claim form;
- (2) all statements of case (excluding schedules), except that, if a summary has been prepared, the bundle should contain the summary, not the full statement of case^G;
- (3) the Case Memorandum;
- (4) the list of issues;
- (5) the Case Management Information Sheets and the pre-trial timetable if one has already been established;
- (6) the principal orders in the case; and
- (7) any agreement in writing made by the parties to produce documents without making a list or any agreement in writing that production shall take place in stages.

26.18

The Case Management Bundle must not include a copy of any order for an interim payment.

Lodging the Case Management Bundle

26.19

The Case Management bundle should be lodged with the Registry^G at least 7 days before the (first) Case Management Conference (or earlier hearing at which the parties are represented and at which the business of the Case Management Conference may be transacted).

Preparation and Upkeep

26.20

The claimant^G (or other party responsible for the preparation and upkeep of the Case Management Bundle), in consultation with the other parties, must revise and update the Case Management Bundle as the case proceeds.

Case Management Conference

Application to Postpone the Case Management Conference

26.21

An application to postpone the Case Management Conference must be made within 21 days after all defendants^G who intend to serve a defence have done so.

26.22

The application will be dealt with without a hearing unless the Court^G considers it appropriate to direct an oral hearing.

Attendance at the Case Management Conference

26.23

Clients need not attend a Case Management Conference unless the Court^G otherwise orders.

26.24

A legal representative^G who:

- (1) is familiar with the case; and
- (2) has sufficient authority to deal with any issues that are likely to arise;

must attend on behalf of each of the parties.

26.25

That person should be someone who is personally involved in the conduct of the case, and who has the authority and information to deal with any matter which may reasonably be expected to be dealt with at such a hearing, including the fixing of the timetable, the identification of issues and matters of evidence.

26.26

Where the inadequacy of the person attending or of his instructions leads to the adjournment of a hearing, the Court^G will expect to make a costs order against the legal representative^G.

Applications

26.27

If by the time of the Case Management Conference a party wishes to apply for an order in respect of a matter not covered by Questions (1)–(14) in the Case Management Information Sheet, he should make that application at the Case Management Conference.

26.28

In some cases notice of such an application may be given in the Case Management Information Sheet itself. See Rule 26.32.

26.29

In all other cases the applicant should ensure that an application notice^G and any supporting evidence is filed and served in time to enable the application to be heard at the Case Management Conference.

Materials: Case Management Information Sheet and Case Management Bundle

26.30

All parties attending a Case Management Conference must complete a Case Management Information Sheet. The information sheet is intended to include reference to all applications which the parties would wish to make at a Case Management Conference.

26.31

A completed Case Management Information Sheet must be provided by each party to the Court^G (and copied to all other parties) at least 7 days before the Case Management Conference.

26.32

Applications not covered by the standard questions raised in the Case Management Information Sheet should be entered under Question (15). No other application notice^G is necessary if written evidence will not be involved and the 7 day notice given by entering the application on the information sheet will in all the circumstances be sufficient to enable all other parties to deal with the application.

26.33

The Case Management Bundle must be provided to the Court^G at least 7 days before the Case Management Conference. Only where it is essential for the Court^G on the Case Management Conference to see the full version of a statement of case^G that has been summarised should a copy of that statement of case^G be lodged for the Case Management Conference.

The Hearing

26.34

At the Case Management Conference, the Judge^G will:

- (1) discuss the issues in the case, and the requirements of the case, with the legal representatives^G retained in the case;
- (2) review the steps which the parties have taken in the preparation of the case, and in particular their compliance with any directions that the Court^G may have given;
- (3) decide and give directions about the steps which are to be taken to secure the progress of the claim in accordance with the overriding objective;
- (4) ensure as far as it can that all agreements that can be reached between the parties about the matters in issue and the conduct of the claim are made and recorded; and
- (5) fix the entire pre-trial timetable, or, if that is not practicable, fix as much of the pre-trial timetable as possible.

26.35

The topics the Court^G will consider at a Case Management Conference are likely to include:

- (1) whether the claimant^G has made clear the claim he is bringing, in particular the amount he is claiming, so that the other party can understand the case he has to meet;
- (2) whether any amendments are required to the claim, a statement of case^G or any other document;
- (3) what production of documents, if any, is necessary;
- (4) what expert evidence is reasonably required in accordance with Part 31 and how and when that evidence should be obtained and exchanged;
- (5) what factual evidence should be exchanged;
- (6) what arrangements should be made about the giving of clarification or further information and the putting of questions to experts; and
- (7) whether it will be just and will save costs to order a split trial or the trial of one or more preliminary issues.

26.36

In all cases the Court^G will set a timetable for the steps it decides are necessary to be taken. These steps may include the holding of a further Case Management Conference or a Pre-Trial Review, and the Court^G will be alert to perform its duty to fix a trial date or period as soon as it can.

26.37

The Court^G will not at this stage give permission to use expert evidence unless it can identify each expert by name or field in its order and say whether his evidence is to be given orally or by the use of his report.

26.38

A party who obtains expert evidence before obtaining a direction about it does so at his own risk as to costs.

26.39

To assist the Court^G, the parties and their legal representatives^G should:

- (1) ensure that all documents that the Court^G is likely to ask to see (including witness statements and experts' reports) are brought to the hearing;
- (2) consider whether the parties should attend; and
- (3) consider what orders each wishes to be made and give notice of them to the other parties.

Order for Alternative dispute resolution

26.40

In appropriate cases make an order for alternative dispute resolution^G in accordance with Part 27.

26.41

The Case Management Information Sheet requires the parties to indicate whether a stay^G for such purposes is sought.

26.42

In an appropriate case an order for alternative dispute resolution^G may be made without a stay^G of proceedings. The parties should consider carefully whether it may be possible to provide for alternative dispute resolution^G in the pre-trial timetable without affecting the date of trial.

26.43

Where a stay^G has been granted for a fixed period for the purposes of alternative dispute resolution^G the Court^G has power to extend it. If an extension of the stay^G is desired by all parties, the Court^G will normally be prepared to deal with an application for such an extension if it is made before the expiry of the stay^G by letter from the legal representatives^G of one of the parties. The letter should confirm that all parties consent to the application.

26.44

An extension will not normally be granted for more than four weeks unless clear reasons are given to justify a longer period, but more than one extension may be granted.

The Pre-Trial Timetable**26.45**

The pre-trial timetable will normally include:

- (1) a progress monitoring date (see Rule 26.56 below); and
- (2) a direction that the parties meet with the Registrar^G to obtain a fixed date for trial.

Variations to the Pre-Trial Timetable**26.46**

The parties may agree minor variations to the time periods set out in the pre-trial timetable without the case needing to be brought back to the Court^G provided that the variation:

- (1) will not jeopardise the date fixed for trial;
- (2) does not relate to the progress monitoring date; and
- (3) does not provide for the completion after the progress monitoring date of any step which was previously scheduled to have been completed by that date.

26.47

If in any case it becomes apparent that variations to the pre-trial timetable are required which do not fall within Rule 26.46 above, the parties should apply to have the Case Management Conference reconvened immediately. The parties should not wait until the progress monitoring date.

Case Management Conference: Part 8 claims

26.48

In a case commenced by the issue of a Part 8 claim form, a Case Management Conference will normally take place on the first available date 6 weeks after service^G and filing^G of the defendant's^G evidence. At that Case Management Conference the Court^G will make such pre-trial directions as are necessary, adapting (where useful in the context of the particular claim) those of the case management procedures used for a claim commenced by the issue of a Part 7 claim form.

Case Management Conference: Additional claims

26.49

Wherever possible, any party who intends to make an additional claim^G should do so before the hearing of the Case Management Conference dealing with the main claim.

26.50

Where permission to make an additional claim^G is required it should be sought at the Case Management Conference in the main claim.

26.51

If the additional claim^G is a counterclaim^G by a defendant^G against a claimant^G alone, the Court^G will give directions in the additional claim^G at the Case Management Conference in the main claim.

26.52

If the additional claim^G is not a counterclaim^G by a defendant^G against a claimant^G alone, the Case Management Conference in the main claim will be reconvened on the first available date 6 weeks after service^G of the defence to the additional claim^G.

26.53

All parties to the proceedings (i.e. the parties to the main claim and the parties to the additional claim^G) must attend the reconvened Case Management Conference. There will not be a separate Case Management Conference for the additional claim^G alone.

26.54

In any case involving an additional claim^G the Court^G will give case management directions at the same Case Management Conferences as it gives directions for the main claim. The Court^G will therefore normally only give case management directions at hearings attended by all parties to the proceedings.

Management throughout the case

26.55

The Court^G will continue to take an active role in the management of the case throughout its progress to trial. Parties should be ready at all times to provide the Court^G with such information and assistance as it may require for that purpose.

Progress Monitoring

Fixing the Progress Monitoring Date

26.56

The progress monitoring date will be fixed at the Case Management Conference and will normally be after the date in the pre-trial timetable for exchange of witness statements and expert reports.

Progress Monitoring Information Sheet

26.57

At least 3 clear days before the progress monitoring date, the parties must each send to the Registrar^G (with a copy to all other parties) a progress monitoring information sheet to inform the Court:

- (1) whether they have complied with the pre-trial timetable, and if they have not, the respects in which they have not; and
- (2) whether they will be ready for a trial commencing on the fixed date specified in the pre-trial timetable, and if they will not be ready, why they will not be ready.

26.58

A standard form of progress monitoring information sheet is set out in Schedule B to this Part.

Reconvening the Case Management Conference

26.59

If in the view of the Court^G the information given in the progress monitoring sheets justifies this course, the Court^G may direct that the Case Management Conference be reconvened.

26.60

At a reconvened hearing of the Case Management Conference, the Court^G may make such orders and give such directions as it considers appropriate.

Pre-trial checklist

26.61

Not later than three days before the pre-trial review hearing each party must send to the Registrar^G (with a copy to all other parties) a completed checklist confirming final details for trial (a "Pre-Trial Checklist") in the form set out in Schedule C to this Part.

Further information

26.62

If a party declines to provide further information requested under Part 19, the legal representatives^G of the parties concerned must communicate directly with each other in an attempt to reach agreement before any application is made to the Court^G.

26.63

No application for an order that a party provide further information will normally be listed for hearing without prior written confirmation from the applicant that the requirements of Rule 26.62 have been complied with.

Fixed trial dates**26.64**

Most cases will be given fixed trial dates immediately after the pre-trial timetable has been set at the Case Management Conference.

26.65

A fixed date for trial is given on the understanding that if previous fixtures have been substantially underestimated or other urgent matters need to be heard, the trial may be delayed. Where such delay might cause particular inconvenience to witnesses or others involved in the trial, the Registrar^G should be informed well in advance of the fixed date.

Estimates of length of trial**26.66**

At the Case Management Conference an estimate will be made of the minimum and maximum lengths of the trial. The estimate will appear in the pre-trial timetable and will be the basis on which a date for trial will be fixed.

26.67

If a party subsequently instructs new legal representatives^G to appear on his behalf at the trial, the Registrar^G should be notified of that fact within 14 days. Legal representatives^G newly instructed should review the estimate of the minimum and maximum lengths of the trial, and submit to the Registrar^G a signed note revising or confirming the estimate as appropriate.

26.68

A confirmed estimate of the minimum and maximum lengths of the trial, signed by the legal representatives^G who are to appear at the trial, should be attached to the pre-trial checklist.

26.69

It is the duty of all legal representatives^G who are to appear at the trial to seek agreement, if possible, on the estimated minimum and maximum lengths of trial.

26.70

The provisional estimate and (after it is given) the confirmed estimate must be kept under review by the legal representatives^G who are to appear at the trial. If at any stage an estimate needs to be revised, a signed revised estimate (whether agreed or not) must be submitted by the legal representatives^G to the Registrar^G.

26.71

Accurate estimation of trial length is of great importance to the efficient functioning of the Court^G. The Court^G will be guided by, but will not necessarily accept, the estimates given by the parties.

Pre-Trial Review and trial timetable

26.72

The Court^G will order a Pre-Trial Review in any case in which it considers it appropriate to do so.

26.73

A Pre-Trial Review will normally take place between 8 and 4 weeks before the date fixed for trial.

26.74

Whenever possible the Pre-Trial Review will be conducted by the trial Judge^G. It should be attended by the individual legal representatives^G who are to appear at the trial.

26.75

Before the Pre-Trial Review the parties must attempt to agree a timetable for the trial providing for oral submissions, witnesses of fact and expert evidence. The claimant^G must file a copy of the draft timetable at least two days before the date fixed for the Pre-Trial Review; any differences of view should be clearly identified. At the Pre-Trial Review the Judge^G may set a timetable for the trial and give such other directions for the conduct of the trial as he considers appropriate.

Orders

26.76

Except for orders made by the Court^G on its own initiative and unless the Court^G otherwise orders, every order will be drawn up by the parties.

26.77

Agreed orders are to be drawn up in accordance with the procedure described in Part 23.

26.78

All other orders are to be drawn up in draft by the parties and dated in the draft with the date of the Judge's^G decision. The claimant^G is to have responsibility for drafting the order, unless it was made on the application of another party in which case that other party is to have the responsibility.

26.79

Two copies of the draft, signed by the parties themselves, or by their legal representatives^G must be lodged with the Registry^G within five days of the decision of the Court^G reflected in the draft.

26.80

If the Court^G orders that an act be done by a certain date without specifying a time for compliance, the latest time for compliance is 4.00 p.m. on the day in question.

26.81

Orders that are required to be served must be served by the parties, unless the Court^G otherwise directs.

Failure to comply with Case Management Directions

26.82

Where a party fails to comply with a direction given by the Court^G any other party may apply for an order that he must do so or for a sanction to be imposed or both of these.

26.83

The party entitled to apply for such an order must do so without delay but should first warn the other party of his intention to do so.

26.84

The Court^G may take any such delay into account when it decides whether to make an order imposing a sanction or to grant relief from a sanction imposed by the rules or any other practice direction.

26.85

The Court^G will not allow a failure to comply with directions to lead to the postponement of the trial unless the circumstances are exceptional.

26.86

If it is practical to do so the Court^G will exercise its powers in a manner that enables the case to come on for trial on the date or within the period previously set.

26.87

In particular the Court^G will assess what steps each party should take to prepare the case for trial, direct that those steps are taken in the shortest possible time and impose a sanction for non-compliance. Such a sanction may, for example, deprive a party of the right to raise or contest an issue or to rely on evidence to which the direction relates.

26.88

Where it appears that one or more issues are or can be made ready for trial at the time fixed while others cannot, the Court^G may direct that the trial will proceed on the issues which are then ready, and direct that no costs will be allowed for any later trial of the remaining issues or that those costs will be paid by the party in default.

26.89

Where the Court^G has no option but to postpone the trial it will do so for the shortest possible time and will give directions for the taking of the necessary steps in the meantime as rapidly as possible.

26.90

Litigants and legal representatives^G must be in no doubt that the Court^G will regard the postponement of a trial as an order of last resort. Where it appears inevitable the Court^G may exercise its power to require a party as well as his legal representative^G to attend court at the hearing where such an order is to be sought.

26.91

The Court^G will not postpone any other hearing without a very good reason, and for that purpose the failure of a party to comply on time with directions previously given will not be treated as a good reason.

**Schedule A to Part 26 Case Management information sheet
Party lodging information sheet:**

Name(s) of legal representative(s):

Name(s) of individual legal representative(s) for trial:

- (1) Approximately how many documents and how many pages of documents do you intend to produce on standard production of documents?
- (2) How do you intend to produce documents to the other parties under RDC 28.6? (For example by hard copy or electronically.)
- (3) By what date can you provide standard production of documents?
- (4) Please estimate the legal costs associated with standard production of documents.
- (5) Have the parties discussed standard production of documents? In particular, have the parties discussed the production of electronic documents? If so, please provide a summary of material areas of agreement and disagreement between the parties.
- (6) Is production of specific documents likely to be required on any issue? If so, please as much detail as is currently available.
- (7) Have the parties discussed likely or potential requests for production of specific documents? In particular, have the parties discussed requests to produce electronic documents? If so, please provide a summary of material areas of agreement and disagreement between the parties.
- (8) Are amendments to or is information about any statement of case required? If yes, please give brief details of what is required.
- (9) Can you make any additional admissions? If yes, please give brief details of the additional admissions.
- (10) Are any of the issues in the case suitable for trial as preliminary issues?
- (11)
 - (a) On the evidence of how many witnesses of fact do you intend to rely at trial (subject to the directions of the Court)? Please give their names, or explain why this is not being done.
 - (b) By what date can you serve signed witness statements?
 - (c) How many of these witnesses of fact do you intend to call to give oral evidence at trial (subject to the directions of the Court)? Please give

- their names, or explain why this is not being done.
- (d) Will interpreters be required for any witness?
 - (e) Do you wish any witness to give oral evidence by video link? Please give his or her name, or explain why this is not being done. Please state the country and city from which the witness will be asked to give evidence by video link.
- (12)
- (a) On what issues may expert evidence be required?
 - (b) Is this a case in which the use of a Court-appointed expert (see Rule 31.29) or an assessor (see Rule 31 Part II) might be suitable?
 - (c) On the evidence of how many expert witnesses do you intend to rely at trial (subject to the directions of the Court)? Please give their names, or explain why this is not being done. Please identify each expert's field of expertise.
 - (d) By what date can you serve signed expert reports?
 - (e) When will the experts be available for a meeting or meetings of experts?
 - (f) How many of these expert witnesses do you intend to call to give oral evidence at trial (subject to the directions of the Court)? Please give their names, or explain why this is not being done.
 - (g) Will interpreters be required for any expert witness?
 - (h) Do you wish any expert witness to give oral evidence by video link? Please give his or her name, or explain why this is not being done. Please state the country and city from which the witness will be asked to give evidence by video link.
- (13) What are the trial advocates' present provisional estimates of the minimum and maximum lengths of the trial?
- (14) What is the earliest date by which you believe you can be ready for trial?
- (15) Is this a case in which a Pre-Trial Review is likely to be useful?
- (16) Is there any way in which the Court can assist the parties to resolve their dispute or particular issues in it without the need for a trial or a full trial?
- (17)
- (a) Might some form of alternative dispute resolution procedure assist to resolve or narrow the dispute or particular issues in it?
 - (b) Has the question at (a) been considered between the client and legal representatives (including those to appear at trial)?
 - (c) Has the question at (a) been explored with the other parties in the case?
 - (d) Do you request that the case is adjourned while the parties try to settle the case by alternative dispute resolution or other means?
 - (e) Would an order for alternative dispute resolution in the form of the Schedule to Part 27 be appropriate?
 - (f) Are any other special directions needed to allow for alternative dispute resolution?

- (18) What other applications will you wish to make at the Case Management Conference?
- (19) Does provision need to be made in the pre-trial timetable for any application or procedural step not otherwise dealt with above? If yes, please specify the application or procedural step.
- (20) Are there, or are there likely in due course to be, any related proceedings? Please give brief details.

Rights of Audience

- (21) Do any of the parties' legal representatives intend to request the Court to waive or vary any limitation on their right to appear before the Court? If so, please identify the limitation and the reasons relied upon.
- (22) Has the legal representative's client consented to the making of the request?
- (23) Does the legal representative practice with a legal practitioner already holding full rights of audience before the Court? If so, please identify the Practitioner. Has the Practitioner agreed to provide appropriate guidance to the legal representative if such guidance is requested?
- (24) Does the legal representative agree that in the event of their request being granted, they will be bound by, and conduct themselves during the proceedings in full accordance with, the requirements of the Code of Conduct for Legal Practitioners in the DIFC Courts?

[Signature of legal representative(s)]

Note: This information sheet must be lodged with the Registry at least 7 days before the Case Management Conference (with a copy to all other parties).

Schedule B to Part 26 Progress Monitoring information sheet

[SHORT TITLE OF CASE and CASE NUMBER]

Fixed trial date/provisional range of dates for trial specified in the pre-trial timetable:

Party lodging information sheet:

Name(s) of legal representative(s):

Name(s) of individual legal representative(s) for trial:

- (1) Have you complied with the pre-trial timetable in all respects?
- (2) If you have not complied, in what respects have you not complied?

- (3) Will you be ready for a trial commencing on the fixed date (or, where applicable, within the provisional range of dates) specified in the pre-trial timetable?
- (4) If you will not be ready, why will you not be ready?

[Signature of legal representative(s)]

Note: This information sheet must be lodged with the Registry^G at least 3 days before the progress monitoring date (with a copy to all other parties).

Schedule C to Part 26 Pre-Trial checklist

[SHORT TITLE OF CASE and FOLIO NUMBER]

- a. Trial date:
- b. Party lodging checklist:
- c. Name(s) of legal representative(s):
- d. Name(s) of individual legal representative(s) for trial:

[Note: this checklist should normally be completed with the involvement of the legal representatives instructed for trial]

1. Have you completed preparation of trial bundles?
2. If not, when will the preparation of the trial bundles be completed?
3. Which witnesses of fact do you intend to call?
4. Which expert witness(es) do you intend to call (if directions for expert evidence have been given)?
5. Will an interpreter be required for any witness and if so, have any necessary directions already been given?
6. Have directions been given for any witness to give evidence by video link? If so, have all necessary arrangements been made?
7. What are the individual legal representative(s)'s for trial confirmed estimates of the minimum and maximum lengths of the trial?
(A confirmed estimate of length signed by the individual legal representative(s) for trial should be attached).
8. What is your estimate of costs already incurred and to be incurred at trial?

[Signature of legal representative(s)]

PART 27

Alternative Dispute Resolution

27.1

While emphasising its primary role as a forum for deciding civil and commercial cases, the Court^G encourages parties to consider the use of alternative dispute resolution^G (such as, but not confined to, mediation and conciliation) as an alternative means of resolving disputes or particular issues.

27.2

Whilst the Court^G remains an entirely appropriate forum for resolving most of the disputes which are entered in the Court^G, the view of the Court^G is that the settlement of disputes by means of alternative dispute resolution^G:

- (1) significantly helps parties to save costs;
- (2) saves parties the delay of litigation in reaching finality in their disputes;
- (3) enables parties to achieve settlement of their disputes while preserving their existing commercial relationships and market reputation;
- (4) provides parties with a wider range of solutions than those offered by litigation; and
- (5) is likely to make a substantial contribution^G to the more efficient use of judicial resources.

27.3

The Judges^G will, in appropriate cases, invite the parties to consider whether their dispute, or particular issues in it, could be resolved through alternative dispute resolution^G.

27.4

Legal representatives^G in all cases should consider with their clients and the other parties concerned, the possibility of attempting to resolve the dispute or particular issues by alternative dispute resolution^G and should ensure that their clients are fully informed as to the most cost effective means of resolving their dispute.

27.5

Parties who consider that alternative dispute resolution^G might be an appropriate means of resolving the dispute or particular issues in the dispute, may apply for directions at any stage, including before service^G of the defence and before the case management conference.

27.6

At the Case Management Conference, if it should appear to the Judge^G that the case before him or any of the issues arising in it are particularly appropriate for an attempt at settlement by means of alternative dispute resolution^G, but that the parties have not previously attempted settlement by such means, he may invite the parties to use alternative dispute resolution^G.

27.7

The Judge^G may, if he considers it appropriate, adjourn the case for a specified period of time to encourage and enable the parties to use alternative dispute resolution^G. He may for this purpose extend the time for compliance by the parties or any of them with any requirement under the Rules^G or any order of the Court^G.

27.8

The Judge^G may further consider in an appropriate case, making a alternative dispute resolution^G order in the terms set out in the Schedule to this Part.

27.9

The Court^G will not recommend any individual or body to act as a neutral.

27.10

At the Case Management Conference or at any other hearing in the course of which the Judge^G makes an order providing for alternative dispute resolution^G, he may make such order as to the costs that the parties may incur by reason of their using or attempting to use alternative dispute resolution^G as may in all the circumstances seem appropriate.

Schedule to Part 27 Draft order for alternative dispute resolution

1. On or before [*] the parties shall exchange lists of 3 neutral individuals who are available to conduct alternative dispute resolution procedures in this case prior to [*]. Each party may [in addition] [in the alternative] provide a list identifying the constitution of one or more panels of neutral individuals who are available to conduct alternative dispute resolution procedures in this case prior to [*].
2. On or before [*] the parties shall in good faith endeavour to agree a neutral individual or panel from the lists so exchanged and provided.
3. Failing such agreement by [*] the Case Management Conference will be restored to enable the Court^G to facilitate agreement on a neutral individual or panel.
4. The parties shall take such serious steps as they may be advised to resolve their disputes by alternative dispute resolution procedures before the neutral individual or panel so chosen by no later than [*].
5. If the case is not finally settled, the parties shall inform the Court^G by letter prior to [production of documents/exchange of witness statements/exchange of experts' reports] what steps towards alternative dispute resolution have been taken and (without prejudice to matters of privilege^G) why such steps have failed. If the parties have failed to initiate alternative dispute resolution procedures, the Case Management Conference is to be restored for further consideration of the case.

6. [Costs].

Note: The term “alternative dispute resolution procedures” is deliberately used in the draft alternative dispute resolution order. This is in order to emphasise that (save where otherwise provided) the parties are free to use the alternative dispute resolution procedure that they regard as most suitable, be it mediation, early neutral evaluation, non-binding arbitration, etc.

PART 28

Production of documents

Meaning of document

28.1

In this Part:

- (1) ‘document’ means anything in which information of any description is recorded; and
- (2) ‘copy’, in relation to a document^G, means anything onto which information recorded in the document^G has been copied, by whatever means and whether directly or indirectly.

28.2

The definition of a document^G extends to electronic documents^G, including email and other electronic communications, word processed documents^G and databases. In addition to documents^G that are readily accessible from computer systems and other electronic devices and media, the definition covers those documents^G that are stored on servers and back-up systems and electronic documents^G that have been ‘deleted’. It also extends to additional information stored and associated with electronic documents^G known as metadata.

Production of copies

28.3

A party need not produce more than one copy^G of a document^G.

28.4

A copy of a document must conform fully to the original. At the request of the Court^G, any original must be presented for inspection. A copy^G of a document^G that contains a modification, obliteration or other marking or feature shall be treated as a separate document^G. Parties should not redact documents which they produce without the agreement of the other parties or the permission of the Court.

Documents referred to in statements of case, etc.

28.5

A party may inspect a document^G mentioned in:

- (1) a statement of case^G;

- (2) a witness statement;
- (3) a witness summary; or
- (4) an affidavit^G.

28.6

An application for an order requiring a party to produce a document referred to in RDC rule 28.5 should be made in accordance with Part 23.

28.7

If a party wishes to inspect documents^G referred to in the expert report of another party, before issuing an application he should request inspection of the documents^G informally, and inspection should be provided by agreement unless the request is unreasonable.

28.8

Subject to Rule 31.53, a party may apply for an order for inspection of any document^G mentioned in an expert's report which has not already been produced in the proceedings.

28.9

Where an expert report refers to a large number or volume of documents^G and it would be burdensome to copy^G or collate them, the Court^G will only order inspection of such documents^G if it is satisfied that it is necessary for the just disposal of the proceedings and the party cannot reasonably obtain the documents^G from another source.

Cooperation between the parties

28.10

The parties should, prior to the first Case Management Conference, discuss any issues that may arise regarding searches for and the preservation of electronic documents^G. This may involve the parties seeking and providing information about the categories of electronic documents^G within their control, the computer systems, electronic devices and media on which any relevant documents^G may be held, the storage systems maintained by the parties, their document^G retention policies and the anticipated time and cost of carrying out any searches which might be requested.

28.11

Where the number or volume of documents to be searched is likely to be extensive, the parties should, where possible, seek to exchange preliminary production requests in draft form before standard production of documents takes place. Any such exchange shall not limit the parties' rights to submit further requests to produce after standard production in accordance with RDC 28.16.

28.12

The parties should co-operate at an early stage as to the format in which electronic copy^G documents^G are to be provided on production of documents^G.

28.13

If the physical structure of a file is or is claimed to be of evidential value:

- (1) Any such claim should be raised at the earliest opportunity; and
- (2) The legal representatives^G of the party holding the file should make one complete copy^G of the file in the form in which they received it before any documents^G are removed for the purpose of producing documents^G.

28.14

In the case of difficulty or disagreement on any of the matters referred to at RDC 28.10 to 28.13 above, the matter should be referred to a Judge^G for directions at the earliest practical date, if possible at the first Case Management Conference.

Standard production of documents

28.15

Within the time ordered by the Court^G, each party shall submit to the other parties:

- (1) all documents^G available to it on which it relies, including public documents^G and those in the public domain, except for any documents^G that have already been submitted by another party; and
- (2) the documents^G which he is required to produce by any Law, Rule or Practice Direction.

Scheme for standard production closer to IBA Rules than to English CPR

28.15.1

In *Taaleem PJSC v. National Bonds Corporation PJSC & Anor* (14 January 2013) CFI 014/2010, Justice Chadwick explained that rules for standard production under the RDC are not the same as the Civil Procedure Rules that apply in the High Court of England and Wales, and that '[t]he RDC are much closer, in this respect, to the scheme for production in the IBA rules, applicable to arbitrations' [31]. He further explained that '[t]he important feature of the RDC is that a party does not have to produce, in the course of standard production (at least as a general rule), documents on which he does not rely; and, in particular, does not have to produce documents which might harm his case (because those are not likely to be documents on which he relies).'

An example of a case in which the Court impliedly emphasised the adherence of its disclosure rules to the IBA rules is *Ahmed Zaki Beydoun v. (1) Daman Real Estate Capital Partners Limited, (2) Asteco Property Management LLC* (10 July 2014) CFI 032/2012. The First Defendant, Daman, made an application to strike out the proceedings on the basis that (so it was said) the Claimant had never had any title to sue on a sale and purchase agreement. The issue was whether the Claimant had divested himself of title to sue by entering into an assignment of the SPA. Daman submitted (*inter alia*) that the Claimant should have produced the assignment, that he deliberately failed to do so and therefore concealed his lack of title to sue. In dismissing Daman's application, Justice Sir Anthony Colman noted that there was no requirement under RDC 28.15 for the Claimant to disclose the assignment since he did not rely on it and was justified in assuming that it was in Daman's possession. It was for Daman to plead reliance on

it, but Daman had missed these procedural opportunities because its documentary investigation was inadequate [36]-[38]. The Judge held that the claim was not an abuse of process [41].

Category (2) includes documents to which a party has referred in its pleadings
28.15.2

In *Taaleem PJSC v. National Bonds Corporation PJSC & Anor* (14 January 2013) CFI 014/2010, the Court remarked in passing that Category (2) (documents of which production is required by any Law, Rule or Practice Direction) includes documents to which the party has referred in its statements of case [31].

In fact, all parties have an *unqualified* right to *inspect* documents mentioned in statements of case, witness statements, witness summaries and affidavits: RDC 28.9. It might therefore be suggested that the process of disclosure, by which the party holding the documents is required to list it but may then object to disclosure on various grounds, does not apply to documents which a party has itself chosen to mention and on which that party relies in its statements of case.

Request to produce

28.16

Within the time ordered by the Court^G, any party may submit to the other party a Request to Produce.

Requests to produce

28.16.1

Orders for production are now called Document Production Orders, in line with international practice and for consistency of reference within the RDC.

A 2014 amendment to the rules deals with the production of documents in electronic form by adopting some of the wording of the IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration (2010), and in particular IBA Rules 3(a)(ii), incorporated as RDC 28.19, and 3(c)(i), incorporated in RDC 28.17(4). In line with those rules, a request for such documents must identify the means for searching for such documents in an efficient and economical manner, consistently with the decision of the DIFC Court in *Taaleem PJSC v. National Bonds Corporation PJSC & Anor* (14 January 2013) (see note 28.14.1 below). A party seeking document production must also either explain that it does not have the documents or why it would be unreasonably burdensome to produce such documents. Examples where the latter might apply includes where the documents are located on offsite servers in a remote jurisdiction or the costs of retrieval will be exorbitant compared to the cost of the party to which the request has been made.

DIFC Rule 28.16 should be read together with DIFC Rule 28.23, which now requires parties to agree in advance all search terms and electronic databases to be searched as part of a request.

28.17

A Request to Produce shall contain:

- (1) a description of a requested document^G sufficient to identify it; or

- (2) a description in sufficient detail (including subject matter) of a narrow and specific requested category of documents^G that are reasonably believed to exist;
- (3) a description of how the documents^G requested are relevant and material to the outcome of the case; and
- (4) a statement of the reason why that party believes the documents^G requested to be in the possession, custody or control of the other party and either:
 - (a) a statement that the documents^G requested are not in the possession, custody or control of the requesting party, or
 - (b) a statement of the reasons why it would be unreasonably burdensome for the requesting party to produce such documents.

Requirement of sufficient detail as to categories of documents requested
28.17.1

In *Taaleem PJSC v. National Bonds Corporation PJSC & Anor* (14 January 2013) CFI 014/2010, Justice Chadwick emphasized in that case the importance of the request's being 'focused' and including 'either a description of a requested document sufficient to identify it or a description in sufficient detail of a narrow and specific category of documents that are reasonably believed to exist' [32]. Each of the descriptions and statements required under RDC 28.14 is necessary in order that a request should have the requisite focus: '[requests] have to explain why the documents requested are relevant and material and they have to explain why they are thought to be in the possession, custody or control of the other party' [32].

In that case, Justice Chadwick had explained, for example, that a class of documents said to include 'any emails, letters or other correspondence or draft contracts recording or containing discussions or negotiations' between particular parties concerning various transactions would, in his view, have been too broad (if pursued) [18].

28.18

A Request to Produce should be set out substantially in the form of Schedule A to this Part.

28.19

In the case of documents which are or which are likely to be maintained in electronic form, the requesting party may, or the Court may order that it shall be required to, identify specific files, search terms, individuals or other means of searching for such documents in an efficient and economical manner.

Production of documents as to which no objection is made
28.20

Within the time ordered by the Court^G, the party to whom the Request to Produce is addressed shall:

- (1) Carry out a reasonable search for the documents in his possession, custody or control as to which no objection is made;

- (2) Produce to the other parties all such requested documents^G which have been identified by such search as to which no objection is made;
- (3) Provide to the other parties information about his document retention policy and the nature of the searches which have been undertaken; and
- (4) State that, to the best of his knowledge, he has produced copies of all documents in his possession, custody and control which have been requested and to which no objection is raised. Such statement should be supported by a statement of truth.

Requirement to state extent of search to locate documents

28.20.1

In *Taaleem PJSC v. National Bonds Corporation PJSC & Anor* (14 January 2013) CFI 014/2010, Justice Chadwick explained that where a party objects that no documents in a requested category have been found in that party's possession, custody or control, it is incumbent on that party to outline the steps that have been taken to locate the documents [29] [37]-[39]. It was not enough to adopt the language of what is now RDC 28.39 (that no documents have been located as a result of the search) and/or to include a statement as to the party's understanding of its duty to produce documents and that it has carried out this duty to the best of its knowledge and produced all relevant documents to which objections have not been raised that are in its possession, custody or control [37]. Such recitations as may be common under the English CPR cannot be relied upon given the differences between disclosure under the CPR and production under the RDC [38]; see further 28.16.1.

If the producing party does not wish to make an unqualified statement that no such documents exist within its possession, custody or control, but instead wishes to explain that it has not *located* any such documents, then it must explain the extent of the search in fact undertaken [39].

It is suggested that a party may wish, in the light of the above guidance, to add to the statements in the document production statement scheduled to RDC Part 28 as to where it has *not* searched by explaining in sufficient detail where it *has* searched. Apprised of this information and the party's document retention policy (required to be explained under RDC 28.18), the Court will be in a better position to assess what, if any, further searches should be undertaken for the requested documents. Such a statement may further save the time and costs of the Court ordering the requested party to make a further Disclosure Statement as to the extent of the search already made: see 28.21.1.

28.21

The factors that may be relevant in deciding the reasonableness of a search for electronic documents^G include (but are not limited to) the following:

- (1) the number of documents^G involved;
- (2) the nature and complexity of the proceedings;
- (3) the ease and expense of retrieval of any particular document^G. This

includes:

- (a) the accessibility of electronic documents^G or data including email communications on computer systems, servers, back-up systems and other electronic devices or media that may contain such documents^G taking into account alterations or developments in hardware or software systems used by the producing party and/or available to enable access to such documents^G;
 - (b) the location of relevant electronic documents^G, data, computer systems, servers, back-up systems and other electronic devices or media that may contain such documents^G;
 - (c) the likelihood of locating relevant data;
 - (d) the cost of recovering any electronic documents^G;
 - (e) the cost of producing any relevant electronic documents^G;
 - (f) the likelihood that electronic documents^G will be materially altered in the course of recovery, or production;
- (4) the significance of any document^G which is likely to be located during the search.

Relevant functions in deciding reasonableness of electronic search

28.21.1

Although RDC 28.21 sets out a number of factors that will need to be considered in the design and proposal of a search for electronic documents, the most important single factor tends to be the efficiency of a proposed search, in terms of the proportion of the documents captured by the search that are likely to be relevant. The relevance of a proposed search will need to be explained by reference to specific issues, not least because the intention of DIFC Courts disclosure is to require disclosure of documents on which a party relies and documents which the other party has specifically identified as being relevant in terms of advancing that other party's case. The most significant cost for parties tends not to be the hosting of raw documents on the disclosure platform, but rather the cost of review of the documents captured by application of the search criteria. It is this cost that is wastefully increased where the search is too broad.

In *Taaleem PJSC v. National Bonds Corporation PJSC & Anor* (14 January 2013) CFI 014/2010, Justice Chadwick rejected a criticism of the electronic disclosure given that the key words were not as extensive as required, accepting that there was a strong probability that documents identified by the proposed additional key words would already have been identified by search against the existing key words [43]. It is suggested that the same enquiry should be made where additional individual 'custodians' or devices are proposed in asking not only the efficiency of such a search (in terms of the number of relevant documents among all of those likely to be identified) but also whether the addition of further custodians or devices will identify documents not already produced.

28.22

It may be reasonable to search some or all of the parties' electronic storage systems. In some circumstances, it may be reasonable to search for electronic documents^G by means of keyword searches even where a full review of each and every document^G would be unreasonable. There may be other forms of electronic search that may be appropriate in particular circumstances.

28.23

The parties should seek to agree in advance the parameters of any search of electronic documents, including:

- (1) The electronic databases to be searched; and
- (2) Any search terms to be used.

Parameters of electronic searches

28.23.1

There may be value in a party requesting electronic document production doing so by reference to the English Electronic Disclosure Questionnaire ('EDQ'), which requires the requesting party to consider in turn the search period, custodians, devices, and search terms (possibly by reference to some specified boolean convention). The party of which the request is made may then fill out its own form explaining the extent of the electronic document production it would be content to give, by reference to the same boxes.

28.24

Where possible, any dispute about the parameters of any electronic search should be referred to the Court for determination before the relevant search is carried out. This is particularly important where the volume of documents to be searched is large or the searches are likely to be time consuming or expensive.

28.25

The Court may take into account any failure to comply with RDC 28.23 and 28.24 in any assessment of costs.

Objection to Request to Produce

28.26

If the party to whom the Request to Produce is addressed has objections to the production of some or all of the documents^G requested, he shall state them in writing within the time ordered by the Court^G. The reasons for such objections shall be any of those set out in Rule 28.28.

Proper reasons for objecting to disclosure

28.26.1

In *Taaleem PJSC v. National Bonds Corporation PJSC & Anor* (14 January 2013) CFI 014/2010, Justice Chadwick provided a helpful broad summary at [34] of the reasons on which parties can properly rely in objecting to disclosure, having disclosed all the documents in its possession, custody or control that fall within the disclosure request:

'If he objects to producing them, then he can state "Your request is too broad because it does not fall within RDC 28.[17]"; or, perhaps, "You have the documents already" and so they are excluded under 28.[17]; or "I do not have them and your reasons are not sufficient to establish otherwise". Or he must set up one or other of the grounds for objection under RDC 28.[28], of which the first is lack of sufficient relevance or materiality. Another, which is of importance, is that it would be an unreasonable burden to have to carry out the search required to locate the documents.'

28.27

A party's objections to production in accordance with RDC 28.16 should be recorded in a schedule substantially in the form of Schedule A to this Part.

Summary of reasons for objecting to disclosure

28.27.1

A party's reasons for objection to disclosure should be in a schedule in the form of Schedule A which, like a 'Redfern' schedule, requires the relevant parties to state their reasons for requesting, and reasons for objecting to, production.

Grounds for excluding documents from production

28.28

The Court^G may, at the request of a party or on its own initiative, exclude from production any document^G for any of the following reasons:

- (1) lack of sufficient relevance or materiality;
- (2) legal impediment or privilege^G under the legal or ethical rules determined by the Court^G to be applicable;]
- (3) unreasonable burden to produce the requested evidence;
- (4) loss or destruction of the document^G that has been shown with reasonable likelihood to have occurred;
- (5) grounds of commercial or technical confidentiality that the Court^G determines to be compelling;
- (6) grounds of special political or institutional sensitivity (including evidence that has been classified as secret by a government or a public international institution) that the Court^G determines to be compelling; or
- (7) considerations of procedural economy, proportionality, fairness or equality of the parties that the Court^G determines to be compelling.

Court's exclusion of documents from production

28.28.1

In *Taaleem PJSC v. National Bonds Corporation PJSC & Anor* (14 January 2013) CFI 014/2010 at [11], the Court explained that Ground (5) ('grounds of commercial or technical confidentiality that the Court determines to be compelling') would be 'the familiar case where the party objects to disclosing its *own* documents because those documents relate to matters which for it are commercially or technically confidential' (emphasis added), such as pricing schedules or technical information of a confidential nature. An exclusion of production of documents in which a *non*-party could claim confidentiality would give the party grounds to seek an exclusion order instead on Ground (2) ('legal impediment or privilege under the legal or ethical rules determined by the Court to be applicable'). It followed that if the party was relying on the confidentiality of a non-party, in that case the Ruler's Court or the Financial Audit Department ('the FAD'), then the relevant impediment had to be legally binding [11].

As for Ground (6) ('grounds of special political or institutional sensitivity...'), the Court was skeptical of such sensitivity that had not been voiced by the relevant political or other institutions [12]. Justice Chadwick further queried how a complaint to a governmental institution, the FAD, could be of special political or institutional sensitivity. However, where he did order the disclosure of alleged instructions to a party by the Ruler's Court, the parameters of the order were tightly defined by reference to a particular payment and the instructions to make it to which reference was made in a specified email [14]-[15]. In ordering such limited disclosure, Justice Chadwick expressly drew attention to both (i) the restriction on the use by the party to which documents are produced of such disclosure in RDC 28.56 and (ii) the possibility of making an order under RDC 28.57 restricting the use by *any* person of documents produced.

Any objection on Ground (6) must be sufficiently particularised in terms of the particular sensitivity that arises: *Taaleem* [19]. Similarly, there has to be a real prospect that the relevant documents would have such sensitivity; the prospect that such documents *may* exist within a wider class is not sufficient [20]. If such documents are found to exist, then the best course may be for the party that has been ordered to disclose the documents to inform the relevant institutions, in that case the Ruler's Court or the FAD, of the order that has been made [22].

Where an objection is raised on Ground (6), the Court will need to give special consideration to the *relevance* of the document requested [15]. In *Taaleem*, the Court found that a FAD report, setting out the views of the FAD on the facts in issue in the case would not be relevant, as the Court would have itself to decide on the relevant factual issues [27].

Improper obtaining not a ground for exclusion from production

28.28.2

In *Al Hendi v. Dubai Aerospace Enterprise (DAE) Ltd* (17 April 2013) CFI 026/2012, Justice Steel found it likely that the Claimant had taken a significant amount of the Defendant's documents on leaving its employment [8] and made an order that the Claimant deliver up and return to the Defendant any of its property retained by him [9], give a disclosure statement as to what he had taken [10], and be restrained from any further processing or disclosing such documents or their contents [11]. Nevertheless, the Court ordered specific disclosure of certain relevant classes of documents within the documents that the Claimant had taken from the Defendant and had been required to return to it [13]-[14].

28.29

A person who wishes to claim that he has a right or a duty to withhold production of a document^G, or part of a document^G, must state in writing—

- (1) that he has such a right or duty; and
- (2) the grounds on which he claims that right or duty.

28.30

A party may apply to the Court^G to decide whether a claim made under Rule 28.29 should be upheld.

Public interest objection

28.31

A person may apply, without notice, for an order permitting him to withhold production of a document^G on the ground that production would damage the public interest.

28.32

Unless the Court^G orders otherwise, an order of the Court^G under Rule 28.31—

- (1) must not be served on any other person; and
- (2) must not be open to inspection by any person.

28.33

For the purpose of deciding an application under Rule 28.29 (duty to withhold production) or Rule 28.31 (public interest objection) the Court^G may:

- (1) require the person seeking to withhold production of a document^G to produce that document^G to the Court^G; and
- (2) invite any person, whether or not a party, to make representations.

28.34

An application under Rules 28.29 or 28.31:

- (1) Should be made within the time ordered by the Court for objecting to the production of documents; and
- (2) must be supported by evidence.

28.35

This Part does not affect any rule of law which permits or requires a document^G to be withheld from production on the ground that its production would damage the public interest.

Document Production Order

28.36

Where a requesting party considers:

- (1) That a responding party's objection to production is not justified; or
- (2) That the responding party has failed to carry out a reasonable search for documents which have been requested or has otherwise failed, without objection, to produce such documents which are within his possession, custody or control,

the requesting party may apply to the Court^G for a Document Production Order.

28.37

An application for a Document Production Order should be supported by a schedule substantially in the form of Schedule A to this Part.

28.38

The Court^G may order the party to whom a Request to Produce is addressed to produce to the other parties those requested documents^G in its possession, custody or control (a “Document Production Order”).

Terms of a Document Production Order

28.38.1

Although RDC 28.38 suggests there is a single species of Document Production Order, requiring the respondent to disclose all the documents requested in its possession, custody or control, it is clear from the decision of Justice Chadwick in *Taaleem PJSC v. National Bonds Corporation PJSC & Anor* (14 January 2013) CFI 014/2010 that the Order would better be tailored by reference to the disclosure and search orders described in RDC 28.39 depending to the response received to the original Request to Produce.

28.39

A Document Production Order will direct that a party must do one or more of the following things:

- (1) produce documents^G or classes of documents^G specified in the order;
- (2) carry out a search to the extent stated in the order;
- (3) produce any documents^G located as a result of that search;
- (4) identify documents or classes of documents which were, but are no longer, in the party’s possession, custody and control and explain, to the best of the party’s knowledge and belief, what has happened to them.

Power of Court to make a search and produce order

28.39.1

In *Taaleem PJSC v. National Bonds Corporation PJSC & Anor* (14 January 2013) CFI 014/2010, Justice Chadwick explained at [35] the circumstances in which the Court may make a search and produce order:

‘So if the Court is persuaded that there may be documents in the possession of the party to whom the request has been made, but that there has been no proper search for those documents, then it may make a search and produce order. And it may direct that, in compliance with that order, it is necessary for the party to whom it is made to make a Disclosure Statement which sets out the extent of the search that has been made to locate documents, certifying that he understands his duty to disclose documents and certifying that, to the best of his knowledge, he has carried out his duty.’

28.40

The party from whom a Document Production Order is sought should provide to the applicant and to the Court^G information as to the factors listed in Rule 28.21 and his document^G retention policy, to the extent such information is relevant to the application and has not already been provided. At the hearing of an application for a Document Production Order, the Court^G may take into account the factors listed in Rule 28.21 as well as the width of the request and the conduct of the parties.

28.41

If the propriety of an objection can only be determined by review of the document^G, the Judge^G hearing the objection may refer the objection to another Judge^G to review any such document^G and determine the objection. To the extent that the objection is upheld by the other Judge^G, the Court may determine that the other Judge^G will not disclose to the other parties the contents of the document^G reviewed and will take no further part in the case, either for the purpose of the hearing of applications, as the Judge^G at trial, or on appeal, unless the parties agree otherwise.

28.42

Compliance with a Document Production Order must be verified by a Document Production Statement in the form set out in Schedule B to this Part.

28.43

A Document Production Statement is a statement made by a party:

- (1) setting out the extent of the search that has been made to locate documents^G which he is required to produce;
- (2) certifying that he understands the duty to search for and produce documents^G; and
- (3) certifying that to the best of his knowledge he has carried out that duty.

28.44

Where the party making the Document Production Statement is a company, firm, association or other organisation, the statement must also:

- (1) identify the person making the statement; and
- (2) explain why he is considered an appropriate person to make the statement.

28.45

- (1) The parties' obligation to produce documents in response to a Document Production Request or pursuant to a Document Production Order is a continuing one. Where a party subsequently comes into possession of further documents falling within the scope of such a request or order, the party must notify the requesting party of that fact and either produce the document or object to its production in accordance with RDC 28.26.
- (2) This rule shall not apply where the party has previously objected to production on grounds which apply to the new document and such objection has not been challenged by the other parties or has been upheld by the Court.

Production of documents in stages**28.46**

The parties may agree in writing, or the Court^G may direct, that production of documents^G shall take place in stages.

Production of documents before proceedings start

28.47

An application for production of documents^G before proceedings have started under these Rules^G must be made in accordance with Part 8 and supported by evidence.

28.48

The Court^G may only make an order where:

- (1) the respondent is likely to be a party to subsequent proceedings;
- (2) the applicant is also likely to be a party to those proceedings;
- (3) if proceedings had started, the Court would make a Document Production Order directing the production of the documents^G or classes of documents^G of which the applicant seeks production; and
- (4) production before proceedings have started is desirable in order to:
 - (a) dispose fairly of the anticipated proceedings;
 - (b) assist the dispute to be resolved without proceedings; or
 - (c) save costs.

Interim applications for pre-action production

28.48.1

Where an order for pre-action production is sought by way of *interim* relief under RDC 25.1(9), then the particular requirements as to evidence and service are found at RDC 25.71.

28.49

An order under Rule 28.48 must:

- (1) specify the documents^G or the classes of documents^G which the respondent must produce; and
- (2) require him, when producing the documents^G, to specify any of those documents^G:
 - (a) which are no longer in his control; or
 - (b) in respect of which he claims a right or duty to withhold production.

28.50

Such an order may:

- (1) require the respondent to indicate what has happened to any documents^G which are no longer in his control; and
- (2) specify the time and place for production.

Orders for production of documents against a person not a party

28.51

An application for production of documents^G by a person who is not a party to the proceedings must under these Rules^G be supported by evidence.

28.52

The Court^G may make an order under this rule only where:

- (1) the documents^G of which production is sought are likely to support the case of the applicant or adversely affect the case of one of the other parties to the proceedings; and
- (2) production is necessary in order to dispose fairly of the claim or to save costs.

Interim applications for non-party production

28.52.1

Where an order for non-party production is sought by way of *interim* relief under RDC 25.1(10), then the particular requirements as to evidence and service are found at RDC 25.71.

Requirement first to request voluntary disclosure

28.52.2

An application for an order for production of documents against a non-party must be made in accordance with the Overriding Objective, which requires further to the requirements set out in RDC 28.52 that the applicant party should first have written to the non-party to ask for disclosure on a voluntary basis: *GCC International v. Capital Investment International* (24 September 2012) CA 001/2012 at [27]. On the facts of that case, the applicant had not so written to the respondent non-party and had unreasonably objected to the conditions which the respondent sought to impose on offering voluntary disclosure upon receipt of the application for a non-party production order [28].

Disclosure against a non-party not subject to DIFC Court jurisdiction

28.52.3

In *Taaleem PJSC v. National Bonds Corporation PJSC & Anor* (15 January 2013), Justice Chadwick considered that the application of the First Defendant against two on-shore Dubai companies raised the issue of whether an order for the production of documents by a non-party could be made by a party that is not, itself, subject to the jurisdiction of the DIFC Court [5]. However, the Court expressly left open that issue, on the ground that its jurisdiction over the relevant Dubai companies was expressly excluded by Decree No. 61 of 2009.

28.53

An order under Rule 28.51 must:

- (1) specify the documents^G or the classes of documents^G which the respondent must produce; and
- (2) require the respondent, when producing the documents^G, to specify any of the documents^G which the Court^G has ordered should be produced:
 - (a) which are no longer in his control; or
 - (b) in respect of which he claims a right or duty to withhold production.

28.54

Such an order may:

- (1) require the respondent to indicate what has happened to any documents^G which are no longer in his control; and
- (2) specify the time and place for production.

Rules not to limit other powers of the Court to order production of documents

28.55

Rules 28.47 to 28.54 do not limit any other power which the Court^G may have to order:

- (1) production of documents^G before proceedings have started; and
- (2) production of documents^G against a person who is not a party to proceedings.

Request to produce documents by the Court on its own initiative**28.56**

The Court^G may at any time request a party to produce to the Court^G and to the other parties any documents^G that it considers to be relevant and material to the outcome of the case.

28.57

A party may object to such a request based on any of the reasons set out in Rule 28.28.

28.58

If a party raises such an objection, the Court^G shall decide whether to order the production of such documents^G based upon the considerations set out in Rule 28.28 and, if the Court^G considers it appropriate, through the use of the procedures set out in Rule 28.41.

Additional documents**28.59**

Within the time ordered by the Court^G, the parties may produce to the other parties any additional documents^G which they believe have become relevant and material as a consequence of the issues raised in statements of case, documents^G, witness statements or expert reports submitted or produced by another party or in other submissions of the parties.

Consequence of failure to produce documents**28.60**

A party may not rely on any document^G which he fails to produce unless the Court^G gives permission.

28.61

If a party fails without satisfactory explanation to produce any document^G requested in a Request to Produce to which he has not objected in due time or fails to produce any document^G ordered to be produced by the Court^G, the Court^G may infer that such document^G would be adverse to the interests of that party.

False Document Production Statements**28.62**

Proceedings for contempt of court may be brought against a person if he makes, or causes to be made, a false Document Production Statement, without an honest belief in its truth.

28.63

Proceedings under Rule 28.62 may be brought only with the permission of the Court^G.

Subsequent use of produced documents**28.64**

A party to whom a document^G has been produced may use the document^G only for the purpose of the proceedings in which it has been produced, except where:

- (1) the document^G has been read to or by the Court^G, or referred to, at a hearing which has been held in public;
- (2) the Court^G gives permission; or
- (3) the party who produced the document^G and the person to whom the document^G belongs agree.

28.65

The Court^G may make an order restricting or prohibiting the use of a document^G which has been produced, even where the document^G has been read to or by the Court^G, or referred to, at a hearing which has been held in public.

28.66

An application for such an order may be made:

- (1) by a party; or
- (2) by any person to whom the document^G belongs.

Restriction on use of a privileged document inspection of which has been inadvertently allowed**28.67**

Where a party inadvertently allows a privileged^G document^G to be inspected, the party who has inspected the document^G may use it or its contents only with the permission of the Court^G.

Schedule A to Part 28 Document Production Schedule

[1] [Documents sought] [Reasons for request] [Document not in requesting party's control and reasons] [Document in responding party's control and reasons] [Reason for Objection]

Schedule B to Part 28 Document Production Statement

I, the above named claimant [or defendant] [if the party making production is a company, firm or other organisation, identify here the person making the Document Production Statement and explain why he is the appropriate person to make it] state that I have carried out a reasonable search to locate all the documents which I am required to produce under the order made by the Court on day of .

[explain what was searched and extent of search]

I did not search:

- (1) for documents predating,
- (2) for documents located elsewhere than,
- (3) for documents in categories other than,

For electronic documents

I carried out a search for electronic documents contained on or created by the following:

[explain what was searched and extent of search]

I did not search for the following:

- (1) documents created before.....,
- (2) documents contained on or created by the Claimant's/Defendant's PCs/portable data storage media/databases/servers/back-up tapes/off-site storage/mobile phones/laptops/notebooks/handheld devices/PDA devices (delete as appropriate),
- (3) documents contained on or created by the Claimant's/Defendant's mail files/document files/calendar files/spreadsheet files/graphic and presentation files/web-based applications (delete as appropriate),
- (4) documents other than by reference to the following keyword(s)/concepts..... (delete if your search was not confined to specific keywords or concepts).

I certify that I understand the duty to produce documents and to the best of my knowledge I have carried out that duty. I certify that the list above is a complete

list of all documents which are or have been in my control and which I am obliged under the said order to produce.

Signed and dated this _____

Requirement to explain search undertaken for requested documents

28.68.1

The Document Production Statement at Schedule B to RDC Part 28 contains a *negative* statement of where the party did *not* search. If there are significant documents which the party has been ordered to disclose but cannot locate, then there may be value in the party's giving a *positive* statement of the searches that it *has* in fact undertaken, with a view to avoiding the need for further applications and/or to assisting the Court on any such applications: see the reasoning of Justice Chadwick in *Taaleem PJSC v. National Bonds Corporation PJSC & Anor* (14 January 2013) CFI 014/2010 [29]-[30].

PART 29

Evidence

I EVIDENCE IN GENERAL

29.1

This Part sets out how evidence is to be given and facts are to be proved.

29.2

Evidence at a hearing other than the trial should normally be given by witness statement. A witness statement is a written statement signed by a person which contains the evidence that person would have otherwise submitted orally.

29.3

A witness may give evidence by affidavit^G if he wishes to do so.

29.4

Statements of case and application notices^G may also be used as evidence provided that their contents have been verified by a statement of truth.

29.5

Affidavits^G must be used as evidence in the following instances:

- (1) where sworn evidence is required by an enactment, Rule, order or Practice Direction;
- (2) in any application for a search order, a freezing order, or an order requiring an occupier to permit another to enter his land; and
- (3) in any application for an order against anyone for alleged contempt of court.

29.6

If a party believes that sworn evidence is required by a court in another jurisdiction^G for any purpose connected with the proceedings, he may apply to the Court^G for a direction that evidence shall be given only by affidavit^G in any pre-trial applications.

29.7

The Court^G may give a direction that evidence shall be given by affidavit^G instead of, or in addition to, a witness statement or statement of case^G:

- (1) on its own initiative; or
- (2) after any party has applied to the Court^G for such a direction.

29.8

An affidavit^G, where referred to in these Rules^G or a Practice Direction, also means an affirmation unless the context requires otherwise.

Power of Court to control evidence

29.9

The Court^G may control the evidence by giving directions as to:

- (1) the issues on which it requires evidence;
- (2) the nature of the evidence which it requires to decide those issues; and
- (3) the way in which the evidence is to be placed before the Court^G.

29.10

The Court^G may use its power under Rule 29.9 to exclude evidence that would otherwise be admissible.

29.11

The Court^G may limit cross-examination^G.

Evidence of witnesses — General rule

29.12

The general rule is that any fact which needs to be proved by the evidence of witnesses is to be proved:

- (1) at trial, by their oral evidence given in public; and
- (2) at any other hearing, by their evidence in writing.

29.13

This is subject:

- (1) to any provision to the contrary contained in these Rules^G or elsewhere; or
- (2) to any order of the Court^G.

Evidence by video link or other means

29.14

The Court^G may allow a witness to give evidence through a video link or by other means.

Defects in affidavits, witness statements and exhibits

29.15

Where:

- (1) an affidavit^G;
- (2) a witness statement; or
- (3) an exhibit to either an affidavit^G or a witness statement;

does not comply with this Part in relation to its form, the Court^G may refuse to admit it as evidence and may refuse to allow the costs arising from its preparation.

29.16

Permission to file a defective affidavit^G or witness statement or to use a defective exhibit must be obtained from a Judge^G.

II WITNESS STATEMENTS

Preparation and form of witness statements

29.17

A witness statement must comply with the requirements set out in this section of this Part.

29.18

The following points are emphasised:

- (1) the function of a witness statement is to set out in writing the evidence in chief^G of the witness; as far as possible, therefore, the statement should be in the witness's own words;
- (2) it should be as concise as the circumstances of the case allow without omitting any significant matters;
- (3) it should not contain lengthy quotations from documents;
- (4) it should not engage in argument;
- (5) it must indicate which of the statements made in it are made from the witness's own knowledge and which are made on information or belief, giving the source for any statement made on information or belief; and
- (6) it must contain a statement by the witness that he believes the matters stated in it are true; proceedings for contempt of court may be brought against a person if he makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a witness statement without an honest belief in its truth.

29.19

It is improper to put pressure of any kind on a witness to give anything other than his own account of the matters with which his statement deals. It is also improper to serve a witness statement which is known to be false or which it is known the maker does not in all respects actually believe to be true.

Fluency of witnesses**29.20**

If a witness is not sufficiently fluent in English to give his evidence in English, the witness statement should be in the witness's own language and a translation provided.

29.21

If a witness is not fluent in English but can make himself understood in broken English and can understand written English, the statement need not be in his own words provided that these matters are indicated in the statement itself. It must however be written so as to express as accurately as possible the substance of his evidence.

Heading**29.22**

The witness statement should be headed with the title of the proceedings where the proceedings are between several parties with the same status it is sufficient to identify the parties as follows:

Number:

A.B. (and others) Claimants/Applicants

C.D. (and others) Defendants/Respondents

(as appropriate)

29.23

At the top right hand corner of the first page (and on the backsheet) there should be clearly written:

- (1) the party on whose behalf it is made;
- (2) the initials and surname of the witness;
- (3) the number of the statement in relation to that witness;
- (4) the identifying initials and number of each exhibit referred to; and
- (5) the date the statement was made.

Body of witness statement

29.24

The witness statement must, if practicable, be in the intended witness's own words, the statement should be expressed in the first person and should also state:

- (1) the full name of the witness;
- (2) his place of residence or, if he is making the statement in his professional; business or other occupational capacity, the address at which he works, the position he holds and the name of his firm or employer;
- (3) his occupation, or if he has none, his description; and
- (4) the fact that he is a party to the proceedings or is the employee of such a party if it be the case.

29.25

A witness statement must indicate:

- (1) which of the statements in it are made from the witness's own knowledge and which are matters of information or belief; and
- (2) the source for any matters of information or belief.

29.26

An exhibit used in conjunction with a witness statement should be verified and identified by the witness and remain separate from the witness statement.

29.27

Where a witness refers to an exhibit or exhibits, he should state "I refer to the (description of exhibit) marked '...'".

29.28

The provisions of Rules 29.85 to 29.98 (exhibits) apply similarly to witness statements as they do to affidavits^G.

29.29

Where a witness makes more than one witness statement to which there are exhibits, in the same proceedings, the numbering of the exhibits should run consecutively throughout and not start again with each witness statement.

Format of witness statement

29.30

A witness statement should:

- (1) be fully legible and should normally be typed on one side of the paper only;
- (2) where possible, be bound securely in a manner which would not hamper filing^G, or otherwise each page should be endorsed with the case number and should bear the initials of the witness;

- (3) have the pages numbered consecutively as a separate statement (or as one of several statements contained in a file);
- (4) be divided into numbered paragraphs;
- (5) have all numbers, including dates, expressed in figures; and
- (6) give the reference to any document or documents mentioned either in the margin or in bold text in the body of the statement.

29.31

It is usually convenient for a witness statement to follow the chronological sequence of the events or matters dealt with, each paragraph of a witness statement should as far as possible be confined to a distinct portion of the subject.

Statement of truth

29.32

A witness statement is the equivalent of the oral evidence which that witness would, if called, give in evidence; it must include a statement by the intended witness that he believes the facts in it are true.

29.33

To verify a witness statement the statement of truth is as follows:
"I believe that the facts stated in this witness statement are true".

29.34

Attention is drawn to Section VI of this Part which sets out the consequences of verifying a witness statement containing a false statement without an honest belief in its truth.

Alterations to witness statements

29.35

Any alteration to a witness statement must be initialled by the person making the statement or by the authorised person where appropriate.

29.36

A witness statement which contains an alteration that has not been initialled may be used in evidence only with the permission of the Court^G.

Filing of witness statements

29.37

If the Court^G directs that a witness statement is to be filed, it must be filed in the Registry^G.

29.38

Where the Court^G has directed that a witness statement in a language other than English is to be filed:

- (1) the party wishing to rely on it must:

- (a) have it translated; and
 - (b) file the witness statement in its original language with the Court^G; and
- (2) the translator must make and file with the Court^G an affidavit^G verifying the translation and exhibiting both the translation and a copy of the witness statement in its original language.

Requirement to serve witness statements for use at trial

29.39

The Court^G will order a party to serve on the other parties any witness statement of the oral evidence which the party serving the statement intends to rely on in relation to any issues of fact to be decided at the trial.

29.40

The Court^G may give directions as to:

- (1) the order in which witness statements are to be served; and
- (2) whether or not the witness statements are to be filed.

Use at trial of witness statements which have been served

29.41

If:

- (1) a party has served a witness statement; and
- (2) he wishes to rely at trial on the evidence of the witness who made the statement;

he must call the witness to give oral evidence unless the Court^G orders otherwise or he puts the statement in as hearsay evidence.

Use at trial of witness statements

29.41.1

In *Dagher v. Capital Investment International (CII-UAE) Ltd* (26 February 2014) CFI 013/2011, where a witness failed to attend a pre-arranged witness conference without any explanation being provided by him or the party who had called him, Justice Ali Al Madhani decided to strike out the evidence and to preclude *any* reliance on it.

29.42

Where a witness is called to give oral evidence under Rule 29.41, his witness statement shall stand as his evidence in chief^G unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

29.43

In an appropriate case the trial Judge^G may direct that the whole or any part of a witness's evidence in chief^G is to be given orally. Any application for such an order should be made at the beginning of the trial.

29.44

A witness giving oral evidence at trial may with the permission of the Court:

- (1) amplify his witness statement; and
- (2) give evidence in relation to new matters which have arisen since the witness statement was served on the other parties.

29.45

The Court^G will give permission under Rule 29.44 only if it considers that there is good reason not to confine the evidence of the witness to the contents of his witness statement.

29.46

A supplemental witness statement should normally be served where the witness proposes materially to add to, alter, correct or retract from what is in his original statement. Permission will be required for the service^G of a supplemental statement.

29.47

A party who has decided not to call to give oral evidence at trial a witness whose statement has been served must give prompt notice of this decision to all other parties. He must at the same time state whether he proposes to put the statement in as hearsay evidence.

29.48

If a party who has served a witness statement does not:

- (1) call the witness to give evidence at trial; or
- (2) put the witness statement in as hearsay evidence;

any other party may put the witness statement in as hearsay evidence.

Cross-examination on a witness statement

29.49

Where a witness is called to give evidence at trial, he may be cross-examined on his witness statement, whether or not the statement or any part of it was referred to during the witness's evidence in chief^G.

Cross-examination by reference to objective facts

29.49.1

Justice Sir David Steel emphasised in both *Supergems ME Ltd v. Daman Real Estate Capital Partners Ltd* (15 December 2013) CFI 021/2012 [22] and *Taaleem PJSC v. National Bonds Corporation PJSC & Anor* (19 February 2014) CFI 014/2010 [13] the importance of assessing the credibility of witnesses and their impressions, often recorded some years after relevant events, by reference to the contemporary documents, the probabilities and the motives of the parties: *Grace Shipping v. Sharp & Co* [1987] 1 Lloyd's Rep 207, 215. Particular emphasis is placed on whether there was any obvious motive for a relevant party to have acted as believed or alleged by a witness: see, for example, *Supergems* at [24].

Witness summaries

29.50

A party who:

- (1) is required to serve a witness statement for use at trial; but
- (2) is unable to obtain one, may apply, without notice, for permission to serve a witness summary instead.

29.51

A witness summary is a summary of:

- (1) the evidence, if known, which would otherwise be included in a witness statement; or
- (2) if the evidence is not known, the matters about which the party serving the witness summary proposes to question the witness.

29.52

Unless the Court^G orders otherwise, a witness summary must include the name and address of the intended witness.

29.53

Unless the Court^G orders otherwise, a witness summary must be served within the period in which a witness statement would have had to be served.

29.54

Where a party serves a witness summary, so far as practicable the provisions of this section of this Part with regard to the form of witness statement and Rules 29.39 and 29.40 (requirement to serve witness statements for use at trial) and 29.44 (amplifying witness statements) shall apply to the summary.

Consequence of failure to serve witness statement or summary

29.55

If a witness statement or a witness summary for use at trial is not served in respect of an intended witness within the time specified by the Court^G, then the witness may not be called to give oral evidence unless the Court^G gives permission.

Evidence in proceedings other than at trial

29.56

Subject to Rule 29.57, the general rule is that evidence at hearings other than the trial is to be by witness statement unless the Court^G, a Practice Direction or any other enactment requires otherwise.

29.57

At hearings other than the trial, a party may, rely on the matters set out in:

- (1) his statement of case^G; or

(2) his application notice^G;

if the statement of case^G or application notice^G is verified by a statement of truth.

Order for cross-examination

29.58

Where, at a hearing other than the trial, evidence is given in writing, any party may apply to the Court^G for permission to cross-examine the person giving the evidence.

29.59

If the Court^G gives permission under Rule 29.58 but the person in question does not attend as required by the order, his evidence may not be used unless the Court^G gives permission.

Use of witness statements for other purposes

29.60

A witness statement may be used only for the purpose of the proceedings in which it is served unless:

- (1) the witness gives consent in writing to some other use of it;
- (2) the Court^G gives permission for some other use; or
- (3) the witness statement has been put in evidence at a hearing held in public.

Availability of witness statements for inspection

29.61

A witness statement which stands as evidence in chief^G is open to inspection during the course of the trial unless the Court^G otherwise directs.

29.62

Any person may ask for a direction that a witness statement is not open to inspection.

29.63

The Court^G will not make a direction under Rule 29.62 unless it is satisfied that a witness statement should not be open to inspection because of:

- (1) the interests of justice;
- (2) the public interest;
- (3) the nature of any expert evidence in the statement; or
- (4) the nature of any confidential information in the statement.

29.64

The Court^G may exclude from inspection words or passages in the statement.

Certificate of Court Officer

29.65

Where the Court^G has ordered that a witness statement is not to be open to inspection by the public or that words or passages in the statement are not to be open to inspection, a Court Officer^G will so certify on the statement and make any deletions directed by the Court^G under Rule 29.64.

III AFFIDAVIT EVIDENCE

29.66

Evidence must be given by affidavit^G instead of or in addition to a witness statement if this is required by the Court^G, a provision contained in any other Rule, a Practice Direction or any other enactment.

29.67

Nothing in these Rules^G prevents a witness giving evidence by affidavit^G at a hearing other than the trial if he chooses to do so in a case where Rule 29.66 does not apply, but the party putting forward the affidavit^G may not recover the additional cost of making it from any other party unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

Deponent

29.68

A deponent is a person who gives evidence by affidavit^G or affirmation.

Heading

29.69

The affidavit^G should be headed with the title of the proceedings; where the proceedings are between several parties with the same status it is sufficient to identify the parties as follows:

Number:

A.B. (and others) Claimants/Applicants

C.D. (and others) Defendants/Respondents

(as appropriate)

29.70

At the top right hand corner of the first page (and on the backsheet) there should be clearly written:

- (1) the party on whose behalf it is made;
- (2) the initials and surname of the deponent;
- (3) the number of the affidavit^G in relation to that deponent;

- (4) the identifying initials and number of each exhibit referred to; and
- (5) the date sworn.

Body of affidavit

29.71

The affidavit^G must, if practicable, be in the deponent's own words, the affidavit^G should be expressed in the first person and the deponent should:

- (1) Commence 'I (full name) of (address) state on oath';
- (2) if giving evidence in his professional, business or other occupational capacity, give the address at which he works in (1) above, the position he holds and the name of his firm or employer;
- (3) give his occupation or, if he has none, his description; and
- (4) state if he is a party to the proceedings or employed by a party to the proceedings, if it be the case.

29.72

An affidavit^G must indicate:

- (1) which of the statements in it are made from the deponent's own knowledge and which are matters of information or belief; and
- (2) the source for any matters of information or belief.

29.73

Where a deponent:

- (1) refers to an exhibit or exhibits, he should state 'there is now shown to me marked '...' the (description of exhibit)', and
- (2) makes more than one affidavit^G (to which there are exhibits) in the same proceedings, the numbering of the exhibits should run consecutively throughout and not start again with each affidavit^G.

Jurat

29.74

The jurat^G of an affidavit^G is a statement set out at the end of the document which authenticates the affidavit^G. The general form of jurat^G is set out in the Schedule to this Part.

29.75

It must:

- (1) be signed by all deponents;
- (2) be completed and signed by the person before whom the affidavit^G was sworn whose name and qualification must be printed beneath his signature;

- (3) contain the full address of the person before whom the affidavit^G was sworn; and
- (4) follow immediately on from the text and not be put on a separate page.

Format of Affidavits

29.76

An affidavit^G should:

- (1) be produced on A4 paper;
- (2) be fully legible and should normally be typed on one side of the paper only;
- (3) where possible, be bound securely in a manner which would not hamper filing^G, or otherwise each page should be endorsed with the case number and should bear the initials of the deponent and of the person before whom it was sworn;
- (4) have the pages numbered consecutively as a separate document (or as one of several documents contained in a file);
- (5) be divided into numbered paragraphs;
- (6) have all numbers, including dates, expressed in figures; and
- (7) give the reference to any document or documents mentioned either in the margin or in bold text in the body of the affidavit^G.

29.77

It is usually convenient for an affidavit^G to follow the chronological sequence of events or matters dealt with; each paragraph of an affidavit^G should as far as possible be confined to a distinct portion of the subject.

Inability of deponent to read or sign affidavit

29.78

Where an affidavit^G is sworn by a person who is unable to read or sign it, the person before whom the affidavit^G is sworn must certify in the jurat^G that:

- (1) he read the affidavit^G to the deponent;
- (2) the deponent appeared to understand it; and
- (3) the deponent signed or made his mark in his presence.

29.79

If that certificate is not included in the jurat^G, the affidavit^G may not be used in evidence unless the Court^G is satisfied that it was read to the deponent and that he appeared to understand it. Two versions of the form of jurat^G with the certificate are set out in the Schedule to this Part.

Alterations to affidavits

29.80

Any alteration to an affidavit^G must be initialled by both the deponent and the person before whom the affidavit^G was sworn.

29.81

An affidavit^G which contains an alteration that has not been initialled may be filed or used in evidence only with the permission of the Court^G.

Who may administer oaths and take affidavits

29.82

Only the following may administer oaths and take affidavits^G:

- (1) a Judge^G or the Registrar^G;
- (2) a person registered in the DIFC Court's^G Register of Legal Practitioners;
- (3) any person authorised to administer oaths in the UAE^G; or
- (4) any other person authorised to administer an oath in the jurisdiction^G in which the affidavit^G is sworn.

Filing of affidavits

29.83

If the Court^G directs that an affidavit^G is to be filed, it must be filed in the Registry^G.

29.84

Where an affidavit^G is in a language other than English:

- (1) the party wishing to rely on it:
 - (a) must have it translated; and
 - (b) must file the affidavit^G in its original language with the Court^G; and
- (2) the translator must make and file with the Court^G an affidavit^G verifying the translation and exhibiting both the translation and a copy of the affidavit^G in its original language.

Exhibits

Manner of Exhibiting Documents

29.85

A document used in conjunction with an affidavit^G should be:

- (1) produced to and verified by the deponent, and remain separate from the affidavit^G; and
- (2) identified by a declaration of the person before whom the affidavit^G was sworn.

29.86

The declaration should be headed with the name of the proceedings in the same way as the affidavit^G.

29.87

The first page of each exhibit should be marked:

- (1) as in Rule 29.70 above; and
- (2) with the exhibit mark referred to in the affidavit^G.

Letters**29.88**

Copies of individual letters should be collected together and exhibited in a bundle or bundles. They should be arranged in chronological order with the earliest at the top, and firmly secured.

29.89

When a bundle of correspondence is exhibited, the exhibit should have a front page attached stating that the bundle consists of original letters and copies. They should be arranged and secured as above and numbered consecutively.

Other documents**29.90**

Photocopies instead of original documents may be exhibited provided the originals are made available for inspection by the other parties before the hearing and by the Judge^G at the hearing.

29.91

Court documents must not be exhibited (official copies of such documents prove themselves).

29.92

Where an exhibit contains more than one document, a front page should be attached setting out a list of the documents contained in the exhibit; the list should contain the dates of the documents.

Exhibits Other Than Documents**29.93**

Items other than documents should be clearly marked with an exhibit number or letter in such a manner that the mark cannot become detached from the exhibit.

29.94

Small items may be placed in a container and the container appropriately marked.

General Provisions**29.95**

Where an exhibit contains more than one document:

- (1) the bundle should not be stapled but should be securely fastened in a way that does not hinder the reading of the documents; and

- (2) the pages should be numbered consecutively at bottom centre.

29.96

Every page of an exhibit should be clearly legible; typed copies of illegible documents should be included, paginated with 'a' numbers.

29.97

Where affidavits^G and exhibits have become numerous, they should be put into separate bundles and the pages numbered consecutively throughout.

29.98

Where on account of their bulk the service^G of exhibits or copies of exhibits on the other parties would be difficult or impracticable, the directions of the Court^G should be sought as to arrangements for bringing the exhibits to the attention of the other parties and as to their custody pending trial.

Affirmations

29.99

All provisions in these Rules^G relating to affidavits^G apply to affirmations with the following exceptions:

- (1) the deponent should commence 'I (name) of (address) do solemnly and sincerely affirm, and
- (2) in the jurat^G the word 'sworn' is replaced by the word 'affirmed'.

Affidavit made outside the DIFC

29.100

A person may make an affidavit^G outside the DIFC^G in accordance with:

- (1) this Part; or
- (2) the law of the place where he makes the affidavit^G.

IV HEARSAY EVIDENCE

Introductory

29.101

In this Part:

- (1) 'hearsay' means a statement made, otherwise than by a person while giving oral evidence in proceedings, which is tendered as evidence of the matters stated; and
- (2) references to hearsay include hearsay of whatever degree.

Notice of intention to rely on hearsay evidence

29.102

Where a party intends to rely on hearsay evidence at trial and either:

- (1) that evidence is to be given by a witness giving oral evidence; or

- (2) that evidence is contained in a witness statement of a person who is not being called to give oral evidence;

that party will be deemed to give notice of such an intention by serving a witness statement on the other parties in accordance with the Court's^G order.

29.103

Where Rule 29.102(2) applies, the party intending to rely on the hearsay evidence must, when he serves the witness statement:

- (1) inform the other parties that the witness is not being called to give oral evidence; and
- (2) give the reason why the witness will not be called.

29.104

In all other cases where a party intends to rely on hearsay evidence at trial, that party must serve a notice on the other parties which:

- (1) identifies the hearsay evidence;
- (2) states that the party serving the notice proposes to rely on the hearsay evidence at trial; and
- (3) gives the reason why the witness will not be called.

29.105

The party proposing to rely on the hearsay evidence must:

- (1) serve the notice no later than the latest date for serving witness statements; and
- (2) if the hearsay evidence is to be in a document, supply a copy to any party who requests him to do so.

Circumstances in which notice of intention to rely on hearsay evidence is not required

29.106

The duty to give notice of intention to rely on hearsay evidence does not apply:

- (1) to evidence at hearings other than trials;
- (2) to an affidavit^G or witness statement which is to be used at trial but which does not contain hearsay evidence; or
- (3) where the requirement is excluded by these Rules^G.

Power to call witness for cross-examination on hearsay evidence
29.107

Where a party:

- (1) proposes to rely on hearsay evidence; and
- (2) does not propose to call the person who made the original statement to give oral evidence;

the Court^G may, on the application of any other party, permit that party to call the maker of the statement to be cross-examined on the contents of the statement.

29.108

An application for permission to cross-examine under this rule must be made not more than 14 days after the day on which a notice of intention to rely on the hearsay evidence was served on the applicant.

Credibility

29.109

Where a party:

- (1) proposes to rely on hearsay evidence; but
- (2) does not propose to call the person who made the original statement to give oral evidence; and
- (3) another party wishes to call evidence to attack the credibility of the person who made the statement;

the party who so wishes must give notice of his intention to the party who proposes to give the hearsay statement in evidence.

29.110

A party must give notice under Rule 29.109 not more than 14 days after the day on which a hearsay notice relating to the hearsay evidence was served on him.

V MISCELLANEOUS RULES ABOUT EVIDENCE

Notice to admit facts

29.111

A party may serve notice on another party requiring him to admit the facts, or the part of the case of the serving party, specified in the notice.

29.112

A notice to admit facts must be served no later than 21 days before the trial.

29.113

Where the other party makes any admission in response to the notice, the admission may be used against him only:

- (1) in the proceedings in which the notice to admit is served; and
- (2) by the party who served the notice.

29.114

The Court^G may allow a party to amend or withdraw any admission made by him on such terms as it thinks just.

Notice to admit or produce documents**29.115**

A party shall be deemed to admit the authenticity of a document produced to him under Part 28 (production of documents) unless he serves notice that he wishes the document to be proved at trial.

29.116

A notice to prove a document must be served:

- (1) by the latest date for serving witness statements; or
- (2) within 7 days of production of the document, whichever is later.

Notarial acts and instruments**29.117**

A notarial act or instrument may be received in evidence without further proof as duly authenticated in accordance with the requirements of law unless the contrary is proved.

Statements of case**29.118**

A statement of case^G may be used as evidence in an interim application provided it is verified by a statement of truth.

29.119

To verify a statement of case^G the statement of truth should be set out as follows: “[I believe][the (party on whose behalf the statement of case is being signed) believes] that the facts stated in the statement of case are true”.

29.120

Attention is drawn to Section VI of this Part which sets out the consequences of verifying a witness statement containing a false statement without an honest belief in its truth.

Agreed bundles for hearings**29.121**

The Court^G may give directions requiring the parties to use their best endeavours to agree a bundle or bundles of documents for use at any hearing.

29.122

All documents contained in bundles which have been agreed for use at a hearing shall be admissible at that hearing as evidence of their contents, unless:

- (1) the Court^G orders otherwise; or
- (2) a party gives written notice of objection to the admissibility of particular documents.

Use of plans, photographs and models as evidence**29.123**

Rules 29.124 to 29.130 apply to evidence (such as a plan, photograph or model) which is not:

- (1) contained in a witness statement, affidavit^G or expert's report;
- (2) to be given orally at trial; or
- (3) evidence of which prior notice must be given under Rules 29.102 to 29.105.

29.124

The evidence referred to in Rule 29.123 includes documents which may be received in evidence without further proof being documents shown to form part of the records of a business or public authority.

29.125

Unless the Court^G orders otherwise the evidence shall not be receivable at a trial unless the party intending to put it in evidence has given notice to the other parties in accordance with Rules 29.126 to 29.130.

29.126

Where the party intends to use the evidence as evidence of any fact then, except where Rule 29.128 applies, he must give notice not later than the latest date for serving witness statements.

29.127

He must give notice at least 21 days before the hearing at which he proposes to put in the evidence, if:

- (1) there are not to be witness statements; or
- (2) he intends to put in the evidence solely in order to disprove an allegation made in a witness statement.

29.128

Where the evidence forms part of expert evidence, he must give notice when the expert's report is served on the other party.

29.129

Where the evidence is being produced to the Court^G for any reason other than as part of factual or expert evidence, he must give notice at least 21 days before the hearing at which he proposes to put in the evidence.

29.130

Where a party has given notice that he intends to put in the evidence, he must give every other party an opportunity to inspect it and to agree to its admission without further proof.

Evidence of finding on question of non-DIFC Law

29.131

Rules 29.133 to 29.135 set out the procedure which must be followed by a party who intends to put in evidence a finding on a question of non-DIFC law.

Notice of intention to put in evidence a finding on question of non-DIFC law

29.131.1

In *Y v. (1) X1 (2) X2* (23 November 2015) CA 002/2015, Michael Hwang CJ noted the absence of any statutory definition of ‘*non-DIFC law*’ and the issue that would arise as to whether the UAE law of public policy on the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards (to the extent that it applies pursuant to Art 44(1)(b)(vii) of the DIFC Arbitration Law) would be deemed to be DIFC law [47]. On that basis, the judge found that the notification procedure in RDC 29.133 to RDC 29.135 did not apply to *all* questions of UAE law arising before the Court, and did not operate to remove the discretion in Art 50(c) of the DIFC Court Law to apply such laws of evidence as the Court should find appropriate [47].

In *Y v. (1) X1 (2) X2* (23 November 2015) CA 002/2015, the CA expressly preferred the ‘International Approach’, by which issues of foreign law may be considered on the basis of *submissions*, over the ‘English Approach’, which requires expert *evidence* as to foreign law [49]. Caution, however, would still require compliance with the notification requirement in RDC 29.133 to 29.135. See also the survey of the recent decisions of the DIFC Court that precedes this Commentary for a discussion of these different approaches.

29.132

“Non-DIFC law” means any law other than—

- (1) DIFC law or any other law in force in the DIFC^G;
- (2) the law of any jurisdiction^G other than that of the DIFC^G expressly chosen by any DIFC Law;
- (3) the laws of a jurisdiction^G as agreed between all the relevant persons concerned in the matter;
- (4) the laws of any jurisdiction^G which appear to the Court^G to be the one most closely related to the facts of and the persons concerned in the matter; and
- (5) the laws of England and Wales.

29.133

He must give any other party notice of his intention.

29.134

He must give the notice:

- (1) if there are to be witness statements, not later than the latest date for serving them; or
- (2) otherwise, not less than 21 days before the hearing at which he proposes to put the finding in evidence.

29.135

The notice must:

- (1) specify the question on which the finding was made; and
- (2) enclose a copy of a document where it is reported or recorded.

Evidence of consent of trustee to Act**29.136**

A document purporting to contain the written consent of a person to act as trustee and to bear his signature verified by some other person is evidence of such consent.

VI FALSE STATEMENTS**29.137**

Proceedings for contempt of court may be brought against a person if he makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a document verified by a statement of truth without an honest belief in its truth.

29.138

Proceedings under Rule 29.137 may be brought only with the permission of the Court^G.

Penalty**29.139**

Where a party alleges that a statement of truth or a Disclosure Statement is false the party shall refer that allegation to the Court^G dealing with the claim in which the statement of truth or disclosure statement has been made.

29.140

The Court^G may:

- (1) exercise any of its powers under these Rules^G;
- (2) initiate steps to consider if there is a contempt of court and, where there is, to punish it; and/or
- (3) refer the matter to the Attorney General^G of Dubai.

29.141

Where a party makes an application to the Court^G for permission for that party to commence proceedings for contempt of court, it must be supported by written evidence which:

- (1) identifies the statement said to be false;
- (2) explains:
 - (a) how it is false; and
 - (b) the basis on which it is contended the maker knew it to be false at the time he made it; and
 - (c) explains why contempt proceedings would be appropriate in the light of the overriding objective in Part 1 of these Rules^G.

Schedule to Part 29

General form of Jurat

Sworn [affirmed] at }
..... }
on 20 }
before me }
(Notary Public/
An Officer of the Court appointed to take affidavits)

Certificate to be used where a deponent to an affidavit is unable to read or sign it

Sworn at on Before me, I having first read over the contents of this affidavit to the deponent [if there are exhibits, add 'and explained the nature and effect of the exhibits referred to in it'] who appeared to understand it and approved its content as accurate, and made his mark on the affidavit in my presence.

Or; (after, Before me) the witness to the mark of the deponent having been first sworn that he had read over etc. (as above) and that he saw him make his mark on the affidavit. (Witness must sign).

Certificate to be used where a deponent to an affirmation is unable to read or sign it

Affirmed at on Before me, I having first read over the contents of this affirmation to the deponent [if there are exhibits, add' and explained the nature and effect of the exhibits referred to in it'] who appeared to understand it and approved its content as accurate, and made his mark on the affirmation in my presence.

Or, (after, Before me) the witness to the mark of the deponent having been first sworn that he had read over etc. (as above) and that he saw him make his mark on the affirmation. (Witness must sign).

PART 30

Witnesses, depositions and evidence for other Courts

I WITNESSES AND DEPOSITIONS

Scope of this section

30.1

This Section of this Part provides:

- (1) for the circumstances in which a person may be required to attend Court^G or a tribunal^G to give evidence or to produce a document; and
- (2) for a party to obtain evidence before a hearing to be used at the hearing.

30.2

In this Section, reference to a hearing includes a reference to the trial.

Witness summonses

30.3

A witness summons^G is a document issued by the Court^G requiring a witness to:

- (1) attend the Court^G or a tribunal^G to give evidence; or
- (2) produce documents to the Court^G or a tribunal^G.

30.4

A witness summons^G must be in Form P30/01.

30.5

There must be a separate witness summons^G for each witness.

30.6

A witness summons^G may require a witness to produce documents to the Court^G or a tribunal^G either:

- (1) on the date fixed for a hearing; or
- (2) on such date as the Court^G may direct.

30.7

The only documents that a summons under Rule 30.3(2) can require a person to produce before a hearing are documents which that person could be required to produce at the hearing.

Issue of a witness summons

30.8

A witness summons^G is issued on the date entered on the summons by the Court^G.

30.9

Two copies of the witness summons^G should be filed with the Court^G for sealing, one of which will be retained on the Court^G file.

30.10

A mistake in the name or address of a person named in a witness summons^G may be corrected if the summons has not been served.

30.11

The corrected summons must be re-sealed by the Court^G and marked 'Amended and Re-Sealed'.

30.12

A party must obtain permission from the Court^G where he wishes to:

- (1) have a summons issued less than 7 days before the date of the hearing;
- (2) have a summons issued for a witness to attend the Court^G or a tribunal^G to give evidence or to produce documents on any date except the date fixed for the hearing; or
- (3) have a summons issued for a witness to attend the Court^G or tribunal^G to give evidence or to produce documents at any hearing except the trial.

30.13

In Rule 30.12(3) 'trial' includes a hearing before a tribunal^G which is intended to dispose of the matter before it.

30.14

The Court^G may set aside^G or vary a witness summons^G issued under this Rule 30.8.

Witness summons in aid of tribunal**30.15**

The Court^G may issue a witness summons^G in aid of a tribunal^G.

30.16

The Court^G may set aside^G a witness summons^G issued under Rule 30.15.

30.17

Unless the Court^G otherwise directs, the applicant must give at least 2 days' notice of the application to the party who issued the witness summons^G, which will normally be dealt with at a hearing.

30.18

In Rule 30.15, 'tribunal' means any tribunal^G that does not have power to issue a witness summons^G in relation to proceedings before it.

Time for serving a witness summons

30.19

The general rule is that a witness summons^G is binding if it is served at least 7 days before the date on which the witness is required to attend before the Court^G or tribunal^G.

30.20

The Court^G may direct that a witness summons^G shall be binding even though it will be served less than 7 days before the date on which the witness is required to attend before the Court^G or tribunal^G.

30.21

A witness summons^G which is:

- (1) served in accordance with this Rule 30.19 or 30.20; and
- (2) requires the witness to attend before the Court^G or a tribunal^G to give evidence;

is binding until the conclusion of the hearing at which the attendance of the witness is required.

Who is to serve a witness summons

30.22

A witness summons^G is to be served by the Court^G unless the party on whose behalf it is issued indicates in writing, when he asks the Court^G to issue the summons, that he wishes to serve it himself.

30.23

Where the Court^G is to serve the witness summons^G, the party on whose behalf it is issued must deposit, in the Court^G office, the money to be paid or offered to the witness under Rule 30.24.

Right of witness to travelling expenses and compensation for loss of time

30.24

At the time of service^G of a witness summons^G the witness must be offered or paid:

- (1) a sum reasonably sufficient to cover his expenses in travelling to and from the Court^G; and
- (2) such sum by way of compensation for loss of time as may be specified in the relevant Practice Direction.

Evidence by deposition

30.25

A party may apply for an order for a person to be examined before the hearing takes place.

30.26

A person from whom evidence is to be obtained following an order under Rule 30.25 is referred to as a 'deponent' and the evidence is referred to as a 'deposition'.

30.27

An order under Rule 30.25 shall be for a deponent to be examined on oath before:

- (1) a Judge^G;
- (2) an examiner of the Court^G; or
- (3) such other person as the Court^G appoints.

30.28

The order may require the production of any document which the Court^G considers is necessary for the purposes of the examination.

30.29

The order must state the date, time and place of the examination.

30.30

At the time of service^G of the order the deponent must be offered or paid:

- (1) a sum reasonably sufficient to cover his expenses in travelling to and from the place of examination; and
- (2) such sum by way of compensation for loss of time as may be specified in the relevant Practice Direction.

30.31

Where the Court^G makes an order for a deposition to be taken, it may also order the party who obtained the order to serve a witness statement or witness summary in relation to the evidence to be given by the person to be examined.

Conduct of examination**30.32**

Subject to any directions contained in the order for examination, the examination must be conducted in the same way as if the witness were giving evidence at a trial.

30.33

If all the parties are present, the examiner may conduct the examination of a person not named in the order for examination if all the parties and the person to be examined consent.

30.34

The examiner may conduct the examination in private if he considers it appropriate to do so.

30.35

The examiner must ensure that the evidence given by the witness is recorded in full:

- (1) In ensuring that the deponent's evidence is recorded in full, the Court^G or the examiner may permit it to be recorded on audiotape or videotape, but the deposition must always be recorded in writing by him or by a competent shorthand writer or stenographer.
- (2) If the deposition is not recorded word for word, it must contain, as nearly as may be, the statement of the deponent; the examiner may record word for word any particular questions and answers which appear to him to have special importance.

30.36

If a deponent objects to answering any question or where any objection is taken to any question, the examiner must:

- (1) record in the deposition or a document attached to it:
 - (a) the question;
 - (b) the nature of and grounds for the objection; and
 - (c) any answer given; and
- (2) give his opinion as to the validity of the objection and must record it in the deposition or a document attached to it.

30.37

The Court^G will decide as to the validity of the objection and any question of costs arising from it.

30.38

Documents and exhibits must:

- (1) have an identifying number or letter marked on them by the examiner; and
- (2) be preserved by the party or his legal representative^G who obtained the order for the examination, or as the Court^G or the examiner may direct.

30.39

The examiner may put any question to the deponent as to:

- (1) the meaning of any of his answers; or
- (2) any matter arising in the course of the examination.

30.40

A deposition must:

- (1) be signed by the examiner;
- (2) have any amendments to it initialled by the examiner and the deponent; and

- (3) be endorsed by the examiner with:
 - (a) a statement of the time occupied by the examination; and
 - (b) a record of any refusal by the deponent to sign the deposition and of his reasons for not doing so.

30.41

The examiner must send a copy of the deposition:

- (1) to the person who obtained the order for the examination of the witness; and
- (2) to the Court^G.

30.42

The party who obtained the order must send each of the other parties a copy of the deposition which he receives from the examiner.

Enforcing attendance of witness

30.43

If a person served with an order to attend before an examiner:

- (1) fails to attend; or
- (2) refuses to be sworn for the purpose of the examination or to answer any lawful question or produce any document at the examination;

a certificate of his failure or refusal, signed by the examiner, must be filed by the party requiring the deposition. The examiner may include in his certificate any comment as to the conduct of the deponent or of any person attending the examination.

30.44

On the certificate being filed, the party requiring the deposition may apply to the Court^G for an order requiring that person to attend or to be sworn or to answer any question or produce any document, as the case may be.

30.45

An application for an order under Rule 30.44 may be made without notice.

30.46

The Court^G will make such order on the application under Rule 30.44 as it thinks fit including an order for the deponent to pay any costs resulting from his failure or refusal.

30.47

A deponent who wilfully refuses to obey an order made against him under this Section of this Part may be proceeded against for contempt of court.

Use of deposition at a hearing

30.48

A deposition ordered under Rule 30.25 may be given in evidence at a hearing unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

30.49

A party intending to put in evidence a deposition at a hearing must serve notice of his intention to do so on every other party.

30.50

He must serve the notice at least 21 days before the day fixed for the hearing.

30.51

The Court^G may require a deponent to attend the hearing and give evidence orally.

30.52

Where a deposition is given in evidence at trial, it shall be treated as if it were a witness statement for the purposes of Rule 29.61 (availability of witness statements for inspection).

Restrictions on subsequent use of deposition taken for the purpose of any hearing except the trial

30.53

Where the Court^G orders a party to be examined about his or any other assets for the purpose of any hearing except the trial, the deposition may be used only for the purpose of the proceedings in which the order was made.

30.54

However, it may be used for some other purpose:

- (1) by the party who was examined;
- (2) if the party who was examined agrees; or
- (3) if the Court^G gives permission.

Where a person to be examined is out of the DIFC — Letter of Request

30.55

Rules 30.56 to 30.63 apply where a party wishes to take a deposition from a person who is out of the DIFC^G.

30.56

The Court^G may order the issue of a letter of request by the Registrar^G to the judicial authorities of the country in which the proposed deponent is.

30.57

An application for an order referred to Rule 30.56 should be made by application notice^G in accordance with Part 23.

30.58

A letter of request is a request to a judicial authority to take the evidence of that person, or arrange for it to be taken.

30.59

The Court^G may make an order under Rule 30.56 in relation to tribunal^G proceedings.

30.60

If the government of a country allows a person appointed by the Court^G to examine a person in that country, the Court^G may make an order appointing a special examiner for that purpose.

30.61

A person may be examined under Rules 30.56 to 30.60 on oath or affirmation or in accordance with any procedure permitted in the country in which the examination is to take place.

30.62

If the Court^G makes an order for the issue of a letter of request, the party who sought the order must file:

- (1) the following documents and, except where Rule 30.63 applies, a translation of them:
 - (a) a draft letter of request in the form set out in the Schedule to this Part;
 - (b) a statement of the issues relevant to the proceedings;
 - (c) a list of questions or the subject matter of questions to be put to the person to be examined; and
- (2) an undertaking to be responsible for the Registrar's^G expenses.

30.63

There is no need to file a translation if English is one of the official languages of the country where the examination is to take place.

Fees and expenses of examiner of the Court**30.64**

An examiner of the Court^G may charge a fee for the examination.

30.65

He need not send the deposition to the Court^G unless the fee is paid.

30.66

The examiner's fees and expenses must be paid by the party who obtained the order for examination.

30.67

If the fees and expenses due to an examiner are not paid within a reasonable time, he may report that fact to the Court^G.

30.68

The Court^G may order the party who obtained the order for examination to deposit in the Court^G office a specified sum in respect of the examiner's fees and, where it does so, the examiner will not be asked to act until the sum has been deposited.

30.69

An order under this Rule 30.68 does not affect any decision as to the party who is ultimately to bear the costs of the examination.

Examiners of the Court**30.70**

The Chief Justice^G shall appoint persons to be examiners of the Court^G.

30.71

The persons appointed shall be practitioners with rights of audience before the Court^G who have been practising for a period of not less than three years.

30.72

The Chief Justice^G may revoke an appointment at any time.

II EVIDENCE FOR OTHER COURTS**Scope****30.73**

Where an application is made to the Court^G for an order for evidence to be obtained in the DIFC^G and the Court^G is satisfied:

- (1) that the application is made in pursuance of a request issued by or on behalf of a court or tribunal^G ("the requesting court") exercising jurisdiction^G in:
 - (a) Dubai; or
 - (b) in any other part of the UAE^G;
 - (c) or in a country or territory outside the UAE^G; and
- (2) that the evidence to which the application relates is to be obtained for the purposes of proceedings which either have been instituted before the requesting court or whose institution before that court is contemplated;

the Court^G shall have the powers conferred on it by the following provisions of this Section of this Part.

Power of the Court to give effect to application for assistance**30.74**

The Court^G shall have the power, on an application under Rule 30.73, by order to make such provision for obtaining evidence in the DIFC^G as may appear to the Court^G to be appropriate for the purpose of giving effect to the request in pursuance of which the application is made; and any such order may require a person specified therein to take such steps as the Court^G may consider appropriate for that purpose.

30.75

Without prejudice to the generality of Rule 30.74 but subject to the provisions of this Rule, an order under Rule 30.74 may, in particular, make provision:

- (1) for the examination of witnesses, either orally or in writing;
- (2) for the production of documents;
- (3) for the inspection, photographing, preservation, custody or detention of any property;
- (4) for the taking of samples of any property and the carrying out of any experiments on or with any property;
- (5) for the medical examination of any person; and/or
- (6) without prejudice to sub-paragraph (5) above, for the taking and testing of samples of blood from any person.

30.76

An order under Rule 30.74 shall not require any particular steps to be taken unless they are steps which can be required to be taken by way of obtaining evidence for the purposes of civil proceedings in the Court^G (whether or not proceedings of the same description as those to which the application for the order relates); but this Rule shall not preclude the making of an order requiring a person to give testimony (either orally or in writing) otherwise than on oath where this is asked for by the requesting court.

30.77

An order under Rule 30.74 shall not require a person:

- (1) to state what documents relevant to the proceedings to which the application for the order relates are or have been in his possession, custody or power; or
- (2) to produce any documents other than particular documents specified in the order as being documents appearing to the Court^G making the order to be, or likely to be, in his possession, custody or power.

30.78

A person who, by virtue of an order under Rule 30.74, is required to attend at any place shall be entitled to the like conduct money and payment for expenses and loss of time as on attendance as a witness in proceedings before the Court^G.

Privilege of witnesses**30.79**

A person shall not be compelled by virtue of an order under Rule 30.74 to give any evidence which he could not be compelled to give:

- (1) in civil proceedings in the DIFC^G; or

- (2) subject to subsection Rule 30.80, in civil proceedings in the country or territory in which the requesting court exercises jurisdiction^G.

30.80

Rule 30.79(2) shall not apply unless the claim of the person in question to be exempt from giving the evidence is either:

- (1) supported by a statement contained in the request (whether it is so supported unconditionally or subject to conditions that are fulfilled); or
- (2) conceded by the applicant for the order;

and where such a claim made by any person is not supported or conceded as aforesaid he may (subject to the other provisions of this Section of this Part) be required to give the evidence to which the claim relates but that evidence shall not be transmitted to the requesting court if that court, on the matter being referred to it, upholds the claim.

30.81

Without prejudice to 30.79, a person shall not be compelled by virtue of an order under Rule 30.74 to give any evidence if his doing so would be prejudicial to the security of the DIFC^G, Dubai or UAE; and a certificate signed by or on behalf of a responsible authority to the effect that it would be so prejudicial for that person to do so shall be conclusive evidence of that fact.

30.82

In this Section of this Part references to giving evidence include references to answering any question and to producing any document and the reference in Rule 30.80 to the transmission of evidence given by a person shall be construed accordingly.

Application for order

30.83

An application for an order under Rule 30.74 for evidence to be obtained:

- (1) must be:
 - (a) supported by written evidence. The written evidence supporting an application must include or exhibit:
 - (i) a statement of the issues relevant to the proceedings;
 - (ii) a list of questions or the subject matter of questions to be put to the proposed deponent;
 - (iii) a draft order;
 - (iv) a translation of the documents in (i) and (ii) into English, if necessary; and
 - (b) accompanied by the request as a result of which the application is made, and where appropriate, a translation of the request into English; and
- (2) may be made without notice.

Service of the order

30.84

The order for the deponent to attend and be examined together with the evidence upon which the order was made must be served on the deponent.

30.85

Attention is drawn to the provisions of Rule 23.94 (application to vary or discharge an order made without notice).

Examination

30.86

The Court^G may order an examination to be taken before:

- (1) any fit and proper person nominated by the person applying for the order;
- (2) an examiner of the Court^G; or
- (3) any other person whom the Court^G considers suitable.

30.87

Unless the Court^G orders otherwise:

- (1) the examination will be taken as provided by Rules 30.32 to 30.42; and
- (2) Rules 30.43 to 30.47 apply.

30.88

The Court^G may make an order under Rule 30.68 for payment of the fees and expenses of the examination.

Dealing with deposition

30.89

The examiner must send the deposition of the witness to the Registrar^G unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

30.90

The Registrar^G will:

- (1) give a certificate sealed with the seal^G of the Court^G for use out of the DIFC^G identifying the following documents:
 - (a) the request;
 - (b) the order of the Court^G for examination; and
 - (c) the deposition of the witness; and
- (2) send the certificate and the documents referred to in sub-paragraph (1) to the requesting court.

Claim to privilege

30.91

Rules 30.92 to 30.97 apply where:

- (1) a witness claims to be exempt from giving evidence on the ground specified in Rule 30.79(2); and
- (2) that claim is not supported or conceded as referred to in Rule 30.80.

30.92

The examiner may require the witness to give the evidence which he claims to be exempt from giving.

30.93

Where the examiner does not require the witness to give that evidence, the Court^G may order the witness to do so.

30.94

An application for an order under Rule 30.93 may be made by the person who obtained the order under Rule 30.74.

30.95

Where such evidence is taken:

- (1) it must be contained in a document separate from the remainder of the deposition;
- (2) the examiner will send to the Registrar^G:
 - (a) the deposition; and
 - (b) a signed statement setting out the claim to be exempt and the ground on which it was made.

30.96

On receipt of the statement referred to Rule 30.95(2)(b), the Registrar^G will:

- (1) retain the document containing the part of the witness's evidence to which the claim to be exempt relates; and
- (2) send the statement and a request to determine that claim to the requesting court or tribunal^G together with the documents referred to in Rule 30.83.

30.97

The Registrar^G will:

- (1) if the claim to be exempt is rejected by the requesting court or tribunal^G, send the document referred to in Rule 30.95(1) to that court or tribunal^G;
- (2) if the claim is upheld, send the document to the witness; and
- (3) in either case, notify the witness and person who obtained the order under Rule 30.74 of the requesting court or tribunal's decision.

Schedule to Part 30 Letter of Request

To the Competent Judicial Authority of in the of

I [name] the Registrar of the Dubai International Financial Centre Court respectfully request the assistance of your court with regard to the following matters.—

- 1 A claim is now pending in the Dubai International Financial Centre Court entitled as follows [set out full title and claim number] in which [name] of [address] is the claimant and [name] of [address] is the defendant.
- 2 The names and addresses of the representatives or agents of [set out names and addresses of representatives of the parties].
- 3 The claim by the claimant is for:—
 - (a) [set out the nature of the claim]
 - (b) [the relief sought, and]
 - (c) [a summary of the facts.]
- 4 It is necessary for the purposes of justice and for the due determination of the matters in dispute between the parties that you cause the following witnesses, who are resident within your jurisdiction^G, to be examined. The names and addresses of the witnesses are as follows:
- 5 The witnesses should be examined on oath or if that is not possible within your laws or is impossible of performance by reason of the internal practice and procedure of your court or by reason of practical difficulties, they should be examined in accordance with whatever procedure your laws provide for in these matters.
- 6 Either/

The witnesses should be examined in accordance with the list of questions annexed hereto.

Or/

The witnesses should be examined regarding [set out full details of evidence sought]

N.B. Where the witness is required to produce documents, these should be clearly identified.
- 7 I would ask that you cause me, or the agents of the parties (if appointed), to be informed of the date and place where the examination is to take place.
- 8 Finally, I request that you will cause the evidence of the said witnesses to be reduced into writing and all documents produced on such

examinations to be duly marked for identification and that you will further be pleased to authenticate such examinations by the seal of your court or in such other way as is in accordance with your procedure and return the written evidence and documents produced to me addressed as follows:

The Registrar
The Dubai International Financial Centre Court
Ground Floor, Building 4, Gate District
Dubai, UAE

PART 31

Experts and assessors

I EXPERTS

Introductory

31.1

A reference to an 'expert' in this Section of this Part is a reference to an expert who has been instructed to give or prepare evidence for the purpose of court proceedings.

31.2

Parties are referred to the English "Protocol for the Instruction of Experts to give evidence in civil claims" which is reproduced at Schedule A to this Part as amended from time to time. Not all parts of the Protocol are applicable to litigation before the DIFC Court^G but the Protocol provides valuable practical guidance both to those who instruct experts and experts themselves as to what they are expected to do in civil and commercial proceedings before the Court^G. The Court^G will expect legal practitioners and experts to have read the Protocol.

Experts — Overriding duty to the Court

31.3

It is the duty of an expert to help the Court^G on the matters within his expertise.

Duty of expert to help the Court

31.3.1

In the financial mis-selling case of *Al Khorafi v. Bank Sarasin-Alpen (ME) Ltd* (21 August 2014) CFI 026/2009, Sir John Chadwick DCJ emphasised that the proper role of the expert witnesses was to assist the Court in identifying the particular risks to which the Claimants were exposed in purchasing the relevant investments and the extent to which an authorised firm, exercising its duty of care, could have been expected to draw those risks to the attention of the Claimants in advising them. It was *not* the proper role for the experts to decide whether the investments were suitable or not: that was for the court [348].

31.4

This duty overrides any obligation to the person from whom he has received instructions or by whom he is paid.

31.5

Expert evidence should be the independent product of the expert uninfluenced by the pressures of litigation.

31.6

An expert should assist the Court^G by providing objective, unbiased opinion on matters within his expertise, and should not assume the role of an advocate.

31.7

An expert should consider all material facts, including those which might detract from his opinion.

31.8

An expert should make it clear:

- (1) when a question or issue falls outside his expertise; and
- (2) when he is not able to reach a definite opinion, for example because he has insufficient information.

31.9

If an expert's opinion is not properly researched because he considers that insufficient data is available, this must be stated in his report with an indication that the opinion is no more than a provisional one.

31.10

In a case where an expert witness who has prepared a report is unable to confirm that the report contains the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth without some qualification, that qualification must be stated in the report.

31.11

If, after producing a report, an expert changes his view on any material matter, such change of view should be communicated to all the parties without delay, and when appropriate to the Court^G (through the legal representatives^G of the party instructing the expert or directly to the Court^G in the case of a Court-appointed expert).

Court's power to restrict expert evidence

31.12

Expert evidence shall be restricted to that which is reasonably required to resolve the proceedings.

Adoption of the international approach to dealing with issues of non-DIFC law

31.12.1

In *Y v. (1) X1 (2) X2* (23 November 2015) CA 002/2015, the CA found that there is presumptive rule that the Court may allow issues of non-DIFC law to be determined as *law* on the basis of expert *submissions* ('the International Approach'), rather than as *fact* on the basis of expert *evidence* ('the English Approach') [72]-[73]. It follows that, insofar as the Court has a duty to restrict expert evidence to that which is reasonably required to resolve the proceedings, it will do so by permitting submissions as to non-DIFC law for the reasons, not least of efficiency, set out in that judgment of Chief Justice Michael Hwang SC.

The views of the Chief Justice, expressed with a view to saving time and expense, were expressly informed by the presence on the DIFC Court of judges qualified in UAE law, as was evident in the decision of Justice Al Madhani in *Allianz Risk Transfer v. Al Ain Abliya Insurance* (24 April 2013) CFI 012/2012. Even judges who have no formal expertise in UAE law may well have experience of deciding issues of UAE law, whether as arbitrators or as judges of the DIFC Court of the Dubai World Tribunal. See also the survey of recent DIFC Court decisions that precedes this Commentary for a discussion of these different approaches.

Restriction of expert evidence as to non- DIFC law

31.12.2

Even if the Court does *not* permit submissions as to non-DIFC law, the Court is still required under RDC 31.12 to restrict expert evidence. At an interlocutory stage, it may require very limited evidence as to the relevant foreign law; and, at trial, it may restrict expert evidence by deciding, for example, that the experts should be heard concurrently, as is considered below in two decisions that arose in the course of the long-running *Taaleem* litigation.

While the CA in *Y v. (1) X1 (2) X2* (23 November 2015) CA 002/2015 disapproved ‘the *Taaleem* approach’ (under which parties are entitled to adduce expert evidence as to UAE law, except where the judge is trained in Dubai law and does not believe the issue to be sufficiently complex or controversial), certain judgments in the *Taaleem* litigation are still relevant as to how the Court should control the use of expert evidence as to UAE (or any other) law in cases where the Court is not minded to allow UAE law issues to be dealt with by way of submission, and more generally as to the information to be provided where parties seek permission for expert evidence as to UAE (or any other) law.

For example, in *Taaleem PJSC v. National Bonds Corporation PJSC & Anor* (14 January 2013) CFI 014/2010, Justice Chadwick found that the Court would be assisted in knowing the fiduciary duties of a director to his company under UAE law as well as the circumstances in which restitution could be ordered under UAE law [47]. However, he did not feel that expert evidence on UAE law was necessary, at least at that stage [48].

Justice Chadwick proposed at [48] that parties relying on UAE law should: (i) set out the issues in relation to which it said that UAE law was relevant; (ii) explain the applicable provisions of UAE law in relation to those issues, no doubt in most DIFC cases by reference to the UAE Civil Code:

‘That will enable the other parties to take a view, after obtaining advice, first, as to whether the issues identified by [the party] are issues which would be governed by UAE law – because, if that is in dispute, that question will have to be determined after hearing argument – and, second, whether the applicable provisions of UAE law in relation to those issues are to be found in the various articles of the UAE Civil Code that have been identified – or are to be found elsewhere, and, if so, where – and, third, whether the effect of those articles is as [the party] contends. I suspect that, if that exercise is done, it will turn out that the issues in relation to which there is a dispute will be relatively few and quite short. When the Court knows what those issues are, further consideration can be given as to how best to resolve them.’ [48]

At the trial in *Taaleem PJSC v. National Bonds Corporation PJSC & Anor* (19 February 2014) CFI 014/2010, the Judge expressed appreciation for the fact that the three distinguished

UAE legal scholars giving expert evidence had been able, in the run-up to trial, to produce a short summary of the points of agreement and disagreement [17]. He further heard the evidence of those experts being given concurrently [18]: see RDC 31.48. It may have weighed in favour of such a time-saving direction being given that it was accepted that the application of UAE law made little or no difference on the main issue and that the difference between the experts had already been narrowed first by their production of the short summary of points of agreement and disagreement and then by their responding to a questionnaire prepared by the parties [17]-[18].

31.13

No party may call an expert or put in evidence an expert's report without the Court's^G permission.

31.14

Any application for permission to call an expert witness or serve an expert's report should normally be made at the Case Management Conference.

31.15

When a party applies for permission under Rule 31.13 he must identify:

- (1) the field in which he wishes to rely on expert evidence; and
- (2) where practicable the expert in that field on whose evidence he wishes to rely.

31.16

If permission is granted under Rule 31.13 shall be in relation only to the expert named or the field identified under Rule 31.15.

31.17

In appropriate cases the Court^G will direct that the reports of expert witnesses be exchanged sequentially rather than simultaneously. This is an issue that the Court^G will normally wish to consider at the Case Management Conference.

31.18

The Court^G may limit the amount of the expert's fees and expenses that the party who wishes to rely on the expert may recover from any other party.

Orders

31.19

Where an order requires an act to be done by an expert, or otherwise affects an expert, the party instructing that expert must serve a copy of the order on the expert instructed by him.

General requirement for expert evidence to be given in a written report

31.20

Expert evidence is to be given in a written report unless the Court^G directs otherwise.

Documents referred to in experts' reports

31.21

Unless they have already been provided, copies of any photographs, plans, analyses, measurements, survey reports or other similar documents relied on by an expert witness as well as copies of any unpublished sources must be provided to all parties at the same time as his report.

31.22

Rules 28.7 to 28.9 provide that (subject to Rule 31.53) a party may inspect a document mentioned in an expert's report. An expert's report will frequently list all or many of the relevant previous papers (published or unpublished) or books written by the expert or to which the expert has contributed. Requiring inspection of this material may often be unrealistic, and the collating and copying burden could be considerable.

31.23

A party wishing to inspect a document in an expert report should (failing agreement) make an application to the Court^G. The Court^G will not permit inspection unless it is satisfied that it is necessary for the just disposal of the case and that the document is not reasonably available to the party making the application from an alternative source.

Written questions to experts

31.24

A party may put to:

- (1) an expert instructed by another party; or
- (2) a Court-appointed expert appointed under Rule 31.29;

written questions about his report.

31.25

Written questions under Rule 31.24:

- (1) may be put once only;
- (2) must be put within 28 days of service^G of the expert's report; and
- (3) must be for the purpose only of clarification of the report;

unless:

- (a) the Court^G gives permission; or
- (b) the party who has instructed the expert agrees.

31.26

In cases where questions that are oppressive in number or content are put, or questions are put for any purpose other than clarification of the report, the Court^G will disallow the questions and make an appropriate order for costs against the party putting them.

31.27

An expert's answers to questions put in accordance with Rule 31.24 shall be treated as part of the expert's report.

31.28

Where:

- (1) a party has put a written question to an expert instructed by another party in accordance with Rule 31.24; and
- (2) the expert does not answer that question;

the Court^G may make one or both of the following orders in relation to the party who instructed the expert:

- (a) that the party may not rely on the evidence of that expert; or
- (b) that the party may not recover the fees and expenses of that expert from any other party.

Court-appointed expert**31.29**

The Court^G may appoint one or more independent experts to report to it on specific issues designated by the Court^G.

31.30

Not less than 21 days before making any such appointment, the Court^G will notify each party in writing of the name of the proposed expert, of the matter in respect of which the opinion of the expert will be sought and of the qualifications of the expert to give that opinion.

31.31

The Court^G shall establish the terms of reference for any Court-appointed expert report. A copy of the final terms of reference shall be sent by the Court^G to the parties.

31.32

The Court-appointed expert shall, before accepting appointment, submit to the Court^G and to the parties a statement of his independence from the parties and the Court^G. Within 7 days of receipt of the statement the parties shall inform the Court^G whether they have any objections to the Court-appointed expert's independence.

31.33

Any such objection must be made in writing and filed with the Court^G and will be taken into account by the Court^G in deciding whether or not to make the appointment.

31.34

The Court-appointed expert may request a party to provide any relevant and material information or to provide access to any relevant documents, goods, samples, property or site for inspection, examination or experiment.

31.35

If a party objects to a request made under Rule 31.34 the Court^G shall, at the request of the Court^G appointed-expert or the party determine the validity of the objection and may direct the party to comply with the request.

31.36

The Court^G may give directions about any inspection, examination or experiments under Rule 31.34 which the Court^G appointed-expert wishes to carry out.

31.37

The Court^G appointed-expert shall record in his report any non-compliance by a party with a request under Rule 31.34 or direction by the Court^G and shall describe its effects on the determination of the specific issue.

31.38

A party shall have the right to receive any information and to attend any inspection provided in compliance with a request made under Rule 31.34.

31.39

A party may examine any document that the Court-appointed expert has examined and any correspondence between the Court^G and the Court-appointed expert.

31.40

The Court-appointed expert shall report in writing to the Court^G. The Court-appointed expert shall describe in the report the method, evidence and information used in arriving at the conclusions.

31.41

The Court^G shall send a copy of such expert report to the parties.

31.42

Within 28 days of receipt of the Court-appointed expert's report, any party may respond to the report in a submission by the party or through an expert report. The party must serve the submission or expert report on the Court^G, the Court-appointed expert and the other parties.

31.43

Any party may use a Court-appointed expert's report as evidence at the trial.

31.44

The Court^G may direct that the Court-appointed expert attend any hearing. The Court^G may question the Court-appointed expert, and he may be questioned by the parties.

31.45

The Court^G may give any expert report made by a Court-appointed expert and its conclusions such weight as it considers appropriate.

31.46

The Court^G may order that any party shall be responsible for part or all of the fees and expenses of a Court-appointed expert, including:

- (1) directing any party to pay an amount into Court^G; and
- (2) making payment to the expert on account to be revised on final judgment^G.

31.47

Unless the Court^G otherwise directs, the parties are jointly and severally liable for the payment of the Court-appointed expert's fees and expenses.

Concurrent Expert Evidence

31.48

- (1) This Rule does not affect the provisions set out in the rest of this Part.
- (2) If it considers it appropriate in the circumstances of the case, the Court may give directions that expert witnesses engaged by opposing parties be sworn and present their evidence concurrently as a panel.
- (3) Where the expert witnesses testify as a panel, unless the Court orders otherwise:
 - (a) each expert may, with the permission of the Court, give a short opening statement not exceeding 10 minutes (or such longer period as may be allowed by the Court) to introduce his report,
 - (b) each expert will thereafter be subject to questioning by the Court and Counsel, and the Court may direct that the expert witnesses may be questioned in any sequence that the Court thinks fit before or after they have testified as a panel.
 - (c) The expert witnesses may also be directed by the Court and Counsel to comment on the views of the other expert witnesses on the panel, and may also, with the permission of the Court, make a short concluding statement not exceeding 10 minutes (or such longer period as may be allowed by the Court).
- (4) The Court may give any other directions as to the giving of concurrent expert evidence as it thinks fit.

Concurrent expert evidence ('Hot-tubbing')

31.48.1

This provision introduces the possibility for the Court to give directions for party-appointed expert evidence to be given by way of witness conferencing in appropriate circumstances, and offers some suggestions as to how this might be carried out. The English Court has also allowed the concurrent giving of expert evidence by the recent addition to the Practice Direction to CPR Part 35.

Justice Sir David Steel appears to have directed that the oral evidence of the UAE legal experts at trial in *Taaleem PJSC v. National Bonds Corporation PJSC & Anor* (19 February

2014) CFI 014/2010 should be given concurrently. He is further positive in his judgment in recording that '[i]n the result they were close to complete agreement, an outcome for which the Court is both impressed and grateful' [18].

For reasons of efficiency and to assist the Court, parties may seek to agree on the 'agenda' of issues to be discussed at the witness conference and other parameters, prior to the conference. The Court retains the liberty to conduct the conference as it sees fit in the circumstances of any particular case. In particular, there is nothing in RDC 31.48 preventing the Court, in an appropriate case, from permitting parties to cross-examine witnesses, or from examining those witnesses itself before and/or after more traditional cross-examination.

It is not yet clear at what stage a direction for the concurrent hearing of expert evidence may be sought. Given that 'hot-tubbing' is assumed to work best when the parties' experts are not far apart, its value in any proceedings may only become clear after the meeting and joint report of the experts, so that a direction can only be given shortly before or at the opening of the trial.

Power of Court to direct a party to provide information

31.49

Where a party has access to information which is not reasonably available to the other party, the Court^G may direct the party who has access to the information to:

- (1) prepare and file a document recording the information; and
- (2) serve a copy of that document on the other party.

31.50

The document served must include sufficient details of all the facts, tests, experiments and assumptions which underlie any part of the information to enable the party on whom it is served to make, or to obtain, a proper interpretation of the information and an assessment of its significance.

Contents of report

31.51

An expert's report must comply with the requirements set out in Rules 31.52 to 31.56.

31.52

An expert's report should be addressed to the Court^G and not to the party from whom the expert has received his instructions.

31.53

The expert's report must state the substance of all material instructions, whether written or oral, on the basis of which the report was written.

31.54

The instructions referred to in Rule 31.53 shall not be privileged^G against production but the Court^G will not, in relation to those instructions:

- (1) order production of any specific document; or
- (2) permit any questioning in Court^G, other than by the party who instructed the expert;

unless it is satisfied that there are reasonable grounds to consider the statement of instructions given under **Rule 31.53** to be inaccurate or incomplete (or unless the party who gave the instructions consents to it). If the Court^G is so satisfied, it will allow the cross-examination^G where it appears to be in the interests of justice to do so.

31.55

An expert's report must:

- (1) give details of the expert's qualifications;
- (2) give details of any literature or other material which the expert has relied on in making the report;
- (3) contain a statement setting out the substance of all facts and instructions given to the expert which are material to the opinions expressed in the report or upon which those opinions are based;
- (4) state clearly if a stated assumption is, in the opinion of the expert witness, unreasonable or unlikely;
- (5) make clear which of the facts stated in the report are within the expert's own direct knowledge;
- (6) say who carried out any examination, measurement, test or experiment which the expert has used for the report, give the qualifications of that person, and say whether or not the test or experiment has been carried out under the expert's supervision;
- (7) where there is a range of opinion on the matters dealt with in the report:
 - (a) summarise the range of opinion; and
 - (b) give reasons for his own opinion;
- (8) contain a summary of the conclusions reached;
- (9) if the expert is not able to give his opinion without qualification, state the qualification; and
- (10) contain a statement that the expert understands his duty to the Court^G, and has complied and will continue to comply with that duty.

31.56

An expert's report must be verified by a statement of truth as well as containing the statements required in Rules 31.55(9) and 31.55(10) above. The form of the statement of truth is as follows:

"I confirm that insofar as the facts stated in my report are within my own knowledge I have made clear which they are and I believe them to be true, and that the opinions I have expressed represent my true and complete professional opinion."

(Attention is drawn to Section VI of Part 29 which sets out the consequences of verifying a document containing a false statement without an honest belief in its truth).

Use by one party of expert's report produced by another

31.57

Where a party has produced an expert's report, any party may use that expert's report as evidence at the trial.

Discussions between experts

31.58

The Court^G will normally direct a meeting or meetings of expert witnesses (including any Court-appointed expert) before trial. Sometimes it may be useful for there to be further meetings during the trial itself. The Court^G may, at any stage, direct a discussion between experts for the purpose of requiring the experts to:

- (1) identify and discuss the expert issues in the proceedings; and
- (2) decide, with the benefit of that discussion, on which expert issues they share or can come to share the same expert opinion and on which expert issues there remains a difference of expert opinion between them (and what that difference is).

31.59

The Court^G may specify the issues which the experts must discuss.

31.60

Subject to any directions of the Court^G, the procedure to be adopted at a meeting of experts is a matter for the experts themselves, not the parties or their legal representatives^G.

31.61

Neither the parties nor their legal representatives^G should seek to restrict the freedom of experts to identify and acknowledge the expert issues on which they agree at, or following further consideration after, meetings of experts.

31.62

The content of the discussion between the experts shall not be referred to at the trial unless the parties agree.

Joint memorandum of the experts

31.62.1

The DIFC Court places reliance on any joint memorandum produced by the experts pursuant to RDC 31.62 and the experts should take care in identifying the issues on which they do and do not agree, and then summarising the reasons for their

disagreement. See, for example, *Al Khorafi v. Bank Sarasin-Alpen (ME) Ltd* (21 August 2014) CFI 026/2009, in which Sir John Chadwick DCJ emphasised how much assistance he derived in that case from the Joint Memorandum prepared by the parties' experts on Swiss law [409]-[411].

31.63

Unless the Court^G orders otherwise, at or following any meeting, the experts should prepare a joint memorandum for the Court^G recording:

- (1) the fact that they have met and discussed the expert issues;
- (2) the issues on which they agree;
- (3) the issues on which they disagree; and
- (4) a brief summary of the reasons for their disagreement.

31.64

Where experts reach agreement on an issue during their discussions, the agreement shall not bind the parties unless the parties expressly agree to be bound by the agreement.

Consequence of failure to produce expert's report

31.65

A party who fails to produce an expert's report may not use the report at the trial or call the expert to give evidence orally unless the Court^G gives permission.

Expert's right to ask Court for directions

31.66

An expert (including any Court-appointed expert) may file a written request for directions to assist him in carrying out his function as an expert.

31.67

An expert must, unless the Court^G orders otherwise, provide a copy of any proposed request for directions under Rule 31.66:

- (1) (if he is not a Court-appointed expert) to the party instructing him, at least 7 days before he files the request; and
- (2) to all other parties, at least 4 days before he files it.

31.68

The Court^G, when it gives directions, may also direct that a party be served with a copy of the directions.

Trial

31.69

At trial the evidence of expert witnesses is usually taken as a block, after the evidence of witnesses of fact has been given.

II ASSESSORS

31.70

This Section of this Part applies where the Court^G appoints one or more persons (an 'Assessor^G') under Article 18 of the Court Law^G.

31.71

The Assessor^G shall assist the Court^G in dealing with a matter in which the Assessor^G has skill and experience.

Appointment of Assessor

31.72

Not less than 21 days before making any such appointment, the Court^G will notify each party in writing of the name of the proposed Assessor^G, of the matter in respect of which the assistance of the Assessor^G will be sought and of the qualifications of the Assessor^G to give that assistance.

31.73

Where any person has been proposed for appointment as an Assessor^G, objection to him, either personally or in respect of his qualification, may be taken by any party.

31.74

Any such objection must be made in writing and filed with the Court^G within 7 days of receipt of the notification referred to in Rule 31.72 and will be taken into account by the Court^G in deciding whether or not to make the appointment.

31.75

Prior to commencing duties an Assessor^G shall take an oath or affirmation of office in accordance with these Rules^G.

31.76

An Assessor^G who has or may have a conflict concerning the subject matter of the proceedings must disclose the matters giving rise to that conflict to the Court^G and the parties to the proceeding as soon as he becomes aware of the conflict or potential conflict.

31.77

For the purposes of this Section of this Part, an Assessor^G has a conflict of interest in relation to proceedings if the Assessor^G has any interest, pecuniary or otherwise, that could conflict with the proper performance of the Assessor's^G functions in relation to the proceedings.

Part to be taken in proceedings by Assessor

31.78

An Assessor^G shall take such part in the proceedings as the Court^G may direct and in particular the Court^G may:

- (1) direct the Assessor^G to prepare a report for the Court^G on any matter at issue in the proceedings; and

- (2) direct the Assessor^G to attend the whole or any part of the trial to advise the Court^G on any such matter.

31.79

If the Assessor^G prepares a report for the Court^G before the trial has begun:

- (1) the Court^G will send a copy to each of the parties;
- (2) the parties to the proceedings shall be given an opportunity to make submissions on the Assessor's^G report; and
- (3) the parties may use it at trial.

31.80

The Assessor^G will not give oral evidence or be open to cross-examination^G or questioning.

31.81

The range of topics on which advice might be sought from the Assessor^G should be canvassed with the parties' legal representatives^G by, at the latest, the stage of final submissions.

31.82

Ordinarily, the questions asked of the Assessor^G by the Court^G should not stray outside the range previously discussed with the parties' legal representatives^G.

31.83

The questions ultimately put by the Court^G, together with the answers given by the Assessor^G, must be disclosed to the parties' legal representatives^G before any draft judgment^G is handed down.

31.84

The parties' legal representatives^G will thereafter be given the opportunity to make submissions to the Court^G, as to whether the advice given by the Assessor^G should be followed. Ordinarily, any such submissions should be in writing; but if there is good reason for doing so, an application may be made for an oral hearing. The Court^G will consider any such submissions before finalising any judgment^G.

31.85

Generally speaking, the interests of proportionality and finality will make it unnecessary to repeat the procedure after the Court^G and the Assessor^G have had the opportunity of considering the parties' submissions and any suggested further or revised questions. Accordingly, unless the Court^G in its discretion thinks it appropriate to disclose them to the parties' legal representatives^G before the judgment^G is finalised, any further or revised answers will simply be recorded in the judgment^G, together with the Court's^G decision as to whether or not to accept the Assessor's^G advice and its reasons for doing so.

Remuneration of Assessors

31.86

The Registrar^G shall pay to any Assessor^G appointed under this Section of this Part a fee as set out in Schedule B to this Part for carrying out his duties and shall reimburse him for any expenses reasonably incurred.

31.87

The remuneration to be paid to the Assessor^G for his services shall be determined by the Court^G and shall form part of the costs of the proceedings.

31.88

The Court^G may order any party to deposit in the Registry^G a specified sum in respect of the Assessor's^G fees and, where it does so, the Assessor^G will not be asked to act until the sum has been deposited.

Schedule A to Part 31 Protocol for the Instruction of Experts to give evidence in civil claims

1. Introduction

Expert witnesses perform a vital role in civil litigation. It is essential that both those who instruct experts and experts themselves are given clear guidance as to what they are expected to do in civil proceedings. The purpose of this Protocol is to provide such guidance. It has been drafted by the Civil Justice Council and reflects the rules and practice directions current [in June 2005], replacing the Code of Guidance on Expert Evidence. The authors of the Protocol wish to acknowledge the valuable assistance they obtained by drawing on earlier documents produced by the Academy of Experts and the Expert Witness Institute, as well as suggestions made by the Clinical Dispute Forum. The Protocol has been approved by the Master of the Rolls.

2. Aims of Protocol

- 2.1 This Protocol offers guidance to experts and to those instructing them in the interpretation of and compliance with Part 35 of the Civil Procedure Rules (CPRG 35) and its associated Practice Direction (PD 35) and to further the objectives of the Civil Procedure Rules in general. It is intended to assist in the interpretation of those provisions in the interests of good practice but it does not replace them. It sets out standards for the use of experts and the conduct of experts and those who instruct them. The existence of this Protocol does not remove the need for experts and those who instruct them to be familiar with CPR35 and PD35.
- 2.2 Experts and those who instruct them should also bear in mind para 1.4 of the Practice Direction on Protocols which contains the following objectives, namely to:
 - (a) encourage the exchange of early and full information about the expert issues involved in a prospective legal claim;
 - (b) enable the parties to avoid or reduce the scope of litigation by agreeing the whole or part of an expert issue before commencement of proceedings; and

- (c) support the efficient management of proceedings where litigation cannot be avoided.

3. Application

- 3.1 This Protocol applies to any steps taken for the purpose of civil proceedings by experts or those who instruct them on or after 5th September 2005.
- 3.2 It applies to all experts who are, or who may be, governed by CPR^G Part 35 and to those who instruct them. Experts are governed by Part 35 if they are or have been instructed to give or prepare evidence for the purpose of civil proceeding in a court in England and Wales (CPR^G 35.2).
- 3.3 Experts, and those instructing them, should be aware that some cases may be "specialist proceedings" (CPR^G 49) where there are modifications to the Civil Procedure Rules. Proceedings may also be governed by other Protocols. Further, some courts have published their own Guides which supplement the Civil Procedure Rules for proceedings in those courts. They contain provisions affecting expert evidence. Expert witnesses and those instructing them should be familiar with them when they are relevant.
- 3.4 Courts may take into account any failure to comply with this Protocol when making orders in relation to costs, interest, time limits, the stay^G of proceedings and whether to order a party to pay a sum of money into court.

Limitation

- 3.5 If, as a result of complying with any part of this Protocol, claims would or might be time barred under any provision in the Limitation Act 1980, or any other legislation that imposes a time limit for the bringing an action, claimants may commence proceedings without complying with this Protocol. In such circumstances, claimants who commence proceedings without complying with all, or any part, of this Protocol must apply, giving notice to all other parties, to the court for directions as to the timetable and form of procedure to be adopted, at the same time as they request the court to issue proceedings. The court may consider whether to order a stay^G of the whole or part of the proceedings pending compliance with this Protocol and may make orders in relation to costs.

4. Duties of experts

- 4.1 Experts always owe a duty to exercise reasonable skill and care to those instructing them, and to comply with any relevant professional code of ethics. However when they are instructed to give or prepare evidence for the purpose of civil proceedings in England and Wales they have an overriding duty to help the court on matters within their expertise (CPR^G 35.3). This duty overrides any obligation to the person instructing or

paying them. Experts must not serve the exclusive interest of those who retain them.

- 4.2 Experts should be aware of the overriding objective that courts deal with cases justly. This includes dealing with cases proportionately, expeditiously and fairly (CPR^G 1.1). Experts are under an obligation to assist the court so as to enable them to deal with cases in accordance with the overriding objective. However the overriding objective does not impose on experts any duty to act as mediators between the parties or require them to trespass on the role of the court in deciding facts.
- 4.3 Experts should provide opinions which are independent, regardless of the pressures of litigation. In this context, a useful test of 'independence' is that the expert would express the same opinion if given the same instructions by an opposing party. Experts should not take it upon themselves to promote the point of view of the party instructing them or engage in the role of advocates.
- 4.4 Experts should confine their opinions to matters which are material to the disputes between the parties and provide opinions only in relation to matters which lie within their expertise. Experts should indicate without delay where particular questions or issues fall outside their expertise.
- 4.5 Experts should take into account all material facts before them at the time that they give their opinion. Their reports should set out those facts and any literature or any other material on which they have relied in forming their opinions. They should indicate if an opinion is provisional, or qualified, or where they consider that further information is required or if, for any other reason, they are not satisfied that an opinion can be expressed finally and without qualification.
- 4.6 Experts should inform those instructing them without delay of any change in their opinions on any material matter and the reason for it.
- 4.7 Experts should be aware that any failure by them to comply with the Civil Procedure Rules or court orders or any excessive delay for which they are responsible may result in the parties who instructed them being penalised in costs and even, in extreme cases, being debarred from placing the experts' evidence before the court. In *Phillips v Symes*¹ Peter Smith J held that courts may also make orders for costs (under section 51 of the Supreme Court Act 1981) directly against expert witnesses who by their evidence cause significant expense to be incurred, and do so in flagrant and reckless disregard of their duties to the Court.

5. Conduct of Experts instructed only to advise

- 5.1 Part 35 only applies where experts are instructed to give opinions which are relied on for the purposes of court proceedings. Advice which the parties do not intend to adduce in litigation is likely to be confidential; the Protocol does not apply in these circumstances^{2 3}.

- 5.2 The same applies where, after the commencement of proceedings, experts are instructed only to advise (e.g. to comment upon a single joint expert's report) and not to give or prepare evidence for use in the proceedings.
- 5.3 However this Protocol does apply if experts who were formerly instructed only to advise are later instructed to give or prepare evidence for the purpose of civil proceedings.

6. The Need for Experts

- 6.1 Those intending to instruct experts to give or prepare evidence for the purpose of civil proceedings should consider whether expert evidence is appropriate, taking account of the principles set out in CPR^G Parts 1 and 35, and in particular whether:
- (a) it is relevant to a matter which is in dispute between the parties.
 - (b) it is reasonably required to resolve the proceedings (CPR^G 35.1);
 - (c) the expert has expertise relevant to the issue on which an opinion is sought;
 - (d) the expert has the experience, expertise and training appropriate to the value, complexity and importance of the case; and whether
 - (e) these objects can be achieved by the appointment of a single joint expert (see section 17 below).
- 6.2 Although the court's permission is not generally required to instruct an expert, the court's permission is required before experts can be called to give evidence or their evidence can be put in (CPR^G 35.4).

7. The appointment of experts

- 7.1 Before experts are formally instructed or the court's permission to appoint named experts is sought, the following should be established:
- (a) that they have the appropriate expertise and experience;
 - (b) that they are familiar with the general duties of an expert;
 - (c) that they can produce a report, deal with questions and have discussions with other experts within a reasonable time and at a cost proportionate to the matters in issue;
 - (d) a description of the work required;
 - (e) whether they are available to attend the trial, if attendance is required; and
 - (f) there is no potential conflict of interest.
- 7.2 Terms of appointment should be agreed at the outset and should normally include:
- (a) the capacity in which the expert is to be appointed (e.g. party appointed expert, single joint expert or expert advisor);
 - (b) the services required of the expert (e.g. provision of expert's report, answering questions in writing, attendance at meetings and attendance at court);
 - (c) time for delivery of the report;

- (d) the basis of the expert's charges (either daily or hourly rates and an estimate of the time likely to be required, or a total fee for the services);
- (e) travelling expenses and disbursements;
- (f) cancellation charges;
- (g) any fees for attending court;
- (h) time for making the payment; and
- (i) whether fees are to be paid by a third party.
- (j) if a party is publicly funded, whether or not the expert's charges will be subject to assessment by a costs officer.

7.3 As to the appointment of single joint experts, see section 17 below.

7.4 When necessary, arrangements should be made for dealing with questions to experts and discussions between experts, including any directions given by the court, and provision should be made for the cost of this work.

7.5 Experts should be informed regularly about deadlines for all matters concerning them. Those instructing experts should promptly send them copies of all court orders and directions which may affect the preparation of their reports or any other matters concerning their obligations.

Conditional and Contingency Fees

7.6 Payments contingent upon the nature of the expert evidence given in legal proceedings, or upon the outcome of a case, must not be offered or accepted. To do so would contravene experts' overriding duty to the court and compromise their duty of independence.

7.7 Agreement to delay payment of experts' fees until after the conclusion of cases is permissible as long as the amount of the fee does not depend on the outcome of the case.

8. Instructions

- 8.1 Those instructing experts should ensure that they give clear instructions, including the following:
- (a) basic information, such as names, addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth and dates of incidents;
 - (b) the nature and extent of the expertise which is called for;
 - (c) the purpose of requesting the advice or report, a description of the matter(s) to be investigated, the principal known issues and the identity of all parties;
 - (d) the statement(s) of case (if any), those documents which form part of standard disclosure and witness statements which are relevant to the advice or report;
 - (e) where proceedings have not been started, whether proceedings are being contemplated and, if so, whether the expert is asked only for advice;
 - (f) an outline programme, consistent with good case management and

the expert's availability, for the completion and delivery of each stage of the expert's work; and

- (g) where proceedings have been started, the dates of any hearings (including any Case Management Conferences and/or Pre-Trial Reviews), the name of the court, the claim number and the track to which the claim has been allocated.

8.2 Experts who do not receive clear instructions should request clarification and may indicate that they are not prepared to act unless and until such clear instructions are received.

8.3 As to the instruction of single joint experts, see section 17 below.

9. Experts' Acceptance of Instructions

- 9.1 Experts should confirm without delay whether or not they accept instructions. They should also inform those instructing them (whether on initial instruction or at any later stage) without delay if:
- (a) instructions are not acceptable because, for example, they require work that falls outside their expertise, impose unrealistic deadlines, or are insufficiently clear;
 - (b) they consider that instructions are or have become insufficient to complete the work;
 - (c) they become aware that they may not be able to fulfil any of the terms of appointment;
 - (d) the instructions and/or work have, for any reason, placed them in conflict with their duties as an expert; or
 - (e) they are not satisfied that they can comply with any orders that have been made.
- 9.2 Experts must neither express an opinion outside the scope of their field of expertise, nor accept any instructions to do so.

10. Withdrawal

Where experts' instructions remain incompatible with their duties, whether through incompleteness, a conflict between their duty to the court and their instructions, or for any other substantial and significant reason, they may consider withdrawing from the case. However, experts should not withdraw without first discussing the position fully with those who instruct them and considering carefully whether it would be more appropriate to make a written request for directions from the court. If experts do withdraw, they must give formal written notice to those instructing them.

11. Experts' Right to ask Court for Directions

- 11.1 Experts may request directions from the court to assist them in carrying out their functions as experts. Experts should normally discuss such matters with those who instruct them before making any such request. Unless the court otherwise orders, any proposed request for directions

should be copied to the party instructing the expert at least seven days before filing^G any request to the court, and to all other parties at least four days before filing^G it. (CPR^G 35.14).

- 11.2 Requests to the court for directions should be made by letter, containing—
- (a) the title of the claim;
 - (b) the claim number of the case;
 - (c) the name of the expert;
 - (d) full details of why directions are sought; and
 - (e) copies of any relevant documentation.

12. Power of the Court to Direct a Party to Provide Information

- 12.1 If experts consider that those instructing them have not provided information which they require, they may, after discussion with those instructing them and giving notice, write to the court to seek directions (CPRG 35.14).
- 12.2 Experts and those who instruct them should also be aware of CPRG 35.9. This provides that where one party has access to information which is not readily available to the other party, the court may direct the party who has access to the information to prepare, file and copy to the other party a document recording the information. If experts require such information which has not been disclosed, they should discuss the position with those instructing them without delay, so that a request for the information can be made, and, if not forthcoming, an application can be made to the court. Unless a document appears to be essential, experts should assess the cost and time involved in the production of a document and whether its provision would be proportionate in the context of the case.

13. Contents of Experts' Reports

- 13.1 The content and extent of experts' reports should be governed by the scope of their instructions and general obligations, the contents of CPR^G 35 and PD35 and their overriding duty to the court.
- 13.2 In preparing reports, experts should maintain professional objectivity and impartiality at all times.
- 13.3 PD 35, para 2 provides that experts' reports should be addressed to the court and gives detailed directions about the form and content of such reports. All experts and those who instruct them should ensure that they are familiar with these requirements.
- 13.4 Model forms of Experts' Reports are available from bodies such as the Academy of Experts or the Expert Witness Institute.
- 13.5 Experts' reports must contain statements that they understand their duty to the court and have complied and will continue to comply with that duty (PD35 para 2.2(9)). They must also be verified by a statement of truth. The form of the statement of truth is as follows:

"I confirm that insofar as the facts stated in my report are within my own knowledge I have made clear which they are and I believe them to be true, and that the opinions I have expressed represent my true and complete professional opinion." This wording is mandatory and must not be modified.

Qualifications

- 13.6 The details of experts' qualifications to be given in reports should be commensurate with the nature and complexity of the case. It may be sufficient merely to state academic and professional qualifications. However, where highly specialized expertise is called for, experts should include the detail of particular training and/or experience that qualifies them to provide that highly specialised evidence.

Tests

- 13.7 Where tests of a scientific or technical nature have been carried out, experts should state:
- (a) the methodology used; and
 - (b) by whom the tests were undertaken and under whose supervision, summarizing their respective qualifications and experience.

Reliance on the work of others

- 13.8 Where experts rely in their reports on literature or other material and cite the opinions of others without having verified them, they must give details of those opinions relied on. It is likely to assist the court if the qualifications of the originator(s) are also stated.

Facts

- 13.9 When addressing questions of fact and opinion, experts should keep the two separate and discrete.
- 13.10 Experts must state those facts (whether assumed or otherwise) upon which their opinions are based. They must distinguish clearly between those facts which experts know to be true and those facts which they assume.
- 13.11 Where there are material facts in dispute experts should express separate opinions on each hypothesis put forward. They should not express a view in favour of one or other disputed version of the facts unless, as a result of particular expertise and experience, they consider one set of facts as being improbable or less probable, in which case they may express that view, and should give reasons for holding it.

Range of opinion

- 13.12 If the mandatory summary of the range of opinion is based on published sources, experts should explain those sources and, where appropriate, state the qualifications of the originator(s) of the opinions from which they differ, particularly if such opinions represent a well-established school of thought.
- 13.13 Where there is no available source for the range of opinion, experts may need to express opinions on what they believe to be the range which other experts would arrive at if asked. In those circumstances, experts should make it clear that the range that they summarise is based on their own judgement and explain the basis of that judgement.

Conclusions

- 13.14 A summary of conclusions is mandatory. The summary should be at the end of the report after all the reasoning. There may be cases, however, where the benefit to the court is heightened by placing a short summary at the beginning of the report whilst giving the full conclusions at the end. For example, it can assist with the comprehension of the analysis and with the absorption of the detailed facts if the court is told at the outset of the direction in which the report's logic will flow in cases involving highly complex matters which fall outside the general knowledge of the court.

Basis of report: material instructions

- 13.15 The mandatory statement of the substance of all material instructions should not be incomplete or otherwise tend to mislead. The imperative is transparency. The term "instructions" includes all material which solicitors place in front of experts in order to gain advice. The omission from the statement of 'off-the-record' oral instructions is not permitted. Courts^G may allow cross-examination^G about the instructions if there are reasonable grounds to consider that the statement may be inaccurate or incomplete.

14. After receipt of experts' reports

- 14.1 Following the receipt of experts' reports, those instructing them should advise the experts as soon as reasonably practicable whether, and if so when, the report will be disclosed to other parties; and, if so disclosed, the date of actual disclosure.
- 14.2 If experts' reports are to be relied upon, and if experts are to give oral evidence those instructing them should give the experts the opportunity to consider and comment upon other reports within their area of expertise and which deal with relevant issues at the earliest opportunity.
- 14.3 Those instructing experts should keep experts informed of the progress of cases, including amendments to statements of case relevant to experts' opinion.

- 14.4 If those instructing experts become aware of material changes in circumstances or that relevant information within their control was not previously provided to experts, they should without delay instruct experts to review, and if necessary, update the contents of their reports.

15. Amendment of reports

- 15.1 It may become necessary for experts to amend their reports:
- (a) as a result of an exchange of questions and answers;
 - (b) following agreements reached at meetings between experts; or
 - (c) where further evidence or documentation is disclosed.
- 15.2 Experts should not be asked to, and should not, amend, expand or alter any parts of reports in a manner which distorts their true opinion, but may be invited to amend or expand reports to ensure accuracy, internal consistency, completeness and relevance to the issues and clarity. Although experts should generally follow the recommendations of solicitors with regard to the form of reports, they should form their own independent views as to the opinions and contents expressed in their reports and exclude any suggestions which do not accord with their views.
- 15.3 Where experts change their opinion following a meeting of experts, a simple signed and dated addendum or memorandum to that effect is generally sufficient. In some cases, however, the benefit to the court of having an amended report may justify the cost of making the amendment.
- 15.4 Where experts significantly alter their opinion, as a result of new evidence or because evidence on which they relied has become unreliable, or for any other reason, they should amend their reports to reflect that fact. Amended reports should include reasons for amendments. In such circumstances those instructing experts should inform other parties as soon as possible of any change of opinion.
- 15.5 When experts intend to amend their reports, they should inform those instructing them without delay and give reasons. They should provide the amended version (or an addendum or memorandum) clearly marked as such as quickly as possible.
- (1) direct the Assessor^G to prepare a report for the Court^G on any matter at issue in the proceedings; and
 - (2) direct the Assessor^G to attend the whole or any part of the trial to advise the Court^G on any such matter.

16. Written Questions to Experts

- 16.1 The procedure for putting written questions to experts (CPR^G 35.6) is intended to facilitate the clarification of opinions and issues after experts' reports have been served. Experts have a duty to provide answers to

questions properly put. Where they fail to do so, the court may impose sanctions against the party instructing the expert, and, if, there is continued non-compliance, debar a party from relying on the report. Experts should copy their answers to those instructing them.

- 16.2 Experts' answers to questions automatically become part of their reports. They are covered by the statement of truth and form part of the expert evidence.
- 16.3 Where experts believe that questions put are not properly directed to the clarification of the report, or are disproportionate, or have been asked out of time, they should discuss the questions with those instructing them and, if appropriate, those asking the questions. Attempts should be made to resolve such problems without the need for an application to the court for directions.

Written requests for directions in relation to questions

- 16.4 If those instructing experts do not apply to the court in respect of questions, but experts still believe that questions are improper or out of time, experts may file written requests with the court for directions to assist in carrying out their functions as experts (CPR^G 35.14). See Section 11 above.

17. Single Joint Experts

- 17.1 CPR^G 35 and PD35 deal extensively with the instruction and use of joint experts by the parties and the powers of the court to order their use (see CPR^G 35.7 and 35.8, PD35, para 5).
- 17.2 The Civil Procedure Rules encourage the use of joint experts. Wherever possible a joint report should be obtained. Consideration should therefore be given by all parties to the appointment of single joint experts in all cases where a court might direct such an appointment. Single joint experts are the norm in cases allocated to the small claims track and the fast track.
- 17.3 Where, in the early stages of a dispute, examinations, investigations, tests, site inspections, experiments, preparation of photographs, plans or other similar preliminary expert tasks are necessary, consideration should be given to the instruction of a single joint expert, especially where such matters are not, at that stage, expected to be contentious as between the parties. The objective of such an appointment should be to agree or to narrow issues.
- 17.4 Experts who have previously advised a party (whether in the same case or otherwise) should only be proposed as single joint experts if other parties are given all relevant information about the previous involvement.

- 17.5 The appointment of a single joint expert does not prevent parties from instructing their own experts to advise (but the costs of such expert advisers may not be recoverable in the case).

Joint instructions

- 17.6 The parties should try to agree joint instructions to single joint experts, but, in default of agreement, each party may give instructions. In particular, all parties should try to agree what documents should be included with instructions and what assumptions single joint experts should make.
- 17.7 Where the parties fail to agree joint instructions, they should try to agree where the areas of disagreement lie and their instructions should make this clear. If separate instructions are given, they should be copied at the same time to the other instructing parties.
- 17.8 Where experts are instructed by two or more parties, the terms of appointment should, unless the court has directed otherwise, or the parties have agreed otherwise, include:
- (a) a statement that all the instructing parties are jointly and severally liable to pay the experts' fees and, accordingly, that experts' invoices should be sent simultaneously to all instructing parties or their solicitors (as appropriate); and
 - (b) a statement as to whether any order has been made limiting the amount of experts' fees and expenses (CPR^G 35.8(4)(a)).
- 17.9 Where instructions have not been received by the expert from one or more of the instructing parties the expert should give notice (normally at least 7 days) of a deadline to all instructing parties for the receipt by the expert of such instructions. Unless the instructions are received within the deadline the expert may begin work. In the event that instructions are received after the deadline but before the signing off of the report the expert should consider whether it is practicable to comply with those instructions without adversely affecting the timetable set for delivery of the report and in such a manner as to comply with the proportionality principle. An expert who decides to issue a report without taking into account instructions received after the deadline should inform the parties who may apply to the court for directions. In either event the report must show clearly that the expert did not receive instructions within the deadline, or, as the case may be, at all.

Conduct of the single joint expert

- 17.10 Single joint experts should keep all instructing parties informed of any material steps that they may be taking by, for example, copying all correspondence to those instructing them.
- 17.11 Single joint experts are **Part 35** experts and so have an overriding duty to the court. They are the parties' appointed experts and therefore owe an

equal duty to all parties. They should maintain independence, impartiality and transparency at all times.

17.12 Single joint experts should not attend any meeting or conference which is not a joint one, unless all the parties have agreed in writing or the court has directed that such a meeting may be held⁴ and who is to pay the experts' fees for the meeting.

17.13 Single joint experts may request directions from the court — see Section 11 above.

17.14 Single joint experts should serve their reports simultaneously on all instructing parties. They should provide a single report even though they may have received instructions which contain areas of conflicting fact or allegation. If conflicting instructions lead to different opinions (for example, because the instructions require experts to make different assumptions of fact), reports may need to contain more than one set of opinions on any issue. It is for the court to determine the facts.

Cross-examination

17.15 Single joint experts do not normally give oral evidence at trial but if they do, all parties may cross-examine them. In general written questions (CPR^G 35.6) should be put to single joint experts before requests are made for them to attend court for the purpose of cross-examination^G 5.

18. Discussions between Experts

18.1 The court has powers to direct discussions between experts for the purposes set out in the Rules^G (CPR^G 35.12). Parties may also agree that discussions take place between their experts.

18.2 Where single joint experts have been instructed but parties have, with the permission of the court, instructed their own additional **Part 35** experts, there may, if the court so orders or the parties agree, be discussions between the single joint experts and the additional **Part 35** experts. Such discussions should be confined to those matters within the remit of the additional **Part 35** experts or as ordered by the court.

18.3 The purpose of discussions between experts should be, wherever possible, to:

- (a) identify and discuss the expert issues in the proceedings;
- (b) reach agreed opinions on those issues, and, if that is not possible, to narrow the issues in the case;
- (c) identify those issues on which they agree and disagree and summarise their reasons for disagreement on any issue; and
- (d) identify what action, if any, may be taken to resolve any of the outstanding issues between the parties.

Arrangements for discussions between experts

- 18.4 Arrangements for discussions between experts should be proportionate to the value of cases. In small claims and fast-track cases there should not normally be meetings between experts. Where discussion is justified in such cases, telephone discussion or an exchange of letters should, in the interests of proportionality, usually suffice. In multi-track cases, discussion may be face to face, but the practicalities or the proportionality principle may require discussions to be by telephone or video conference.
- 18.5 The parties, their lawyers and experts should co-operate to produce the agenda for any discussion between experts, although primary responsibility for preparation of the agenda should normally lie with the parties' solicitors.
- 18.6 The agenda should indicate what matters have been agreed and summarise concisely those which are in issue. It is often helpful for it to include questions to be answered by the experts. If agreement cannot be reached promptly or a party is unrepresented, the court may give directions for the drawing up of the agenda. The agenda should be circulated to experts and those instructing them to allow sufficient time for the experts to prepare for the discussion.
- 18.7 Those instructing experts must not instruct experts to avoid reaching agreement (or to defer doing so) on any matter within the experts' competence. Experts are not permitted to accept such instructions.
- 18.8 The parties' lawyers may only be present at discussions between experts if all the parties agree or the court so orders. If lawyers do attend, they should not normally intervene except to answer questions put to them by the experts or to advise about the law⁶.
- 18.9 The content of discussions between experts should not be referred to at trial unless the parties agree (CPR^G 35.12(4)). It is good practice for any such agreement to be in writing.
- 18.10 At the conclusion of any discussion between experts, a statement should be prepared setting out:
- (a) a list of issues that have been agreed, including, in each instance, the basis of agreement;
 - (b) a list of issues that have not been agreed, including, in each instance, the basis of disagreement;
 - (c) a list of any further issues that have arisen that were not included in the original agenda for discussion;
 - (d) a record of further action, if any, to be taken or recommended, including as appropriate the holding of further discussions between experts.
- 18.11 The statement should be agreed and signed by all the parties to the discussion as soon as may be practicable.

18.12 Agreements between experts during discussions do not bind the parties unless the parties expressly agree to be bound by the agreement (CPR^G 35.12(5)). However, in view of the overriding objective, parties should give careful consideration before refusing to be bound by such an agreement and be able to explain their refusal should it become relevant to the issue of costs.

19. Attendance of Experts at Court

19.1 Experts instructed in cases have an obligation to attend court if called upon to do so and accordingly should ensure that those instructing them are always aware of their dates to be avoided and take all reasonable steps to be available.

19.2 Those instructing experts should:

- (a) ascertain the availability of experts before trial dates are fixed;
- (b) keep experts updated with timetables (including the dates and times experts are to attend) and the location of the court;
- (c) give consideration, where appropriate, to experts giving evidence using a video-link.
- (d) inform experts immediately if trial dates are vacated.

19.3 Experts should normally attend court without the need for the service^G of witness summonses^G, but on occasion they may be served to require attendance (CPR^G 34). The use of witness summonses^G does not affect the contractual or other obligations of the parties to pay experts' fees.

¹ *Phillips v Symes* [2004] EWHC 2330 (Ch)

² *Carlson v Townsend* [2001] 1 WLR 2415

³ *Jackson v Marley Davenport* [2004] 1 WLR 2926

⁴ *Peet v Mid Kent Area Healthcare NHS Trust* [2002] 1 WLR 210

⁵ *Daniels v Walker* [2000] 1 WLR 1382

⁶ *Hubbard v Lambeth, Southwark and Lewisham HA* [2001] EWCA 1455

PART 32

Offers to settle

Scope of this Part

32.1

This Part contains Rules about:

- (1) offers to settle; and
- (2) the consequences where an offer to settle is made in accordance with Rule 32.4.

32.2

Nothing in this Part prevents a party making an offer to settle in whatever way he chooses, but if that offer is not made in accordance with Rule 32.4, it will not have the consequences specified in Rules 32.28 to 32.41, and 32.49 to 32.54.

Form and content of a Part 32 offer

32.3

An offer to settle which is made in accordance with Rule 32.4 is called a Part 32 offer.

32.4

A Part 32 offer must:

- (1) be in writing;
- (2) state on its face that it is intended to have the consequences of Part 32;
- (3) specify a period of not less than 21 days within which the defendant^G will be liable for the claimant's^G costs in accordance with Rules 32.28 to 32.33 if the offer is accepted;
- (4) state whether it relates to the whole of the claim or to part of it or to an issue that arises in it and if so to which part or issue; and
- (5) state whether it takes into account any counterclaim^G.

Content of a Part 32 offer

32.4.1

There is some suggestion in the judgment of Chief Justice Michael Hwang SC in *Dattani & Ors v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (20 July 2014) CFI 034/2012 that a settlement offer under RDC Part 32 should not indicate that it is intended to have the consequences of Part 32, but should further spell out the costs consequences of the proposed settlement [51].

32.5

Rule 32.4(3) does not apply if the offer is made less than 21 days before the start of the trial.

32.6

An offeror^G may make a Part 32 offer solely in relation to liability.

Part 32 offers — General provisions

32.7

In this Part:

- (1) the party who makes an offer is the 'offeror';
- (2) the party to whom an offer is made is the 'offeree'; and
- (3) 'the relevant period' means:
 - (a) in the case of an offer made not less than 21 days before trial, the period stated under Rule 32.4(3) or such longer period as the parties agree;
 - (b) otherwise, the period up to end of the trial or such other period as the Court^G has determined.

32.8

A Part 32 offer:

- (1) may be made at any time, including before the commencement of proceedings; and
- (2) may be made in appeal proceedings.

32.9

A Part 32 offer which offers to pay or offers to accept a sum of money will be treated as inclusive of all interest until:

- (1) the date on which the period stated under Rule 32.4(3) expires; or
- (2) if Rule 32.5 applies, a date 21 days after the date the offer was made.

32.10

A Part 32 offer shall have the consequences set out in this Part only in relation to the costs of the proceedings in respect of which it is made, and not in relation to the costs of any appeal from the final decision in those proceedings.

32.11

Before expiry of the relevant period^G, a Part 32 offer may be withdrawn or its terms changed to be less advantageous to the offeree^G, only if the Court^G gives permission.

32.12

The permission of the court must be sought:

- (1) by making an application under Part 23, which must be dealt with by a Judge^G other than the Judge^G (if any) allocated in advance to conduct the trial, unless the parties agree that such Judge^G may hear the application;

- (2) at a trial or other hearing, provided that it is not to the trial Judge^G or to the Judge^G (if any) allocated in advance to conduct the trial, unless the parties agree that such Judge^G may hear the application.

32.13

After expiry of the relevant period^G and provided that the offeree^G has not previously served notice of acceptance, the offeror^G may withdraw the offer or change its terms to be less advantageous to the offeree^G without the permission of the Court^G.

32.14

The offeror^G does so by serving written notice of the withdrawal or change of terms on the offeree^G.

Part 32 Offers — Defendant's offers

32.15

A Part 32 offer by a defendant^G to pay a sum of money in settlement of a claim must be an offer to pay a single sum of money.

32.16

An offer that includes an offer to pay all or part of the sum, if accepted, at a date later than 14 days following the date of acceptance will not be treated as a Part 32 offer unless the offeree^G accepts the offer.

Time when a Part 32 offer is made

32.17

A Part 32 offer is made when it is served on the offeree^G.

32.18

A change in the terms of a Part 32 offer will be effective when notice of the change is served on the offeree^G.

Clarification of a Part 32 offer

32.19

The offeree^G may, within 7 days of a Part 32 offer being made, request the offeror^G to clarify the offer.

32.20

If the offeror^G does not give the clarification requested under 32.19 within 7 days of receiving the request, the offeree^G may, unless the trial has started, apply for an order that he does so (a clarification order).

32.21

An application for a clarification order should be made in accordance with Part 23.

32.22

The application notice^G should state the respects in which the terms of the Part 32 offer are said to need clarification.

32.23

If the Court^G makes a clarification order, it must specify the date when the Part 32 offer is to be treated as having been made.

Acceptance of a Part 32 offer

32.24

A Part 32 offer is accepted by serving written notice of the acceptance on the offeror^G and filing^G the notice with the Court.

Acceptance of a Part 32 offer

32.24.1

Chief Justice Michael Hwang confirmed in *Dattani & Ors v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (20 July 2014) CFI 034/2012 that a Part 32 offer may not be validly accepted orally [55].

The Chief Justice further confirmed that ordinary contractual principles apply so that a purported acceptance not corresponding in all its terms to the settlement offer constitutes a counter-offer by which neither party is bound [57].

32.25

Subject to Rule 32.26, a Part 32 offer may be accepted at any time (whether or not the offeree^G has subsequently made a different offer) unless the offeror^G serves notice of withdrawal on the offeree^G.

Time for acceptance of Part 32 offer

32.25.1

Notice of withdrawal can in effect be given within the Part 32 offer itself in circumstances where the offer states a limited period for which it will remain open for acceptance. In *Dattani & Ors v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (20 July 2014) CFI 034/2012, the relevant Part 32 offer was expressed to remain open until a date three weeks after the letter was sent. Chief Justice Michael Hwang concluded that a purported acceptance some months later could not be effective because, among other reasons, the offer had long since 'lapsed' [56].

32.26

The Court's^G permission is required to accept a Part 32 offer where:

- (1) Rule 32.45 applies; or
- (2) the trial has started.

32.27

Where the Court's^G permission is required to accept a Part 32 offer, the permission of the Court^G must be sought:

- (1) by making an application under Part 23, which must be dealt with by a Judge^G other than the Judge^G (if any) allocated in advance to conduct the trial, unless the parties agree that such Judge^G may hear the application;

- (2) at a trial or other hearing, provided that it is not to the trial Judge^G or to the Judge^G (if any) allocated in advance to conduct the trial, unless the parties agree that such Judge^G may hear the application.

Costs consequences of acceptance of a Part 32 offer

32.28

Subject to Rules 32.29 and 32.31(1), where a Part 32 offer is accepted within the relevant period^G, the claimant^G will be entitled to his costs of the proceedings up to the date on which notice of acceptance was served on the offeror^G.

32.29

Where:

- (1) a defendant's^G Part 32 offer relates to only part of the claim; and
- (2) at the time of serving notice of acceptance within the relevant period^G the claimant^G abandons the balance of the claim;

the claimant^G will be entitled to his costs of the proceedings up to the date of serving notice of acceptance unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

32.30

Costs under Rules 32.28 and 32.29 will be assessed on the standard basis if the amount of costs is not agreed.

32.31

Where:

- (1) a Part 32 offer that was made less than 21 days before the start of trial is accepted; or
- (2) a Part 32 offer is accepted after expiry of the relevant period^G;

if the parties do not agree the liability for costs, the Court^G will make an order as to costs.

32.32

Where Rule 32.31(2) applies, unless the Court^G orders otherwise—

- (1) the claimant^G will be entitled to his costs of the proceedings up to the date on which the relevant period^G expired; and
- (2) the offeree^G will be liable for the offeror's^G costs for the period from the date of expiry of the relevant period^G to the date of acceptance.

32.33

The claimant's^G costs include any costs incurred in dealing with the defendant's^G counterclaim^G if the Part 32 offer states that it takes into account the counterclaim^G.

The effect of acceptance of a Part 32 offer

32.34

If a Part 32 offer is accepted, the claim will be stayed^G.

32.35

In the case of acceptance of a Part 32 offer which relates to the whole claim the stay^G will be upon the terms of the offer.

32.36

If a Part 32 offer which relates to only part of the claim is accepted:

- (1) the claim will be stayed^G as to that part upon the terms of the offer; and
- (2) subject to Rule 32.29, unless the parties have agreed costs, the liability for costs shall be decided by the Court^G.

32.37

If the approval of the Court^G is required before a settlement can be binding, any stay^G which would otherwise arise on the acceptance of a Part 32 offer will take effect only when that approval has been given.

32.38

Any stay^G will not affect the power of the Court:

- (1) to enforce the terms of a Part 32 offer;
- (2) to deal with any question of costs (including interest on costs) relating to the proceedings.

32.39

Unless the parties agree otherwise in writing, where a Part 32 offer by a defendant^G that is or that includes an offer to pay a single sum of money is accepted, that sum must be paid to the offeree^G within 14 days of the date of acceptance.

32.40

If the accepted sum is not paid within 14 days or such other period as has been agreed the offeree^G may enter judgment^G for the unpaid sum.

32.41

Where:

- (1) a Part 32 offer (or part of a Part 32 offer) which is not an offer to Rule 32.39 applies is accepted; and
- (2) a party alleges that the other party has not honoured the terms of the offer;

that party may apply to enforce the terms of the offer without the need for a new claim.

Acceptance of a Part 32 offer made by one or more, but not all, defendants**32.42**

Rules 32.43 to 32.45 apply where the claimant^G wishes to accept a Part 32 offer made by one or more, but not all, of a number of defendants^G.

32.43

If the defendants^G are sued jointly or in the alternative, the claimant^G may accept the offer if:

- (1) he discontinues his claim against those defendants^G who have not made the offer; and
- (2) those defendants^G give written consent to the acceptance of the offer.

32.44

If the claimant^G alleges that the defendants^G have a several liability^G to him the claimant^G may:

- (1) accept the offer; and
- (2) continue with his claims against the other defendants^G if he is entitled to do so.

32.45

In all other cases the claimant^G must apply to the Court^G for an order permitting him to accept the Part 32 offer.

Restriction on disclosure of a Part 32 offer**32.46**

A Part 32 offer will be treated as 'without prejudice except as to costs'.

32.47

The fact that a Part 32 offer has been made must not be communicated to the trial Judge^G or to the Judge^G (if any) allocated in advance to conduct the trial until the case has been decided.

32.48

Rule 32.47 does not apply:

- (1) where the defence of tender before claim^G has been raised;
- (2) where the proceedings have been stayed^G following acceptance of a Part 32 offer; or
- (3) where the offeror^G and the offeree^G agree in writing that it should not apply.

Costs consequences following judgment**32.49**

Rules 32.50 to 32.54 apply where upon judgment^G being entered:

- (1) a claimant^G fails to obtain a judgment^G more advantageous than a defendant's^G Part 32 offer; or
- (2) judgment^G against the defendant^{tG} is at least as advantageous to the claimant^G as the proposals contained in a claimant's^G Part 32 offer.

32.50

Subject to Rule 32.54, where Rule 32.49(1) applies, the Court^G will, unless it considers it unjust to do so, order that the defendant^G is entitled to:

- (1) his costs from the date on which the relevant period^G expired; and
- (2) interest on those costs.

32.51

Subject to Rule 32.54, where Rule 32.49(2) applies, the Court^G will, unless it considers it unjust to do so, order that the claimant^G is entitled to:

- (1) interest on the whole or part of any sum of money (excluding interest) awarded at a rate not exceeding 10% above Base Rate^G for some or all of the period starting with the date on which the relevant period^G expired;
- (2) his costs on the indemnity^G basis from the date on which the relevant period^G expired; and
- (3) interest on those costs at a rate not exceeding 10% above Base Rate^G.

32.52

In considering whether it would be unjust to make the orders referred to in Rules 32.50 and 32.51, the Court^G will take into account all the circumstances of the case including:

- (1) the terms of any Part 32 offer;
- (2) the stage in the proceedings when any Part 32 offer was made, including in particular how long before the trial started the offer was made;
- (3) the information available to the parties at the time when the Part 32 offer was made; and
- (4) the conduct of the parties with regard to the giving or refusing to give information for the purposes of enabling the offer to be made or evaluated.

32.53

Where the Court^G awards interest under this rule and also awards interest on the same sum and for the same period under any other power, the total rate of interest may not exceed 10% above Base Rate^G.

32.54

Rules 32.50 and 32.51 do not apply to a Part 32 offer:

- (1) that has been withdrawn;
- (2) that has been changed so that its terms are less advantageous to the offeree^G, and the offeree^G has beaten the less advantageous offer;
- (3) made less than 21 days before trial, unless the Court^G has abridged the relevant period^G.

Formalities of Part 32 offers and other notices under this Part

32.55

A Part 32 offer may be made using Form P32/01.

32.56

Where a:

- (1) Part 32 offer;
- (2) notice of acceptance;
- (3) notice of withdrawal; or
- (4) notice of change of terms;

is to be served on a party who is legally represented, the document to be served must be served on the legal representative^G.

PART 33

Payments into Court

Money paid into Court under a Court order

33.1

A party who makes a payment into Court^G under a Court^G order must:

- (1) serve notice of the payment on every other party; and
- (2) in relation to each such notice, file a certificate of service^G.

Money paid into court where defendant wishes to rely on a defence of tender before claim

33.2

Where a defendant^G wishes to rely on a defence of tender before claim^G he must make a payment into Court^G of the amount he says was tendered.

33.3

If the defendant^G does not make a payment in accordance with Rule 33.2, the defence of tender before claim^G will not be available to him until he does so.

Payment into Court under an order

33.4

A party paying money into Court^G under an order or in support of a defence of tender before claim^G must:

- (1) send to the Registry^G:
 - (a) the payment;
 - (b) a sealed copy of the order or a copy of the defence; and
 - (c) Form P33/01;
- (2) serve notice of payment on the other parties; and
- (3) file at Court:
 - (a) a copy of the notice of payment; and
 - (b) a certificate of service^G confirming service^G of the notice on each party served.

Application relating to funds in Court

33.5

Any application relating to money or securities which have been paid into Court^G, other than an application for the payment out of the money or securities (for example, an application for money to be invested, or for payment of interest to any person):

- (1) must be made in accordance with Part 23; and
- (2) may be made without notice, but the Court^G may direct notice to be served on any person.

Payment out of money paid into Court

33.6

Money paid into Court^G under a Court^G order or in support of a defence of tender before claim^G may not be paid out without the Court's^G permission except where:

- (1) a Part 32 offer is accepted without needing the permission of the Court^G; and
- (2) the defendant^G agrees that a sum paid into Court^G by him should be used to satisfy the offer (in whole or in part).

33.7

Permission may be obtained by making an application in accordance with Part 23. The application notice^G must state the grounds on which the order for payment out is sought. Evidence of any facts on which the applicant relies may also be necessary.

33.8

Where the Court^G gives permission under Rule 33.6, it will include a direction for the payment out of any money in Court^G, including any interest accrued.

33.9

Where permission is not required to take money out of Court^G, the requesting party should file a request for payment in Form P33/02 with Registry^G, accompanied by a statement that the defendant^G agrees that the money should be used to satisfy the Part 32 offer in Form P33/03.

33.10

The request for payment should contain the following details:

- (1) where the party receiving the payment is legally represented:
 - (a) the name, business address and reference of the legal representative^G; and
 - (b) the name of the bank and the sort code number, the title of the account and the account number where the payment is to be transmitted;
- (2) where the party is acting in person:
 - (a) his name and address; and
 - (b) his bank account details as in Rule 33.10(1)(b).

33.11

Where Rule 33.9 applies, interest accruing up to the date of acceptance will be paid to the defendant^G.

33.12

Subject Rule 33.13, if a party does not wish the payment to be transmitted into his bank account or if he does not have a bank account, he may send a written request to the Registrar^G for the payment to be made to him by cheque.

33.13

Where a party seeking payment out of Court^G has provided the necessary information, the payment where a party is legally represented must be made to the legal representative^G

PART 34

Discontinuance

Scope of this Part

34.1

The Rules in this Part set out the procedure by which a claimant^G may discontinue all or part of a claim.

34.2

A claimant^G who:

- (1) claims more than one remedy; and
- (2) subsequently abandons his claim to one or more of the remedies but continues with his claim for the other remedies;

is not treated as discontinuing all or part of a claim for the purposes of this Part.

Right to Discontinue Claim

34.3

A claimant^G may discontinue all or part of a claim at any time.

34.4

However:

- (1) a claimant^G must obtain the permission of the Court^G if he wishes to discontinue all or part of a claim in relation to which:
 - (a) the Court^G has granted an interim injunction^G; or
 - (b) any party has given an undertaking to the Court^G;
- (2) where the claimant^G has received an interim payment in relation to a claim, he may discontinue that claim only if:
 - (a) the defendant^G who made the interim payment consents in writing; or
 - (b) the Court^G gives permission;
- (3) where there is more than one claimant^G, a claimant^G may not discontinue unless:
 - (a) every other claimant^G consents in writing; or
 - (b) the Court^G gives permission.

34.5

Where there is more than one defendant^G, the claimant^G may discontinue all or part of a claim against all or any of the defendants^G.

Procedure for Discontinuing

34.6

To discontinue a claim or part of a claim, a claimant^G must:

- (1) file a notice of discontinuance; and
- (2) serve a copy of it on every other party to the proceedings.

34.7

The claimant^G must state in the notice of discontinuance which he files that he has served a notice of discontinuance on every other party to the proceedings.

34.8

Where the claimant^G needs the consent of some other party, a copy of the necessary consent must be attached to the notice of discontinuance.

34.9

Where there is more than one defendant^G, the notice of discontinuance must specify against which defendants^G the claim is discontinued.

Right to apply to have notice of discontinuance set aside

34.10

Where the claimant^G discontinues under Rule 34.3, the defendant^G may apply to have the notice of discontinuance set aside^G.

34.11

The defendant^G may not make an application under Rule 34.10 more than 28 days after the date when the notice of discontinuance was served on him.

When discontinuance takes effect where permission of the Court is not needed

34.12

Discontinuance against any defendant^G takes effect on the date when notice of discontinuance is served on him under Rule 34.6.

34.13

Subject to Rules 34.10 and 34.11, the proceedings are brought to an end as against him on that date.

34.14

This does not affect proceedings to deal with any question of costs.

Liability for Costs

34.15

Unless the Court^G orders otherwise, a claimant^G who discontinues a claim is liable for the defendant's^G costs incurred up to and on the date on which notice of the discontinuance was served on him or his legal representative. If proceedings are only partly discontinued:

- (1) the claimant^G is liable for costs relating only to the part of the proceedings which he is discontinuing; and

- (2) unless the Court^G orders otherwise, the costs which the claimant^G is liable to pay must not be assessed until the conclusion of the rest of the proceedings.

Discontinuance and subsequent proceedings

34.16

A claimant^G who discontinues a claim needs the permission of the Court^G to make another claim against the same defendant^G if:

- (1) he discontinued the claim after the defendant^G filed a defence; and
- (2) the other claim arises out of facts which are the same or substantially the same as those relating to the discontinued claim.

Stay of remainder of partly discontinued proceedings where Costs not paid

34.17

Rule 34.18 applies where:

- (1) proceedings are partly discontinued;
- (2) a claimant^G is liable to pay costs under Rule 34.15; and
- (3) the claimant^G fails to pay those costs within 14 days of:
 - (a) the date on which the parties agreed the sum payable by the claimant^G; or
 - (b) the date on which the Court^G ordered the costs to be paid.

34.18

Where this Rule applies, the Court^G may stay^G the remainder of the proceedings until the claimant^G pays the whole of the costs which he is liable to pay under Rule 34.15.

PART 35

Miscellaneous provisions relating to hearings

Interpretation

35.1

In this Part, reference to a hearing includes a reference to the trial.

General Rule — Hearings to be in public

35.2

The general rule is that a hearing is to be in public.

35.3

The requirement for a hearing to be in public does not require the Court^G to make special arrangements for accommodating members of the public.

35.4

A hearing, or any part of it, may be in private if:

- (1) publicity would defeat the object of the hearing;
- (2) it involves matters relating to national security;
- (3) it involves confidential information (including information relating to personal financial matters) and publicity would damage that confidentiality;
- (4) a private hearing is necessary to protect the interests of any child or patient, including the approval of a compromise or settlement on behalf of a child or patient or an application for the payment of money out of Court^G to such a person;
- (5) it is a hearing of an application made without notice and it would be unjust to any respondent for there to be a public hearing;
- (6) it involves uncontentious matters arising in the administration of trusts or in the administration of a deceased person's estate; or
- (7) the Court^G considers this to be necessary, in the interests of justice.

Grounds for making privacy orders

35.4.1

On applications under RDC 35.4 for orders that a hearing be in private, parties may wish to consider the application by the Court of similar criteria insofar as they arose on the appeal of a refusal by the Hearing Panel of the Financial Markets Tribunal ('FMT') of such an application in *Arqaam Capital Ltd v. DFSA* (4 September 2012) CFI 006/2012. Under Rule 18 of the FMT Rules, the Hearing Panel is required on an application for confidential treatment to consider the public and private interests that may be adversely affected by disclosure. In *Arqaam* at [21], Justice Chadwick accepted that the considerations in Rule 18, although directed at the disclosure of documents, could be taken into account in deciding whether proceedings should be in private.

In *Arqaam* at [22] Justice Chadwick upheld the approach of the Hearing Panel in asking whether hearings in public would give rise to significant or disproportionate harm to the appellant's legitimate business interests, and in finding that the prospect of unfairness or prejudice arising simply through public knowledge of the regulator's action was not 'on its own, the kind of risk which would justify not holding the proceedings in public'. In response to a further ground of appeal based upon the alleged special sensitivity of the appellant, for example as a young start-up competing to provide investment banking services in a market where its key competitors had well-established reputations, the Court rejected the challenge. The allegation to be heard that Arqaam had acted intentionally in its accounting treatment of certain transactions did not carry with it any allegation of dishonesty [32].

No privacy order where information already public

35.4.2

In *DNB Bank ASA v. (1) Gulf Eyadah Corporation (2) Gulf Navigation Holding PJSC* (2 July 2015) CFI 043/2014, Justice Al Madhani declined to make a privacy order in respect of an application for the enforcement of an English Commercial Court judgment. In applying the *Arqaam* test, as to whether the applicant would, or might, suffer harm to its legitimate business interests by the disclosure of confidential information as to its financial position and business activities, the Court found that the English order had been public, so that there was no confidential information that was not already available to the public [60]. This finding was recorded without adverse comment in the CA's consideration of the appeal on other issues in that case: *DNB Bank ASA v. (1) Gulf Eyadah Corporation (2) Gulf Navigation Holding PJSC* (25 February 2016) CA 007/2015 [50]-[51].

35.5

The Court^G may order that the identity of any party or witness must not be disclosed if it considers non-disclosure necessary in order to protect the interests of that party or witness.

35.6

The decision as to whether to hold a hearing in public or in private must be made by the Judge^G conducting the hearing having regard to any representations which may have been made to him.

35.7

The hearings set out below shall in the first instance be listed by the Court^G as hearings in private under Rule 35.4(3), namely:

- (1) an application to suspend a warrant of execution or a warrant of possession or to stay^G execution where the Court^G is being invited to consider the ability of a party to make payments to another party;
- (2) a determination under Rule 15.41 or a redetermination under Rule 15.44 or an application to vary or suspend the payment of a judgment^G debt by instalments;
- (3) an application for a charging order (including an application to enforce a charging order), third party debt order, attachment of earnings order, administration order, or the appointment of a receiver;
- (4) an order to attend Court^G for questioning;
- (5) an application for security for costs under Article 46(1) of the Court Law 2005; and
- (6) an application by a trustee or personal representative for directions as to bringing or defending legal proceedings.

35.8

Nothing in this Part prevents a Judge^G ordering that a hearing taking place in public shall continue in private, or vice-versa.

35.9

If the Court^G or Judge's^G room in which the proceedings are taking place has a sign on the door indicating that the proceedings are private, members of the public who are not parties to the proceedings will not be admitted unless the Court^G permits.

35.10

Where there is no such sign on the door of the Court^G or Judge's^G room, members of the public will be admitted where practicable. The Judge^G may, if he thinks it appropriate, adjourn the proceedings to a larger room or Court^G.

35.11

When a hearing takes place in public, members of the public may obtain a transcript of any judgment^G given or a copy of any order made, subject to payment of the appropriate fee.

35.12

When a judgment^G is given or an order is made in private, if any member of the public who is not a party to the proceedings seeks a transcript of the judgment^G or a copy of the order, he must seek the permission of the Judge^G who gave the judgment^G or made the order.

35.13

A judgment^G or order given or made in private, when drawn up, must have clearly marked in the title:

'Before [title and name of Judge] sitting in Private'

Failure to attend the trial

35.14

The Court^G may proceed with a trial in the absence of a party but:

- (1) if no party attends the trial, it may strike out^G the whole of the proceedings;
- (2) if the claimant^G does not attend, it may strike out^G his claim and any defence to counterclaim^G. The defendant^G may prove any counterclaim^G at trial and obtain judgment^G on his counterclaim^G and for costs; and
- (3) if a defendant^G does not attend, it may strike out^G his defence or counterclaim^G (or both). The claimant^G may prove his claim at trial and obtain judgment^G on his claim and for costs.

35.15

Where the Court^G strikes out proceedings, or any part of them, under Rule 35.14, it may subsequently restore the proceedings, or that part.

35.16

Where a party does not attend and the Court^G gives judgment^G or makes an order against him, the party who failed to attend may apply in accordance with Part 23 for the judgment^G or order to be set aside^G.

35.17

An application under Rule 35.15 or Rule 35.16 must be supported by evidence, giving reasons for the failure to attend Court^G and stating when the applicant found out about the order against him.

35.18

Where an application is made under Rule 35.15 or Rule 35.16 by a party who failed to attend the trial, the Court^G will only grant the application if the applicant:

- (1) acted promptly when he found out that the Court^G had exercised its power to strike out^G or to enter judgment^G or make an order against him;
- (2) had a good reason for not attending the trial; and
- (3) has a reasonable prospect of success at the trial.

Timetable for trial**35.19**

When the Court^G sets a timetable for a trial in accordance with Rule 26.75 (fixing or confirming the trial date and giving directions) it will do so in consultation with the parties.

35.20

Where the trial is fixed for a Sunday, or for a Monday where the Judge is hearing other matters on the Sunday:

All documents to be submitted for the trial must be received by the DIFC Courts Registry by no later than 10am on the Wednesday prior to the trial. Skeleton arguments are to be filed with the DIFC Courts by no later than 2pm on the Thursday prior to the trial.

Trial bundles**General****35.21**

It is the claimant's^G responsibility to prepare and lodge the agreed trial bundles.

35.22

If another party wishes to put before the Court^G a bundle that the claimant^G regards as unnecessary, he must prepare and lodge it himself.

35.23

The number, content and organisation of the trial bundles must be approved by the legal representatives^G with the conduct of the trial.

35.24

Consideration must always be given to what documents are and are not relevant and necessary. Where the Court^G is of the opinion that costs have been wasted by the copying of unnecessary documents it will have no hesitation in making a special order for costs against the person responsible.

35.25

Any party preparing a trial bundle should, as a matter of course, provide all other parties who are to take part in the trial with a copy, at the cost of the receiving party. Further copies should be supplied on request, again at the cost of the receiving party.

35.26

If oral evidence is to be given at trial, the claimant^G must provide a clean unmarked set of all relevant trial bundles for use in the witness box. The claimant^G is responsible for ensuring that these bundles are kept up to date throughout the trial.

35.27

Failure to comply with the requirements for lodging bundles for the trial may result in the trial not commencing on the date fixed, at the expense of the party in default. An order for immediate payment of costs may be made.

Agreement of bundles

35.28

The preparation of bundles requires a high level of co-operation between legal representatives^G for all parties. It is the duty of all legal representatives^G to cooperate to this high level.

35.29

When agreeing bundles for trial, the parties should also establish through their legal representatives^G, and record in correspondence, whether the agreement of bundles:

- (1) extends no further than agreement of the composition and preparation of the bundles; or
- (2) includes agreement that the documents in the bundles are authentic (see further Rules 29.115 and 29.116); or
- (3) includes agreement that the documents may be treated as evidence of the facts stated in them.

35.30

The Court^G will expect parties normally to agree that the documents or at any rate the great majority of them may be treated as evidence of the facts stated in them. A party not willing so to agree should, when the trial bundles are lodged, write a letter to the Court^G (with a copy to all other parties) stating that it is not willing so to agree, and explaining why that is so.

35.31

The number content and organisation of the trial bundles should be agreed in accordance with the following procedure:

- (1) the claimant^G must submit proposals to all other parties at least 6 weeks before the date fixed for trial; and
- (2) the other parties must submit details of additions they require and any suggestions for revision of the claimant's^G proposals to the claimant^G at least 4 weeks before the date fixed for trial.

35.32

Where it is not possible to agree the contents of the bundle, a summary of the points on which the parties are unable to agree should be included.

35.33

Preparation of the trial bundles must be completed not later than 21 days before the date fixed for trial unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

35.34

Unless the Court^G orders otherwise, a full set of the trial bundles must be lodged with the Listing Office at least 14 days before the date fixed for trial.

Contents**35.35**

Unless the Court^G orders otherwise, the trial bundle should include a copy of:

- (1) the claim form and all statements of case;
- (2) a case summary and/or chronology where appropriate;
- (3) requests for further information and responses to the requests;
- (4) all witness statements to be relied on as evidence;
- (5) any witness summaries;
- (6) any notices of intention to rely on hearsay evidence under Rule 29.104;
- (7) any notices of intention to rely on evidence (such as a plan, photograph etc.) under Rule 29.126 which is not:
 - (a) contained in a witness statement, affidavit^G or experts report;
 - (b) being given orally at trial;
 - (c) hearsay evidence under Rule 29.104;
- (8) any experts' reports and responses to them;
- (9) any order giving directions as to the conduct of the trial;
- (10) any other documents required by a Court^G order;

- (11) any other documents required by any other Rule or Practice Direction; and
- (12) any other necessary documents.

35.36

The originals of the documents contained in the trial bundle, together with copies of any other Court^G orders should be available at the trial.

Organisation

35.37

Bundles should be prepared as follows:

- (1) No more than one copy of any one document should be included, unless there is good reason for doing otherwise;
- (2) Contemporaneous documents, and correspondence, should be included in chronological order;
- (3) Where a contract or similar document is central to the case it may be included in a separate place provided that a page is inserted in the chronological run of documents to indicate:
 - (a) the place the contract or similar document would have appeared had it appeared chronologically; and
 - (b) where it may be found instead;
- (4) Documents in manuscript, or not fully legible, should be transcribed; the transcription should be marked and placed adjacent to the document transcribed;
- (5) Documents that are not in English should be translated; the translation should be marked and placed adjacent to the document transcribed; the translation should be agreed, or, if it cannot be agreed, each party's proposed translation should be included;
- (6) If a document has to be read across rather than down the page, it should be so placed in the bundle as to ensure that the top of the text is nearest the spine;
- (7) No bundle should contain more than 300 pages;
- (8) Bundles should not be overfilled, and should allow sufficient room for later insertions. Subject to this, the size of file used should not be a size that is larger than necessary for the present and anticipated contents;
- (9) Bundles should be paginated, in the bottom right hand corner and in a form that can clearly be distinguished from any existing pagination on the document;

- (10) Bundles should be indexed, save that a chronological bundle of contemporaneous documents need not be indexed if an index is unlikely to be useful;
- (11) Bundles should be numbered and named on the outside and on the inside front cover, the label to include the short title of the case, and a description of the bundle (including its number, where relevant).

35.38

Documents within bundles should be marked as follows:

- (1) When copy documents from exhibits have been included in the bundle(s), then unless clearly unnecessary, the copy of the affidavit^G or witness statement to which the documents were exhibited should be marked in the right hand margin (in manuscript if need be) to show where the document referred to may be found in the bundle(s).
- (2) Unless clearly unnecessary, where copy documents in a bundle are taken from the disclosure of more than one party, the documents should be marked in the top right hand corner (in manuscript if need be) to show from which party's disclosure the copy document has been taken;
- (3) Where there is a reference in a statement of case^G or witness statement to a document which is contained in the trial bundles, a note should be made in the margin (if necessary in manuscript) identifying the place where that document is to be found. Unless otherwise agreed this is the responsibility of the party tendering the statement of case^G or witness statement.

35.39

For the trial a handy-sized core bundle should normally be provided containing the really important documents in the case. The documents in this bundle should be paginated, but each page should also bear its bundle and page number reference in the main bundles. It is particularly important to allow sufficient room for later insertions (see Rule 35.37(8) above).

35.40

Large documents, such as plans, should be placed in an easily accessible file.

Representation at trial of Companies or Other Corporations

35.41

At any hearing, a written statement containing the following information should be provided for the Court:

- (1) the name and address of each of the parties' legal representatives^G for trial;
- (2) his qualification or entitlement to act as a legal representatives^G for trial; and
- (3) the party for whom he so acts.

35.42

A company or other corporation may be represented at trial by an employee who is not otherwise authorised to appear before the Court^G if:

- (1) the employee has been authorised by the company or corporation to appear at trial on its behalf; and
- (2) the Court^G gives permission.

35.43

Where a party is a company or other corporation and is to be represented at a hearing by an employee, the written statement should contain the following additional information:

- (1) The full name of the company or corporation as stated in its certificate of registration;
- (2) The registered number of the company or corporation;
- (3) The position or office in the company or corporation held by the representative; and
- (4) The date on which and manner in which the representative was authorised to act for the company or corporation, e.g. _____ 20____: written authority from managing director; or _____ 20____: Board resolution dated _____ 20____ .

35.44

Although Rule 35.42 allows a company or other corporation with the permission of the Court^G to be represented at trial by an employee, the complexity of most cases in the Court^G will make that unsuitable. Accordingly, permission will be given only in unusual circumstances.

35.45

Permission under Rule 35.42 should be obtained in advance of the hearing from the Judge^G who is to hear the case. If it is for any reason impracticable or inconvenient to do so, the permission should be obtained from any Judge^G by whom the case could be heard.

35.46

The permission may be obtained informally and without notice to the other parties. The Judge^G who gives the permission should record in writing that he has done so and supply a copy to the company or corporation in question and to any other party who asks for one.

35.47

Permission will not normally be granted under Rule 35.42 in contempt proceedings.

Information technology at trial

35.48

The use of information technology at trial is encouraged where it is likely substantially to save time and cost or to increase accuracy.

35.49

If any party considers that it might be advantageous to make use of information technology in preparation for, or at, trial, the matter should be raised at the case management conference. This is particularly important if it is considered that document handling systems would assist disclosure and inspection of documents or the use of documents at trial.

35.50

Where information technology is to be used for the purposes of presenting the case at trial, the same system must be used by all parties and must be made available to the Court^G.

Reading lists, authorities and trial timetable

35.51

Unless the Court^G orders otherwise, a single reading list approved by all the parties' legal representatives^G for trial must be lodged with the Registry^G not later than 1 p.m., two days (i.e. two clear days) before the date fixed for trial together with an estimate of the time required for reading.

35.52

If any party objects to the Judge^G reading any document in advance of the trial, the objection and its grounds should be clearly stated in a letter accompanying the trial bundles and in the skeleton argument of that party.

35.53

Parties should consider in particular whether they have any objection to the Judge's^G reading the witness statements before the trial.

35.54

In the absence of objection, the Judge^G will be free to read the witness statements and documents in advance.

35.55

A composite bundle of the authorities referred to in the skeleton arguments should be lodged with the Registry^G as soon as possible after skeleton arguments have been exchanged.

35.56

Unless otherwise agreed, the preparation of the bundle of authorities is the responsibility of the claimant^G, who should provide copies to all other parties. The parties' legal representatives^G should liaise in relation to the production of bundles of authorities to ensure that the same authority does not appear in more than one bundle.

35.57

When lodging the reading list, the claimant^G should also lodge a trial timetable.

35.58

A trial timetable may have been fixed by the Judge^G at the pre-trial review. If it has not, a trial timetable should be prepared by the legal representatives^G for the claimant^G after consultation with the legal representatives^G for all other parties.

35.59

If there are differences of view between the legal representatives^G for the claimant^G and legal representatives^G for other parties, these should be shown.

35.60

The trial timetable will provide for oral submissions, witness evidence and expert evidence over the course of the trial. On the first day of the trial the Judge^G may fix the trial timetable, subject to any further order.

Skeleton arguments etc. at trial

35.61

Written skeleton arguments should be prepared by each party. Guidelines on the preparation of skeleton arguments are set out in Schedule A to Part 23.

Skeleton arguments at trial

35.61.1

RDC 35.60 refers to the guidelines in Schedule A to Part 23, which in turn emphasises that skeleton arguments are not a substitute for oral argument and should avoid arguing the case at length.

Those guidelines have now in effect been replaced by the rules in PD 2/2016, which requires that a Skeleton Argument for use at trial should not, unless the Court gives permission, exceed 25 pages, excluding any index, chronology, reading list, timetable, glossary, dramatis personae or attachment. The text of the Skeleton Argument should be double-spaced and in a font of at least 12 point. The Skeleton Argument should direct the Court to the most relevant materials on the reading list.

In a postscript to his judgment in *Taaleem PJSC v. National Bonds Corporation PJSC & Anor* (19 February 2014) CFI 014/2010, Justice Sir David Steel expressed disapproval of the length of the skeleton and opening of one party that were respectively 170 pages and 71 pages in length, as compared to comparable documents of around 25 pages and 8 pages (skeleton arguments) and 38 and 25 pages (written closing submissions) for the other parties in that case [109]. He referred at [110] to the observations of Toulson LJ in *Midgulf International Ltd v. Groupe Chimique Tunisienne* [2010] EWCA Civ 66 at [72]-[74], in particular as to the risk of a negative reaction to excessively lengthy written submissions, and as to the importance of providing aids to oral advocacy rather than seeking to replace it by providing lengthy written ‘briefs’. The uses of such aids were: ‘an agenda for the hearing, a summary of the main points, propositions and arguments *to be developed orally*, a useful way of noting citations and references, a convenient place for making cross references, a time-saving means of avoiding unnecessary dictation to the court and laborious and pointless note-taking by the court’ (emphasis added). Skeletons should concentrate on identifying the essential elements of the party’s case, without quoting extensively from the documentation, which the Court can pre-read. The aim should be to enable the court to *understand* the arguments being made [112].

35.62

Unless otherwise ordered, the skeleton arguments should be served on all other parties and lodged with the Court^G as follows:

- (1) by the claimant^G, not later than 1 p.m., two days (i.e. two clear days) before the start of the trial;
- (2) by each of the defendants^G, not later than 1 p.m., one day (i.e. one clear

35.63

In heavier cases, it will often be appropriate for skeleton arguments to be served and lodged at earlier times than indicated at Rule 35.62. The timetable should be discussed between the legal representatives^G and may be the subject of a direction in the pre-trial timetable or at any pre-trial review.

35.64

The claimant^G should provide a chronology with his skeleton argument. Indices (i.e. documents that collate key references on particular points, or a substantive list of the contents of a particular bundle or bundles) and dramatis personae, should also be provided where these are likely to be useful. Guidelines on the preparation of chronologies and indices are set out in Schedule A to Part 23.

Trial sitting days and hearing trials in public

35.65

Trial sitting days will not normally include Fridays, Saturdays and UAE Public Holidays.

35.66

Where it is necessary in order to accommodate hearing evidence from certain witnesses or types of witness, the Court^G may agree to sit outside normal hours.

Oral opening statements at trial

35.67

Oral opening statements should as far as possible be uncontroversial and in any event no longer than the circumstances require. Even in a very heavy case, oral opening statements may be very short.

35.68

At the conclusion of the opening statement for the claimant^G, the legal representatives^G for each of the other parties will usually each be invited to make a short opening statement.

Applications in the course of trial

35.69

It will not normally be necessary for an application notice^G to be issued for an application which is to be made during the course of the trial, but all other parties should be given adequate notice of the intention to apply.

35.70

Unless the Judge^G directs otherwise, the parties should prepare skeleton arguments for the hearing of the application.

Oral closing submissions at trial

35.71

All parties will be expected to make oral closing submissions, whether or not closing submissions have been made in writing. It is a matter for the legal representatives^G to consider how in all the circumstances these oral submissions should be presented.

35.72

Unless the trial Judge^G directs otherwise, the claimant^G will make his oral closing submissions first, followed by the defendant^G (s) in the order in which they appear on the claim form with the claimant^G having a right of reply.

Written closing submissions at trial

35.73

In a more substantial trial, the Court^G will normally also require closing submissions in writing after oral closing submissions. However, the trial judge may decide: (a) that written closing submissions are unnecessary; (b) may direct the scope of the written submissions or; (c) dispense with them. Oral submissions may in some cases also be unnecessary, and it is open to the trial judge to decide accordingly.

Written closing submissions at trial

35.73.1

The 2014 amendment to RDC 35.73 makes explicit the power of the trial judge to dispense with written, and even oral, closing submissions.

The court may further request that the parties, by their written closing or further written submissions, address particular issues of concern to the Judge. In *Supergems ME Ltd v. Daman Real Estate Capital Partners Ltd* (15 December 2013) CFI 021/2012, the Court expressed surprise that, when the Judge has asked the parties for assistance on a particular topic and raised an argument that might have availed the Claimant, the Claimant largely used the opportunity to repeat submissions already made and did not pursue the argument [28]-[30].

35.74

In the case of written submissions the Court^G will normally allow an appropriate period of time after the conclusion of the evidence to allow the preparation of these submissions.

35.75

Even in a less substantial trial, the Court^G will normally require a skeleton argument on matters of law.

Judgment

35.76

Where judgment^G is to be reserved the Judge^G may, at the conclusion of the hearing, invite the views of the parties' legal representatives^G as to the arrangements to be made for the handing down of the judgment^G.

35.77

The Court^G may provide a copy of the draft judgment^G to the parties' legal representatives^G by 4 p.m. on the second working day before handing down, or at such other time as the Court^G may direct.

35.78

If the Judge^G intends to hand down a written judgment^G, a copy of the draft text marked

"Unapproved judgment. No permission is granted to copy or use in Court"

and bearing the rubric:

"Confidential to the parties and their legal representatives^G"
may, at the Court's^G discretion, be supplied to the advocates one clear day before the judgment^G is to be delivered.

35.79

A copy of the draft judgment^G may be shown, in confidence, to the parties provided that:

- (1) neither the judgment^G nor its substance is disclosed to any other person or used in the public domain; and
- (2) no action is taken (other than internally) in response to the judgment^G, before the judgment^G is handed down.

35.80

Any breach of the obligation of confidentiality prescribed by Rule 35.79 may be treated as contempt of Court^G.

35.81

The case may be listed for judgment^G, and the judgment^G handed down at the appropriate time.

35.82

Legal representatives^G should inform the Court^G not later than noon on the day before judgment^G is to be handed down of any typographical or other errors of a similar nature which the Judge^G might wish to correct.

35.83

Unless the parties or their legal representatives^G are told otherwise when the draft judgment^G is circulated, the parties must, in respect of any draft agreed order:

- (1) e-mail a copy to the Registry^G (together with any proposed corrections or amendments to the draft judgment^G); and
- (2) file four copies in the Registry^G, by noon on the working day before handing down.

35.84

A copy of a draft order must bear the case reference, the date of handing down and the name of the Judge^G.

35.85

Judgment^G is not delivered until it is formally pronounced in an open hearing by the Court^G or is issued by the Registry^G to the parties or their legal representatives^G.

35.86

Where any consequential orders are agreed, the parties' legal representatives^G need not attend on the handing down.

35.87

Where a legal representative^G does attend, the Court^G may, if it considers such attendance was unnecessary, disallow the costs of the attendance.

35.88

In a matter heard by more than one Judge^G, the judgment^G may be handed down by a single member of the Court^G.

35.89

Copies of the approved judgment^G will be made available to the parties, to law reporters and to any other person wanting a copy.

35.90

The Judge^G may direct that the written judgment^G stand as the definitive record and that no transcript need be made. Any editorial corrections made at the time of handing down will be incorporated in an approved official text as soon as possible.

Costs**35.91**

The rules governing the award and assessment of costs are contained in Parts 38 to 40.

35.92

The immediate assessment procedure provided for in Part 38 also applies to trials lasting one day or less.

Impounded documents**35.93**

Documents impounded by order of the Court^G must not be released from the custody of the Court^G except in compliance:

- (1) with a Court^G order; or
- (2) with a written request made by the Attorney General^G of Dubai.

35.94

A document released from the custody of the Court^G under Rule 35.92(2) must be released into the custody of the person who requested it.

35.95

Documents impounded by order of the Court^G, while in the custody of the Court^G, may not be inspected except by a person authorised to do so by a Court^G order.

Settlement or discontinuance after the trial date is fixed**35.96**

Where:

- (1) an offer to settle a claim is accepted;
- (2) or a settlement is reached; or
- (3) a claim is discontinued, which disposes of the whole of a claim for which a date or 'window' has been fixed for the trial;

the parties must ensure that the Registry^G is notified immediately.

35.97

If an order is drawn up giving effect to the settlement or discontinuance of a claim, a copy of the sealed order should be filed with the Registry^G.

Recording of proceedings**35.98**

At any hearing the proceedings will be tape recorded unless the Judge^G directs otherwise.

35.99

No party or member of the public may use unofficial recording equipment in any Court^G or Judge's^G room without the permission of the Court^G. To do so without permission constitutes a contempt of Court^G.

35.100

- (1) Any party or person may require a transcript or transcripts of the recording of any hearing to be supplied to him, upon payment of the charges authorised by any scheme in force for the making of the recording or the transcript.
- (2) Video and audio recordings of a hearing will normally only be provided for the purpose of preparing a transcript of the proceedings or to provide a record for the private use of the party or person by whom it is requested. Such recordings shall not be used for any other purpose without express authorisation of the Court and shall not, without express permission of the Court, be published or broadcast in any way whatsoever.

35.101

Where the person requiring the transcript or transcripts is not a party to the proceedings and the hearing or any part of it was held in private under Rule 35.4, Rule 35.99 does not apply unless the Court^G so orders.

Exhibits at trial

35.102

Exhibits which are handed in and proved during the course of the trial should be recorded on an exhibit list and kept in the custody of the Court^G until the conclusion of the trial, unless the Judge^G directs otherwise. At the conclusion of the trial it is the parties' responsibility to obtain the return of those exhibits which they handed in and to preserve them for the period in which any appeal may take place.

PART 36

Judgments and Orders

I JUDGMENTS AND ORDERS

Scope of this Part

36.1

This Section sets out Rules about judgments^G and orders which apply except where any other of these Rules^G or a Practice Direction makes a different provision in relation to the judgment^G or order in question.

Standard requirements

36.2

Every judgment^G or order must state the name and judicial title of the person who made it, unless it is:

- (1) default judgment^G entered under Rule 13.7 (entry of default judgment^G where judgment^G is entered by a Court officer^G) or a default costs certificate obtained under Rule 40.17; or
- (2) judgment^G entered under Rule 15.17, 15.23 and 15.29 (entry of judgment^G on admission where judgment^G is entered by a Court Officer^G).

36.3

Every judgment^G or order must:

- (1) bear the time of day at which and date on which it is given or made; and
- (2) be sealed by the Court^G.

36.4

Court^G orders may be issued and sealed by the Registry^G electronically.

36.5

Where a party applies for permission to appeal against a judgment^G or order at the hearing at which the judgment^G or order was made, the judgment^G or order shall state:

- (1) whether or not the judgment^G or order is final; and
- (2) whether the Court^G gives permission to appeal.

Examples of forms of trial judgment

36.6

Form P36/01 is the general form of judgment^G.

36.7

A trial judgment^G should have the following matters set out in a preamble:

- (1) any order made during the course of the trial concerning the use of evidence;
- (2) any matters that were agreed between the parties prior to or during the course of the trial in respect of:
 - (a) liability;
 - (b) contribution^G;
 - (c) the amount of the damages^G or part of the damages^G; and
- (3) the findings of the Judge^G in respect of each head of damage in a personal injury case.

Statement as to service of a claim form

36.8

Where a party to proceedings which have gone to trial requires a statement to be included in the judgment^G as to where, and by what means the claim form issued in those proceedings was served, application should be made to the trial Judge^G when judgment^G is given.

36.9

If the Judge^G so orders, the statement will be included in a preamble to the judgment^G as entered.

Adjustment of final judgment figure in respect of an interim payment

36.10

In a final judgment^G where an interim payment has previously been made which is less than the total amount awarded by the Judge^G, the judgment^G or order should set out in a preamble:

- (1) the total amount awarded by the Judge^G; and
- (2) the amount and date of the interim payment(s).

36.11

The total amount awarded by the Judge^G should then be reduced by the total amount of any interim payments, and the judgment^G or order should then provide for entry of judgment^G and payment of the balance.

36.12

In a final judgment^G where an interim payment has previously been made which is more than the total amount awarded by the Judge^G, the judgment^G or order should set out in a preamble:

- (1) the total amount awarded by the Judge^G; and
- (2) the amount and date of the interim payment(s).

36.13

An order should then be made for repayment, reimbursement, variation or discharge under Rule 25.87 and for interest on an overpayment under Rule 25.90.

Currency other than US Dollars**36.14**

Where judgment^G is ordered to be entered in a currency other than US Dollars, the order should be in the following form:

It is ordered that the defendant^G pay the claimant^G (state the sum in the currency other than US Dollars) or the US Dollar equivalent at the time of payment.

Costs**36.15**

Attention is drawn to Parts 38 to 40, in particular, to the Court's^G power to make a summary assessment of costs and the provisions relating to interest in detailed assessment proceedings.

36.16

Attention is also drawn to Rule 38.56 which provides that if an order makes no mention of costs, none are payable in respect of the proceedings to which it relates.

Judgments paid by instalments**36.17**

Where a judgment^G is to be paid by instalments, the judgment^G should set out:

- (1) the total amount of the judgment^G;
- (2) the amount of each instalment;
- (3) the number of instalments and the date on which each is to be paid; and
- (4) to whom the instalments should be paid.

Drawing up and filing of judgments and orders

36.18

Except for orders made by the Court^G of its own initiative and unless the Court^G otherwise orders, every judgment^G or order will be drawn up by the parties.

36.19

Where a judgment^G or an order is to be drawn up by a party:

- (1) he must file it no later than 48 hours after the date on which the Court^G ordered or permitted him to draw it up so that it can be sealed by the Court^G; and
- (2) if he fails to file it within that period, any other party may draw it up and file it.

36.20

Where any judgment^G, order or direction is to be served outside the DIFC^G, unless the Court^G otherwise directs:

- (1) in proceedings on notice the parties must agree and lodge with the Court^G an Arabic translation of any judgment^G, order or direction within 48 hours of the same being given, made or handed down as the case may be, in default of which the Court^G may accept one party's translation or suspend or revoke the judgment^G, order or direction on such terms as it thinks fit;
- (2) in without notice proceedings the party seeking relief must provide an Arabic translation of the order sought and made; or
- (3) in the event of any inconsistency between the Arabic and English versions of any judgment^G, order or direction, the English version shall prevail.

36.21

The Court^G may direct that:

- (1) a judgment^G or an order drawn up by a party must be checked by the Court^G before it is sealed; or
- (2) before a judgment^G or an order is drawn up by the Court^G, the parties must file an agreed statement of its terms.

36.22

If the Court^G directs that a judgment^G or order which is being drawn up by a party must be checked by the Court^G before it is sealed, the party responsible must file the draft within 48 hours of the date the order was made with a request that the draft be checked before it is sealed.

36.23

If the Court^G directs the parties to file an agreed statement of terms of an order which the Court^G is to draw up, the parties must do so no later than 48 hours from the date the order was made, unless the Court^G directs otherwise.

36.24

If the Court^G requires the terms of an order which is being drawn up by the Court^G to be agreed by the parties the Court^G may direct that a copy of the draft order is to be sent to all the parties:

- (1) for their agreement to be endorsed on it and returned to the Court^G before the order is sealed; or
- (2) with notice of an appointment to attend before the Court^G to agree the terms of the order.

Service of judgments and orders

36.25

Where a judgment^G or an order has been drawn up by a party and is to be served by the Court:

- (1) the party who drew it up must file a copy to be retained at Court^G and sufficient copies for service^G on him and on the other parties; and
- (2) once it has been sealed, the Court^G must serve a copy of it on each party to the proceedings.

36.26

Unless the Court^G directs otherwise, any order made otherwise than at trial must be served on:

- (1) the applicant and the respondent; and
- (2) any other person on whom the Court^G orders it to be served.

Power to require judgment or order to be served on a party as well as his legal representative

36.27

Where the party on whom a judgment^G or order is to be served is acting by a legal representative^G, the Court^G may order the judgment^G or order to be served on the party as well as on his legal representative^G.

Agreed orders

36.28

An application for an agreed order must include a draft of the proposed order signed on behalf of all the parties to whom it relates.

36.29

Where proceedings are to be stayed on agreed terms:

- (1) The draft order should include the following:

"(1) The Claimant and the Defendant having agreed to the terms set forth in the schedule hereto, IT IS ORDERED THAT all further proceedings in this claim be stayed, except for the purpose of carrying such terms into effect.

- (2) Liberty to apply in order to carry such terms into effect.”; and
- (2) Settlement terms should be set out in a schedule attached to the draft order.

When judgment or order takes effect

36.30

A judgment^G or order takes immediate effect from the time on the day when it is given or made, or such later time or date as the Court^G may specify.

Time from which interest begins to run

36.31

Where interest is payable on a judgment^G pursuant to Article 39 of the Court Law 2004, the interest shall begin to run from the date that judgment^G is given unless:

- (1) a Rule in another Part or a Practice Direction makes different provision; or
- (2) the Court^G orders otherwise.

36.32

The Court^G may order that interest shall begin to run from a date before the date that judgment^G is given.

Who may apply to set aside or vary a judgment or order

36.33

A person who is not a party but who is directly affected by a judgment^G or order may apply to have the judgment^G or order set aside^G or varied.

Time for complying with a judgment or order

36.34

A party must comply with a judgment^G or order for the payment of an amount of money (including costs) within 14 days of the date of the judgment^G or order, unless:

- (1) the judgment^G or order specifies a different date for compliance (including specifying payment by instalments);
- (2) any of these Rules^G specifies a different date for compliance; or
- (3) the Court^G has stayed^G the proceedings or judgment^G.

Orders requiring an act to be done

36.35

An order which requires an act to be done (other than a judgment^G or order for the payment of an amount of money) must specify the time within which the act should be done.

36.36

The consequences of failure to do an act within the time specified may be set out in the order. In this case the wording of the following example suitably adapted must be used:

"Unless the [claimant/defendant] serves his list of documents by 4.00 p.m. on Wednesday, 31 January 2007 his [claim/defence] will be struck out and judgment entered for the [defendant/claimant]."

Non-compliance with a judgment or order

36.37

An order which restrains a party from doing an act or requires an act to be done should, if disobedience is to be dealt with by an application to bring contempt of Court^G proceedings, have a penal notice endorsed on it as follows:

"If you [name] disobey this order you may be held to be in contempt of Court and may be imprisoned, fined or have your assets seized."

36.38

The provisions of Rule 36.35 also apply to an order which contains an undertaking by a party to do or not do an act, subject to Rule 36.39.

36.39

The Court^G has the power to decline to:

- (1) accept an undertaking; and
- (2) deal with disobedience in respect of an undertaking by contempt of Court^G proceedings, unless the party giving the undertaking has made a signed statement to the effect that he understands the terms of his undertaking and the consequences of failure to comply with it.

36.40

The statement may be endorsed on the order containing the undertaking or may be filed in a separate document such as a letter.

Correction of errors in judgments and orders

36.41

The Court^G may at any time correct an accidental slip or omission in a judgment or order.

Application of the slip rule

36.41.1

In *Wheatley v. Simmons & Company International Ltd* (15 April 2013) CFI 007/2011, the Court issued a further version of a judgment that had been amended under RDC 36.40 to reflect a concession made by the Claimant in not pursuing a particular claim and an agreement as to the amount to be paid by the Defendant on another claim [79] [87] [111].

Scope of a 'slip'

36.41.2

English practice, as well as the provisions in RDC 36.41 and 36.43 for an application for correction to be made and considered without notice, suggests that a slip is a simple error that is obvious and will be readily accepted by all parties. In practice, the most common slips requiring judicial correction are typographical errors in the

figures or dates used in calculating damages. An example of the use of the slip rule to correct an obvious typographical error is the decision of the CA, by a single judge, on an informal application by letter, six months after the relevant judgment, without notice and without a hearing, to correct an obvious typing error in the name of one of the legal representatives: *National Bonds Corporation PJSC v. Taaleem PJSC & Deyaar Development PJSC* (22 February 2012) CA 001/2011 [2]-[3].

However, there is some suggestion in the more recent judgment of Justice Roger Giles in *Al Herz v. DIFCA* (26 November 2014) CA 004/2013 that a slip may encompass the erroneous disposal of an issue under a statutory provision including words that were added by an amendment effected some time after the relevant event [135]. It is anticipated that such a correction should be one that is obvious and free from any doubt or contention.

36.42

An application for a correction must be made by application notice^G and the standard application fee should be paid. The application notice should be precise in describing the nature of the error, should set out the exact wording of the correction sought and, where appropriate should be accompanied by a draft order. An application may be dealt with without a hearing:

- (1) where the applicant so requests;
- (2) with the consent of the parties; or
- (3) where the Court^G does not consider that a hearing would be appropriate.

36.43

The Judge^G may deal with the application without notice if the slip or omission is obvious or may direct notice of the application to be given to the other party or parties.

36.44

If the application is opposed it should, if practicable, be listed for hearing before the Judge^G who gave the judgment^G or made the order.

36.45

The Court^G has an inherent power to vary its own orders to make the meaning and intention of the Court^G clear.

36.46

Where the Court^G allows an application to correct an order and the reason for the error was a clerical mistake on the part of the Registry^G, the application fee will be refunded.

Cases where Court gives judgment both on claim and counterclaim

36.47

Rule 36.48 applies where the Court^G gives judgment^G for specified amounts both for the claimant^G on his claim and against the claimant^G on a counterclaim^G.

36.48

If there is a balance in favour of one of the parties, it may order the party whose judgment^G is for the lesser amount to pay the balance.

36.49

In a case to which Rule 36.48 applies, the Court^G may make a separate order as to costs against each party.

Judgment in favour of certain part owners relating to the detention of goods**36.50**

In Rule 36.51 'part owner' means one of two or more persons who have an interest in the same goods.

36.51

Where:

- (1) a part owner makes a claim relating to the detention of the goods; and
- (2) the claim is not based on a right to possession;

any judgment^G or order given or made in respect of the claim is to be for the payment of damages^G only, unless the claimant^G had the written authority of every other part owner of the goods to make the claim on his behalf as well as for himself.

II DECLARATORY JUDGMENTS**36.52**

The Court^G may make binding declarations whether or not any other remedy is claimed.

III PREPARATION OF DOCUMENTS UNDER AN ORDER**36.53**

Where a judgment^G or order directs any document to be prepared, executed or signed, the order will state:

- (1) the person who is to prepare the document; and
- (2) if the document is to be approved, the person who is to approve it.

36.54

If the parties are unable to agree on the form of the document, any party may apply in accordance with Part 23 for the form of the document to be settled.

36.55

In such case the Judge^G may:

- (1) settle the document himself; or

- (2) refer it to:
 - (a) the Registrar^G; or
 - (b) an Assessor^G in accordance with Part 31 subject to such modifications as he thinks fit.

IV ACCOUNTS AND INQUIRIES

Accounts and inquiries: General

36.56

Where the Court^G orders any account to be taken or any inquiry to be made, it may, by the same or a subsequent order, give directions as to the manner in which the account is to be taken and verified or the inquiry is to be conducted.

36.57

In particular, the Court^G may direct that in taking an account, the relevant books of account shall be evidence of their contents but that any party may take such objections to the contents as he may think fit.

36.58

Any party may apply to the Court^G in accordance with Part 23 for directions as to the taking of an account or the conduct of an inquiry or for the variation of directions already made.

36.59

Every direction for the taking of an account or the making of an inquiry shall be numbered in the order so that, as far as possible, each distinct account and inquiry is given its own separate number.

Verifying the account

36.60

Subject to any order to the contrary:

- (1) the accounting party must make out his account and verify it by an affidavit^G to which the account is exhibited; and
- (2) the accounting party must file the account with the Court^G and at the same time notify the other parties that he has done so and of the filing^G of any affidavit^G verifying or supporting the account.

Objections

36.61

Any party who wishes to contend:

- (1) that an accounting party has received more than the amount shown by the account to have been received;
- (2) that the accounting party should be treated as having received more than he has actually received;
- (3) that any item in the account is erroneous in respect of amount; or

- (4) that in any other respect the account is inaccurate;

must, unless the Court^G directs otherwise, give written notice to the accounting party of his objections.

36.62

The written notice referred to in Rule 36.61 must, so far as the objecting party is able to do so:

- (1) state the amount by which it is contended that the account understates the amount received by the accounting party;
- (2) state the amount which it is contended that the accounting party should be treated as having received in addition to the amount he actually received;
- (3) specify the respects in which it is contended that the account is inaccurate; and
- (4) in each case, give the grounds on which the contention is made.

36.63

The contents of the written notice must be verified by an affidavit^G to which the notice is an exhibit.

Allowances

36.64

In taking any account all just allowances shall be made without any express direction to that effect.

Management of proceedings

36.65

The Court^G may at any stage in the taking of an account or in the course of an inquiry direct a hearing in order to resolve an issue that has arisen and for that purpose may order that points of claim and points of defence be served and give any necessary directions.

Delay

36.66

If it appears to the Court^G that there is undue delay in the taking of any account or the progress of any inquiry the Court^G may require the accounting party or the party with the conduct of the inquiry, as the case may be, to explain the delay and may then make such order for the management of the proceedings (including a stay^G) and for costs as the circumstances may require.

Distribution

36.67

Where some of the persons entitled to share in a fund are known but there is, or is likely to be, difficulty or delay in ascertaining other persons so entitled, the Court^G may direct, or allow, immediate payment of their shares to the known

persons without reserving any part of those shares to meet the subsequent costs of ascertaining the other persons.

Accounts and inquiries to be conducted before the Registrar

36.68

Unless the Court^G orders otherwise, an account or inquiry will be taken or made by the Registrar^G.

Advertisements

36.69

The Court^G may:

- (1) direct any necessary advertisement; and
- (2) fix the time within which the advertisement should require a reply.

Examination of claims

36.70

Where the Court^G orders an account of debts or other liabilities to be taken, it may direct any party, within a specified time, to:

- (1) examine the claims of persons claiming to be owed money out of the estate or fund in question;
- (2) determine, so far as he is able, which of them are valid; and
- (3) file written evidence:
 - (a) stating his findings and his reasons for them; and
 - (b) listing any other debts which are or may be owed out of the estate or fund.

36.71

Where the Court^G orders an inquiry for next of kin or other unascertained claimants^G to an estate or fund, it may direct any party, within a specified time, to:

- (1) examine the claims that are made;
- (2) determine, so far as he is able, which of them are valid; and
- (3) file written evidence stating his findings and his reasons for them.

36.72

If the personal representatives or trustees concerned are not the parties directed by the Court^G to examine claims, the Court^G may direct them to join with the party directed to examine claims in producing the written evidence required by this rule.

Consideration of claims by the Court

36.73

For the purpose of considering a claim the Court^G may:

- (1) direct it to be investigated in any manner;
- (2) direct the person making the claim to give further details of it; and
- (3) direct that person to:
 - (a) file written evidence; or
 - (b) attend Court^G to give evidence;

to support his claim.

Notice of decision

36.74

If:

- (1) the Court^G has allowed or disallowed any claim or part of a claim; and
- (2) the person making the claim was not present when the decision was made;

the Court^G will serve on that person a notice informing him of its decision.

Interest on debts

36.75

Where an account of the debts of a deceased person is directed by any judgment^G, unless the deceased's estate is insolvent or the Court^G orders otherwise, interest shall be allowed:

- (1) on any debt which carries interest, at the rate it carries; and
- (2) on any other debt, from the date of the judgment^G, at the rate payable on judgment^G debts at that date.

36.76

Where interest on a debt is allowed under Rule 36.75(2), it shall be paid out of any assets of the estate which remain after payment of:

- (1) any costs of the proceedings directed to be paid out of the estate;
- (2) all the debts which have been established; and
- (3) the interest on such of those debts as by law^G carry interest.

36.77

For the purpose of Rules 36.75 and 36.76:

- (1) 'debt' includes funeral, testamentary or administration expenses; and
- (2) in relation to any expenses incurred after the judgment^G, Rule 36.75(2) applies as if, instead of the date of the judgment^G, it referred to the date when the expenses became payable.

PART 37**Change of Legal Representative****Legal representative acting for a party****37.1**

Where the address for service^G of a party is the business address of his legal representative^G, the legal representative^G will be considered to be acting for that party until the provisions of this Part have been complied with.

37.2

A legal representative^G appointed to represent a party only as an advocate at a hearing will not be considered to be acting for that party within the meaning of this Part.

Change of legal representative — Duty to give notice**37.3**

Rules 37.4 to 37.10 apply where:

- (1) a party for whom a legal representative^G is acting wants to change his legal representative^G;
- (2) a party, after having conducted the claim in person, appoints a legal representative^G to act on his behalf (except where the legal representative^G is appointed only to act as an advocate for a hearing); or
- (3) a party, after having conducted the claim by a legal representative^G, intends to act in person.

37.4

Where Rules 37.3 to 37.10 apply, the party or his legal representative^G (where one is acting) must:

- (1) file notice of the change; and
- (2) serve notice of the change on every other party and, where Rule 37.3(1) or 37.3(3) applies, on the former legal representative^G.

37.5

The notice must state the party's new address for service^G.

37.6

The notice filed at Court^G must state that notice has been served as required by paragraph 37.4(2).

37.7

Where a party has changed his legal representative^G or intends to act in person, the former legal representative^G will be considered to be the party's legal representative^G unless and until:

- (1) notice is filed and served in accordance with paragraph 37.4; or
- (2) the Court^G makes an order under Rules 37.11 to 37.13 and the order is served as required by Rule 37.13.

37.8

In addition, where a party or legal representative^G changes his address for service^G, a notice of that change should be filed and served on every party.

37.9

A party who, having conducted a claim by a legal representative^G, intends to act in person must give in his notice an address for service^G that is within the jurisdiction^G.

37.10

Form P37/01 should be used to give notice of any change.

Order that a legal representative has ceased to act**37.11**

A legal representative^G may apply for an order declaring that he has ceased to be the legal representative^G acting for a party.

37.12

Where an application is made under Rule 37.11:

- (1) the application should be made in accordance with Part 23;
- (2) notice of the application must be given to the party for whom the legal representative^G is acting, unless the Court^G directs otherwise; and
- (3) the application must be supported by evidence.

37.13

Where the Court^G makes an order that a legal representative^G has ceased to act:

- (1) a copy of the order must be served on every party to the proceedings;
- (2) if it is served by a party or the legal representative^G, the party or the legal representative^G (as the case may be) must file a certificate of service^G in Form P37/01; and
- (3) the order takes effect when it is served.

Removal of legal representative who has ceased to act on application of another party

37.14

Where:

- (1) a legal representative^G who has acted for a party:
 - (a) has died;
 - (b) has become bankrupt;
 - (c) has ceased to practice; or
 - (d) cannot be found; and
- (2) the party has not given notice of a change of legal representative^G or notice of intention to act in person as required by Rule 37.4;

any other party may apply for an order declaring that the legal representative^G has ceased to be the legal representative^G acting for the other party in the case.

37.15

Where an application is made under Rule 37.14:

- (1) the application should be made in accordance with Part 23;
- (2) notice of the application must be given to the party to whose legal representative^G the application relates, unless the Court^G directs otherwise; and
- (3) the application must be supported by evidence.

37.16

Where the Court^G makes an order made under Rule 37.14:

- (1) a copy of the order must be served on every other party to the proceedings;
- (2) where it is served by a party, that party must file a certificate of service^G Form P37/01; and
- (3) the order takes effect when it is served.

New address for service where order made under Rules 37.11 or 37.14

37.17

Where the Court^G has made an order under Rule 37.11 that a legal representative^G has ceased to act or under Rule 37.14 declaring that a legal representative^G has ceased to be the legal representative^G for a party, the party for whom the legal representative^G was acting must give a new address for service^G to comply with Rule 9.15.

PART 38

General Rules about costs

Definitions and application

38.1

In this Part, unless the context otherwise requires:

- (1) 'receiving party' means a party entitled to be paid costs;
- (2) 'paying party' means a party liable to pay costs;
- (3) 'fixed costs' means the amounts which are to be allowed in respect of legal representatives'^G charges in the circumstances set out in Part 39.

38.2

The costs to which Parts 38 to 40 apply include the following costs where those costs may be assessed by the Court:

- (1) costs of proceedings before an arbitrator or umpire;
- (2) costs of proceedings before a tribunal^G or other statutory body; and
- (3) costs which are payable by one party to another party under the terms of a contract, where the Court^G makes an order for an assessment of those costs.

Meaning of immediate assessment

38.3

'Immediate assessment' means the procedure by which the Court^G, when making an order about costs, orders payment of a sum of money instead of fixed costs^G or 'detailed assessment'.

Meaning of detailed assessment

38.4

'Detailed assessment' means the procedure by which the amount of costs is decided by the Court^G in accordance with Part 40.

Legal representative's duty to notify client

38.5

Where:

- (1) the Court^G makes a costs order against a legally represented party; and
- (2) the party is not present when the order is made;

the party's legal representative^G must notify his client in writing of the costs order no later than 7 days after the legal representative^G receives notice of the order.

Court's discretion and circumstances to be taken into account when exercising its discretion as to costs

38.6

Subject to Rules 38.15 to 38.16 the Court^G has discretion as to:

- (1) whether costs are payable by one party to another;
- (2) the amount of those costs; and
- (3) when they are to be paid.

Court's discretion on costs

38.6.1

In *Wheatley v. Simmons & Company International Ltd* (15 April 2013) CFI 007 2011, the Court, in giving judgment on an employment claim, declined to make an order for costs 'as they are not claimed in the Claimant's submissions'. The parties may therefore wish to include reference to their claim to costs in their pleadings and should do so in any submissions filed in seeking judgment.

Similarly, in *Dagher v. Capital Investment International (CII-UAE) Ltd* (26 February 2014) CFI 013/2011, the trial judge, as part of his judgment on the claims and without having had any submissions specifically on costs, decided, having taken into consideration the strength of the parties' pleaded cases and their conduct throughout the proceedings, and without making specific findings on that conduct, ordered that each party should bear its own costs. In another case, *Stocker v. Darian* (3 December 2013) CFI 012/2013, an appeal to the CFI from the SCT, Justice Ali Al Madhani awarded costs against an appellant, again without reference to any submissions on the issue of costs, and notwithstanding that the appeal arose in part from admitted procedural failures by the SCT.

38.7

If the Court^G decides to make an order about costs:

- (1) the general rule is that the unsuccessful party will be ordered to pay the costs of the successful party; but
- (2) the Court^G may make a different order.

Derogations from the general rule on disclosure and inspection applications

38.7.1

There are significant derogations from the general rule that costs should 'follow the event' in the cases of orders for (i) the *pre-action* production of documents or inspection of property and (ii) production of documents or inspection of property against a *non-party*: see RDC 38.65 to 38.66 and *GCC International v. Capital Investment International* (24 September 2012) CA 001/2012 at [19].

Departure from the general rule in a test case

38.7.2

In *International Electromechanical Services Co LLC v. Al Fattan Engineering LLC & Anor* (14 October 2012) CFI 004/2012, the Court departed from the general rule that cost

should ‘follow the event’ in circumstances where (i) the Claimant, in bringing the proceedings, was reasonably relying on the earlier decision of the Court in *Injazat Capital & Anor v. Denton Wilde Sapte & Co* (6 March 2012) CFI 019/2010 and (ii) the case ‘was in the nature of a test case because of the *Injazat* ruling on jurisdiction which necessitated reconsideration of the same jurisdictional issue in the present proceedings’ [142]. The Court accordingly made a provisional decision that costs should ‘lie where they fall’ (no order as to costs), but subject to permission to apply within 21 days of the judgment [143].

38.8

In deciding what order (if any) to make about costs, the Court^G must have regard to all the circumstances, including:

- (1) the conduct of all the parties;
- (2) whether a party has succeeded on part of his case, even if he has not been wholly successful; and
- (3) any payment into Court^G or admissible offer to settle made by a party which is drawn to the Court’s^G attention and which is not a Part 32 offer.

Circumstances to be taken into account on exercise of discretion as to costs

38.8.1

In *McDuff v. KBH Kaanuun Ltd* (13 February 2014) CFI 027/2012, the trial judge, Justice Ali Al Madhani, after a judgment of 108 paragraphs considering an employment dispute that had been fought over 25 allegations against the Claimant employee and 2 years of litigation, required the parties ‘to file short submissions on costs, to be not longer than 3 pages each’. Given the issues that commonly arise as to the degree of success or failure on the part of the parties, their conduct before and during the proceedings, whether it was reasonable to pursue or contest a particular allegation, the manner in which the parties pursued their cases, and any offers or payments into court made, and also given the importance in practice of the order made on costs, there may be unfairness in requiring a party to compress its submissions into only 3 pages of writing.

38.9

The conduct of the parties includes:

- (1) conduct before, as well as during, the proceedings;
- (2) whether it was reasonable for a party to raise, pursue or contest a particular allegation or issue;
- (3) the manner in which a party has pursued or defended his case or a particular allegation or issue; and
- (4) whether a claimant^G who has succeeded in his claim, in whole or in part, exaggerated his claim.

Conduct relevant to the Court's discretion in awarding costs

38.9.1

In exercising its discretion as to costs, the Court may take into account parties' conduct in relation to any attempt at mediation or any other means of ADR: PD 5/2104.

In *Vannin Capital PCC plc v. Al Khorafi & Ors* (18 February 2015) CFI 036/2014, the Court considered relevant in deciding to award the Claimant one half of its costs the egregious conduct of the First to Third Defendants in failing to consent to the payment of sums to which the Claimant was putatively entitled into an account subject to an account regulated by the DFSA or under the Solicitors' Accounts Rules 1998 or subject to an escrow agreement. The result of that failure and a failure to enter a new funding agreement after a change in the First to Third Defendants' solicitors compelled the Claimant to file its claim for urgent interim relief, which could have been avoided had there been a new agreement.

38.10

The orders which the Court^G may make include an order that a party must pay:

- (1) a proportion of another party's costs;
- (2) a stated amount in respect of another party's costs;
- (3) costs from or until a certain date only;
- (4) costs incurred before proceedings have begun;
- (5) costs relating to particular steps taken in the proceedings;
- (6) costs relating only to a distinct part of the proceedings; and
- (7) interest on costs from or until a certain date, including a date before judgment^G.

Costs orders which the Court may make – interest on costs

38.10.1

In *Al Khorafi v. Bank Sarasin* (28 October 2014) CFI 026/2009 at [20], DCJ Sir John Chadwick considered it appropriate to make an award of interest on costs on the following basis: *'To the extent that the Claimants are out of pocket because they have had to pay their lawyers costs during the course of the proceedings – which they may well have done – then they have lost the use of that money during the period from the payments which they made [until] the reimbursement which they are entitled to receive under the order which I have made; and they should be compensated by an award of interest'*.

38.11

Where the Court^G would otherwise consider making an order under Rule 38.10(6), it must instead, if practicable, make an order under paragraph 38.10(1) or 38.10(3).

Consideration of a proportionate costs order

38.11.1

In *Al Khorafi & Ors v. Bank Sarasin-Alpen (Middle East) Ltd & Anor* (18 November 2015) CA 003/2015, the Court of Appeal upheld the costs award below of DCJ Sir John Chadwick (30 October 2014) CFI 026/2009 in declining to make an issue-based costs order, by reason of the difficulties of assessment, but instead of that: (i) discounting certain costs by 10% (to reflect the fact that 5% of the costs of each side related to claims on which the receiving party had lost); and (ii) discounting other costs by 20% (to reflect the fact that the successful argument had been introduced by an amendment shortly before trial [339]-[345]).

38.12

There are certain costs orders which the Court^G will commonly make in proceedings before trial. The following table sets out the general effect of these orders. The table is not an exhaustive list of the orders which the Court^G may make.

Term	Effect
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Costs• Costs in any event	The party in whose favour the order is made is entitled to the costs in respect of the part of the proceedings to which the order relates, whatever other costs orders are made in the proceedings.
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Costs in the case• Costs in the application	The party in whose favour the Court ^G makes an order for costs at the end of the proceedings is entitled to his costs of the part of the proceedings to which the order relates.
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Costs reserved	The decision about costs is deferred to a later occasion, but if no later order is made the costs will be costs in the case.
Claimant's ^G / Defendant's ^G costs in case/ application	If the party in whose favour the costs order is made is awarded costs at the end of the proceedings, that party is entitled to his costs of the part of the proceedings to which the order relates. If any other party is awarded costs at the end of the proceedings, the party in whose favour the final costs order is made is not liable to pay the costs of any other party in respect of the part of the proceedings to which the order relates.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Costs thrown away 	<p>Where, for example, a judgment^G or order is set aside^G, the party in whose favour the costs order is made is entitled to the costs which have been incurred as a consequence. This includes the costs of—</p> <p>(a) preparing for and attending any hearing at which the judgment^G or order which has been set aside^G was made;</p> <p>(b) preparing for and attending any hearing to set aside^G the judgment^G or order in question;</p> <p>(c) preparing for and attending any hearing at which the Court^G orders the proceedings or the part in question to be adjourned;</p> <p>(d) any steps taken to enforce a judgment^G or order which has subsequently been set aside^G.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Costs of and caused by 	<p>Where, for example, the Court^G makes this order on an application to amend a statement of case^G, the party in whose favour the costs order is made is entitled to the costs of preparing for and attending the application and the costs of any consequential amendment to his own statement of case^G.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Costs here and below 	<p>The party in whose favour the costs order is made is entitled not only to his costs in respect of the proceedings in which the Court^G makes the order but also to his costs of the proceedings in any lower Court^G.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No order as to costs • Each party to pay his own costs 	<p>Each party is to bear his own costs of the part of the proceedings to which the order relates whatever costs order the Court^G makes at the end of the proceedings.</p>

38.13

Where the Court^G has ordered a party to pay costs, it may order an amount to be paid on account before the costs are assessed.

Interim payments on account of costs

38.13.1

Where the Court has ordered a party to pay costs subject to detailed assessment unless agreed, it will order 50% of the amount claimed in the statement of costs to be paid on account before the costs are assessed, unless the Court sees fit to order otherwise: PD 5/2014. The Court may see fit to order a lesser amount if the statement of costs appears obviously inflated.

The Court made an order for an interim payment on account of costs in *Rafed Al Khorafi v. Bank Sarasin* (28 October 2014) CFI 026/2009 at [21]-[22]. DCJ Chadwick explained the common practice of the DIFC and other Courts of commercial jurisdiction 'to recognize that a party who has obtained the benefit of an order for costs should not be kept out of his money longer than is necessary while the process of assessment grinds through'. He identified such an order as being 'the usual order in cases of this kind; that is to say, an order for payment on account of the costs which have been awarded and will be assessed in due course'. He further commented that '[t]he guiding consideration in such a case is to seek to limit the amount of the interim payment to an amount which is not greater than that which will be obtained on assessment'. In that case, he made 'the best estimate that I can make, with caution in mind, on the material that is available'.

The Court further made an order for an interim payment on account of costs prior to a detailed assessment in *Aldisi v. Orion Holding Overseas* (28 January 2010) CFI 029/2009.

In *Al-Awlaqi v. Tabarak Partners* (22 December 2010) CFI 023/2009 at [65], the Court found that there was 'no basis [f]or reading into the unqualified language of Rule 38.13 that an interim payment is inappropriate where the detailed costs order is being followed', even though there is separate provision in RDC 40.36 for the issue of interim costs certificates. Justice David Williams emphasised that '[t]he interim costs certificate and the interim payment procedure are separate and distinct'.

The Court in that case further distinguished dicta in an English authority, *Dyson v. Hoover (No. 4)* [2003] EWHC 624 (Ch), to the effect that there was no presumption in favour of an order for an interim payment and that such an order should be made only in exceptional circumstances, as applying in a case where the judge was not the trial judge and was uninformed about the circumstances of the case: *Al-Awlaqi* at [69].

Where the judge hearing the interim costs application is the trial judge, or has a similar degree of understanding, the proper approach should be that set out by Jacob J in the English decision in *Mars UK v. Teknowledge* [2000] FSR 138, namely that the Court should order payment of 'some lesser amount which [the receiving party] will almost certainly collect', and 'should on a rough and ready basis also normally order an amount to be paid on account, the amount being a lesser sum than the likely full amount': *Al-Awlaqi* at [71]. There should in general be an interim payment, although as a matter of the discretion of the Court having regard to the Overriding Objective and all of the relevant circumstances. These may include the financial positions of the parties, and the likelihood and prospects of any appeal. The need of the receiving party for the money in order to respond to an appeal by the paying party would be 'a particularly good reason' for ordering a payment on account.

In *Al-Awlaqi*, the Court had the opportunity to study the Bill of Costs, the Points of Dispute relating to that bill, and the evidence of the parties, including as to assets of the receiving party. The Court ordered an interim payment at a mid point between (i) two thirds of the total amount sought by the receiving party and (ii) just under half of that total amount: *Al-Awlaqi* at [73]-[74].

38.14

Where a party entitled to costs is also liable to pay costs the Court^G may assess the costs which that party is liable to pay and either:

- (1) set off the amount assessed against the amount the party is entitled to be paid and direct him to pay any balance; or
- (2) delay the issue of a certificate for the costs to which the party is entitled until he has paid the amount which he is liable to pay.

Costs in the small claims tribunal ('SCT')

38.15

The SCT may not order a party to a small claim to pay a sum to another party in respect of that other party's costs, fees and expenses, including those relating to an appeal, except:

- (1) such part of any Court^G or Tribunal fees paid by that other party as the SCT may consider appropriate;
- (2) such further costs as the SCT may assess by the summary procedure and order to be paid by a party who has behaved unreasonably.

38.16

A party's rejection of an offer in settlement will not of itself constitute unreasonable behaviour under Rule 38.15(1) but the Court^G may take it into consideration when it is applying the unreasonableness test.

Basis of assessment

38.17

Where the Court^G is to assess the amount of costs (whether by immediate or detailed assessment) it will assess those costs:

- (1) on the standard basis; or
- (2) on the indemnity^G basis;

but the Court^G will not in either case allow costs which have been unreasonably incurred or are unreasonable in amount.

Costs to be assessed on the indemnity basis

38.17.1

In *Rafed Al Khorafi v. Bank Sarasin* (28 October 2014) CFI 026/2009 at [15], DCJ Chadwick offered the following distinction between the standard and indemnity bases (on which see further RDC 38.18 and 38.19 respectively):

'...[P]ut shortly, on a standard basis assessment it is for the receiving party to satisfy the Court that the costs claimed were reasonably incurred and reasonable in amount; whereas on an indemnity basis assessment it is for the paying party to satisfy the Court that the costs claimed were not reasonably incurred or not reasonable in amount. The burden of proof differs in that respect; and, in practice, it is usually found that an award on a standard basis results in an assessment which is some 60% less than that which results from an award on an indemnity basis.'

In Practice Direction No. 5 of 2014, to which DCJ further referred at [16], it was explained that the factors that the Court should take into account are:

‘(i) circumstances where the acts of the case and/or the conduct of the paying party are/is such as to take the situation away from the norm; for example, where the Court has found deliberate misconduct in breach of a direction of the Court or unreasonable conduct to a high degree in connection with the litigation; or

(ii) otherwise inappropriate conduct in its wider sense in relation to a paying party’s pre-litigation dealings with the receiving party, or in relation to the commencement or conduct of the litigation itself; or

(iii) where the Court considers the paying party’s conduct to be an abuse of process.’

On the facts considered by DCJ Chadwick in *Rafed Al Khorafi v. Bank Sarasin* (28 October 2014) CFI 026/2009, the Court found that the First Defendant’s deliberately falsifying documents to mislead regulatory authorities, as well as its failure to offer any explanation of this, were circumstances that took the case ‘out of the norm’ and were ‘conduct to which the Court ought to mark its disapproval by mak[ing] an order for costs on an indemnity basis’ [17]-[19].

The English courts have tended to emphasise the width of the judicial discretion as to the basis on which costs are to be assessed: see, for example, *Excelsior Commercial and Industrial Holdings v. Salisbury Hamer Aspden and Johnson* [2002] EWCA Civ 879 at [30] per Woolf LJ. However, in the same judgment, the LCJ cited with approval the comments of Simon Brown LJ in *Kiam v. MGN Ltd (No. 2)* [2002] 2 All ER 242 at [12] that relevant conduct would need to be ‘unreasonable to a high degree’, given the penal nature of an award of indemnity costs, and not just wrong or misguided with hindsight. The question ultimately identified by both Woolf LJ at [32] and Waller LJ at [39] was whether there was some conduct or circumstance ‘which takes the case out of the norm’. This may include, for example, the use of litigation for some ulterior commercial purpose or the making of an unjustified personal attack: *Fitzpatrick Contractors Ltd v. Tyco Fire and Integrated Solutions (UK) Ltd* [2008] EWHC 1391 (TCC) [3].

In (*Corinth Pipeworks SA*) *Barclays Bank plc v. (1) Afras Ltd & (2) Nanda Kumar* (12 January 2015) CFI 024/2010, Steel J considered that the Part 21 claims brought by Barclays were a ‘paradigm case for the award of indemnity costs’ [99]. That was a case in which the Part 21 Defendants, having already unsuccessfully challenged the jurisdiction of the Court, made an application on the first day of trial to strike out the claims against them and, when that application failed, sent emails to the lawyers for all parties making clear that they no longer wished their lawyers to represent them and that they no longer wished to play any active part in the trial whatsoever [9]-[15]. Steel J concluded his judgment with a strong finding of dishonesty against the Part 21 Defendants: ‘The case for Afras and Mr Kumar was fundamentally dishonest. It was conducted and concluded in an irresponsible fashion. Furthermore Afras and Mr Kumar were, as the bank puts it, the architects and financial beneficiaries of the fraudulent scheme’ [99].

38.18

Where the amount of costs is to be assessed on the standard basis, the Court^G will:

- (1) only allow costs which are proportionate to the matters in issue; and
- (2) resolve any doubt which it may have as to whether costs were reasonably incurred or reasonable and proportionate in amount in favour of the paying party^G.

38.19

Where the amount of costs is to be assessed on the indemnity^G basis, the Court^G will resolve any doubt which it may have as to whether costs were reasonably incurred or were reasonable in amount in favour of the receiving party^G.

38.20

Where:

- (1) the Court^G makes an order about costs without indicating the basis on which the costs are to be assessed; or
- (2) the Court^G makes an order for costs to be assessed on a basis other than the standard basis or the indemnity^G basis;

the costs will be assessed on the standard basis.

Factors to be taken into account in deciding the amount of costs**38.21**

The Court^G is to have regard to all the circumstances in deciding whether costs were:

- (1) if it is assessing costs on the standard basis:
 - (a) proportionately and reasonably incurred; and
 - (b) were proportionate and reasonable in amount; or
- (2) if it is assessing costs on the indemnity^G basis:
 - (a) unreasonably incurred; or
 - (b) unreasonable in amount.

38.22

In particular, the Court^G must give effect to any orders which have already been made.

38.23

The Court^G must also have regard to:

- (1) the conduct of all the parties, including in particular:
 - (a) conduct before, as well as during, the proceedings; and
 - (b) the efforts made, if any, before and during the proceedings in order to try to resolve the dispute;

- (2) the amount or value of any money or property involved;
- (3) the importance of the matter to all the parties;
- (4) the particular complexity of the matter or the difficulty or novelty of the questions raised;
- (5) the skill, effort, specialised knowledge and responsibility involved;
- (6) the time spent on the case; and
- (7) the place where and the circumstances in which work or any part of it was done.

Factors taken into account in deciding the amount of costs

38.23.1

In Practice Direction No. 5 of 2014, it was further explained that the factors that the Court should take into account in determining whether costs should be assessed on the indemnity basis rather than on the standard basis are:

- ‘(i) circumstances where the acts of the case and/or the conduct of the paying party are/is such as to take the situation away from the norm; for example, where the Court has found deliberate misconduct in breach of a direction of the Court or unreasonable conduct to a high degree in connection with the litigation; or
- (ii) otherwise inappropriate conduct in its wider sense in relation to a paying party’s pre-litigation dealings with the receiving party, or in relation to the commencement or conduct of the litigation itself; or
- (iii) where the Court considers the paying party’s conduct to be an abuse of process.’

38.24

In applying the test of proportionality the Court^G will have regard to Rule 1.6(3). The relationship between the total of the costs incurred and the financial value of the claim may not be a reliable guide. A fixed percentage cannot be applied in all cases to the value of the claim in order to ascertain whether or not the costs are proportionate.

Test of proportionality

38.24.1

Although RDC 38.24 states that the relationship between the total of the costs incurred and the financial value of the claim may not be a reliable guide for determining whether costs were proportionately incurred, Courts will look at this relationship in appropriate circumstances. In *TCM Capital Healthcare Partners Ltd v. Ali Akbar Hashemi* (27 January 2015) CA 006/2014 DCJ Sir John Chadwick indicated that the taxing officer should have regard when assessing costs to the fact that costs incurred by the Claimant (which were said to amount to some USD 880,000, excluding certain other costs) were expended in recovering AED 250,000. The Deputy Chief Justice noted that there was “*an obvious disproportionality*” between the costs expended and the costs recovered and that that ought to be reflected in the process of assessment.

38.25

In any proceedings there will be costs which will inevitably be incurred and which are necessary for the successful conduct of the case. Legal representatives^G are not required to conduct litigation at rates which are uneconomic. Thus in a modest claim the proportion of costs is likely to be higher than in a large claim, and may even equal or possibly exceed the amount in dispute.

38.26

Where a trial takes place, the time taken by the Court^G in dealing with a particular issue may not be an accurate guide to the amount of time properly spent by the legal or other representatives in preparation for the trial of that issue.

Fixed costs**38.27**

A party may recover the fixed costs^G specified in Part 39 in accordance with that Part.

Procedure for assessing costs**38.28**

Where the Court^G orders a party to pay costs to another party (other than fixed costs^G) it may either:

- (1) make an immediate assessment of the costs; or
- (2) order detailed assessment of the costs;

unless any Rule, Practice Direction or other enactment provides otherwise.

38.29

Whenever the Court^G makes an order about costs which does not provide for fixed costs^G to be paid, the Court^G should consider whether to make an immediate assessment of costs.

38.30

The general rule is that the Court^G should make an immediate assessment of the costs:

- (1) at the conclusion of any hearing, which has lasted no more than one day, in which case the order will deal with the costs of the application or matter to which the hearing related. If this hearing disposes of the claim, the order may deal with the costs of the whole claim;
- (2) in hearings in the Court^G of Appeal to which Rule 44.165 applies;

unless there is good reason not to do so e.g. where the paying party^G shows substantial grounds for disputing the sum claimed for costs that cannot be dealt with on the material available or there is insufficient time to carry out an immediate assessment.

38.31

If an immediate assessment of costs is appropriate but the Court^G awarding costs is unable to do so on the day, the Court^G will give directions as to a further hearing before the same Judge^G.

38.32

Where the parties agree an order without the need for any party to attend, the parties must agree a figure for costs to be inserted in the agreed order or agree that there should be no order for costs.

38.33

It is the duty of the parties and their legal representatives^G to assist the Judge^G in making an immediate assessment of costs in any case to which Rule 38.30 applies, in accordance with Rules 38.34 to 38.36.

38.34

Each party who intends to claim costs must prepare a written statement of the costs he intends to claim showing separately in the form of a schedule:

- (1) the number of hours to be claimed;
- (2) the hourly rate to be claimed;
- (3) the qualifications and seniority of fee earner;
- (4) the amount and nature of any disbursement to be claimed;
- (5) the amount of the legal representative's^G costs to be claimed for attending or appearing at the hearing; and
- (6) any tax to be claimed on these amounts.

38.35

The statement of costs should follow as closely as possible Form P38/01 and must be signed by the party or his legal representative^G.

38.36

The statement of costs must be filed at Court^G and copies of it must be served on any party against whom an order for payment of those costs is intended to be sought. The statement of costs should be filed and the copies of it should be served as soon as possible and in any event not less than 24 hours before the date fixed for the hearing.

38.37

The failure by a party, without reasonable excuse, to comply with Rules 38.33 to 38.36 will be taken into account by the Court^G in deciding what order to make about the costs of the claim, hearing or application, and about the costs of any further hearing or detailed assessment hearing that may be necessary as a result of that failure.

38.38

The Court^G will not make an immediate assessment of the costs of a receiving party^G who is a child or patient unless the legal representative^G acting for the child or patient has waived the right to further costs.

38.39

The Court^G may make an immediate assessment of costs payable by a child or patient.

Time for complying with an order for costs**38.40**

A party must comply with an order for the payment of costs within 14 days of:

- (1) the date of the judgment^G or order if it states the amount of those costs;
- (2) if the amount of those costs (or part of them) is decided later in accordance with **Part 40**, the date of the certificate which states the amount; or
- (3) in either case, such later date as the Court^G may specify.

Cases where costs orders will be considered to have been made**38.41**

Where a right to costs arises under:

- (1) **Rule 4.31** (defendant's^G right to costs where claim struck out for nonpayment of fees);
- (2) **Rules 32.28** and **32.29** (claimant's^G entitlement to costs where a **Part 32** offer is accepted); or
- (3) **Rules 34.15** and **34.16** (defendant's^G right to costs where claimant^G discontinues);

a costs order will have been made on the standard basis.

38.42

Interest on the costs deemed to have been ordered under **Rule 38.41** shall begin to run from the date on which the event which gave rise to the entitlement to costs occurred.

Costs-only proceedings**38.43**

Rules 38.44 to **38.53** set out a procedure which may be followed where:

- (1) the parties to a dispute have reached an agreement on all issues (including which party is to pay the costs) which is made or confirmed in writing; but

- (2) they have failed to agree the amount of those costs; and
- (3) no proceedings have been started.

38.44

Either party to the agreement may start proceedings under Rules 38.44 to 38.53 by issuing a claim form in accordance with Part 8.

38.45

The claim form must:

- (1) identify the claim or dispute to which the agreement to pay costs relates;
- (2) state the date and terms of the agreement on which the claimant^G relies;
- (3) set out or have attached to it a draft of the order which the claimant^G seeks;
- (4) state the amount of the costs claimed; and,
- (5) state whether the costs are claimed on the standard or indemnity^G basis.
If no basis is specified the costs will be treated as being claimed on the standard basis.

38.46

In proceedings to which Rules 38.44 to 38.53 apply the Court:

- (1) may:
 - (a) make an order for costs to be determined by detailed assessment; or
 - (b) dismiss the claim; and
- (2) must dismiss the claim if it is opposed.

38.47

For the purposes of Rule 38.46(2):

- (1) a claim will be treated as opposed if the defendant^G files an acknowledgment of service^G stating that he intends to contest the making of an order for costs or to seek a different remedy; and
- (2) a claim will not be treated as opposed if the defendant^G files an acknowledgment of service^G stating that he disputes the amount of the claim for costs.

38.48

An order dismissing the claim will be made as soon as an acknowledgment of service^G opposing the claim is filed. The dismissal of a claim under Rule 38.46(2) does not prevent the claimant^G from issuing another claim form under Part 7 or Part 8 based on the agreement or alleged agreement to which the proceedings under this rule related.

38.49

The evidence to be filed and served with the claim form under Rule 8.23 must include copies of the documents on which the claimant^G relies to prove the defendant's^G agreement to pay costs.

38.50

The Registrar^G has jurisdiction^G to hear and decide any issue which may arise in a claim issued under Rules 38.44 to 38.53 irrespective of the amount of the costs claimed or of the value of the claim to which the agreement to pay costs relates.

38.51

When the time for filing^G the defendant's^G acknowledgement of service^G has expired, the claimant^G may by letter request the Court^G to make an order in the terms of his claim, unless the defendant^G has filed an acknowledgement of service^G stating that he intends to contest the claim or to seek a different order.

38.52

An order for costs made under Rule 38.50 will be treated as an order for the amount of costs to be decided by a detailed assessment.

38.53

In cases in which an additional liability is claimed, regard should be had to the time when and the extent to which the claim has been settled and to the fact that the claim has been settled without the need to commence proceedings.

38.54

Nothing in Rules 38.44 to 38.53 prevents a person from issuing a claim form under Part 7 or Part 8 to sue on an agreement made in settlement of a dispute where that agreement makes provision for costs, nor from claiming in that case an order for costs or a specified sum in respect of costs.

Special situations**38.55**

Where the Court^G makes an order which does not mention costs:

- (1) subject to Rules 38.56 and 38.57, the general rule is that no party is entitled to costs in relation to that order; but
- (2) this does not affect any entitlement of a party to recover costs out of a fund held by him as trustee or personal representative, or pursuant to any lease, mortgage or other security.

No order as to costs**38.55.1**

The provision in RDC 38.55 (where the Court issues an Order which does not mention costs the general rule is that no party is entitled to costs in relation to that Order) applies only to sealed orders and does not extend to judgments. The Court may, after judgment, make a consequential costs order regardless of whether costs are dealt with in the judgment: PD 5/2014.

38.56

Where the Court^G makes:

- (1) an order granting permission to appeal;
- (2) an order granting permission to apply for judicial review; or
- (3) any other order or direction sought by a party on an application without notice;

and its order does not mention costs, it will be considered to include an order for applicant's costs in the case.

38.57

Any party affected by an order for costs under Rule 38.56 may apply at any time to vary the order.

38.58

The Court^G hearing an appeal may, unless it dismisses the appeal, make orders about the costs of the proceedings giving rise to the appeal as well as the costs of the appeal.

Court's powers in relation to misconduct**38.59**

The Court^G may, in connection with an immediate or detailed assessment, make an order under Rule 38.60 where:

- (1) a party or his legal representative^G fails to comply with a Rule, Practice Direction or Court^G order; or
- (2) it appears to the Court^G that the conduct of a party or his legal representative^G, before or during the proceedings which gave rise to the assessment proceedings, was unreasonable or improper.

38.60

Where Rule 38.59 applies, the Court^G may:

- (1) disallow all or part of the costs which are being assessed; or
- (2) order the party at fault or his legal representative^G to pay costs which he has caused any other party to incur.

38.61

Where:

- (1) the Court^G makes an order under Rule 38.60 against a legally represented party; and
- (2) the party is not present when the order is made;

the party's legal representative^G must notify his client in writing of the order no later than 7 days after the legal representative^G receives notice of the order and either in the order under Rule 38.60 or in a subsequent order, require the legal representative^G to produce to the Court^G evidence that he took reasonable steps to do so.

38.62

Before making an order under Rule 38.60 the Court^G must give the party or legal representative^G in question a reasonable opportunity to attend a hearing to give reasons why it should not make such an order.

38.63

Conduct before or during the proceedings which gave rise to the assessment which is unreasonable or improper includes steps which are calculated to prevent or inhibit the Court^G from furthering the Overriding Objective.

Production of documents and inspection of property before commencement or against a non-party

8.64

Rule 38.65 applies where a person applies:

- (1) for an order under:
 - (a) Rule 25.1(9); or
 - (b) Rules 28.47 to 28.50;

which give the Court^G powers exercisable before commencement of proceedings; or

- (2) for an order under;
 - (a) Rule 25.1(10); or
 - (b) Rules 28.51 to 28.54;

which give the Court^G power to make an order against a non-party for disclosure of documents, inspection of property etc.

38.65

The general rule is that the Court^G will award the person against whom the order is sought his costs:

- (1) of the application; and
- (2) of complying with any order made on the application.

Costs of the production of documents by a non-party

38.65.1

In *GCC International v. Capital Investment International* (24 September 2012) CA 001/2012, the Court of Appeal confirmed the application of the general rule established by the English Court of Appeal in *Bermuda International Securities Ltd v. KPMG* [2001] EWCA Civ 269, namely 'that a non-party who is compelled to produce documents should be awarded its costs of production as well as its reasonable costs of instructing lawyers to advise and appear on its behalf in proceedings to

compel production unless the producing party resists the order for production on unreasonable grounds' [37].

On the facts of *GCC International*, the Court of Appeal found that it was impossible to say that the non-party had acted unreasonably in seeking legal advice as to whether to comply with the party's disclosure request, particularly as the full requests were not ultimately granted [38].

38.66

The Court^G may however make a different order, having regard to all the circumstances, including the extent to which it was reasonable for the person against whom the order was sought to oppose the application.

Costs orders in favour of or against non-parties

38.67

Where the Court^G is considering whether to exercise its power to make a costs order in favour of or against a person who is not a party to proceedings:

- (1) that person must be added as a party to the proceedings for the purposes of costs only; and
- (2) he must be given a reasonable opportunity to attend a hearing at which the Court^G will consider the matter further.

Costs orders against non-parties

38.67.1

In *GCC International v. Capital Investment International* (24 September 2012) CA 001/2012, an application was made that the Respondent's company secretary, who was said to have acted unreasonably in causing the Respondent to pursue an application for an order for non-party production of documents. Notwithstanding that the Court of Appeal allowed the appeal against the disclosure order, it declined itself to make an order that the company secretary should be made personally liable for the Appellant's costs and remitted that application to the CFI. It is suggested that this remission was in accordance with RDC 36.68 and was further consistent with the general practice of the English Courts in ordering that the non-party in such cases should be given the opportunity to show cause why an order should not be made against it.

38.68

Rule 38.67 does not apply:

- (1) where the Court^G is considering whether to make a wasted costs order; and
- (2) in proceedings to which Rule 38.65 applies.

Amount of costs where costs are payable pursuant to a contract

38.69

Where the Court^G assesses (whether by the immediate or detailed procedure) costs which are payable by the paying party^G to the receiving party^G under the terms of a contract, the costs payable under those terms are, unless the contract

expressly provides otherwise, to be presumed to be costs which:

- (1) have been reasonably incurred; and
- (2) are reasonable in amount, and the Court^G will assess them accordingly.

38.70

Rule 38.69 does not apply where the contract is between a legal representative^G and his client.

Limitations on court's power to award costs in favour of trustee or personal representative

38.71

Rules 38.72 and 38.73 apply where:

- (1) a person is or has been a party to any proceedings in the capacity of trustee or personal representative ("person representing his estate"); and
- (2) Rule 38.69 does not apply.

38.72

The general rule is that he is entitled to be paid the costs of those proceedings, insofar as they are not recovered from or paid by any other person, out of the relevant trust fund or estate.

38.73

Where he is entitled to be paid any of those costs out of the fund or estate, those costs will be assessed on the indemnity^G basis.

Costs where money is payable by or to a child or patient

38.74

Rule 38.75 applies to any proceedings where a party is a child or patient and:

- (1) money is ordered or agreed to be paid to, or for the benefit of, that party; or
- (2) money is ordered to be paid by him or on his behalf.

38.75

The general rule is that:

- (1) the Court^G must order a detailed assessment of the costs payable by, or out of money belonging to, any party who is a child or patient; and
- (2) on an assessment under (1), the Court^G must also assess any costs payable to that party in the proceedings, unless the Court^G has issued a default costs certificate in relation to those costs under Part 40.
- (3) The Court^G need not order detailed assessment of costs:
 - (a) where there is no need to do so to protect the interests of the child or patient or his estate;

- (b) where another party has agreed to pay a specified sum in respect of the costs of the child or patient and the legal representative^G acting for the child or patient has waived the right to claim further costs;
- (c) where the Court^G has decided the costs payable to the child or patient by way of immediate assessment and the legal representative^G acting for the child or patient has waived the right to claim further costs; or
- (d) where an insurer or other person is liable to discharge the costs which the child or patient would otherwise be liable to pay to his legal representative^G and the Court^G is satisfied that the insurer or other person is financially able to discharge those costs.

(4) Where:

- (a) a claimant^G is a child or patient; and
- (b) a detailed assessment has taken place under this Rule the only amount payable by the child or patient is the amount which the Court^G certifies as payable.

Costs where the Court has made a Group Litigation Order

38.76

Rules 38.77 to 38.82 apply where the Court^G has made a Group Litigation Order ('GLO').

38.77

In Rules 38.78 to 38.82:

- (1) 'individual costs' means costs incurred in relation to an individual claim on the group register;
- (2) 'common costs' means:
 - (a) costs incurred in relation to the GLO issues;
 - (b) individual costs incurred in a claim while it is proceeding as a test claim; and
 - (c) costs incurred by the lead legal representative^G in administering the group litigation;
- (3) 'group litigant' means a claimant^G or defendant^G, as the case may be, whose claim is entered on the group register.

38.78

Unless the Court^G orders otherwise, any order for common costs against group litigants imposes on each group litigant several liability^G for an equal proportion of those common costs.

38.79

The general rule is that where a group litigant is the paying party^G, he will, in addition to any costs he is liable to pay to the receiving party^G, be liable for:

- (1) the individual costs of his claim; and

- (2) an equal proportion, together with all the other group litigants, of the common costs.

38.80

Where the Court^G makes an order about costs in relation to any application or hearing which involved:

- (1) one or more GLO issues; and
- (2) issues relevant only to individual claims;

the Court^G will direct the proportion of the costs that is to relate to common costs and the proportion that is to relate to individual costs.

38.81

Where common costs have been incurred before a claim is entered on the group register, the Court^G may order the group litigant to be liable for a proportion of those costs.

38.82

Where a claim is removed from the group register, the Court^G may make an order for costs in that claim which includes a proportion of the common costs incurred up to the date on which the claim is removed from the group register.

Personal liability of legal representative for costs — Wasted costs orders

38.83

The Court^G shall have power to disallow or, (as the case may be) order the legal or other representative concerned to meet, the whole of any wasted costs or such part of them as may be determined.

Wasted costs orders

38.83.1

PD 2/2016 provides that one of the possible sanctions for a failure to comply with the page limits for Skeleton Arguments in that practice direction is the making of an order for wasted costs under RDC 38.83.

38.84

The Court^G must give the legal representative^G a reasonable opportunity to attend a hearing to give reasons why it should not make such an order.

38.85

When the Court^G makes a wasted costs order, it must:

- (1) specify the amount to be disallowed or paid; or
- (2) direct the Registrar^G to decide the amount of costs to be disallowed or paid.

38.86

The Court^G may direct that notice must be given to the legal representative's^G client, in such manner as the Court^G may direct:

- (1) of any hearing under Rule 38.84; or
- (2) of any order made against his legal representative^G.

38.87

The Court^G may refer the question of wasted costs to the Registrar^G instead of making a wasted costs order.

Costs order in favour of a party represented pro bono**38.88**

Where a party ("the claiming party") is represented by a law firm acting pro bono, the law firm may apply for an order that the other party ("the paying party") make a payment in respect of the costs of legal services provided to the pro bono litigant at no charge ("a pro bono costs order"). The Court shall consider the application and assess the costs as if the claiming party were obliged to pay the fees of the law firm at the normal commercial rates charged by the law firm.

38.89

Where the Court makes a pro bono costs order, such costs shall be assessed summarily by a Judge or the Registrar, unless a detailed assessment is necessary.

38.90

Any costs payable pursuant to a pro bono costs order shall be paid to an account ("the Account") established by the Chief Justice by Practice Direction, for the following purposes:

- (1) Scholarships providing financial support to Emirati students studying law in the Emirate of Dubai and in need of such financial support;
- (2) The provision of a Pro Bono Clinic within the DIFC Courts;
- (3) The DIFC Courts Academy; and / or
- (4) Such other purposes as the Chief Justice may direct or order from time to time.

38.91

The Account shall be administered by the signatories of the Account who are the Chief Justice, the Deputy Chief Justice, the Registrar and the Deputy Registrar in accordance with the purposes of the Account.

38.92

Any individual, group or organisation may apply to the Account by way of letter stating the extent of support that is required and the reasons for requiring such support to the designated Pro Bono Programme Leader at the DIFC Courts Registry.

38.93

All applications will be determined by the signatories of the Account. The Chief Justice will have the final determination on such applications. When making a decision on the allocation of funds, the signatories will have an absolute discretion and the signatories shall not accept any duty or liability to any individual, group or organisation seeking a distribution.

38.94

The allocation of funds will be listed in available records, on the Court website and in its Annual Report.

38.95

Where a pro bono costs order is sought, to assist the Court in making an immediate assessment of the amount payable to the Account, the pro bono litigant must prepare, file and serve in accordance with Rules 38.34 to 38.36 a written statement of the sum which the pro bono law firm would have claimed for legal representation had it not been provided free of charge.

38.96

If a detailed assessment of costs is required then it shall be undertaken in accordance with Part 40 in the usual manner and the costs of the detailed assessment proceedings shall also be awarded to the Account.

38.97

In proceedings where a party is represented pro bono the Court, wherever possible, shall reserve the issue of costs until the conclusion of the trial or the final hearing. At the conclusion of the trial or the final hearing, a single costs order may be made which reflects the overall justice of the case.

Costs-free trials**38.98**

A pro bono litigant may be entitled to a costs-free trial, where the pro bono litigant will not be obliged to meet the legal costs of the opposing party or parties even if the pro bono litigant is unsuccessful. The pro bono litigant will only be entitled to a costs-free trial once an application has been approved by the DIFC Courts Pro Bono Panel (the 'Panel').

Costs order in favour of a party represented pro bono**38.98.1**

The DIFC Rules permit pro bono representatives of parties to be relieved of any risk of exposure to an adverse costs order even unsuccessful. Under RDC 38.98 as amended in 2014, a pro bono litigant may be entitled to a 'cost free trial' by determination of the DIFC Courts' Pro Bono Panel, which hears applications referred by the pro bono Programme Leader appointed by the Chief Justice.

If the litigant is granted a cost free trial, on criteria including its financial inability and the merit of its case, then in the event of its' succeeding, a pro bono costs order (for the benefit of the DIFC Courts' Pro Bono Account, formerly known as its Pro Bono Fund) is made as a matter of course and without the lawyers acting pro bono, in their discretion, applying for such an order to be made.

38.99

Applications for a costs-free trial should, save in exceptional circumstances, be submitted before the trial commences. Only those applications qualifying for pro bono assistance will be forwarded to the Panel for its consideration by the designated Programme Leader (chosen by the Chief Justice and responsible for all aspects of the operation and administration of the Pro Bono Programme).

38.100

Applications for a costs-free trial:

- (1) should be supported by the pro bono lawyer of the applicant. The pro bono lawyer must certify that in their opinion there is a reasonable prospect of the applicant's case succeeding; and
- (2) will be forwarded to the opposing party's or parties' lawyers for an opportunity to respond to the application and to provide any reasons why the applicant should not be permitted a costs-free trial.

38.101

In determining the application, the Panel shall consider whether the applicant meets the threshold of:

- (1) financial inability;
- (2) case merit; and
- (3) such other criteria as the Panel may consider relevant from time to time.

The Panel has absolute discretion as to whether an applicant meets the threshold for a costs-free trial. The Panel may decide that the applicant shall be entitled to costs protection in respect of any stage of proceedings, including any appeal.

38.102

The designated Programme Leader shall ensure that a decision of the Panel that an applicant is entitled to a costs-free trial be delivered in a Court Order.

38.103

A party may only appeal against an Order that an applicant is entitled to a costs-free trial:

- (1) to a judge of the Court; and
- (2) either prior to or during the course of the trial, save where, in exceptional circumstances, new information has come to light after the trial which affects or may affect the pro bono litigant's eligibility for a costs-free trial.

38.104

A pro bono litigant may at any time apply to the designated Programme Leader to have the Court fees suspended until the end of the case. The designated Programme Leader has absolute discretion in determining whether Court fees are to be suspended, and to what extent.

38.105

Where the Court^G makes an order under Rule 38.88, the order must specify that the payment by the paying party must be made to the Account.

38.106

The administrative costs and other expenses related to the office and to the management and investment of the fund will be borne by the Account.

PART 39**Fixed costs**

Scope of this Part

39.1

This Part sets out the amounts which, unless the Court^G orders otherwise, are to be allowed in respect of legal representatives' charges in the cases to which this Part applies.

39.2

This Part applies where:

- (1) the only claim is a claim for a specified sum of money where the value of the claim exceeds US\$50 but does not exceed US\$50,000 and:
 - (a) judgment^G in default is obtained under Rule 13.7;
 - (b) judgment^G on admission is obtained under Rule 15.15;
 - (c) judgment^G on admission on part of the claim is obtained under Rule 15.18;
 - (d) immediate judgment^G is given under Part 24;
 - (e) the Court^G has made an order to strike out^G a defence under Rule 4.16(1) as disclosing no reasonable grounds for defending the claim; or
 - (f) Rule 39.7 or 39.8 applies;
- (2) the only claim is a claim where the Court^G gave a fixed date for the hearing when it issued the claim and judgment^G is given for the delivery of goods, and the value of the claim exceeds US\$50 but does not exceed US\$50,000; or
- (3) a judgment creditor^G has taken steps under Parts 45 to 50 to enforce a judgment^G or order.

39.3

Any appropriate Court^G fee will be allowed in addition to the costs set out in this Part.

39.4

The claim form may include a claim for fixed commencement costs.

Amount of fixed commencement costs in a claim for the recovery of money or goods

39.5

The amount of fixed commencement costs in a claim to which Rule 39.2(1) or 39.2(2) applies:

- (1) shall be calculated by reference to Table 1; and
- (2) the amount claimed, or the value of the goods claimed if specified, in the claim form is to be used for determining the band in Table 1 that applies to the claim.

39.6

The amounts shown in Table 3 are to be allowed in addition, if applicable.

TABLE 1

FIXED COSTS ON COMMENCEMENT OF A CLAIM FOR THE RECOVERY OF MONEY OR GOODS

Relevant band	Where the claim form is served by the Court ^G or by any method other than personal service ^G by the claimant ^G	Where— <ul style="list-style-type: none">the claim form is served personally by the claimant^G; andthere is only one defendant^G	Where there is more than one defendant ^G , for each additional defendant ^G personally served at separate addresses by the claimant ^G
Where— <ul style="list-style-type: none">the value of the claim exceeds US\$50 but does not exceed US\$10,000; orthe only claim is for delivery of goods and no value is specified or stated on the claim form	US\$160	US\$180	US\$30

Where— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the value of the claim exceeds US\$10,000 but does not exceed US\$50,000 	US\$600	US\$660	US\$90
--	---------	---------	--------

When defendant only liable for fixed commencement costs
39.7

Where:

- (1) the only claim is for a specified sum of money; and
- (2) the defendant^G pays the money claimed within 14 days after service^G of particulars of claim on him, together with the fixed commencement costs stated in the claim form,

the defendant^G is not liable for any further costs unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

Costs on entry of judgment in a claim for the recovery of money or goods
39.8

Where:

- (1) the claimant^G has claimed fixed commencement costs under Rule 39.5; and
- (2) judgment^G is entered in a claim to which Rule 39.2(1) or 39.2(2) applies in the circumstances specified in Table 2, the amount to be included in the judgment^G for the claimant's^G legal representatives^G charges is the total of:
 - (a) the fixed commencement costs; and
 - (b) the relevant amount shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2

**FIXED COSTS ON ENTRY OF JUDGMENT IN A CLAIM FOR THE RECOVERY
 OF MONEY OR GOODS**

	Where the amount of the judgment^G exceeds US\$50 but does not exceed US\$10,000	Where the amount of the judgment^G exceeds US\$10,000 but does not exceed US\$50,000
--	---	---

Where judgment ^G in default of an acknowledgment of service ^G is entered under Rule 13.7 (entry of judgment ^G by request on claim for money only)	US\$45	US\$180
Where judgment ^G in default of a defence is entered under Rule 13.7 (entry of judgment ^G by request on claim for money only)	US\$50	US\$210
Where judgment ^G is entered under Rule 15.15 (judgment ^G on admission), or Rule 15.18 (judgment ^G on admission of part of claim) and claimant ^G accepts the defendant's ^G proposal as to the manner of payment	US\$80	US\$330
Where judgment ^G is entered under Rule 15.15 (judgment ^G on admission), or Rule 15.18 (judgment ^G on admission of part of claim) and the Court ^G decides the date or time of payment	US\$110	US\$420
Where immediate judgment ^G is given under Part 24 or the Court ^G strikes out a defence under Rule 4.16(1), in either case, on application by a party	US\$350	US\$1260

Miscellaneous fixed costs

39.9

Table 3 shows the amount to be allowed in respect of legal representatives^G charges in the circumstances mentioned.

TABLE 3**MISCELLANEOUS FIXED COSTS**

For service ^G by a party of any document required to be served personally including preparing and copying a certificate of service ^G for each individual served	US\$90
Where service ^G by an alternative method is permitted by an order under Rule 9.31 for each individual served	US\$320
Where a document is served out of the DIFC ^G and Dubai—	
(a) in another Emirate of the UAE ^G	US\$410
(b) in any other place	US\$460

Fixed enforcement costs**39.10**

Table 4 shows the amount to be allowed in respect of legal representatives^G costs in the circumstances mentioned. The amounts shown in Table 3 are to be allowed in addition, if applicable.

TABLE 4**FIXED ENFORCEMENT COSTS**

For an application under Rule 45.11 that an award may be enforced as if payable under a Court ^G order, where the amount outstanding under the award:	
exceeds US\$50 but does not exceed US\$500	US\$185
exceeds US\$500 but does not exceed US\$1200	US\$245
exceeds US\$1200 but does not exceed US\$4000	US\$415
exceeds US\$4000	US\$450
On attendance to question a judgment debtor ^G (or officer of a company or other corporation) who has been ordered to attend Court ^G under Rule 50.2 where the questioning takes place before a Court officer ^G , including attendance by a responsible representative of the legal representative ^G	for each half hour or part, US\$90 (When the questioning takes place before a Judge ^G , he may immediately assess any costs allowed.)
On the making of a final third party debt order under Rule 47.40(1) or an order for the payment to the judgment creditor ^G of money in Court ^G under Rule 47.44(2):	
if the amount recovered is less than US\$300	one-half of the amount recovered

Otherwise	US\$590
On the making of a final charging order under Rule 46.17(1):	US\$660
	The Court ^G may also allow reasonable disbursements in respect of search fees and the registration of the order.
Where a writ of execution ^G as defined in Rule 48.1(3), is issued against any party	US\$310
Where an application for an attachment of earnings order is made and costs are allowed under Rule 47.65 for each attendance on the hearing of the application	US\$50

PART 40

Procedure for detailed assessment of costs

I GENERAL RULES ABOUT DETAILED ASSESSMENT

Time when detailed assessment may be carried out

40.1

The general rule is that the costs of any proceedings or any part of the proceedings are not to be assessed by the detailed procedure until the conclusion of the proceedings. However the Court^G may order them to be assessed immediately.

No stay of detailed assessment where there is an appeal

40.2

Detailed assessment is not stayed^G pending an appeal unless the Court^G so orders.

Authorised Court Officer

40.3

The Registrar^G or a judicial officer of the Court^G appointed for the purpose by the Chief Justice^G under Article 14 of the Court Law^G (referred to as "an authorised Court Officer^G" in this Part) has all the powers of the Court^G when making a detailed assessment, except:

- (1) power to make a wasted costs order;
- (2) power to make an order under:
 - (a) Rule 38.60 (powers in relation to misconduct); and
 - (b) Rule 40.12 (sanction for delay in commencing detailed assessment proceedings).

II COSTS PAYABLE BY ONE PARTY TO ANOTHER — COMMENCEMENT OF DETAILED ASSESSMENT PROCEEDINGS

Application of this section

40.4

This section of Part 40 applies where the Registrar^G is to make a detailed assessment of costs which are payable by one party to another.

Commencement of detailed assessment proceedings

40.5

Detailed assessment proceedings are commenced by the receiving party serving on the paying party^G:

- (1) notice of commencement in Form P40/O1; and
- (2) a copy of the bill of costs.

Notice of commencement of detailed assessment proceedings to be signed

40.5.1

In *Mana & Anor v. Clariden Leu Asset Management (Dubai) Ltd* (29 August 2013) CFI 030/2011, Justice Tan Sri Siti Norma Yaakob confirmed that the service of an *unsigned* notice of commencement and copy bill of costs were not sufficient to satisfy the requirements of RDC 40.5 [22]-[25]. It should be noted, however, that the receiving party's failure to sign was not by reason of a slip or error, such that relief from sanction could readily have been given under RDC 4.46, as the receiving party was seeking to preserve its freedom to amend the bill of costs, as it subsequently did by later service of an amended bill in an increased amount.

40.6

The receiving party must also serve a copy of the notice of commencement and the bill on any other relevant person including:

- (1) any person who has taken part in the proceedings which gave rise to the assessment and who is directly liable under an order for costs made against him;
- (2) any person who has given to the receiving party notice in writing that he has a financial interest in the outcome of the assessment and wishes to be a party accordingly; and/or
- (3) any other person whom the Court^G orders to be treated as such.

40.7

Where a party is unsure whether a person is or is not a relevant person, that party may apply to the appropriate office for directions.

40.8

The Court^G will generally not make an order that the person in respect of whom the application is made will be treated as a relevant person, unless within a specified time he applies to the Court^G to be joined as a party to the assessment proceedings in accordance with Part 20.

40.9

A person on whom a copy of the notice of commencement is served under Rule 40.6 is a party to the detailed assessment proceedings (in addition to the paying party^G and the receiving party).

Period for commencing detailed assessment proceedings

40.10

The following table shows the period for commencing detailed assessment proceedings.

Source of right to detailed assessment	Time by which detailed assessment proceedings must be commenced
Judgment ^G , direction, order, award or other determination	3 months after the date of the judgment ^G etc. Where detailed assessment is stayed ^G pending an appeal, 3 months after the date of the order lifting the stay
Discontinuance under Part 34	3 months after the date of service ^G of notice of discontinuance under Rule 34.6; or 3 months after the date of the dismissal of application to set the notice of discontinuance aside under Rule 34.10
Acceptance of an offer to settle	3 months after the date when the right to costs arose

Sanction for delay in commencing detailed assessment proceedings

40.11

Where the receiving party fails to commence detailed assessment proceedings within the period specified:

- (1) in Rule 40.10; or
- (2) by any direction of the Court^G;

the paying party^G may apply for an order requiring the receiving party to commence detailed assessment proceedings within such time as the Court^G may specify.

Sanction for delay in commencing detailed assessment

40.11.1

In *Al-Awlaqi v. Tabarak Partners* (22 December 2010) CFI 023/2009 at [64], the Court rejected the argument that a failure by the receiving party to commence detailed assessment proceedings within 3 months by and of itself prevented that party then from seeking an interim payment under RDC 38.14. Justice David Williams noted that where there was a delay by the receiving party in commencing detailed assessment proceedings, then either the paying party could seek an order that the receiving party, in default of commencing proceedings within a specified period, could have its costs or part of them disallowed under RDC 40.12, or the Court could disallow such costs under RDC 40.13 even without such an application having been made.

40.12

On an application under Rule 40.11, the Court^G may direct that, unless the receiving party commences detailed assessment proceedings within the time specified by the Court^G, all or part of the costs to which the receiving party would otherwise be entitled will be disallowed.

Unless Order under RDC 40.12 cannot be made by the Registrar

40.12.1

RDC 40.3 expressly excludes from the powers of the Registrar or a judicial officer of the Court the power to make an Unless Order under RDC 40.12. In *Mana & Anor v. Clariden Leu Asset Management (Dubai) Ltd* (29 August 2013) CFI 030/2011, Justice Tan Sri Siti Norma Yaakob, while agreeing that the Registrar had no such power to make an Unless Order, found that this exclusion did not extend to the power of the Registrar to extend time for the commencement of detailed assessment proceedings [17]. Given that such an extension was itself found to be a mandatory direction requiring strict compliance, purported service *after* the extended date for commencement may in any event be invalid, notwithstanding the absence or invalidity of an Unless Order.

40.13

If:

- (1) the paying party^G has not made an application in accordance with Rule 40.11; and
- (2) the receiving party commences the proceedings later than the period specified in Rule 40.10;

the Court^G may disallow all or part of the interest otherwise payable to the receiving party under Article 39 of the Court Law, No. 10 of 2004 but must not impose any other sanction except in accordance with Rule 38.60.

Points of dispute and consequence of not serving

40.14

The paying party^G and any other party to the detailed assessment proceedings may dispute any item in the bill of costs by serving points of dispute on:

- (1) the receiving party; and
- (2) every other party to the detailed assessment proceedings.

40.15

The period for serving points of dispute is 21 days after the date of service^G of the notice of commencement.

40.16

If a party serves points of dispute after the period set out in Rule 40.15, he may not be heard further in the detailed assessment proceedings unless the Court^G gives permission.

40.17

The receiving party may file a request for a default costs certificate if:

- (1) the period set out in Rule 40.15 for serving points of dispute has expired; and
- (2) he has not been served with any points of dispute.

40.18

If any party (including the paying party^G) serves points of dispute before the issue of a default costs certificate the Court^G may not issue the default costs certificate.

Procedure where costs are agreed

40.19

If the paying party^G and the receiving party agree the amount of costs, either party may apply for a costs certificate (either interim or final) in the amount agreed.

III COSTS PAYABLE BY ONE PARTY TO ANOTHER – DEFAULT PROVISIONS

Default costs certificate

40.20

Where the receiving party is permitted by Rule 40.17 to obtain a default costs certificate, he does so by filing^G a request Form P40/O2.

40.21

A default costs certificate will include an order to pay the costs to which it relates.

40.22

Where a receiving party obtains a default costs certificate, the costs payable to him for the commencement of detailed assessment proceedings shall be \$US160.

Setting aside default costs certificate

40.23

The Court^G must set aside^G a default costs certificate if the receiving party was not entitled to it.

40.24

In any other case, the Court^G may set aside^G or vary a default costs certificate if it appears to the Court^G that there is some good reason why the detailed assessment proceedings should continue.

Setting aside a default costs certificate to which the receiving party was entitled

40.24.1

In *Re Orion Holdings Overseas Ltd* (16 April 2013) CA 004/2011, Justice Muhairi dismissed an application to set aside three default costs certificate issued by the Registry. The reasoning as to why, for the purposes of the test in RDC 40.24, there was not some good reason why the detailed assessment proceedings should continue, is sparse. However, insofar as the applicant, Ernst & Young, had filed its Point of Dispute, it had presumably set up grounds on which it challenged the respondent

liquidator's costs. It may be inferred that what the applicant had *not* done was to explain why it had failed to file its Points of Dispute by the extended date that had been agreed between the parties and then recorded in an order issued by the Court.

40.25

Where:

- (1) the receiving party has purported to serve the notice of commencement on the paying party^G;
- (2) a default costs certificate has been issued; and
- (3) the receiving party subsequently discovers that the notice of commencement did not reach the paying party^G at least 21 days before the default costs certificate was issued;

the receiving party must:

- (a) file a request for the default costs certificate to be set aside^G; or
- (b) apply to the Court^G for directions.

40.26

Where Rule 40.25 applies, the receiving party may take no further step in:

- (1) the detailed assessment proceedings; or
- (2) the enforcement of the default costs certificate;

until the certificate has been set aside^G or the Court^G has given directions.

IV COSTS PAYABLE BY ONE PARTY TO ANOTHER — PROCEDURE WHERE POINTS OF DISPUTE ARE SERVED

Optional reply

40.27

Where any party to the detailed assessment proceedings serves points of dispute, the receiving party may serve a reply on the other parties to the assessment proceedings.

40.28

He may do so within 21 days after service^G on him of the points of dispute to which his reply relates.

Detailed assessment hearing

40.29

Where points of dispute are served in accordance with this Part, the receiving party must file a request for a detailed assessment hearing.

40.30

He must file the request within 3 months of the expiry of the period for commencing detailed assessment proceedings as specified:

- (1) in Rule 40.10; or
- (2) by any direction of the Court^G.

40.31

Where the receiving party fails to file a request in accordance with Rule 40.30, the paying party^G may apply for an order requiring the receiving party to file the request within such time as the Court^G may specify.

40.32

On an application under Rule 40.31, the Court^G may direct that, unless the receiving party requests a detailed assessment hearing within the time specified by the Court^G, all or part of the Costs to which the receiving party would otherwise be entitled will be disallowed.

40.33

If:

- (1) the paying party^G has not made an application in accordance with Rule 40.31; and
- (2) the receiving party files a request for a detailed assessment hearing later than the period specified in Rule 40.30;

the Court^G may disallow all or part of the interest otherwise payable to the receiving party under Article 39 of the Court Law, No. 10 of 2004 but must not impose any other sanction except in accordance with Rule 38.60.

40.34

No party other than:

- (1) the receiving party;
- (2) the paying party^G; and
- (3) any party who has served points of dispute under 40.14;

may be heard at the detailed assessment hearing unless the Court^G gives permission.

40.35

Only items specified in the points of dispute may be raised at the hearing, unless the Court^G gives permission.

V INTERIM COSTS CERTIFICATE AND FINAL COSTS CERTIFICATE

Power to issue an interim certificate

40.36

The Court^G may at any time after the receiving party has filed a request for a detailed assessment hearing:

- (1) issue an interim costs certificate for such sum as it considers appropriate;
- (2) amend or cancel an interim certificate.

40.37

An interim certificate will include an order to pay the costs to which it relates, unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

40.38

The Court^G may order the costs certified in an interim certificate to be paid into Court^G.

Final costs certificate

40.39

In Rules 40.40 and 40.41, a completed bill means a bill calculated to show the amount due following the detailed assessment of the costs.

40.40

The period for filing^G the completed bill is 14 days after the end of the detailed assessment hearing.

40.41

When a completed bill is filed, the Court^G will issue a final costs certificate and serve it on the parties to the detailed assessment proceedings.

40.42

Rule 40.41 is subject to any order made by the Court^G that a certificate is not to be issued until other costs have been paid.

40.43

A final costs certificate will include an order to pay the costs to which it relates, unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

VI COSTS OF DETAILED ASSESSMENT PROCEEDINGS

Liability for costs of detailed assessment proceedings

40.44

The receiving party is entitled to his costs of the detailed assessment proceedings except where:

- (1) the provisions of any enactment, any of these Rules^G or any relevant Practice Direction provide otherwise; or
- (2) the Court^G makes some other order in relation to all or part of the costs of the detailed assessment proceedings.

40.45

In deciding whether to make some other order, the Court^G must have regard to all the circumstances, including:

- (1) the conduct of all the parties;
- (2) the amount, if any, by which the bill of costs has been reduced; and
- (3) whether it was reasonable for a party to claim the costs of a particular item or to dispute that item.

Offers to settle without prejudice save as to costs of the detailed assessment proceedings

40.46

Where:

- (1) a party (whether the paying party^G or the receiving party) makes a written offer to settle the costs of the proceedings which gave rise to the assessment proceedings; and
- (2) the offer is expressed to be without prejudice save as to the costs of the detailed assessment proceedings,

the Court^G will take the offer into account in deciding who should pay the costs of those proceedings.

40.47

The fact of the offer must not be communicated to the Court^G until the question of costs of the detailed assessment proceedings falls to be decided.

VII APPEALS FROM AUTHORISED COURT OFFICERS IN DETAILED ASSESSMENT PROCEEDINGS

Court to hear appeal

40.48

An appeal against a decision of an authorised Court Officer^G in detailed assessment proceedings is to a Judge^G of the Court of First Instance.

Appeal procedure

40.49

An appeal against a decision of an authorised Court Officer in detailed assessment proceedings should be made in accordance with the provisions of Part 44 of the Rules of Court.

Appeals in detailed assessment proceedings to be under RDC Part 44

40.49.1

The pre-2011 dispensation from the requirement to obtain permission for an appeal against the decision of an authorised Court Officer in detailed assessment proceeding was *removed* by the express application in RDC 40.[49] of Part 44.2, but RDC 40.[49] remained subject to a direct conflict with the former RDC 44.2, which expressly

disapplied Part 44 in detailed assessment proceedings. However, pursuant to the 2014 amendments, RDC 40.49 now expressly provides that an appeal against a decision of the authorised Court Officer in detailed assessment proceedings must be made under Part 44, and the old RDC 44.2 has been deleted.

PART 41

Proceedings by or against the Centre, its Bodies and the Government

Scope of this Part

41.1

This Part contains rules for proceedings by or against the Centre^G, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G and civil proceedings to which the Centre^G or any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G is a party.

Application of the Rules of the Dubai International Financial Centre Court

41.2

These Rules apply to proceedings by or against the Centre^G, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G and other proceedings to which Centre, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G is a party unless this Part, a Practice Direction or any other enactment provides otherwise.

I ACTIONS INVOLVING THE CENTRE, ANY OF THE CENTRE'S BODIES OR THE GOVERNMENT – GENERAL

Action on behalf of the Centre, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government

41.3

Where by reason of a Rule, Practice Direction or Court^G order the Centre^G, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G is permitted or required:

- (1) to make a witness statement;
- (2) to swear an affidavit^G;
- (3) to verify a document by a statement of truth;
- (4) to make a disclosure statement; or
- (5) to discharge any other procedural obligation;

that function shall be performed by an appropriate officer acting on behalf of the Centre^G, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G.

41.4

The Court^G may if necessary nominate an appropriate officer.

Counterclaims, other additional claims and set-off

41.5

In a claim by the Centre^G, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G for taxes, duties or penalties, the defendant^G cannot make a counterclaim^G or other additional claim^G or raise a defence of set-off.

41.6

In any other claim by the Centre^G, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G, the defendant^G cannot make a counterclaim^G or other additional claim^G or raise a defence of set-off which is based on a claim for repayment of taxes, duties or penalties.

41.7

In proceedings by in the name of the Attorney-General, no counterclaim^G or other additional can be made or defence of set-off raised without the permission of the Court^G.

41.8

In proceedings by or against the Centre^G, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G in the name of one Centre Body or Government department, no counterclaim^G or other additional claim^G can be made or defence of set-off raised without the permission of the Court^G unless the subject-matter relates to that Centre Body or Government department.

Enforcement against the Centre, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government

41.9

Parts 45 to 51 do not apply to any order against the Centre^G, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G.

41.10

In Rule 41.9, 'order against the Centre, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government' means any judgment^G or order against the Centre^G, any Centre Body, a Government department, or an officer of the Centre^G, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G as such, made:

- (1) in civil proceedings by or against the Centre^G, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G;
- (2) in proceedings under Part 42;
- (3) in connection with an arbitration to which the Centre^G, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G is a party; or
- (4) in other civil proceedings to which the Centre^G, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G is a party.

Money due from the Centre, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government

41.11

None of the following orders:

- (1) a third party debt order under Part 47;
- (2) an order for the appointment of a receiver under Part 49; or
- (3) an order for the appointment of a sequestrator under Rule 48.36;

may be made or have effect in respect of any money due from the Centre^G, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G.

41.12

In Rule 41.11, 'money due from the Centre, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government' includes money accruing due, and money alleged to be due or accruing due.

41.13

Where any money is payable by the Centre^G, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G to some person who, under any order of the Court^G, is liable to pay any money to any other person, and that other person would otherwise be entitled under these Rules^G to obtain an order for the attachment thereof as a debt due or accruing due, or an order for the appointment of a sequestrator or receiver to receive the money on his behalf, the Court^G may direct payment thereof into the Court^G, make an order restraining the first-mentioned person from receiving that money and directing payment thereof to that other person, or to the sequestrator or receiver, provided that no such order shall be made in respect of:

- (1) any wages or salary payable to any officer of the Centre^G, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G as such; or
- (2) any money which is subject to the provisions of any enactment prohibiting or restricting assignment or charging or taking in execution.

41.14

An application for an order under Rule 41.13:

- (1) restraining a person from receiving money payable to him by the Centre^G, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G; and
- (2) directing payment of the money to the applicant or another person;

may be made under Part 23.

41.15

The application must be supported by written evidence setting out the facts on which it is based, and in particular identifying the debt from the Centre^G, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G.

41.16

Notice of the application, with a copy of the written evidence, must be served:

- (1) on the Centre^G, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G; and
- (2) on the person to be restrained;

at least 7 days before the hearing.

41.17

Rules 47.35 to 47.40 apply to an application under Rule 41.13 as they apply to an application under Rule 47.3 for a third party debt order, except that the Court^G will not have the power to order enforcement to issue against the Centre^G, any of the Centre's Bodies or the Government^G.

II SERVICE ON THE CENTRE AND ITS BODIES

41.18

The persons to be served on behalf the Centre^G and its bodies, their addresses for service^G and manner(s) in which service^G must be effected are set out in Schedule A to this Part.

III SERVICE ON THE GOVERNMENT

41.19

Notice of intention to commence proceedings must be served on the Government^G at least 15 days before proceedings are served.

41.20

The persons to be served on behalf of the Government^G and its departments, their addresses for service^G and manner(s) in which service^G must be effected are set out in Schedule B to this Part.

Schedule A to Part 41

Centre/Body	Person(s) to be served	Address for Service	Manner in which Service is Accepted
1. DIFCA ^G and entities	Legal Department	Level 14, The Gate, PO Box 74777, Dubai.	Courier or hand delivery.
2. DFSA ^G	Legal Department	Level 13, The Gate, PO Box 75850, Dubai.	Courier or hand delivery.
3. DIFC Courts	Government of Dubai Legal Affairs Department (LAD)		

Schedule B to Part 41

Government Department	Person(s) to be served	Address for Service	Manner in which Service is Accepted
All Government departments	Attorney General	Public Prosecution Dubai, PO Box 13571, Dubai, UAE	Courier or hand delivery.

PART 42

Judicial Review

I JUDICIAL REVIEW

Scope of this part and interpretation

42.1

This Part contains rules about judicial review.

42.2

In this Part:

- (1) a 'claim for judicial review' means a claim to review the lawfulness of a decision, action or failure to act in relation to the exercise of a public function;
- (2) 'the judicial review procedure' means the Part 8 procedure as modified by this Part; and
- (3) 'interested party' means any person (other than the claimant^G and defendant^G) who is directly affected by the claim.

When this part must be used

42.3

The judicial review procedure^G must be used in a claim for judicial review^G where the claimant^G is seeking:

- (1) a mandatory order;
- (2) a prohibiting order; or
- (3) a quashing order.

When this Part may be used

42.4

The judicial review procedure^G may be used in a claim for judicial review^G where the claimant^G is seeking:

- (1) a declaration; or
- (2) an injunction^G.

42.5

A claim for judicial review^G may include a claim for damages^G, restitution or the recovery of a sum due, but may not seek such a remedy alone.

Permission required

42.6

The Court's^G permission to proceed is required in a claim for judicial review^G

Time limit for filing claim form

42.7

The claim form must be filed:

- (1) promptly; and
- (2) in any event not later than 3 months after the grounds to make the claim first arose.

42.8

The time limit in Rule 42.7 may not be extended by agreement between the parties.

42.9

Rule 42.7 does not apply when any other enactment specifies a shorter time limit for making the claim for judicial review^G.

Claim form

42.10

In addition to the matters set out in Rule 8.8 (contents of the claim form) the claimant^G must also state:

- (1) the name and address of any person he considers to be an interested party^G;
- (2) that he is requesting permission to proceed with a claim for judicial review^G; and
- (3) any remedy (including any interim remedy) he is claiming.

42.11

Where the claim for judicial review^G relates to proceedings in a tribunal^G, any other parties to those proceedings must be named in the claim form as interested parties under Rule 42.10 (and therefore served with the claim form under Rule 42.17(2)).

42.12

The claim form must include or be accompanied by:

- (1) a detailed statement of the claimant's^G grounds for bringing the claim for judicial review^G;
- (2) a statement of the facts relied on;

- (3) any application to extend the time limit for filing^G the claim form; and
- (4) any application for directions.

42.13

In addition, the claim form must be accompanied by:

- (1) any written evidence in support of the claim or application to extend time;
- (2) a copy of any order that the claimant^G seeks to have quashed;
- (3) where the claim for judicial review^G relates to a decision of a tribunal^G, an approved copy of the reasons for reaching that decision;
- (4) copies of any documents on which the claimant^G proposes to rely;
- (5) copies of any relevant statutory material; and
- (6) a list of essential documents for advance reading by the Court^G (with page references to the passages relied on).

42.14

Where it is not possible to file all the above documents, the claimant^G must indicate which documents have not been filed and the reasons why they are not currently available.

42.15

The claimant^G must file two copies of a paginated and indexed bundle containing all the documents referred to in Rules 42.12 and 42.13.

42.16

Attention is drawn to Rules 8.23 and 8.29.

Service of claim form

42.17

The claim form must be served on:

- (1) the defendant^G; and
- (2) unless the Court^G otherwise directs, any person the claimant^G considers to be an interested party^G;

within 7 days after the date of issue.

42.18

Except as required by Rules 42.30 or 42.34, the Court^G will not serve documents and service^G must be effected by the parties.

Acknowledgment of service

42.19

Any person served with the claim form who wishes to take part in the judicial review must file an acknowledgment of service^G in Form P42/01 in accordance with Rules 42.20 to 42.23.

42.20

Any acknowledgment of service^G must be:

- (1) filed not more than 21 days after service^G of the claim form; and
- (2) served on:
 - (a) the claimant^G; and
 - (b) subject to any direction under 42.17(2), any other person named in the claim form;

as soon as practicable and, in any event, not later than 7 days after it is filed.

42.21

The time limits under Rule 42.20 may not be extended by agreement between the parties.

42.22

The acknowledgment of service^G:

- (1) must:
 - (a) where the person filing^G it intends to contest the claim, set out a summary of his grounds for doing so; and
 - (b) state the name and address of any person the person filing^G it considers to be an interested party^G; and
- (2) may include or be accompanied by an application for directions.

42.23

Rule 11.6 does not apply.

42.24

Attention is drawn to Rule 8.14 and to Rule 11.8.

Failure to file acknowledgment of service

42.25

Where a person served with the claim form has failed to file an acknowledgment of service^G in accordance with Rule 42.19, he:

- (1) may not take part in a hearing to decide whether permission should be given unless the Court^G allows him to do so; but
- (2) provided he complies with Rule 42.41 or any other direction of the Court^G regarding the filing^G and service^G of:
 - (a) detailed grounds for contesting the claim or supporting it on additional grounds; and

- (b) any written evidence;

may take part in the hearing of the judicial review.

42.26

Where that person takes part in the hearing of the judicial review, the Court^G may take his failure to file an acknowledgment of service^G into account when deciding what order to make about costs.

42.27

Rule 8.16 does not apply.

Permission given

42.28

Where permission to proceed is given, the Court^G may also give directions.

42.29

Directions under Rule 42.28 may include a stay^G of proceedings to which the claim relates and directions about serving the claim form and any evidence on other persons.

Service of order giving or refusing permission

42.30

The Court^G will serve:

- (1) the order giving or refusing permission; and
- (2) any directions;
on:
 - (a) the claimant^G;
 - (b) the defendant^G; and
 - (c) any other person who filed an acknowledgment of service^G.

42.31

An order refusing permission or giving it subject to conditions or on certain grounds only must set out or be accompanied by the Court's^G reasons for coming to that decision.

Permission decision without a hearing

42.32

The Court^G will normally consider the question of permission without a hearing.

42.33

Rules 42.34 and 42.37 apply where the Court^G, without a hearing:

- (1) refuses permission to proceed; or
- (2) gives permission to proceed:
 - (a) subject to conditions; or
 - (b) on certain grounds only.

42.34

The Court^G will serve its reasons for making the decision when it serves the order giving or refusing permission in accordance with Rule 42.30.

42.35

The claimant^G may not appeal, but may request the decision to be reconsidered at a hearing.

42.36

A request under Rule 42.35 must be filed within 7 days after service^G of the reasons under Rule 42.34.

42.37

The claimant^G, defendant^G and any other person who has filed an acknowledgment of service^G will be given at least 2 days' notice of the hearing date.

Permission hearing**42.38**

Neither the defendant^G nor any other interested party^G need attend a hearing on the question of permission unless the Court^G directs otherwise.

42.39

Where the defendant^G or any party does attend a hearing, the Court^G will not normally make an order for costs against the claimant^G.

Defendant etc. may not apply to set aside**42.40**

Neither the defendant^G nor any other person served with the claim form may apply to set aside^G an order giving permission to proceed.

Response**42.41**

A defendant^G and any other person served with the claim form who wishes to contest the claim or support it on additional grounds must file and serve:

- (1) detailed grounds for contesting the claim or supporting it on additional grounds; and
- (2) any written evidence;

within 35 days after service^G of the order giving permission.

42.42

Where the party filing^G the detailed grounds intends to rely on documents not already filed, he must file a paginated bundle of those documents when he files the detailed grounds.

42.43

The following rules do not apply:

- (1) Rules 8.25 and 8.26 (defendant^G to file and serve written evidence at the same time as acknowledgment of service^G); and
- (2) Rules 8.27 and 8.28 (claimant^G to file and serve any reply within 14 days).

Where claimant seeks to rely on additional grounds

42.44

The Court's^G permission is required if a claimant^G seeks to rely on grounds other than those for which he has been given permission to proceed.

42.45

Where the claimant^G intends to apply to rely on additional grounds at the hearing of the claim for judicial review^G, he must give notice to the Court^G and to any other person served with the claim form no later than 7 clear days before the hearing (or the warned date where appropriate).

Evidence

42.46

Rule 8.30 does not apply.

42.47

No written evidence may be relied on unless:

- (1) it has been served in accordance with any:
 - (a) Rule under this Part; or
 - (b) direction of the Court^G; or
- (2) the Court^G gives permission.

Court's powers to hear any person

42.48

Any person may apply for permission:

- (1) to file evidence; or
- (2) make representations at the hearing of the judicial review.

42.49

An application under Rule 42.48 should be made promptly.

42.50

Where all the parties consent, the Court^G may deal with an application under Rule 42.48 without a hearing.

42.51

Where the Court^G gives permission for a person to file evidence or make representations at the hearing of the claim for judicial review^G, it may do so on conditions and may give case management directions.

42.52

An application for permission should be made by letter to the Registry^G, identifying the claim, explaining who the applicant is and indicating why and in what form the applicant wants to participate in the hearing.

42.53

If the applicant is seeking a prospective order as to costs, the letter should say what kind of order and on what grounds.

42.54

Applications to intervene must be made at the earliest reasonable opportunity, since it will usually be essential not to delay the hearing.

Skeleton arguments**42.55**

The claimant^G must file and serve a skeleton argument not less than 21 working days before the date of the hearing of the judicial review.

42.56

The defendant^G and any other party wishing to make representations at the hearing of the judicial review must file and serve a skeleton argument not less than 14 working days before the date of the hearing of the judicial review (or the warned date).

42.57

Skeleton arguments must contain:

- (1) a time estimate for the complete hearing, including delivery of judgment^G;
- (2) a list of issues;
- (3) a list of the legal points to be taken (together with any relevant authorities with page references to the passages relied on);
- (4) a chronology of events (with page references to the bundle of documents (see Rule 42.58);
- (5) a list of essential documents for the advance reading of the Court^G (with page references to the passages relied on) (if different from that filed with the claim form) and a time estimate for that reading; and
- (6) a list of persons referred to.

Bundle of documents to be filed**42.58**

The claimant^G must file a paginated and indexed bundle of all relevant documents required for the hearing of the judicial review application when he files his skeleton argument.

42.59

The bundle must also include those documents required by the defendant^G and any other party who is to make representations at the hearing.

Agreed final order**42.60**

If the parties agree about the final order to be made in a claim for judicial review^G, the claimant^G must file at the Court^G a document (with 2 copies) signed by all the parties setting out the terms of the proposed agreed order together with a short statement of the matters relied on as justifying the proposed agreed order and copies of any authorities or statutory provisions relied on.

42.61

The Court^G will consider the documents referred to in Rule 42.60 and will make the order if satisfied that the order should be made.

42.62

If the Court^G is not satisfied that the order should be made, a hearing date will be set.

42.63

Where the agreement relates to an order for costs only, the parties need only file a document signed by all the parties setting out the terms of the proposed order.

Judicial Review may be decided without a hearing**42.64**

The Court^G may decide the claim for judicial review^G without a hearing where all the parties agree.

Court's powers in respect of quashing orders**42.65**

Rule 42.66 applies where the Court^G makes a quashing order in respect of the decision to which the claim relates.

42.66

The Court^G may:

- (1) remit the matter to the decision-maker; and
- (2) direct it to reconsider the matter and reach a decision in accordance with the judgment^G of the Court^G.

42.67

Where the Court^G considers that there is no purpose to be served in remitting the matter to the decision-maker it may, subject to any statutory provision, take the decision itself.

Transfer**42.68**

The Court^G may:

- (1) order a claim to continue as if it had not been started under this Part; and
- (2) where it does so, give directions about the future management of the claim.

PART 43

Arbitration Claims

Scope of this Part**43.1**

This Part contains rules about arbitration claims.

I ARBITRATION CLAIMS**Interpretation****43.2**

In this Part:

- (1) 'the Arbitration Law' means the Arbitration Law, DIFC Law No.1 of 2008; and
- (2) 'Arbitration Claim Form' means a claim form in Form P43/01;
- (3) 'Arbitration claim' means:
 - (a) any application to the Court under the Arbitration Law, including any application:
 - (i) for an order under Article 12 of the Arbitration Law disapplying that Article;
 - (ii) to dismiss or stay an action which is the subject of an arbitration agreement under Article 13 of the Arbitration Law;
 - (iii) for an order under Article 14 of the Arbitration Law for an Order requiring disclosure of information relating to arbitral proceedings;
 - (iv) to appoint or to facilitate the appointment of an Arbitral tribunal, to challenge the appointment of an Arbitrator or to terminate the mandate of an Arbitrator under Articles 17 to 20 of the Arbitration Law;
 - (b) for an Order relieving an Arbitrator of any liability incurred by reason of his resignation under Article 21 of the Arbitration Law;

- (vi) to determine whether an Arbitral Tribunal has jurisdiction in respect of a dispute under Article 23 of the Arbitration Law;
 - (vii) to enforce an interim measure made by an Arbitral Tribunal under Article 24 of the Arbitration Law;
 - (viii) for an order from the Court issuing an interim measure under Article 24 of the Arbitration Law;
 - (ix) to the Court for assistance in taking evidence for the purposes of an arbitration under Article 34 of the Arbitration Law;
 - (x) to the Court of First Instance to determine the amount of fees and expenses properly payable to the Arbitral Tribunal under Article 39 of the Arbitration Law;
 - (xi) to set aside an arbitral award under Article 41 of the Arbitration Law;
 - (xii) for recognition or enforcement of an arbitral award under Article 42 of the Arbitration Law;
- (b) any other application affecting:
- (i) arbitration proceedings (whether started or not); or
 - (ii) an arbitration agreement.

Arbitration claims to interim measures in relation to arbitration proceedings

43.2.1

Under RDC 43.2(3)(viii) an arbitration claim includes a claim for a court order for an interim measure under Art 24 of DIFC Law No. 1 of 2008 ('the Arbitration Law'). Art 24 in turn confers on the DIFC Court 'the same power of issuing an interim measure in relation to arbitration proceedings ...as it has in relation to proceedings in courts'. That gives the DIFC Court very wide powers to give interim relief in support of arbitral proceedings.

The power under Art 24 to give interim relief available in relation to court proceedings in support of *arbitral* proceedings is expressed to be 'irrespective of whether [the arbitration proceedings]' place is in the DIFC'. Curiously, Art 7(2) of the Arbitration Law provides that Arts 14, 15, Part 4 and the Schedule of that law apply to a non-DIFC arbitration, without making any reference to Art 24. However, it is clear from *International Electromechanical Services v. Al Fattan* (14.10.12) CFI 004/2012, that the list of powers in Art 7(2) is not *exclusive*, and that other powers, in that case that of the Court under its inherent jurisdiction, can be exercised by the Court in relation to non-DIFC arbitrations.

Starting the claim

43.3

Except where Rule 43.4 or Rule 43.5 applies an arbitration claim must be started by the issue of an arbitration claim form in accordance with the Part 8 procedure.

43.4

An application under Article 13 of the Arbitration Law to stay legal proceedings must be made by Application Notice in the proceedings.

43.5

An application under Article 34 of the Arbitration Law to secure the attendance of a witness should be made in accordance with RDC Part 30.

Arbitration Claim Form

43.6

An Arbitration Claim Form must:

- (1) include a concise statement of:
 - (a) the remedy claimed; and
 - (b) any questions on which the Arbitral Tribunal seeks the decision of the Court;
- (2) give details of any arbitration award challenged by the Arbitral Tribunal, identifying which part or parts of the award are challenged and specifying the grounds for the challenge;
- (3) show that any statutory requirements have been met;
- (4) specify under which Article of the Arbitration Law the claim is made;
- (5) identify against which (if any) defendants a Costs Order is sought; and
- (6) specify either:
 - (a) the persons on whom the Arbitration Claim Form will be served, stating their role in the arbitration and whether they are defendants; or
 - (b) that the claim is made without notice and the grounds relied on.

43.7

Reference in the Arbitration Claim Form to a witness statement or affidavit filed in support of the claim is not sufficient to comply with the requirements of Rule 43.6.

Service

43.8

An Arbitration Claim Form must be served by the claimant.

43.9

Unless:

- (1) the Court orders otherwise; or
- (2) the Arbitration Claim Form seeks enforcement or recognition of an award under Part 4 of the Arbitration Law

an Arbitration Claim Form must be served on the defendant within 30 days from the date of issue and Rule 7.20 is modified accordingly.

Service of an Arbitration Claim Form

43.9.1

Part 4 of the DIFC Law No. 1 of 2008 ('the Arbitration Law') deals with the recognition and enforcement of awards, and the process to be followed with respect to the same.

43.10

The rules governing service of the Claim Form are set out in RDC Part 9.

43.11

The Court may exercise its powers under Rule 9.31 to permit service of an Arbitration Claim Form at the address of a party's legal representative or other representative acting for him in the arbitration.

43.12

The claimant must file a Certificate of Service within 7 days of service of serving the Arbitration Claim Form. Rule 9.36 specifies what a Certificate of Service must show.

43.13

Where the Arbitration Claim Form is served outside Dubai, the Arbitration Claim Form should be served in accordance with Section III of Part 9 of the RDC.

Notice**43.14**

Where an Arbitration claim is made under Article 19(3) (challenging an Arbitrator), Article 20(1) (terminating an Arbitrator's mandate) or Article 39(4) of the Arbitration Law (determination of fees and expenses payable to the arbitral tribunal), each Arbitrator must be a defendant.

43.15

Where notice must be given to an Arbitrator or any other person it may be given by sending him a copy of –

- (1) the Arbitration Claim Form; and
- (2) any written evidence in support.

43.16

Save where Rule 43.17 applies, where a party makes an Arbitration Claim, each of the other parties to the arbitration must be made a defendant to the Arbitration Claim.

Necessary parties to an Arbitration Claim**43.16.1**

The 2014 amendment to this rule, by the derogation in the new RDC 43.17, removes the requirement that all parties be joined to a claim for recognition and enforcement of an award. Instead, where a party seeks the recognition or enforcement of an arbitral award, only the party against which recognition or enforcement is sought needs to be joined: RDC 43.17. That rule further clarifies that a claim for recognition and enforcement may be made without notice. That provision will be useful where there is a risk that the party against which recognition or enforcement is sought, may seek to take steps to make such recognition or enforcement nugatory if put on notice.

43.17

Where a party makes an Arbitration Claim for recognition or enforcement of an award under Part 4 of the Arbitration Law:

- (1) only the party against whom such an order is sought need be made a defendant to the Arbitration Claim; and
- (2) the Arbitration Claim may be made without notice.

Acknowledgment of service**43.18**

A defendant must file an Acknowledgment of Service of the Arbitration Claim Form in every case in Form P43/02.

43.19

Where an Arbitration Claim Form is served within the DIFC or Dubai, the period for filing an Acknowledgment of Service is 14 days after the service of the Claim Form in accordance with RDC Rule 11.5.

43.20

Where an Arbitration Claim Form is served outside Dubai, the period for filing an Acknowledgment of Service is 28 days after the service of the Claim Form in accordance with RDC Rule 9.56.

Representations by an arbitrator**43.21**

Where an Arbitrator is sent a copy of an Arbitration Claim Form (including an Arbitration Claim Form sent under Rule 43.15), that arbitrator may:

- (1) apply to be made a defendant; or
- (2) apply to make representations to the Court under Rule 43.22.

43.22

An application under Rule 43.21(1) to be made a defendant:

- (1) must be served on the Arbitral Tribunal; but
- (2) need not be served on any other party.

43.23

An Arbitrator may make representations by filing written evidence or in writing to the Court.

43.24

Any Arbitrator filing written evidence or making representations to the Court may not be called to give oral evidence without the permission of the Court.

Supply of documents from Court records**43.25**

An Arbitration Claim Form may only be inspected with the permission of the Court.

Case management**43.26**

RDC Part 26 does not apply.

43.27

The claimant should apply for a hearing date as soon as possible after issuing an Arbitration Claim Form.

43.28

When applying for a hearing date, the Arbitral Tribunal should provide to the Court an estimate for the length of the hearing.

43.29

The directions set out in Rules 43.30 to 43.37 apply unless the Court orders otherwise.

43.30

Where a claimant in an arbitration claim seeks to rely on written evidence, a copy of that evidence must be filed and served with the Arbitration Claim Form.

43.31

A defendant who wishes to rely on evidence before the Court must file and serve his written evidence within 21 days after the date by which he was required to acknowledge service.

43.32

A claimant who wishes to rely on evidence in reply to written evidence filed under Rule 43.31 must file and serve his written evidence within 7 days after service of the defendant's evidence.

43.33

Following exchange of written evidence, the parties should confirm or amend by agreement the estimate for the length of the hearing provided pursuant to RDC 43.28.

43.34

Agreed indexed and paginated bundles of all the evidence and other documents to be used at the hearing must be prepared by the claimant.

43.35

Not later than 5 days before the hearing date the claimant must file a complete set of the documents to be used.

43.36

Not later than 2 days before the hearing date the claimant must file and serve:

- (1) a chronology of the relevant events cross-referenced to the bundle of documents;
- (2) (where necessary) a list of the persons involved; and
- (3) a skeleton argument which lists succinctly:
 - (a) the issues which arise for decision;
 - (b) the grounds of relief (or opposing relief) to be relied upon;
 - (c) the submissions of fact to be made with the references to the evidence; and
 - (d) the submissions of law with references to the relevant authorities.

43.37

Not later than the day before the hearing date the defendant must file and serve a skeleton argument which lists succinctly:

- (1) the issues which arise for decision;
- (2) the grounds of relief (or opposing relief) to be relied upon;
- (3) the submissions of fact to be made with the references to the evidence; and
- (4) the submissions of law with references to the relevant authorities.

43.38

An application for directions in a pending arbitration claim should be made by application notice under Part 23.

Hearings**43.39**

The Court may order that an arbitration claim be heard either in public or in private.

Hearing of arbitration claims in public or in private**43.39.1**

This provision and the following exclusion of the general rule in RDC 35.2 that proceedings should be held in public gives the court a discretion as to whether arbitration proceedings should be heard in public or private.

Although there is no express general rule in favour of privacy, this is the normal order in respect of arbitration proceedings. In *Robinson Club GMBH v. Zabeel Investments LLC* (25 November 2013) CFI 016/2013, Justice Sir David Steel ordered that the hearing of an arbitration claim be in public because '[i]t struck me that the issues were of general public importance particularly against the background of an Order that had not been published and was thus not readily in the public domain' [13]. That, however, was an exceptional case in which Zabeel relied on an order of the Ruler of

Dubai dated 9 February 2011 requiring that all disputes relating to Zabeel be heard by a special judicial committee, which had not been published in the Official Gazette of the UAE, and which the Defendant had failed to mention when asked by the chairman of the arbitral tribunal for an explanation of the status of any award as regards the DIFC [9]-[10].

43.40

Rules 35.2 to 35.4 do not apply, save insofar as provided by Rule 43.41 below.

43.41

- (1) Subject to sub-rule (2) below, arbitral proceedings under the DIFC Arbitration Law 2008 in the DIFC Courts are to be heard otherwise than in open court.
- (2) The court may order those proceedings to be heard in open court -
 - (a) on the application of any party; or
 - (b) if, in any particular case, the court is satisfied that those proceedings ought to be heard in open court.
- (3) An order of the court under subsection (2) is not subject to appeal.

Hearings in arbitral proceedings

43.41.1

An amendment has been introduced in the 2014 amendments to the RDC, based on PD No 2 of 2013 on the Confidentiality of Arbitral Proceedings, to clarify that proceedings under the DIFC Arbitration Law 2008 are generally *not* to be heard in open court. While reporting restrictions are likely to apply to such proceedings and the judgment handed down following the same, this is subject to certain specific exceptions, as for example where the judgment is of major legal interest. Parties concerned about confidentiality and reporting should make the necessary applications as early as possible in any proceedings.

Power of Court to direct that arbitration claim be heard in public

43.41.2

In *Robinson Club GmbH v. Zabeel Investments LLC* (25 November 2013) CFI 016/2013, Justice Sir David Steel ordered that a hearing in respect of an arbitral claim should be heard in public on the ground that the issues were of general public importance. This was because the Order of the Ruler dated 9 February 2011 headed 'Constitution of a Special Judicial Committee to Settle the Disputes Related to Zabeel Investments LLC' had not been gazetted or published, and so, while effective in Dubai law, was not readily in the public domain [13]-[14].

43.42

- (1) This Rule applies to arbitral proceedings under the DIFC Arbitration Law 2008 in the DIFC Courts heard otherwise than in open court ("closed court proceedings").
- (2) The Court in which closed court proceedings are being heard must, on the application of any party, make a direction as to what information, if any, relating to the proceedings may be published.

- (3) The court must not make a direction permitting information to be published unless-
 - (a) all parties agree that the information may be published; or
 - (b) the court is satisfied that the information, if published, would not reveal any matter (including the identity of any party) that any party reasonably wishes to remain confidential.
- (4) Despite sub-rule (3), if-
 - (a) the court gives a judgment in respect of closed court proceedings; and
 - (b) the court considers that judgment to be of major legal interest, the court may direct that reports of the judgment may be published in law reports and professional publications.
- (5) If the court directs under sub-rule (4) that reports of a judgment may be published, but any party reasonably wishes to conceal any matter in those reports (including the fact that the party was such a party), the court must, on the application of the party-
 - (a) make a direction as to the action to be taken to conceal that matter in those reports; and
 - (b) if the court considers that a report published in accordance with the direction made under paragraph (5)(a) would still be likely to reveal that matter, direct that the report may not be published until after the end of a period, not exceeding 10 years, that the court may direct.
- (6) A direction of the court under this section is not subject to appeal.

Concealment directions

43.42.1

The wording of the rule for the concealment of matters, including that the party was a party, suggests that the threshold for obtaining a concealment direction will be high, and that the redaction of names, perhaps replaced by initials or other coded names, will be the Court's preferred form of concealment.

43.43

Having regard to the overriding objective the Court may decide particular issues without a hearing.

Stay or dismissal of legal proceedings

43.44

An application notice seeking a stay or dismissal of legal proceedings under Article 13 of the Arbitration Law must be served on all parties to those proceedings in accordance with RDC Part 9.

43.45

Where a question arises as to whether:

- (1) an arbitration agreement has been concluded; or
- (2) the dispute which is the subject-matter of the proceedings falls within the terms of such an agreement;

the Court may decide that question or give directions to enable it to be decided and may order the proceedings to be stayed pending its decision.

Challenging an Arbitrator

43.46

An application to challenge an Arbitrator under Article 19(3) of the Arbitration Law must be made within 30 days after receipt of notice of the ruling by the Arbitral Tribunal rejecting the party's challenge.

Applications to determine the jurisdiction of the Arbitral Tribunal

43.47

An arbitration claim for the determination of a preliminary question as to the substantive jurisdiction of the Arbitral Tribunal under Article 23(3) of the Arbitration Law must be made within 30 days after receipt of notice of the ruling by the Arbitral Tribunal as a preliminary question that it has jurisdiction.

Interim measures

43.48

An application to the Court to enforce an interim measure made by an Arbitral Tribunal or for an order from the Court issuing an interim measure under Article 24 of the Arbitration Law must be made in an Arbitration Claim Form.

43.49

An application to enforce an interim measure made by an Arbitral Tribunal will not be granted unless the applicant files written evidence showing that the application is made with the written permission of the Arbitral Tribunal.

43.50

An application for an order from the Court issuing an interim measure will be determined in accordance with the Rules set out in Part 25 of the RDC.

Court assistance in taking evidence

43.51

An Arbitral Tribunal or a party to arbitral proceedings being conducted in the DIFC who wishes to rely on Article 34 of the Arbitration Law to secure the attendance of a witness must apply for a witness summons in accordance with RDC Part 30.

43.52

A witness summons will not be issued on the application of a party to arbitral proceedings unless the applicant files written evidence showing that the application is made with the approval of the tribunal.

43.53

Any other application made under Article 34 of the Arbitration Law for the assistance of the Court in taking evidence must be made in an Arbitration Claim Form.

Applications to set aside an award

43.54

An application under Article 41 of the Arbitration Law to set aside an arbitral award must be made:

- (1) within 3 months from the date on which the party making the application received the award; or
- (2) if a request had been made under Article 40 of the Arbitration Law, within 3 months from the date on which that request was disposed of by the Arbitral Tribunal; or
- (3) within such longer period as the parties to the arbitration agree in writing.

43.55

Where a party applies to set aside an arbitral award, the arbitration claim form must state:

- (1) the grounds under Article 41(2) of the Arbitration Law on which the party alleges that the award should be set aside; and
- (2) whether the Arbitral Tribunal requests that the setting aside proceedings be suspended under Article 41(4) of the Arbitration Law in order to give the Arbitral Tribunal an opportunity to resume the arbitral proceedings or to take such other action as may eliminate the grounds for setting aside.

43.56

The written evidence in support of the application must set out any evidence relied on by the party for the purpose of satisfying the Court:

- (1) of the matters referred to in Article 41(2) of the Arbitration Law; and
- (2) that the award should be set aside.

43.57

The written evidence filed by the respondent to the application must:

- (1) state the grounds on which the Respondent opposes the award being set aside;
- (2) state whether the Respondent requests that the setting aside proceedings be suspended under Article 41(4) of the Arbitration Law in order to give the Arbitral Tribunal an opportunity to resume the arbitral proceedings or to take such other action as may eliminate the grounds for setting aside; and
- (3) set out any evidence relied on by him relating to the matters mentioned in Article 41(2) of the Arbitration Law.

43.58

On receipt of an application to set aside an arbitration award under Article 41(2) of the Arbitration Law the Court may give such directions as it considers necessary.

43.59

If the Court considers it appropriate to suspend the setting aside proceedings in accordance with a request made under Rule 43.55(2) or 43.57(2) it may suspend the setting aside proceedings for a period of time determined by it.

Appeals**43.60**

No appeal lies from a decision of the Court under Articles 17(3), 17(4), 19(3), 20(1), 21(2) or 23(3) of the Arbitration Law.

II RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT OF ARBITRAL AWARDS**43.61**

Rules 43.62 to 43.74 apply to awards made in arbitration proceedings wherever the seat.

43.62

An application under Article 42(1) of the Arbitration Law to enforce an award or under Article 43 of the Arbitration Law for recognition of an award may be made without notice in an Arbitration Claim Form.

43.63

The Court may specify parties to the arbitration on whom the Arbitration Claim Form must be served, for example where the relevant time period for setting aside the award from the date of service of the award on the losing party has not yet expired, or there is some doubt about the validity of the award or its service upon the respondent.

43.64

The parties on whom the Arbitration Claim Form is served must acknowledge service and the recognition or enforcement proceedings will continue as an arbitration claim.

43.65

The Arbitration Claim Form may be served out of the jurisdiction irrespective of where the award was, or is treated to have been, made.

43.66

The application must be supported by written evidence:

- (1) exhibiting:
 - (a) the original award; and
 - (b) the original arbitration agreement;

or copies of those documents certified in accordance with Article 42(3) of the Arbitration Law;

- (2) (if the award or agreement is not made in English), producing a translation of the award or agreement certified in accordance with Article 42(3) of the Arbitration Law;
- (3) stating the name and the usual or last known place of residence or business of the parties or, if a party is a body corporate, its registered or principal address;
- (4) (in the case of an application to enforce an award) stating either:
 - (a) that the award has not been complied with; or
 - (b) the extent to which it has not been complied with at the date of the application.

43.67

A draft order in both English and Arabic must accompany the application unless the Court orders otherwise.

43.68

The Order enforcing or recognising the award must be served on the defendant in accordance with RDC Part 9.

43.69

The Order may be served outside Dubai:

- (1) without permission; and
- (2) in accordance with Section III of Part 9 of the RDC as if the Order were an Arbitration Claim Form.

43.70

Within 14 days after service of an Order made without notice or, if the Order is to be served outside Dubai, within such other period as the Court may set:

- (1) the defendant may apply to set aside the Order; and
- (2) the award must not be enforced until after:
 - (a) the end of that period; or
 - (b) any application made by the defendant within that period has been finally disposed of.

43.71

An Order made without notice must contain a statement of

- (1) the right to make an application to set the Order aside; and
- (2) the restrictions on enforcement under Rule 43.70(2).

43.72

An application under Rule 43.70(1) must:

- (1) be made in accordance with RDC Part 23;

- (2) set out the grounds under Article 44(1) of the Arbitration Law on which the applicant alleges that the Order should be set aside;
- (3) set out any grounds under Article 44(2) of the Arbitration Law on which the applicant alleges that the decision to set aside the Order should be adjourned;
- (4) be accompanied by written evidence setting out any evidence relied on by the party for the purpose of satisfying the Court:
 - (a) of the matters referred to in Article 44 of the Arbitration Law; and
 - (b) that the Order should be set aside.

43.73

If the Respondent to the application wishes to rely on evidence in reply to written evidence filed under Rule 43.72(4) he must file and serve his written evidence within 7 days after service of the Applicant's evidence.

43.74

The written evidence filed by the Respondent to the application must:

- (1) state the grounds on which the Respondent opposes the recognition or enforcement of the award being set aside; and
- (2) set out any evidence relied on by him relating to:
 - (a) the matters referred to in Article 44(1) of the Arbitration Law; and
 - (b) the provision by the applicant of appropriate security in accordance with Article 44(2).

43.75

After conclusion of the period referred to Rule 43.70(2), in relation to any award in respect of which the Court has made an Order enforcing the award:

- (1) the award may be enforced within the DIFC in the same manner as a Judgment or Order of the Court to the same effect; and
- (2) the Court may enter Judgment in the terms of the award.

Power to enter judgment in the terms of an award

43.75.1

RDC 43.75(2) expressly permits the Court to enter judgment 'in the terms of the award' consistently with the power of the English Courts in like terms under section 66(2) of the Arbitration Act 1996.

Interest on Awards

43.76

Where an applicant seeks to enforce an award of interest the whole or any part of which relates to a period after the date of the award, he must file a statement giving the following particulars:

- (1) whether simple or compound interest was awarded;
- (2) the date from which interest was awarded;
- (3) where rests were provided for, specifying them;
- (4) the rate of interest awarded; and
- (5) a calculation showing:
 - (a) the total amount claimed up to the date of the statement; and
 - (b) any sum which will become due on a daily basis.

43.77

A statement under **Rule 43.76** must be filed whenever the amount of interest has to be quantified for the purpose of:

- (1) obtaining a Judgment or Order under **Rule 43.75**; or
- (2) enforcing such a Judgment or Order.

PART 44

Appeals

Scope of this part and interpretation

44.1

The Rules in this Part apply to appeals to:

- (1) the Court of Appeal; and
- (2) the Court of First Instance.

44.2

In this Part:

- (1) 'appeal Court' means the Court^G to which an appeal is made;
- (2) 'lower Court' means the Court^G, tribunal^G or other person or body from whose decision an appeal is brought;
- (3) 'appellant' means a person who brings or seeks to bring an appeal;
- (4) 'respondent' means:
 - (a) a person other than the appellant^G who was a party to the proceedings in the lower Court^G and who is affected by the appeal; and
 - (b) a person who is permitted by the appeal Court^G to be a party to the appeal; and
- (5) 'appeal notice' means an appellant's^G or respondent's^G notice.

44.3

This Part is subject to any Rule, enactment or Practice Direction which sets out special provisions with regard to any particular category of appeal.

Stay

44.4

Unless the appeal Court^G or the lower Court^G orders otherwise, an appeal shall not operate as a stay^G of any order or decision of the lower Court^G.

Permission

44.5

An appellant^G or respondent^G requires permission to appeal:

- (1) where the appeal is to the Court of Appeal, except where the appeal is against a committal order;
- (2) where the appeal is to the Court of First Instance, except where the appeal is against:
 - (a) a refusal by the Registrar of Companies to grant authorisation to transfer incorporation under Article 122(1) of the Companies Law (Amended and Restated), DIFC Law 3 of 2006; or
 - (b) a refusal by the Registrar of Companies to grant authorisation to transfer a Limited Partnership under Article 63(1) of the Limited Partnership Law, DIFC Law 4 of 2006.

44.6

An application for permission to appeal may be made:

- (1) to the lower Court^G at the hearing at which the decision to be appealed was made; or
- (2) to the appeal Court^G in an appeal notice^G.

44.7

An application to the appeal Court for permission to appeal under RDC 44.71(2) may not be heard by the judge against whose decision permission to appeal is sought.

Application for permission to appeal not to be heard by the judge below

44.7.1

The 2014 amendment to the RDC incorporates PD No 4 of 2013 and confirms that where an application for permission to appeal is made to an appeal court, with or without a hearing, the appeal notice should not be put before the Judge against whose decision permission to appeal is being sought. This should reassure parties that the decision whether or not to grant permission to appeal will ultimately be taken by an independent judge.

44.8

Permission to appeal may be given only where:

- (1) the Court^G considers that the appeal would have a real prospect of success; or
- (2) there is some other compelling reason why the appeal should be heard.

Real prospect of success

44.8.1

In *Dattani & Ors v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (1 December 2014) CFI 034/2012, Justice Roger Giles referred to various English decisions in explaining that '[a] real prospect of success does not mean probability, but more than mere arguability and a realistic, as opposed to fanciful, prospect of success' [6]. See also *DNB Bank ASA v. (1) Gulf Eyadah Corporation (2) Gulf Navigation Holding PJSC* (9 September 2015) CFI 043/2014 at [12].

Appeal against an order made

44.8.2

It is important to remember that an appeal lies against the *order* that was made in the Court below rather than the underlying reasoning of that order. So in *Aida Dagher v Capital Investment International (CII-UAE) Ltd* (30 June 2014) CFI 013/2011 Justice Roger Giles, considering an application for permission to appeal, stressed that leave to appeal should not be granted if the trial judge's reasons sustain the result notwithstanding that in some respects those reasons may be open to question [8].

Appeal of ruling not featuring in the order

44.8.3

In *DNB Bank ASA v. (1) Gulf Eyadah Corporation (2) Gulf Navigation Holding PJSC* (9 September 2015) CFI 043/2014, Justice Field confirmed that an appeal might be permitted even where the Claimant did not seek to vary any part of the order made below in dismissing the Defendants' challenge to jurisdiction. In that case, the judge at first instance, although dismissing the jurisdiction challenge, had made a further finding (subsequently overturned), that did not feature in his final order, that the DIFC Court had no power to refer 'recognised foreign judgments' to the Dubai Courts for execution. The Claimant contended that an estoppel might arise by reference to that finding. Justice Field found that the Claimant would need to satisfy the Court, before the usual tests in RDC 44.8, that it had a real prospect of success in establishing that the *Court of Appeal* had jurisdiction to hear the proposed appeal against the extraneous finding [11]. On the facts of that case, Justice Field found that the Claimant did so [15].

The CA, in *DNB Bank ASA v. (1) Gulf Eyadah Corporation (2) Gulf Navigation Holding PJSC* (25 February 2016) CA 007/2015, confirmed that it should consider the legal consequences of any finding in order to decide whether it should entertain an appeal under its jurisdiction in Art 5(B)(1)(a) of the JAL and Art 26(1) of the Court Law [61]. It noted, in this regard, by reference to the judgments of the English CA in *Compagnie Noga d'Importation et d'Exportation SA v. Australia & New Zealand Banking Group Ltd* [2002] EWCA Civ 1142 at [28] and *Secretary of State for Work and Pensions v. Morina* [2008] EWCA Civ 749, that an issue estoppel may provide a basis to allow an appeal against a finding [61]-[62]. The CA in *DNB* further recognised that the enforceability

of foreign judgments recognised in the DIFC Courts in the Dubai Courts was an issue both of legal significance and of public interest and importance [63].

44.9

Where a party applies for permission to appeal against a decision at the hearing at which the decision was made, the Judge^G making the decision shall state:

- (1) whether or not the judgment^G or order is final;
- (2) whether an appeal lies from the judgment^G or order; and
- (3) whether the Court^G gives permission to appeal; and
- (4) if not, the appropriate appeal Court^G to which any further application for permission may be made.

44.10

Where no application for permission to appeal has been made in accordance with Rule 44.6(1) but a party requests further time to make such an application, the Court^G may adjourn the hearing to give that party the opportunity to do so.

44.11

Where the lower Court^G refuses an application for permission to appeal, a further application for permission to appeal may be made to the appeal Court^G.

Consideration of permission without a hearing

44.12

Applications for permission to appeal may be considered by the appeal Court^G without a hearing.

44.13

If permission is granted without a hearing the parties will be notified of that decision and the procedure in Rules 44.93 to 44.97 will then apply.

44.14

If permission is refused without a hearing the parties will be notified of that decision with the reasons for it.

44.15

Where the appeal Court^G, without a hearing, refuses permission to appeal, the person seeking permission may request the decision to be reconsidered at a hearing. This may be before the same Judge^G.

Request for hearing of application for permission to appeal

44.15.1

The intending Appellant is entitled to request that a decision taken on paper to decline permission to appeal be reconsidered at a hearing. Ordinarily, if that request is granted, the hearing proceeds without reference to the findings of the single judge who refused permission on paper as if a hearing for the first time. In *Dagher v. Capital Investment International* (30 June 2014) CFI 013/2011, for example, Justice Roger Giles,

after a full hearing, gave a reasoned judgment explaining by reference to each ground of appeal, pleaded and otherwise, why the Appellant did not have reasonable prospects of success.

44.16

Where the appeal Court^G refuses permission to appeal without a hearing, it may, if it considers that the application is totally without merit, make an order that the person seeking permission may not request the decision to be reconsidered at a hearing.

44.17

A request for the decision to be reconsidered at an oral hearing must be filed at the appeal Court^G within 7 days after service^G of the notice that permission has been refused. A copy of the request must be served by the appellant^G on the respondent^G at the same time.

Permission hearing

44.18

Rules 44.19 to 44.21 apply where an appellant^G makes a request for a decision to be reconsidered at an oral hearing.

44.19

The appellant's^G legal representative^G must, at least 4 days before the hearing, in a brief written statement:

- (1) inform the Court^G and the respondent^G of the points which he proposes to raise at the hearing; and
- (2) set out his reasons why permission should be granted notwithstanding the reasons given for the refusal of permission.

44.20

Notice of a permission hearing will be given to the respondent^G but he is not required to attend unless the Court^G requests him to do so.

44.21

If the Court^G requests the respondent's^G attendance at the permission hearing, the appellant^G must supply the respondent^G with a copy of the appeal bundle within 7 days of being notified of the request, or such other period as the Court^G may direct. The costs of providing that bundle shall be borne by the appellant^G initially, but will form part of the costs of the permission application.

Limited permission

44.22

An order giving permission may:

- (1) limit the issues to be heard; and
- (2) be made subject to conditions.

Attachment of conditions to permission

44.22.1

In *Al Khorafi v. Bank Sarasin* (5 April 2015) CFI 026/2009, Justice Roger Giles noted at [14] that the Court's power under RDC 44.22(2) to *give* permission subject to conditions is unqualified, in contrast with the Court's *general* power under RDC 44.89(3) to impose conditions on permission to appeal, which is expressly subject to the qualification in RDC 44.90 that the Court should only exercise its power under RDC [44.89] where there is a compelling reason to do so. He noted the relevant decision of the English CA in *Days Medical Aids Ltd v. Pihsiang Machinery Manufacturing Co Ltd* [2004] EWCA Civ 993 at [5].

Later in his judgment, Justice Roger Giles agreed at [34] that '[t]he ultimate question is whether compelling reason has been shown for the Court, for the purpose of doing justice between the parties, to place a fetter upon an entitlement to appeal which is otherwise recognised': see generally *Days Medical Aids Ltd v. Pihsiang Machinery Manufacturing Co Ltd* at [24]-[25]; *Sebastian Holdings Inc v. Deutsche Bank AG* [2014] EWCA Civ 1100 at [31] and [34]; and *Dumford Trading AG v. OAO Atlantrybflot* [2004] EWCA Civ 1265. Justice Roger Giles accordingly applied the qualification requiring a compelling reason, even though the issue, pursuant to his order in that case of 3 February 2015, arose directly out of the *initial* grant of permission so that the relevant power exercised by the Court was that under RDC 44.22.

In *Sebastian Holdings Inc v. Deutsche Bank*, Tomlinson LJ observed as follows at [34]: *'I unhesitatingly accept that all these cases indicate that it is inappropriate to use the power to impose conditions on an appeal simply as a means of securing enforcement of the judgment debt. That plainly is not the touchstone. The touchstone is rather the taking of steps out of the ordinary course of business with a view to frustrating the normal enforcement process.'*

Permission conditional upon payment of the judgment debt into court

44.22.2

One condition that may be imposed is that the appellant pay the judgment debt *into court*: *Hammond Suddard v. Agrichem International Holdings Ltd* [2001] EWCA Civ 2065; *Bell Electric Ltd v. Aweco Appliance Systems GMBH Co KG* [2002] EWCA Civ 1501; *Sebastian Holdings Inc v. Deutsche Bank AG* [2014] EWCA Civ 1100. As was noted by Justice Roger Giles in *Al Khorafi v. Bank Sarasin* (5 April 2015) CFI 026/2009 at [34], '...difficulty in the respondent exercising the normal mechanisms of enforcement is an important consideration, but it is generally associated with unexplained failure of the appellant to pay the judgment debt amounting to flouting the orders of the court': *Bell Electric* [26]; *Hammond Suddard* [41]-[42]; *Days Medical Aids* [24]-[29]; *Sebastian Holdings* [39].

In *Al Khorafi v. Bank Sarasin* (5 April 2015) CFI 026/2009, Justice Roger Giles concluded at [34]: *'That is, imposing a condition is not an ordinary means of assisting a respondent faced with difficulties of enforcement. More is required before justice as between the parties warrants that course, see the observations concerning an unusual and perhaps rare case earlier noted'*. The judge found at [36]-[38] that, even if the appellant had not been willing in that case to pay into court, it had not been shown that justice called for a condition of payment into court, merely by reason of the ordinary difficulties of enforcing a judgment in Switzerland in circumstances where the appellant had consistently maintained by reservation its denial of DIFC Court jurisdiction. There was nothing cynical or extraordinary in the appellant's having applied for a stay of execution, and there was no gross disregard of the Court's orders in a short delay in payment.

Permission conditional upon payment of security for costs

44.22.3

Another condition that may be imposed is the provision by the appellant of security for the costs of the appeal: *Days Medical Aids Ltd v. Pibsiang Machinery Manufacturing Co Ltd* [2004] EWCA Civ 993. However, RDC 25.105 deals specifically with security for costs on an appeal by reference to the circumstances and conditions set out in RDC 25.101 and 25.102 respectively. The English Courts have suggested that it is not enough to show that there may be some obstacle to enforcement. In *Nasser v. United Bank of Kuwait* [2001] EWCA Civ 556 at [63]-[64], the CA found that ‘...it may be incumbent on an applicant to show some basis for concluding that enforcement would face any substantial obstacle or extra burden, meriting the protection of an order for security for costs.’ In *Al Khorafi v. Bank Sarasin* (5 April 2015) CFI 026/2009, Justice Roger Giles concluded that he was not persuaded that it would be just to require that the appellant provide security for the costs of its appeal [32]-[41].

44.23

Where a Court^G under Rule 44.22 gives permission to appeal on some issues only, it will:

- (1) refuse permission on any remaining issues; or
- (2) reserve the question of permission to appeal on any remaining issues to the Court^G hearing the appeal.

44.24

If the Court^G reserves the question of permission under Rule 44.23(2), the appellant^G must, within 14 days after service^G of the Court's^G order, inform the appeal Court^G and the respondent^G in writing whether he intends to pursue the reserved issues. If the appellant^G does intend to pursue the reserved issues, the parties must include in any time estimate for the appeal hearing, their time estimate for the reserved issues.

44.25

If the appeal Court^G refuses permission to appeal on the remaining issues without a hearing and the applicant wishes to have that decision reconsidered at an oral hearing, the time limit in Rule 44.17 shall apply. Any application for an extension of this time limit should be made promptly. The Court^G hearing the appeal on the issues for which permission has been granted will not normally grant, at the appeal hearing, an application to extend the time limit in Rule 44.17 for the remaining issues.

44.26

If the appeal Court^G refuses permission to appeal on remaining issues at or after an oral hearing, the application for permission to appeal on those issues cannot be renewed at the appeal hearing.

Respondents' costs of permission applications

44.27

In most cases, applications for permission to appeal will be determined without the Court^G requesting:

- (1) submissions from; or
- (2) if there is an oral hearing, attendance by;

the respondent^G.

44.28

Where the Court^G does not request submissions from or attendance by the respondent^G, costs will not normally be allowed to a respondent^G who volunteers submissions or attendance.

44.29

Where the Court^G does request:

- (1) submissions from; or
- (2) attendance by the respondent^G;

the Court^G will normally allow the respondent^G his costs if permission is refused.

Appeals from case management decisions

44.30

Case management decisions include decisions made under Rule 4.2 and decisions about:

- (1) disclosure;
- (2) filing^G of witness statements or experts reports;
- (3) directions about the timetable of the claim;
- (4) adding a party to a claim; and
- (5) security for costs.

44.31

Where the application is for permission to appeal from a case management decision, the Court^G dealing with the application may take into account whether:

- (1) the issue is of sufficient significance to justify the costs of an appeal;
- (2) the procedural consequences of an appeal (e.g. loss of trial date) outweigh the significance of the case management decision; and
- (3) it would be more convenient to determine the issue at or after trial.

Appellant's notice

44.32

An appellant's^G notice must be filed and served in all cases.

44.33

Where the appellant^G seeks permission from the appeal Court^G it must be requested in the appellant's^G notice.

44.34

The appellant's^G notice must set out the grounds of appeal relied on.

Need for distinct grounds of appeal and skeleton argument

44.34.1

In *Dattani & Ors v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (1 December 2014) CFI 034/2012, Justice Roger Giles complained that the grounds of appeal and skeleton argument in that case were an 'amalgam' that did not well identify the grounds of appeal, leaving the Court to distill the grounds from the narrative in the skeleton argument. He emphasised that, while there may be 'intersecting content', the grounds of appeal should be as concise as possible, although they 'may then be supported by the [skeleton argument]' [3].

Need for all grounds of appeal to be in the appellant's notice

44.34.2

In *Rohan & Ors v. Daman Real Estate Capital Partners* (16 October 2014) CA 005/2013 and 006/2013, DCJ Sir John Chadwick, on the re-opening of the appeal in that case, explained that he would not consider issues that were not clearly raised on the grounds of appeal in the appellant's notice, even when it was arguable that they had in fact been raised in the appellant's skeleton argument [34]-[38]. The DCJ noted further that: *'The question which the seller now seeks to persuade this Court to address was not raised in either the Appeal Notices or in the grounds of appeal. It is incorrect for the seller to assert, in its skeleton arguments, that it seeks "a holding [that the relevant period was validly extended on bases including that of the force majeure provisions]". That was not relief sought on the appeal as brought. It is not a function of a skeleton argument to seek relief that was not sought on the Appeal Notice. The proper course is to seek leave to amend the Appeal Notice and the grounds of appeal'* [44]. The Appeal Notice needs to make clear precisely which findings of the judge below are challenged as well as the grounds of each challenge [51].

44.35

The grounds of appeal must:

- (1) set out clearly the reasons why it is said the decision of the lower Court^G was:
 - (a) wrong; or
 - (b) unjust because of a serious procedural or other irregularity in the proceedings in the lower Court^G; and
- (2) specify, in respect of each ground, whether the ground raises an appeal on a point of law or is an appeal against a finding of fact.

Need for concise grounds of appeal

44.35.1

In *Dattani & Ors v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (1 December 2014) CFI 034/2012, Justice Roger Giles explained that the grounds of appeal 'should be a formulation in as concise as terms as possible of the error or injustice in the decision of the lower Court and the reasons therefor' [3].

Filing and service of appellant's notice

44.36

The appellant^G must file the appellant's^G notice at the appeal Court^G within:

- (1) such period as may be directed by the lower Court^G which should not normally exceed 28 days and which may be longer or shorter than the period referred to in (2); or
- (2) where the Court^G makes no such direction, 14 days after the date of the decision of the lower Court^G that the appellant^G wishes to appeal.

44.37

Where the lower Court^G Judge^G announces his decision and reserves the reasons for his judgment^G or order until a later date, he should, in the exercise of powers under Rule 44.36(1), fix a period for filing^G the appellant's^G notice at the appeal Court^G that takes this into account.

44.38

Subject to Rule 44.39 and unless the appeal Court^G orders otherwise, a sealed copy of the appellant's^G notice, together with any skeleton arguments, must be served on each respondent^G:

- (1) as soon as practicable; and
- (2) in any event not later than 7 days;

after it is filed.

Appellant's Skeleton Argument

44.38.1

Skeleton Arguments (and Supplementary Skeleton Arguments) submitted on appeals must not, without the permission of the Court, exceed 35 pages, excluding any index, chronology, reading list, timetable, glossary, dramatis personae or attachments: PD 2/2016. The text is required to be double spaced and of a font of at least 12 point. The Skeleton Argument should direct the Court to the most relevant material on the reading list.

44.39

Where the requirement to serve a skeleton argument is modified by Rule 44.75 the skeleton argument should be served as soon as it is filed.

44.40

The appellant^G must, as soon as practicable, file a certificate of service^G of the documents referred to in Rule 44.38.

44.41

Where the time for filing^G an appellant's^G notice has expired, the appellant^G must:

- (1) file the appellant's^G notice; and
- (2) include in that appellant's^G notice an application for an extension of time.

44.42

The appellant's^G notice should state the reason for the delay and the steps taken prior to the application being made.

44.43

Where the appellant^G is applying for permission to appeal in his appellant's^G notice, he must serve on the respondents^G his appellant's^G notice and skeleton argument (but not the appeal bundle), unless the appeal Court^G directs otherwise.

44.44

Where permission to appeal:

- (1) has been given by the lower Court^G; or
- (2) is not required;

the appellant^G must serve the appeal bundle on the respondents^G with the appellant's^G notice.

44.45

Where the appellant's^G notice includes an application for an extension of time and permission to appeal has been given or is not required the respondent^G has the right to be heard on that application. He must be served with a copy of the appeal bundle. However, a respondent^G who unreasonably opposes an extension of time runs the risk of being ordered to pay the appellant's^G costs of that application.

44.46

If an extension of time is given following such an application the procedure at Rules 44.93 to 44.97 applies.

44.47

The Court^G may dispense with the requirement for service^G of the notice on a respondent^G. Any application notice^G seeking an order under Rule 9.32 to dispense with service^G should set out the reasons relied on and be verified by a statement of truth.

44.48

Unless the Court^G otherwise directs a respondent^G need not take any action when served with an appellant's^G notice until such time as notification is given to him that permission to appeal has been given.

Variation of time

44.49

An application to vary the time limit for filing^G an appeal notice^G must be made to the appeal Court^G.

Application for extension of time for filing an appeal notice

44.49.1

In *Mana & Anor v. Clariden Leu Asset Management (Dubai) Ltd* (29 August 2013) CFI 030/2011, Justice Tan Sri Siti Norma Yaakob declined to extend the time for filing a

notice of appeal where the notice, required to be served within 14 days of the decision of the lower Court under RDC 44.36(2), was served more than five months after the relevant decisions (which had themselves concerned an extension of time for the service of detailed assessment proceedings).

44.50

The parties may not agree to extend any date or time limit set by:

- (1) these Rules^G;
- (2) any Practice Direction; or
- (3) an order of the appeal Court^G or the lower Court^G.

No extension of time by agreement

44.50.1

In *Dattani & Ors v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (1 December 2014) CFI 034/2012, Justice Roger Giles confirmed that an agreement of the parties would not have been sufficient to extend time for service of an appeal notice [2]. To the extent that the judge below had consented to such an agreement, he was found in effect to have made an order extending time.

Applications

44.51

Notice of an application to be made to the appeal Court^G for a remedy incidental to the appeal may be included in the appeal notice^G or in a Part 23 application notice^G.

44.52

The applicant must file the following documents with the notice:

- (1) one additional copy of the application notice^G for the appeal Court^G and one copy for each of the respondents^G;
- (2) where applicable a sealed copy of the order which is the subject of the main appeal; and
- (3) a bundle of documents in support which should include:
 - (a) the Part 23 application notice^G; and
 - (b) any witness statements and affidavits^G filed in support of the application notice^G.

Documents

44.53

The appellant^G must file the following documents together with an appeal bundle with his appellant's^G notice:

- (1) two additional copies of the appellant's^G notice for the appeal Court^G;
- (2) one copy of the appellant's^G notice for each of the respondents^G; and

- (3) one copy of his skeleton argument for each copy of the appellant's^G notice that is filed.

44.54

An appellant^G must include in his appeal bundle the following documents:

- (1) a sealed copy of the appellant's^G notice;
- (2) a sealed copy of the order being appealed;
- (3) a copy of any order giving or refusing permission to appeal, together with a copy of the Judge's^G reasons for allowing or refusing permission to appeal;
- (4) any affidavit^G or witness statement filed in support of any application included in the appellant's^G notice;
- (5) a copy of his skeleton argument;
- (6) a transcript or note of judgment^G, and in cases where permission to appeal was given by the lower Court^G or is not required those parts of any transcript of evidence which are directly relevant to any question at issue on the appeal;
- (7) the claim form and statements of case (where relevant to the subject of the appeal);
- (8) any application notice^G (or case management documentation) relevant to the subject of the appeal;
- (9) in the case of judicial review or a statutory appeal, the original decision which was the subject of the application to the lower Court^G;
- (10) in cases where the appeal is from a tribunal^G, a copy of the tribunal's^G reasons for the decision, a copy of the decision reviewed by the tribunal^G and the reasons for the original decision and any document filed with the tribunal^G setting out the grounds of appeal from that decision;
- (11) any other documents which the appellant^G reasonably considers necessary to enable the appeal Court^G to reach its decision on the hearing of the application or appeal; and
- (12) such other documents as the Court^G may direct.

Directions as to relevant evidence on specified issues

44.54.1

In *Dattani & Ors v. Damac Park Towers Company Ltd* (1 December 2014) CFI 034/2012, the Court, having given permission to appeal on an issue of fact, confirmed that the Court would have regard both to the facts as found by the trial judge and to any necessary material going to the particular issue of reliance.

44.55

All documents that are extraneous to the issues to be considered on the application or the appeal must be excluded. The appeal bundle may include affidavits^G, witness statements, summaries, experts' reports and exhibits but only where these are directly relevant to the subject matter of the appeal.

44.56

The appeal bundle must contain a certificate signed by the appellant's^G legal representatives^G to the effect that they have read and understood Rule 44.55 above and that the composition of the appeal bundle complies with it.

44.57

Where it is not possible to file all the above documents, the appellant^G must indicate which documents have not yet been filed and the reasons why they are not currently available. The appellant^G must then provide a reasonable estimate of when the missing document or documents can be filed and file them as soon as reasonably practicable.

Core bundles

44.58

In cases where the appeal bundle comprises more than 500 pages, exclusive of transcripts, the appellant's^G legal representatives^G must, after consultation with the respondent's^G legal representatives^G, also prepare and file with the Court^G, in addition to copies of the appeal bundle (as amended in accordance with Rule 44.119) the requisite number of copies of a core bundle.

44.59

The core bundle must be filed within 28 days of receipt of the order giving permission to appeal or, where permission to appeal was granted by the lower Court^G or is not required, within 28 days of the date of service^G of the appellant's^G notice on the respondent^G.

44.60

The core bundle:

- (1) must contain the documents which are central to the appeal; and
- (2) must not exceed 150 pages.

Preparation of bundles

44.61

Rules 44.62 to 44.73 apply to the preparation of appeal bundles, supplemental respondents'^G bundles where the parties are unable to agree amendments to the appeal bundle, and core bundles.

Rejection of bundles

44.62

Where documents are copied unnecessarily or bundled incompletely, costs may be disallowed. Where the provisions of this Part as to the preparation or delivery of bundles are not followed the bundle may be rejected by the Court^G or be made the subject of a special costs order.

Avoidance of duplication

44.63

No more than one copy of any document should be included unless there is a good reason for doing otherwise (such as the use of a separate core bundle in Rule 44.58).

Pagination

44.64

The following rules regarding pagination shall apply:

- (1) Bundles must be paginated, each page being numbered individually and consecutively. The pagination used at trial must also be indicated. Letters and other documents should normally be included in chronological order. (An exception to consecutive page numbering arises in the case of core bundles where it may be preferable to retain the original numbering).
- (2) Page numbers should be inserted in bold figures at the bottom of the page and in a form that can be clearly distinguished from any other pagination on the document.

Format and presentation

44.65

The following rules regarding format and presentation shall apply:

- (1) Where possible the documents should be in A4 format. Where a document has to be read across rather than down the page, it should be so placed in the bundle as to ensure that the text starts nearest the spine.
- (2) Where any marking or writing in colour on a document is important, the document must be copied in colour or marked up correctly in colour.
- (3) Documents which are not easily legible should be transcribed and the transcription marked and placed adjacent to the document transcribed.
- (4) Documents in a language other than English should be translated and the translation marked and placed adjacent to the document translated. The translation should be agreed or, if it cannot be agreed, each party's proposed translation should be included.
- (5) The size of any bundle should be tailored to its contents. A large lever arch file should not be used for just a few pages nor should files be overloaded.
- (6) Where it will assist the Court⁶, different sections of the file may be separated by cardboard or other tabbed dividers so long as these are clearly indexed. Where, for example, a document is awaited when the appeal bundle is filed, a single sheet of paper can be inserted after a divider, indicating the nature of the document awaited. For example, 'Transcript of evidence of Mr J Smith (to follow)'.

Binding

44.66

The following rules regarding binding shall apply:

- (1) All documents, with the exception of transcripts, must be bound together. This may be in a lever arch file, ring binder or plastic folder. Plastic sleeves containing loose documents must not be used. Binders and files must be strong enough to withstand heavy use.
- (2) Large documents such as plans should be placed in an easily accessible file. Large documents which will need to be opened up frequently should be inserted in a file larger than A4 size.

Indices and labels

44.67

The following rules regarding indices and labels shall apply:

- (1) An index must be included at the front of the bundle listing all the documents and providing the page references for each. In the case of documents such as letters, invoices or bank statements, they may be given a general description.
- (2) Where the bundles consist of more than one file, an index to all the files should be included in the first file and an index included for each file. Indices should, if possible, be on a single sheet. The full name of the case should not be inserted on the index if this would waste space. Documents should be identified briefly but properly.

Identification

44.68

The following rules regarding identification shall apply:

- (1) Every bundle must be clearly identified, on the spine and on the front cover, with the name of the case and the Court's^G reference. Where the bundle consists of more than one file, each file must be numbered on the spine, the front cover and the inside of the front cover.
- (2) Outer labels should use large lettering e.g. 'Appeal Bundle A' or 'Core Bundle'. The full title of the appeal and legal representatives'^G names and addresses should be omitted. A label should be used on the front as well as on the spine.

Staples etc

44.69

All staples, heavy metal clips, etc., must be removed.

Statement of case

44.70

The following rules regarding statements of case shall apply:

- (1) Statements of case should be assembled in 'chapter' form — i.e. claim followed by particulars of claim, followed by further information, irrespective of date.
- (2) Redundant documents, e.g. particulars of claim overtaken by amendments, requests for further information recited in the answers given, should generally be excluded.

New documents

44.71

The following rules regarding new documents shall apply:

- (1) Before a new document is introduced into bundles which have already been delivered to the Court^G, steps should be taken to ensure that it carries an appropriate bundle/page number so that it can be added to the Court^G documents. It should not be stapled and it should be prepared with punch holes for immediate inclusion in the binders in use.
- (2) If it is expected that a large number of miscellaneous new documents will from time to time be introduced, there should be a special tabbed empty loose-leaf file for that purpose. An index should be produced for this file, updated as necessary.

Correspondence between legal representatives

44.72

Since correspondence between legal representatives^G is unlikely to be required for the purposes of an appeal, only those letters which will need to be referred to should be copied.

Sanctions for non-compliance

44.73

If the appellant^G fails to comply with the requirements as to the provision of bundles of documents, the application or appeal will be referred for consideration to be given as to why it should not be dismissed for failure so to comply.

Skeleton arguments

44.74

The appellant's^G notice must, subject to Rule 44.75, be accompanied by a skeleton argument. Alternatively the skeleton argument may be included in the appellant's^G notice. Where the skeleton argument is so included it will not form part of the notice for the purposes of Rule 44.88.

44.75

Where it is impracticable for the appellant's^G skeleton argument to accompany the appellant's^G notice it must be filed and served on all respondents^G within 14 days of filing^G the notice.

Content of skeleton arguments

44.76

A skeleton argument filed on behalf of the appellant^G should contain in paragraph 1 the legal representatives'^G time estimate for the hearing of the appeal.

44.77

A skeleton argument must contain a numbered list of the points which the party wishes to make. These should both define and confine the areas of controversy. Each point should be stated as concisely as the nature of the case allows.

Appellant's Skeleton Argument

44.77.1

Skeleton Arguments (and Supplementary Skeleton Arguments) submitted on appeals must not, without the permission of the Court, exceed 35 pages, excluding any index, chronology, reading list, timetable, glossary, dramatis personae or attachments: PD 2/2016. The text is required to be double spaced and of a font of at least 12 point. The Skeleton Argument should direct the Court to the most relevant material on the reading list.

44.78

A numbered point must be followed by a reference to any document on which the party wishes to rely.

44.79

A skeleton argument must state, in respect of each authority cited:

- (1) the proposition of law that the authority demonstrates; and
- (2) the parts of the authority (identified by page or paragraph references) that support the proposition.

44.80

If more than one authority is cited in support of a given proposition, the skeleton argument must briefly state the reason for taking that course.

44.81

The statement referred to in Rule 44.80 should not materially add to the length of the skeleton argument but should be sufficient to demonstrate, in the context of the argument:

- (1) the relevance of the authority or authorities to that argument; and
- (2) that the citation is necessary for a proper presentation of that argument.

44.82

The cost of preparing a skeleton argument which:

- (1) does not comply with the requirements set out in Rules 44.76 to 44.81; or
- (2) was not filed within the time limits provided by this Part (or any further time granted by the Court);

will not be allowed on assessment except to the extent that the Court^G otherwise directs.

44.83

The appellant^G should consider what other information the appeal Court^G will need. This may include a list of persons who feature in the case or glossaries of technical terms. A chronology of relevant events will be necessary in most appeals.

Suitable record of the judgment

44.84

Where the judgment^G to be appealed has been officially recorded by the Court^G, an approved transcript of that record should accompany the appellant's^G notice. Photocopies will not be accepted for this purpose. However, where there is no officially recorded judgment^G, the following documents will be acceptable:

(1) Written judgments

Where the judgment^G was made in writing a copy of that judgment^G endorsed with the Judge's^G signature.

(2) Note of judgment

When judgment^G was not officially recorded or made in writing a note of the judgment^G (agreed between the appellant's^G and respondent's^G legal representatives^G) should be submitted for approval to the Judge^G whose decision is being appealed. If the parties cannot agree on a single note of the judgment^G, both versions should be provided to that Judge^G with an explanatory letter. For the purpose of an application for permission to appeal the note need not be approved by the respondent^G or the lower Court^G Judge^G.

(3) Reasons for judgment in tribunal cases

A sealed copy of the tribunal's^G reasons for the decision.

44.85

An appellant^G may not be able to obtain an official transcript or other suitable record of the lower Court's^G decision within the time within which the appellant's^G notice must be filed. In such cases the appellant's^G notice must still be completed to the best of the appellant's^G ability on the basis of the documentation available. However it may be amended subsequently with the permission of the appeal Court^G.

Transcripts or notes of evidence

44.86

When the evidence is relevant to the appeal an official transcript of the relevant evidence must be obtained. Transcripts or notes of evidence are generally not needed for the purpose of determining an application for permission to appeal.

44.87

If evidence relevant to the appeal was not officially recorded, a typed version of the Judge's^G notes of evidence must be obtained.

Amendment of appeal notice

44.88

An appeal notice^G may not be amended without the permission of the appeal Court^G. An application to amend and any application in opposition will normally be dealt with at the appeal hearing unless that course would cause unnecessary expense or delay in which case a request should be made for the application to amend to be heard in advance.

Amendment of appeal notice

44.88.1

In *Rohan & Ors v. Daman Real Estate Capital Partners* (16 October 2014) CA 005/2013 and 006/2013, DCJ Sir John Chadwick deprecated the attempt of the appellant seller to rely on points arguably taken in its skeleton arguments on the relevant appeals but not clearly raised as grounds of appeal in its Appeal Notice [34]-[38]. It was incorrect for the appellant to say in its skeleton arguments on the appeal that it was seeking a holding that had not been identified in either its Appeal Notices or its grounds of appeal. He explained further that: 'It is not the function of a skeleton argument to seek relief that was not sought in the Appeal Notice. The proper course is to seek leave to amend the Appeal Notice and the grounds of appeal' [44]. There had been no such application in that case, and the DCJ declined to consider the issue on which the appeal had been re-opened.

Striking out appeal notices and setting aside or imposing conditions on permission to appeal

44.89

The appeal Court^G may:

- (1) strike out^G the whole or part of an appeal notice^G;
- (2) set aside^G permission to appeal in whole or in part;
- (3) impose or vary conditions upon which an appeal may be brought.

44.90

The Court^G will only exercise its powers under Rule 44.88 where there is a compelling reason for doing so.

Imposition of conditions on which appeal may be brought

44.90.1

In *Al Khorafi v. Bank Sarasin* (5 April 2015) CFI 026/2009 at [13], Justice Roger Giles suggested that the reference to RDC 44.88 should be to RDC 44.89.

As for what constitutes a 'compelling reason', see generally the related commentary in Notes 44.22.1 to 44.22.3 above.

44.91

Where a party was present at the hearing at which permission was given he may not subsequently apply for an order that the Court^G exercise its powers under Rule 44.89(2) or 44.89(3).

Procedure after permission is obtained

44.92

Rules 44.93 to 44.97 set out the procedure where:

- (1) permission to appeal is given by the appeal Court^G; or
- (2) the appellant's^G notice is filed in the appeal Court^G; and:
 - (a) permission was given by the lower Court^G; or
 - (b) permission is not required.

44.93

If the appeal Court^G gives permission to appeal, the appeal bundle must be served on each of the respondents^G within 7 days of receiving the order giving permission to appeal.

44.94

The appeal Court^G will send the parties:

- (1) notification of the date of the hearing;
- (2) where permission is granted by the appeal Court^G a copy of the order giving permission to appeal; and
- (3) any other directions given by the Court^G.

44.95

Where the appeal Court^G grants permission to appeal, the appellant^G must add the following documents to the appeal bundle:

- (1) the respondent's^G notice and skeleton argument (if any);
- (2) those parts of the transcripts of evidence which are directly relevant to any question at issue on the appeal;
- (3) the order granting permission to appeal and, where permission to appeal was granted at an oral hearing, the transcript (or note) of any judgment^G which was given; and
- (4) any document which the appellant^G and respondent^G have agreed to add to the appeal bundle in accordance with Rule 44.119.

44.96

Where permission to appeal has been refused on a particular issue, the appellant^G must remove from the appeal bundle all documents that are relevant only to that issue.

Time estimates

44.97

The legal representatives^G who will argue the appeal must provide a time estimate within 14 days of the appellant^G receiving the order giving permission to appeal. It should exclude the time required by the Court^G to give judgment^G.

If the respondent^G disagrees with the time estimate, the respondent^G must inform the Court^G within 7 days of receipt of the estimate. In the absence of such notification the respondent^G will be deemed to have accepted the estimate proposed on behalf of the appellant^G.

Respondent's notice

44.98

A respondent^G may file and serve a respondent's^G notice.

44.99

A respondent^G who:

- (1) is seeking permission to appeal from the appeal Court^G; or
- (2) wishes to ask the appeal Court^G to uphold the order of the lower Court^G for reasons different from or additional to those given by the lower Court^G;

must file a respondent's^G notice.

44.100

Where the respondent^G seeks permission from the appeal Court^G it must be requested in the respondent's^G notice.

44.101

A respondent^G who wishes to ask the appeal Court^G to vary the order of the lower Court^G in any way must appeal and permission will be required on the same basis as for an appellant^G.

44.102

A respondent^G who wishes only to request that the appeal Court^G upholds the judgment^G or order of the lower Court^G whether for the reasons given in the lower Court^G does not make an appeal and does not therefore require permission to appeal in accordance with Rule 44.5.

44.103

A respondent^G who wishes to appeal or who wishes to ask the appeal Court^G to uphold the order of the lower Court^G for reasons different from or additional to those given by the lower Court^G must file a respondent's^G notice.

44.104

If the respondent^G does not file a respondent's^G notice, he will not be entitled, except with the permission of the Court^G, to rely on any reason not relied on in the lower Court^G.

Time limits for respondent's notice

44.105

A respondent's^G notice must be filed within:

- (1) such period as may be directed by the lower Court^G; or

- (2) where the Court^G makes no such direction, 14 days after the date in Rule 44.106.

44.106

The date referred to in Rule 44.105 is:

- (1) the date the respondent^G is served with the appellant's^G notice where:
 - (a) permission to appeal was given by the lower Court^G; or
 - (b) permission to appeal is not required;
- (2) the date the respondent^G is served with notification that the appeal Court^G has given the appellant^G permission to appeal; or
- (3) the date the respondent^G is served with notification that the application for permission to appeal and the appeal itself are to be heard together.

44.107

Rules 44.41 and 44.42 (extension for time for filing^G appellant's^G notice) also apply to a respondent^G and a respondent's^G notice.

44.108

Where an extension of time is required the extension must be requested in the respondent's^G notice and the reasons why the respondent^G failed to act within the specified time must be included.

44.109

The respondent^G must file a skeleton argument for the Court^G in all cases where he proposes to address arguments to the Court^G. The respondent's^G skeleton argument may be included within a respondent's^G notice. Where a skeleton argument is included within a respondent's^G notice it will not form part of the notice for the purposes of Rule 44.88.

Respondent's Skeleton Argument

44.109.1

Skeleton Arguments (and Supplementary Skeleton Arguments) submitted on appeals must not, without the permission of the Court, exceed 35 pages, excluding any index, chronology, reading list, timetable, glossary, dramatis personae or attachments: PD 2/2016. The text is required to be double spaced and of a font of at least 12 point. The Skeleton Argument should direct the Court to the most relevant material on the reading list.

44.110

Unless the appeal Court^G orders otherwise a respondent's^G notice must be served on the appellant^G and any other respondent^G:

- (1) as soon as practicable; and
- (2) in any event not later than 7 days;

after it is filed.

44.111

A respondent^G who:

- (1) files a respondent's^G notice; but
- (2) does not include his skeleton argument within that notice;

must file and serve his skeleton argument within 14 days of filing^G the notice.

44.112

A respondent^G who does not file a respondent's^G notice but who files a skeleton argument must file and serve that skeleton argument at least 7 days before the appeal hearing.

44.113

The respondent^G must:

- (1) serve his skeleton argument on:
 - (a) the appellant^G; and
 - (b) any other respondent^G;

at the same time as he files it at the Court^G; and

- (2) file a certificate of service^G.

Content of respondent's skeleton argument**44.114**

A respondent's^G skeleton argument must conform to the directions at Rules 44.76 to 44.83 with any necessary modifications. It should, where appropriate, answer the arguments set out in the appellant's^G skeleton argument.

Applications within respondent's notice**44.115**

A respondent^G may include an application within a respondent's^G notice in accordance with Rule 44.51 above.

Filing respondent's notice and skeleton argument**44.116**

The respondent^G must file the following documents with his respondent's^G notice in every case:

- (1) two additional copies of the respondent's^G notice for the appeal Court^G; and
- (2) one copy each for the appellant^G and any other respondents^G.

44.117

The respondent^G may file a skeleton argument with his respondent's^G notice and—

- (1) where he does so he must file two copies; and
- (2) where he does not do so he must comply with Rules 44.111 and 44.112.

44.118

If the respondent^G wishes to rely on any documents which he reasonably considers necessary to enable the appeal Court^G to reach its decision on the appeal in addition to those filed by the appellant^G, he must make every effort to agree amendments to the appeal bundle with the appellant^G.

44.119

If the legal representatives^G of the parties are unable to reach agreement, the respondent^G may prepare a supplemental bundle.

44.120

If the respondent^G prepares a supplemental bundle he must file it, together with the requisite number of copies for the appeal Court^G, at the appeal Court^G:

- (1) with the respondent's^G notice; or
- (2) if a respondent's^G notice is not filed, within 21 days after he is served with the appeal bundle.

44.121

The respondent^G must serve:

- (1) the respondent's^G notice;
- (2) his skeleton argument (if any); and
- (3) the supplemental bundle (if any);

on:

- (a) the appellant^G; and
- (b) any other respondent^G;

at the same time as he files them at the Court^G.

Bundles of authorities**44.122**

Once the parties have been notified of the date fixed for the hearing, the appellant's^G legal representative^G must, after consultation with his opponent, file a bundle containing photocopies of the authorities upon which each side will rely at the hearing.

44.123

The bundle of authorities should, in general:

- (1) have the relevant passages of the authorities marked;
- (2) not include authorities for propositions not in dispute; and

- (3) not contain more than 10 authorities unless the scale of the appeal warrants more extensive citation.

44.124

The bundle of authorities must be filed:

- (1) at least 7 days before the hearing; or
- (2) where the period of notice of the hearing is less than 7 days, immediately.

44.125

If, through some oversight, a party intends, during the hearing, to refer to other authorities the parties may agree a second agreed bundle. The appellant's^G legal representatives^G must file this bundle at least 48 hours before the hearing commences.

44.126

A bundle of authorities must bear a certification by the legal representatives^G responsible for arguing the case that the requirements of Rule 44.79 to 44.81 have been complied with in respect of each authority included.

Supplementary skeleton arguments

44.127

A supplementary skeleton argument on which the appellant^G wishes to rely must be filed at least 14 days before the hearing.

44.128

A supplementary skeleton argument on which the respondent^G wishes to rely must be filed at least 7 days before the hearing.

44.129

All supplementary skeleton arguments must comply with the requirements set out in Rules 44.76 to 44.83.

Supplementary Skeleton Arguments

44.129.1

Further, supplementary Skeleton Arguments submitted on appeals must not, without the permission of the Court, exceed 35 pages (excluding any index, chronology, reading list, timetable, glossary, dramatis personae or attachments): PD 2/2016. The text is required to be double spaced and of a font of at least 12 point.

44.130

At the hearing the Court^G may refuse to hear argument from a party not contained in a skeleton argument filed within the relevant time limit set out in this paragraph.

Papers for the appeal hearing

44.131

All the documents which are needed for the appeal hearing must be filed at least 7 days before the hearing.

44.132

Any party who fails to comply with the provisions of Rule 44.131 may be required to attend before the Chief Justice^G to seek permission to proceed with, or to oppose, the appeal.

Appeals to the Court of Appeal

44.133

On hearing an appeal from a decision of the Court of First Instance, the Court of Appeal may:

- (1) make or give any order that could have been made or given by the Court of First Instance;
- (2) attach terms or conditions to an order it makes;
- (3) annul or set aside^G a decision;
- (4) require or prohibit the taking of a specific action or of action of a specified class;
- (5) make a declaration of facts; or
- (6) make any other order that the Court of Appeal considers appropriate or just.

Orders that may be made by the Court of Appeal

44.133.1

In *Frontline Development Partners Ltd v. Asif Hakim Adil* (7 September 2015) CA 005/2015, the Court of Appeal explained, in a case in which it found that a procedural order was flawed for its lack of reasoning and for the absence of a sufficient basis for making the order, that *'it is open to this Court to consider whether to set aside the Order and refer the matter back to the judge (or to another judge of the Court of First Instance), or, as would be more usual in a case of this nature, to ask itself what Order it would make if it were now exercising de novo the power to order security for costs conferred by RDC 25.101'* [10]. The words in parenthesis clearly posit circumstances where the judge below may find it difficult to ignore matters of which he has been made aware or where there may have been a significant degree or appearance of bias. In any event, it is plainly preferable, in the interests of saving costs and time, that the Court of Appeal substitute its own decision for that of the Court below, unless there is some further factual enquiry that will be required for such a decision.

In *Damac Park Towers Company Ltd v. Youssef Issa Ward* (14 December 2015) CA 006/2015, the Court confirmed that the Court of Appeal could *'independently consider alternative legal theories not raised in the parties submissions'*, not least because *'[i]t is our charge to ensure that no miscarriage of justice arises simply because one or both parties have not fully addressed a point which we consider material to the outcome of the dispute'* [113]. Chief Justice Hwang suggested that it was for these reasons that the Court is entitled to *'make or give any order that could have been made or given by the [CFI]'* or *'make any other order that the Court of Appeal considers appropriate or just'* for the purposes of RDC 44.133(1) and (6) respectively.

Appeals to the Court of First Instance

44.134

Unless another Rule, Practice Direction or enactment provides otherwise, on hearing an appeal, the Court of First Instance may:

- (1) affirm, reverse or vary the decision appealed;
- (2) set aside^G the decision appealed, in whole or in part;
- (3) make any other order it considers appropriate;
- (4) remit proceedings to the tribunal^G from which the appeal was brought, subject to any directions the Court of First Instance considers appropriate; or
- (5) make any order or direction that is in the interests of justice.

Hearings of appeals

44.135

Every appeal will be limited to a review of the decision of the lower Court^G unless:

- (1) the Court^G considers that in the circumstances of an individual appeal it would be in the interests of justice to hold a re-hearing;
- (2) Rule 44.136 applies; or
- (3) any other Rule or enactment requires a re-hearing.

44.136

The hearing of an appeal will be a re-hearing (as opposed to a review of the decision of the lower Court^G) if the appeal is from the decision of a person or other body and the person or other body:

- (1) did not hold a hearing to come to that decision; or
- (2) held a hearing to come to that decision, but the procedure adopted did not provide for the consideration of evidence.

44.137

The appeal Court^G may exercise its powers in relation to the whole or part of an order of the lower Court^G.

44.138

At the hearing of the appeal a party may not rely on a matter not contained in his appeal notice^G unless the appeal Court^G gives permission.

Evidence on appeal

44.139

Unless it orders otherwise, the appeal Court^G will not receive:

- (1) oral evidence; or
- (2) evidence which was not before the lower Court^G.

44.140

Subject to Rule 44.139, the Court^G may receive further evidence, including:

- (1) oral testimony;
- (2) unsworn and sworn written evidence;
- (3) evidence by video link, telephone or other means in accordance with what is appropriate in the circumstances; or
- (4) evidence given in accordance with Part 29.

Power of Court of Appeal to admit fresh evidence

44.140.1

In *Silva v. United Investment Bank* (13 November 2014) CA 004/2014, Justice Roger Giles, noting that the RDC do not themselves guide the exercise of the Court's discretion to receive further evidence, referred to the well-established principles under the equivalent English rule in CPR 52.11 as being appropriate as a guide [19]. He summarised them in the terms used by the English Court of Appeal in *Ladd v. Marshall* (1954) 1 WLR 1489 (said in *Hertfordshire Investments Ltd v. Bubb* [2000] 1 WLR 2318 to be relevant to the general discretion) which included that the evidence could not have been obtained without reasonable diligence for use at the trial, and that it would probably have had an important, though not necessarily decisive, influence on the result of the case.

44.141

The appeal Court^G may draw any inference of fact which it considers justified on the evidence.

Power of Court of Appeal to draw inferences of fact

44.141.1

In *Damac Park Towers Company Ltd v. Youssef Issa Ward* (14 December 2015) CA 006/2015, Chief Justice Michael Hwang found that the test in deciding whether new points can be raised on an appeal is whether they would have affected the evidence adduced at trial [66]-[67]. On that basis, it is likely to be permissible to raise 'pure' issues of law and construction, but less likely to be permissible to raise issues of fact. However, where the issue of fact arises from a dispute as to an *inference* to be drawn, rather than a question of primary fact, the argument of mixed fact and law that arose in that case did not require any further evidence. In asking whether the relevant inference was appropriate, there was no further evidence that the relevant witness could have given as to his knowledge, and so the Court of Appeal was entitled to draw inferences as to what he must have known pursuant to RDC 44.141 [65]-[77].

Conditions for allowing an appeal

44.142

The Court of Appeal will allow an appeal from a decision of the Court of First Instance where the decision of the lower Court^G was:

- (1) wrong; or
- (2) unjust because of a serious procedural or other irregularity in the proceedings in the lower Court^G.

Conditions for allowing an appeal

44.142.1

An appeal will be allowed where the decision of the lower Court was wrong or unjust because of a serious procedural or other irregularity. It is important to remember that the appeal lies against the order rather than the underlying reasoning. So in *Aida Dagher v Capital Investment International (CII-UAE) Ltd* (30 June 2014) CFI 013/2011 Justice Roger Giles, considering an application for permission to appeal under RDC 44.15, stressed that leave to appeal should not be granted if the trial judge's reasons sustain the result notwithstanding that in some respects those reasons may be open to question [8].

44.143

The Court of First Instance will allow an appeal from a decision of a tribunal^G provided for in the Law^G, DIFC Law or Rules of Court^G where the decision was:

- (1) wrong in relation to a question of law;
- (2) unjust because of procedural unfairness or a miscarriage of justice; and/or
- (3) wrong in relation to any other matter provided for in or under DIFC Law.

44.144

The Court of First Instance will allow an appeal not referred to in Rule 44.143 where the decision was:

- (1) wrong; or
- (2) unjust because of a serious procedural or other irregularity in the proceedings.

Non-disclosure of Part 32 offers

44.145

The fact that a Part 32 offer or payment into Court^G has been made must not be disclosed to any Judge^G of the appeal Court^G who is to hear or determine:

- (1) an application for permission to appeal; or
- (2) an appeal;

until all questions (other than costs) have been determined.

44.146

Rule 44.145 does not apply if the Part 32 offer or payment into Court^G is relevant to the substance of the appeal.

44.147

Rule 44.145 does not prevent disclosure in any application in the appeal proceedings if disclosure of the fact that a Part 32 offer or payment into Court^G has been made is properly relevant to the matter to be decided.

Who may exercise the powers of the Court of Appeal**44.148**

The Registrar^G may exercise the jurisdiction^G of the Court of Appeal with the consent of the Chief Justice^G to do all things necessary or convenient for the purpose of assisting the Judges^G of the Court of Appeal in the exercise of their powers or duties.

44.149

A single Judge^G may exercise the appellate jurisdiction^G of the Court of Appeal relating to an application for:

- (1) leave to appeal to the Court of Appeal;
- (2) an extension of time within which to institute an appeal to the Court of Appeal;
- (3) leave to amend the grounds of an appeal to the Court of Appeal; or
- (4) a stay^G.

44.150

Decisions of the Registrar^G or a single Judge^G and under Rules 44.148 or 44.149 may be made without a hearing.

44.151

A party may request any decision of the Registrar^G or the single Judge^G under Rules 44.148 or 44.149 made without a hearing to be reviewed by the Court of Appeal at a hearing.

44.152

A request under Rule 44.151 must be filed within 7 days after the party is served with notice of the decision.

44.153

A single Judge^G may refer any matter for a decision by a Court consisting of two or more judges^G.

Dismissal of applications or appeals by consent**44.154**

Where an appellant^G does not wish to pursue an application or an appeal, he may request the appeal Court^G for an order that his application or appeal be

dismissed. If such a request is granted it will usually be on the basis that the appellant^G pays the costs of the application or appeal.

44.155

If the appellant^G wishes to have the application or appeal dismissed without costs, his request must be accompanied by a consent signed by the respondent^G or his legal representative^G stating that the respondent^G consents to the dismissal of the application or appeal without costs.

44.156

Where a settlement has been reached disposing of the application or appeal, the parties may make a joint request to the Court^G asking that the application or appeal be dismissed by consent. If the request is granted the application or appeal will be dismissed.

Allowing unopposed appeals or applications without a hearing

44.157

The appeal Court^G will not normally make an order allowing an appeal unless satisfied that the decision of the lower Court^G was wrong, but the appeal Court^G may set aside^G or vary the order of the lower Court^G with consent and without determining the merits of the appeal, if it is satisfied that there are good and sufficient reasons for doing so. Where the appeal Court^G is requested by all parties to allow an application or an appeal the Court^G may consider the request without a hearing. The request should set out the relevant history of the proceedings and the matters relied on as justifying the proposed order and be accompanied by a copy of the proposed order.

Availability of reserved judgments before hand down

44.158

Rules 44.159 and 44.160 apply where the presiding Judge^G is satisfied that the result of the appeal will attract no special degree of confidentiality or sensitivity.

44.159

A copy of the written judgment^G will be made available to the parties' legal representatives^G by 4 p.m. on the second working day before judgment^G is due to be pronounced or such other period as the Court^G may direct. This can be shown, in confidence, to the parties but only for the purpose of obtaining instructions and on the strict understanding that the judgment^G, or its effect, is not to be disclosed to any other person. A working day is any day on which the Court Office is open for business.

44.160

The appeal will be listed for judgment^G and the judgment^G handed down at the appropriate time.

Attendance of legal representatives on the handing down of a reserved judgment

44.161

Where any consequential orders are agreed, the parties' legal representatives need not attend on the handing down of a reserved judgment^G. Where a legal

representative does attend the Court^G may, if it considers such attendance unnecessary, disallow the costs of the attendance. If the parties do not indicate that they intend to attend, where the appeal was heard by more than one Judge^G, the judgment^G may be handed down by a single member of the Court^G.

Agreed orders following judgment

44.162

The parties must, in respect of any draft agreed orders file 4 copies in the Court Office, no later than 12 noon on the working day before the judgment^G is handed down.

44.163

A copy of a draft order must bear the case reference, the date the judgment^G is to be handed down and the name of the presiding Judge^G.

Corrections to the draft judgment

44.164

Any proposed correction to the draft judgment^G should be sent to the Judge^G who prepared the draft with a copy to any other party.

Immediate assessment of costs

44.165

Costs are likely to be assessed by way of immediate assessment at the following hearings:

- (1) contested directions hearings;
- (2) applications for permission to appeal at which the respondent^G is present;
- (3) dismissal list hearings in the Court of Appeal at which the respondent^G is present;
- (4) appeals from case management decisions; and
- (5) appeals listed for one day or less.

44.166

Parties attending any of the hearings referred to in Rule 44.165 should be prepared to deal with the immediate assessment.

Appeals to the Court of First Instance from the Director of Employment Standards

44.167

Rules 44.168 to 44.177 apply to appeals to the Court of First Instance from a determination, decision, or fine of the Director of Employment Standards pursuant to Article 83(1) of the Employment Law, DIFC Law 4 of 2005.

44.168

An appeal referred to in Rule 44.167 shall be filed within 30 days of receipt of the determination, decision, or fine appealed and in accordance with the requirements of this Part.

44.169

The Director of Employment Standards must be named as a party to an appeal referred to in Rule 44.167.

44.170

The Court^G may grant a stay^G of the decision appealed from until the disposition of the appeal.

44.171

The Court^G may attach conditions to the stay^G, including requiring a party to deposit as security part or all of a monetary order.

44.172

The Director of Employment Standards shall provide the Court^G with the record that was before him at the time the determination, order or fine was made, including any witness statement and documents considered by him.

44.173

The Court^G may consider any other relevant evidence, in addition to the record.

44.174

Before considering the appeal, the Court of First Instance may:

- (1) refer the matter back to the Director of Employment Standards for further investigation; or
- (2) recommend that an attempt be made to settle the matter.

44.175

The Court^G may dismiss the appeal without a hearing if satisfied that:

- (1) the appeal is not within the Court's^G jurisdiction^G;
- (2) the appeal is frivolous or trivial or is not brought in good faith.

44.176

On hearing the appeal the Court^G may:

- (1) decide all questions of fact or law arising in the course of an appeal;
- (2) refer the matter back to the Director of Employment Standards; or
- (3) confirm, vary or cancel the determination, decision or fine under appeal, or make another decision that it considers proper.

44.177

The Director of Employment Standards shall comply with any directions the Court^G gives to him.

No second appeals

44.178

No appeal lies from a decision of the Court of First Instance or the Court of Appeal on an appeal.

Reopening of final appeals

44.179

The Court of Appeal or the Court of First Instance will not reopen a final determination of any appeal unless:

- (1) it is necessary to do so in order to avoid real injustice;
- (2) the circumstances are exceptional and make it appropriate to reopen the appeal; and
- (3) there is no alternative effective remedy.

Power of Court to reopen a final appeal in exceptional circumstances

44.179.1

In *Rohan & Ors v. Daman Real Estate Capital Partners Ltd* (24 April 2014) CA 005/2013, Justice Roger Giles, as a single justice of the CA, gave permission under RDC 44.180 (now 44.179) to re-open the Defendant's appeal. The primary focus at trial had been on the effect of certain letters by the Defendant in extending the Anticipated Completion Date ('ACD'). The trial judge had found against those letters having that effect, but found further that the Defendant's delay was not found by any Force Majeure event. On appeal, the CA agreed that the Defendant could not rely on its letters as a defence to the claims, but failed adequately to consider or deal with the issues taken on the appeal as to (i) whether any notice was necessary to extend the ACD and/or (ii) whether there had been proof of a Force Majeure delay. The single justice was unwilling to draw inferences that the CA had accepted the Respondents' submissions on these issues [9]. The conclusions of the trial judge were open to appellate review, and leave to appeal had been granted, but no appeal had occurred. It was not for a single justice to put himself in the place of the CA unless he was satisfied that the challenge should not proceed [10]. The judge, applying without direct reference the test in *Re Uddin (A Child)* [2005] EWCA Civ 52; [2005] 1 WLR 2398, found that there had been an undermining of the integrity of the litigation process, and that, for the purposes of RDC 44.[179], there would be real injustice if the Appellant were unable to re-open the appeal [11].

It should be noted, however, from the judgment of the CA, and in particular the leading judgment of DCJ Chadwick, in the re-opened appeal in *Rohan & Ors v. Daman Real Estate Capital Partners* (16 October 2014) CA 005/2013 and 006/2013, that DCJ Chadwick, who had also given the earlier judgment of the CA, appeared to disagree that the appeal should have been re-opened. He distinguished the Seller's pleading that *force majeure* events had caused the delay on which the Seller had relied in certain *force majeure* notices, from the issue identified by Justice Roger Giles as to whether *force majeure* events had in fact caused the delay (regardless of any notices served) [32]. DCJ Chadwick declined the invitation of Justice Roger Giles to investigate that latter issue on the basis that it had not been pleaded either in the defences [40] or in the grounds of appeal in the Appeal Notice [34], even though the issue of whether there had in fact

been *force majeure* had plainly been considered on the evidence by the trial judge as part of his exercise in considering the validity of the seller's *force majeure* notices [46]-[49], and even though the point was arguably raised in the Seller's Skeleton Argument before the CA [35]-[38].

In *ABC v. XYZ & MNO* (26 November 2012) CFI 016/2012, Chief Justice Hwang declined to reopen the earlier decision of Justice Chadwick in the same case (9 September 2012) allowing the Claimant's appeal against a decision of the Small Claims Tribunal ("SCT") that it had no jurisdiction. The Court found that the Defendants had shown no indications of any new evidence or arguments that would change the outcome as found by Justice Chadwick in deciding the issue of construction on which he had both decided the jurisdiction issue and ordered payment of the Claimant's bonus claim [19]-[20].

Similarly, in *Silva v. United Investment Bank* (13 November 2014) CA 004/2014, Justice Roger Giles dismissed an application to re-open the judgment of the CA given on 21 August 2014 in favour of the Claimant in allowing his appeal. The judge explained that the jurisdiction to re-open appeals was modelled on the English CPR 52.17, introduced in 2003 after the decision in *Taylor v. Lawrence* [2002] EWCA Civ 90; [2003] QB 528 that the court had an inherent jurisdiction to re-open an appeal in order to avoid real injustice in exceptional circumstances. He further referred to the statement in *Re Uddin* (A Child) [2005] EWCA Civ 52; [2005] 1 WLR 2398, that it must generally be demonstrated 'that the integrity of the earlier litigation process ...has been critically undermined' and 'the process itself has been corrupted' and that '...it is the corruption of justice that as a matter of policy is most likely to validate an exceptional course; a course which relegates the high importance of finality in litigation to second place' [5]-[6].

The judge emphasised that an appeal should not be re-opened in order to allow a party to re-agitate or expand upon a matter already considered, even if the application is based upon mistakes by the applicant's lawyers. The judge concluded that: '[t]he jurisdiction must be exercised with caution, given the importance of the public interest in the finality of litigation. Generally it will not be exercised unless the applicant can show that by accident and without fault on his part he has not been heard or his appeal has not been fully considered, although there may be other circumstances in which, for example, misapprehension of the facts or the law has fundamentally afflicted the integrity of the judgment in question' [6]. On the facts of that application, the reasons invoked were little more than invitations to conclude that the CA had been wrong in its views [8]-[15].

Where the application involves a related application for the admission of fresh evidence on a re-opened appeal, the test is not the same as that applied under RDC 44.140 in considering that related application [18]-[21].

Application to re-open a final appeal on fresh evidence **44.179.2**

The test applied under RDC 44.139 and 44.140 in admitting fresh evidence on an appeal (where that evidence could not have been obtained with reasonable diligence for use at the trial and would probably have had an important, though not necessarily decisive, influence on the result in that case) is not the test for re-opening an appeal

under RDC 44.179 by reason of fresh evidence coming to light. The fresh evidence, even if it points to a different result, may disclose nothing to suggest that the process of justice has been corrupted: see *Silva v. United Investment Bank* (13 November 2014) CA 004/2014 at [18]-[21]. On the facts of that case, the further evidence produced gave only tenuous support to the applicant's case and did not even satisfy the test under RDC 44.140 [21].

44.180

In Rules 44.179, 44.181, 44.184 and 44.188 "appeal" includes an application for permission to appeal.

44.181

Permission is needed to make an application under Rule 44.179 to reopen a final determination of an appeal even in cases where permission was not needed for the original appeal.

44.182

Permission must be sought from the Court^G whose decision the applicant wishes to reopen.

44.183

The application for permission must be made by application notice^G and supported by written evidence, verified by a statement of truth.

44.184

A copy of the application for permission must not be served on any other party to the original appeal unless the Court^G so directs.

44.185

Where the Court^G directs that the application for permission is to be served on another party, that party may within 14 days of the service^G on him of the copy of the application file and serve a written statement either supporting or opposing the application.

44.186

The application for permission, and any written statements supporting or opposing it, will be considered on paper by a single Judge^G, and will be allowed to proceed only if the Judge^G so directs.

Consideration of application to re-open by a single judge

44.186.1

The single judge nominated by the Chief Justice to hear an application to re-open a final appeal may be a judge who was on the Bench which decided the appeal: *Silva v. United Investment Bank* (13 November 2014) CA 004/2014 [3].

44.187

There is no right to an oral hearing of an application for permission unless, exceptionally, the Judge^G so directs.

44.188

The Judge^G will not grant permission without directing the application to be served on the other party to the original appeal and giving him an opportunity to make representations.

44.189

There is no right of appeal or review from the decision of the Judge^G on the application for permission, which is final.

PART 45

General Rules about enforcement of judgments and orders

Scope of this Part and interpretation**45.1**

This Part contains general Rules about enforcement of judgments^G and orders.

45.2

In this Part and in Parts 46 to 50:

- (1) 'judgment creditor' means a person who has obtained or is entitled to enforce a judgment or order^G;
- (2) 'judgment debtor' means a person against whom a judgment or order^G was given or made;
- (3) 'judgment or order' includes an award which the Court^G has:
 - (a) ratified for enforcement;
 - (b) ordered to be enforced; or
 - (c) given permission to enforce;as if it were a judgment or order^G of the Court^G; and
- (4) 'judgment or order for the payment of money' includes a judgment or order^G for the payment of costs, but does not include a judgment or order^G for the payment of money into Court^G.

Methods of enforcing judgments or orders**45.3**

A judgment creditor^G may enforce a judgment or order for the payment of money^G by any of the following methods:

- (1) a charge over property (a charging order);
- (2) attachment of assets (whether present or future);
- (3) execution against assets;
- (4) the appointment of a receiver.

45.4

In addition the Court^G may make an order of committal for contempt of Court^G against a judgment debtor^G but only if permitted by a Rule.

45.5

The enforcement of a judgment or order^G may be affected by the Laws and Regulations relating to insolvency.

45.6

A judgment creditor^G may, except where an enactment, Rule or Practice Direction provides otherwise:

- (1) use any method of enforcement which is available; and
- (2) use more than one method of enforcement, either at the same time or one after another.

Enforcement of judgment or order by or against a non-party

45.7

If a judgment or order^G is given or made in favour of or against a person who is not a party to proceedings, it may be enforced by or against that person by the same methods as if he were a party.

Enforcement of awards of Bodies other than the Court

45.8

Rules 45.10 to 45.17 apply, subject to Rule 45.9, if:

- (1) an award of a sum of money or other decision is made by any court, tribunal^G, body or person other than the Court^G; and
- (2) an enactment provides that the award may be enforced as if payable under a Court^G order, or that the decision may be enforced as if it were a Court^G order.

Enforcement of awards of Bodies other than the Court

45.8.1

In *DNB Bank ASA v. (1) Gulf Eyadah Corporation (2) Gulf Navigation Holding PJSC* (2 July 2015) CFI 043/2014, Justice Al Madhani rejected an argument that RDC 45.8 precluded the enforcement by the DIFC Courts of a judgment of the English Commercial Court because the relevant Memorandum of Guidance ('the MOG') with the English Commercial Court was not an enactment for the purposes of RDC 45.8 (and Art 24(1) of the DIFC Court Law could not have been intended to circumvent the procedure set out in the MOG). Justice Al Madhani confirmed that the relevant legal provisions were to be found in Art 7(6) of the Dubai JAL, Art 24(1) of the DIFC Court Law and Art 5(A)(1)(e) of the JAL [31].

The CA, in *DNB Bank ASA v. (1) Gulf Eyadah Corporation (2) Gulf Navigation Holding PJSC* (25 February 2016) CA 007/2015, declined to depart from that analysis as to jurisdiction. The English MOG confirmed at para 18 the *substantive* common law rule that the English Commercial Court must have had jurisdiction and the circumstances

in which the DIFC Court is likely to infer that the requisite jurisdiction existed. RDC 45.8 to 45.17 then provide the *procedural* rules by which an application can ordinarily be made without notice but subject to the right of the judgment debtor subsequently to apply to set aside the order.

45.9

Rules 45.10 to 45.17 do not apply to arbitration awards.

45.10

If the enactment provides that an award of a sum of money is enforceable if a Court^G so orders, an application for such an order must be made in accordance with Rules 45.11 to 45.15.

45.11

An application for an order that an award may be enforced as if payable under a Court^G order may be made without notice.

45.12

The application notice^G must be made by filing^G an application notice^G in Form P45/01.

45.13

The application notice^G must state:

- (1) the name and address of the person against whom it is sought to enforce the award; and
- (2) how much of the award remains unpaid.

45.14

A copy of the award must be filed with the application notice^G.

45.15

The application may be dealt with by the Registrar^G without a hearing.

45.16

If an enactment provides that an award or decision may be enforced in the same manner as an order of the Court^G if it is registered, any application to the Court^G must be made in writing to the Registrar^G.

45.17

The application must:

- (1) specify the statutory provision under which the application is made;
- (2) state the name and address of the person against whom it is sought to enforce the decision;
- (3) if the decision requires that person to pay a sum of money, state the amount which remains unpaid.

Enforcement outside the DIFC of DIFC Court judgments, decisions, orders and awards ratified by the Courts

45.18

Rules 45.19 to 45.21 apply where a party seeks to enforce a DIFC Court judgment, decision or order, or ratified arbitral award outside the DIFC in accordance with Article 7(2) and / or 7(3) of the Judicial Authority Law.

45.19

An application must be made by filing an application notice in Form P45/01.

45.20

Where a party seeks to enforce a DIFC Court judgment, decision, order, or ratified arbitral award outside of the DIFC, they must file an application notice in Form P45/01 requesting the Court to affix the executory formula on the judgment, decision, order or ratified arbitral award in the following form:

“Authorities must take the initiative to enforce this document and assist in implementing it even forcefully whenever requested to do so.”

45.21

Where the party intends to seek enforcement in Dubai outside the DIFC, the application should also request that the Court issue an execution letter pursuant to Article 7(3)(a) of the Judicial Authority Law addressed to the Chief Justice of the Court of First Instance of the Dubai Courts stating the procedure to be carried out.

45.22

The Court will only affix the executory formula to a judgment, decision, order or ratified arbitral award when the following criteria are met:

- (1) The judgment, decision, order or ratified arbitral award to be executed is final and executory; and
- (2) The judgment, decision, order or ratified arbitral award is translated into the official language of the entity through which execution is carried out;

45.23

The Court will only issue an execution letter in respect of a judgment, decision, order or ratified arbitral award to which it has affixed the executory formula.

45.24

An application under [RDC 45.19 or 45.21] should be accompanied by the following:

- (1) A copy of the judgment, decision, order or ratified arbitral award which the applicant seeks to enforce;
- (2) Where the applicant seeks to enforce an arbitral award, a copy of any order of the DIFC Court ratifying or recognising the award;
- (3) A draft of any execution letter which is sought;

- (4) Translations of the documents referred to at sub-paragraphs (1) to (3) above into the official language of the entity through which enforcement is to be carried out; and
- (5) A statement that the judgment, decision, order or arbitral award is final and executory and the grounds on which that is said to be the case.

Effect of setting aside judgment or order

45.25

If a judgment or order^G is set aside^G, any enforcement of the judgment or order^G shall cease to have effect unless the Court^G otherwise orders.

Interest on judgment debts

45.26

If a judgment creditor^G is claiming interest on a judgment^G debt, he must include in his application or request to issue enforcement proceedings in relation to that judgment^G details of:

- (1) the amount of interest claimed and the sum on which it is claimed;
- (2) the dates from and to which interest has accrued; and
- (3) the rate of interest which has been applied and, where more than one rate of interest has been applied, the relevant dates and rates.

Enforcing a judgment or order against a partnership

45.27

A judgment or order^G made against a partnership may be enforced against any property of the partnership within the DIFC^G.

45.28

Subject to Rule 45.30, a judgment or order^G made against a partnership may be enforced against any person who is not a limited partner and who:

- (1) acknowledged service^G of the claim form as a partner;
- (2) having been served as a partner with the claim form, failed to acknowledge service^G of it;
- (3) admitted in his statement of case^G that he is or was a partner at a material time; or
- (4) was found by the Court^G to have been a partner at a material time.

45.29

A judgment or order^G made against a partnership may not be enforced against a limited partner or a member of the partnership who was ordinarily resident outside the DIFC^G and Dubai when the claim form was issued unless he:

- (1) acknowledged service^G of the claim form as a partner;

- (2) was served within the DIFC^G or Dubai with the claim form as a partner; or
- (3) was served out of the DIFC^G and Dubai with the claim form, as a partner, in accordance with the provisions of Section III of Part 9.

45.30

A judgment creditor^G wishing to enforce a judgment or order^G against a person in circumstances not set out in Rules 45.29 or 45.30 must apply to the Court^G for permission to enforce the judgment or order^G.

Payment of debt after issue of enforcement proceedings

45.31

If a judgment^G debt or part of it is paid:

- (1) after the judgment creditor^G has issued any application or request to enforce it; but
- (2) before:
 - (a) any writ or warrant has been executed; or
 - (b) in any other case, the date fixed for the hearing of the application;

the judgment creditor^G must immediately notify the Court^G in writing.

PART 46

Charging orders, stop orders and stop notices

I CHARGING ORDERS

Scope of this Part and interpretation

46.1

This Section of this Part applies to an application by a judgment creditor^G for a charging order under Article 43 of the Law of Damages and Remedies 2005.

Application for charging order

46.2

An application for a charging order may be made without notice.

46.3

A judgment creditor^G may apply for a single charging order in respect of more than one judgment or order^G against the same debtor.

46.4

A judgment creditor^G may apply in a single application notice^G for charging orders over more than one asset, but if the Court^G makes interim charging orders over more than one asset, it will draw up a separate order relating to each asset.

46.5

An application for a charging order must be made by filing^G an application notice^G in Form P46/01.

46.6

The application notice^G must contain the following information:

- (1) the name and address of the judgment debtor^G;
- (2) details of the judgment or order^G sought to be enforced;
- (3) the amount of money remaining due under the judgment or order^G;
- (4) if the judgment^G debt is payable by instalments, the amount of any instalments which have fallen due and remain unpaid;
- (5) if the judgment creditor^G knows of the existence of any other creditors of the judgment debtor^G, their names and (if known) their addresses;
- (6) identification of the asset or assets which it is intended to charge;
- (7) details of the judgment debtor's^G interest in the asset; and
- (8) the names and addresses of the persons on whom an interim charging order must be served under Rule 46.10.

46.7

The application notice^G must be verified by a statement of truth.

Interim charging order

46.8

An application for a charging order will initially be dealt with by the Registrar^G without a hearing.

46.9

The Registrar^G may make an order (an 'interim charging order'):

- (1) imposing a charge over the judgment debtor's^G interest in the asset to which the application relates; and
- (2) fixing a hearing to consider whether to make a final charging order as provided by 46.17(1).

Service of interim order

46.10

Copies of the interim charging order, the application notice^G and any documents filed in support of it must, not less than 28 days before the hearing, be served by the judgment creditor^G on the following persons:

- (1) the judgment debtor^G;
- (2) such other creditors as the Court^G directs;
- (3) if the order relates to an interest under a trust, on such of the trustees as the Court^G directs;

- (4) if the interest charged is in securities other than securities held in Court^G, then:
 - (a) in the case of government stock, the keeper of the register;
 - (b) in the case of stock of any body incorporated within the DIFC^G, that body;
 - (c) in the case of stock of any body incorporated outside DIFCG or of any state or territory outside the DIFC^G, which is registered in a register kept in DIFC^G, the keeper of that register;
 - (d) in the case of units of any unit trust in respect of which a register of the unit holders is kept in DIFC^G, the keeper of that register;
- (5) if the interest charged is in funds in Court^G, the Registrar^G; and
- (6) if the interest charged is subject to a security interest filed in the Security Registry^G under the Law of Security 2005, the Security Registrar.

46.11

The judgment creditor^G must either:

- (1) file a certificate of service^G not less than 2 days before the hearing; or
- (2) produce a certificate of service^G at the hearing.

Effect of interim order in relation to securities

46.12

If a judgment debtor^G disposes of his interest in any securities, while they are subject to an interim charging order which has been served on him, that disposition shall not, so long as that order remains in force, be valid as against the judgment creditor^G.

46.13

A person served under Rule 46.10(4) with an interim charging order relating to securities must not, unless the Court^G gives permission:

- (1) permit any transfer of any of the securities; or
- (2) pay any dividend, interest or redemption payment relating to them.

46.14

If a person acts in breach of Rule 46.13, he will be liable to pay to the judgment creditor^G:

- (1) the value of the securities transferred or the amount of the payment made (as the case may be); or
- (2) if less, the amount necessary to satisfy the debt in relation to which the interim charging order was made.

Effect of interim order in relation to funds in Court

46.15

If a judgment debtor^G disposes of his interest in funds in Court^G while they are subject to an interim charging order which has been served on him and on the Registrar^G in accordance with Rule 46.10, that disposition shall not, so long as that order remains in force, be valid as against the judgment creditor^G.

Further consideration of the application

46.16

If any person objects to the Court^G making a final charging order, he must:

- (1) file; and
- (2) serve on the applicant;

written evidence stating the grounds of his objections, not less than 7 days before the hearing.

46.17

At the hearing the Court^G may:

- (1) make a final charging order confirming that the charge imposed by the interim charging order shall continue, with or without modification;
- (2) discharge the interim charging order and dismiss the application;
- (3) decide any issues in dispute between the parties, or between any of the parties and any other person who objects to the Court^G making a final charging order; or
- (4) direct a trial of any such issues, and if necessary give directions.

46.18

If the Court^G makes a final charging order which charges securities other than securities held in Court^G, the order will include a stop notice unless the Court^G otherwise orders.

46.19

Any order made at the hearing must be served on all the persons on whom the interim charging order was required to be served.

Discharge or variation of order

46.20

A charging order shall be discharged upon satisfaction of the judgment^G or upon further order of the Court^G.

46.21

Any application to discharge or vary a charging order must be made in accordance with Part 23.

46.22

The Court^G may direct that:

- (1) any interested person should be joined as a party to such an application; or
- (2) the application should be served on any such person.

46.23

An order discharging or varying a charging order must be served on all the persons on whom the charging order was required to be served.

Enforcement of charging order by sale**46.24**

Subject to the provisions of any enactment, the Court^G may, upon a claim by a person who has obtained a charging order over an interest in property, order the sale of the property to enforce the charging order.

46.25

The claimant^G must use the Part 8 procedure.

46.26

A copy of the charging order must be filed with the claim form.

46.27

The written evidence in support of a claim under 46.24 must:

- (1) identify the charging order and the property sought to be sold;
- (2) state the amount in respect of which the charge was imposed and the amount due at the date of issue of the claim;
- (3) verify, so far as known, the debtor's title to the property charged;
- (4) state, so far as the claimant^G is able to identify:
 - (a) the names and addresses of any other creditors who have a prior charge or other security over the property; and
 - (b) the amount owed to each such creditor;
- (5) give an estimate of the price which would be obtained on sale of the property; and
- (6) if the claim relates to land, give details of every person who to the best of the claimant's^G knowledge is in possession of the property.

46.28

The claimant^G must take all reasonable steps to obtain the information required by 46.27(4) before issuing the claim.

46.29

Sample forms of orders for sale are set out in Schedule A to this Part for guidance. They may be adapted or varied by the Court^G to meet the requirements of individual cases.

Charging order against property belonging to a partnership**46.30**

A charging order or interim charging order may be made against any property, within the DIFC^G, belonging to a judgment debtor^G that is a partnership.

46.31

For the purposes of Rule 46.10(1) (service^G of the interim order), the specified documents must be served on:

- (1) a member of the partnership within the DIFC^G or Dubai;
- (2) a person authorised by a partner; or
- (3) some other person having the control or management of the partnership business.

46.32

Where an order requires a partnership to appear before the Court^G, it will be sufficient for a partner to appear before the Court^G.

II STOP ORDERS**Interpretation****46.33**

In this Section, 'stop order' means an order of the Court^G not to take, in relation to funds in Court^G or securities specified in the order, any of the following steps:

- (1) the registration of any transfer of the securities;
- (2) in the case of funds in Court^G, the transfer, sale, delivery out, payment or other dealing with the funds, or of the income thereon;
- (3) the making of any payment by way of dividend, interest or otherwise in respect of the securities; and
- (4) in the case of a unit trust, any acquisition of or other dealing with the units by any person or body exercising functions under the trust.

Application for stop order**46.34**

The Court^G may make:

- (1) a stop order^G relating to funds in Court^G, on the application of any person:
 - (a) who has a mortgage or charge on the interest of any person in the funds; or

- (b) to whom that interest has been assigned; or
 - (c) who is a judgment creditor^G of the person entitled to that interest; or
- (2) a stop order^G relating to securities other than securities held in Court^G, on the application of any person claiming to be beneficially entitled to an interest in the securities.

46.35

An application for a stop order^G must be made:

- (1) by application notice^G in existing proceedings; or
- (2) by Part 8 claim form if there are no existing proceedings in the Court^G.

46.36

The application notice^G or claim form must be served on:

- (1) every person whose interest may be affected by the order applied for; and
- (2) either:
 - (a) the Registrar^G, if the application relates to funds in Court^G; or
 - (b) the person specified in Rule 46.10(4) if the application relates to securities other than securities held in Court^G.

Stop order relating to funds in Court

46.37

A stop order^G relating to funds in Court^G shall prohibit the transfer, sale, delivery out, payment or other dealing with:

- (1) the funds or any part of them; or
- (2) any income on the funds.

Stop order relating to securities

46.38

A stop order^G relating to securities other than securities held in Court^G may prohibit all or any of the following steps:

- (1) the registration of any transfer of the securities;
- (2) the making of any payment by way of dividend, interest or otherwise in respect of the securities; and
- (3) in the case of units of a unit trust, any acquisition of or other dealing with the units by any person or body exercising functions under the trust.

46.39

The order shall specify:

- (1) the securities to which it relates;

- (2) the name in which the securities stand;
- (3) the steps which may not be taken; and
- (4) whether the prohibition applies to the securities only or to the dividends or interest as well.

Variation or discharge of order

46.40

The Court^G may, on the application of any person claiming to have a beneficial interest in the funds or securities to which a stop order^G relates, make an order discharging or varying the order.

46.41

An application notice^G seeking the variation or discharge of a stop order^G must be served on the person who obtained the order.

III STOP NOTICES

General

46.42

In this Section:

- (1) 'stop notice' means a notice issued by the Court^G which requires a person or body not to take, in relation to securities specified in the notice, any of the steps listed **Rule 46.33**, without first giving notice to the person who obtained the notice; and
- (2) 'securities' does not include securities held in Court^G.

Request for stop notice

46.43

The Court^G may, on the request of any person claiming to be beneficially entitled to an interest in securities^G, issue a stop notice^G.

(A stop notice^G may also be included in a final charging order under **Rule 46.18**)

46.44

A request for a stop notice^G must be made by filing^G:

- (1) a draft stop notice^G; and
- (2) written evidence which:
 - (a) identifies the securities^G in question;
 - (b) describes the applicant's interest in the securities^G; and
 - (c) gives an address for service^G for the applicant.

(A sample form of stop notice^G is annexed to this Part at Schedule B)

46.45

If the Court^G considers that the request complies with Rule 46.44, it will issue a stop notice^G.

46.46

The applicant must serve copies of the stop notice^G and his written evidence on the person to whom the stop notice^G is addressed.

Effect of stop notice**46.47**

A stop notice^G:

- (1) takes effect when it is served in accordance with Rule 46.46; and
- (2) remains in force unless it is withdrawn or discharged in accordance with Rules 46.53 and 46.54.

46.48

While a stop notice^G is in force, the person on whom it is served:

- (1) must not:
 - (a) register a transfer of the securities^G described in the notice; or
 - (b) take any other step restrained by the notice;

without first giving 14 days' notice to the person who obtained the stop notice^G;
but

- (2) must not, by reason only of the notice, refuse to register a transfer or to take any other step, after he has given 14 days' notice under Rule 46.48(1) and that period has expired.

Amendment of stop notice**46.49**

If any securities^G are incorrectly described in a stop notice^G which has been obtained and served in accordance with Rules 46.43 to 46.46 the applicant may request an amended stop notice^G.

46.50

The amended stop notice^G takes effect when it is served.

Withdrawal of stop notice**46.51**

A person who has obtained a stop notice^G may withdraw it by serving a request for its withdrawal on:

- (1) the person or body on whom the stop notice^G was served; and
- (2) the Court^G.

46.52

The request must be signed by the person who obtained the stop notice^G, and his signature must be witnessed by a practising lawyer.

Discharge or variation of stop notice**46.53**

The Court^G may, on the application of any person claiming to be beneficially entitled to an interest in the securities^G to which a stop notice^G relates, make an order discharging or varying the notice.

46.54

The application notice^G must be served on the person who obtained the stop notice^G.

IV APPLICATIONS FOR ORDERS CHARGING PARTNER'S INTEREST IN PARTNERSHIP PROPERTY**46.55**

Rules 46.56 to 46.60 relate to orders made under Article 37 of the General Partnership Law 2004 ("Article 37").

46.56

The following applications must be made in accordance with Part 23:

- (1) an application for an order under Article 37 made by a judgment creditor^G of a partner;
- (2) an application for any order by a partner of the judgment debtor^G in consequence of any application made by the judgment creditor^G under Article 37.

46.57

The powers conferred on the Court^G by Article 37 may be exercised by the Registrar^G.

46.58

Every application notice^G filed under Rule 46.56(1) by a judgment creditor^G, and every order made following such an application, must be served on the judgment debtor^G and on any of the other partners that are within the DIFC^G or Dubai.

46.59

Every application notice^G filed under Rule 46.56(2) by a partner of a judgment debtor^G, and every order made following such an application, must be served:

- (1) on the judgment creditor^G and the judgment debtor^G; and
- (2) on the other partners of the judgment debtor^G who are not joined in the application and who are within the DIFC^G and Dubai.

46.60

An application notice^G or order served under Rule 46.57 or 46.59 on one or more, but not all, of the partners of a partnership shall be deemed to have been served on all the partners of that partnership.

Schedule A to Part 46

**Order for sale following a charging order
(property solely owned by judgment debtor)**

DUBAI INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL CENTRE COURT COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE

Claim No. [xxx]

Appn. No. [xxx]

B E T W E E N:

Claimant

Defendant
On the ... 20—, ...
heard ...

The claimant is entitled to an equitable charge upon the defendant's interest in the property ...

[registered at the DIFC Land Registry under Title No.] ("the property")
under a charging order made on the ...
in Claim No. ...

and the Court orders that

1. The remainder of this order will not take effect if the defendant by 4.00 p.m. on the ... 20 — pays to the claimant the judgment debt of US\$... secured by the charge and his costs to date of this application assessed at US\$..., making together US\$... [together with interest at the rate of US\$... per day from the date of this order until payment is received by the claimant].
2. The property shall be sold without further reference to the Court at a price not less than US\$... , unless that figure is changed by a further order of the Court.
3. The [claimant] [claimant's legal representative] will have conduct of the sale.
4. To enable the claimant to carry out the sale, there be created and vested in the claimant pursuant a legal term in the property of [3000 years] [one

day less than the remaining period of the term created by the lease under which the defendant holds the property].

5. The defendant must deliver possession of the property to the claimant [on or before the ----- 20 ---] [within [] days of this order being served on him].
6. The claimant shall first apply the proceeds of sale of the property:
 - a. to pay the costs and expenses of effecting the sale; and
 - b. to discharge any charges or other securities over the property which have priority over the charging order.
7. Out of the remaining proceeds of sale the claimant shall:
 - a. retain the amount due to him as stated in paragraph 1; and
 - b. pay the balance (if any) [to the defendant] [to xxx] [into Court].
8. Either party may apply to the Court to vary any of the terms of this order, or for further directions about the sale or the application of the proceeds of sale, or otherwise.

Order for sale following a charging order

(property owned by judgment debtor and another person)

**DUBAI INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL CENTRE COURT
COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE**

Claim No. [xxx]

Appn. No. [xxx]

B E T W E E N:

Claimant

Defendants
On the ... 20—, ...
heard ...

The claimant is entitled to an equitable charge upon the first defendant's interest in the property ...

[registered at the DIFC Land Registry under Title No.] ("the property") under a charging order made on the ...

in Claim No. ...

and the Court orders that

Order for sale

Following a charging order (property owned by judgment debtor and another person)

In the Claim No.

Claimant/Defendants,

On the ... 20—, sitting at

heard

The claimant is entitled to an equitable charge upon the first defendant's interest in the property

[registered at DIFC Land Registry under Title No.] ("the property")
under a charging order made on the
in Claim No.

and the Court orders that

1. The remainder of this order will not take effect if the first defendant by 4.00 p.m. on the ... 20—, pays to the claimant the judgment debt of US\$... secured by the charge and his costs to date of this application assessed at US\$..., making together US\$... [together with interest at the rate of US\$... per day from the date of this order until payment is received by the claimant].
2. The property shall be sold without further reference to the Court at a price not less than US\$... unless that figure is changed by a further order of the Court.
3. The [claimant] [claimant's representative] will have conduct of the sale.
4. The defendants must deliver possession of the property to the claimant

[on or before the ... 20—] [within [] days of this order being served on him].

5. The claimant shall first apply the proceeds of sale of the property:
 - a. to pay the costs and expenses of effecting the sale; and
 - b. to discharge any charges or other securities over the property which have priority over the charging order.
6. The claimant shall then divide the remaining proceeds of sale into two equal shares and
 - a. pay one equal share to the second defendant; and
 - b. out of the other equal share, retain the amount due to him as stated in paragraph 1, and pay the balance (if any) [to the first defendant] [to] [into Court].
7. Any party may apply to the Court to vary any of the terms of this order, or for further directions about the sale or the application of the proceeds of sale, or otherwise.

Schedule B to Part 46

STOP NOTICE

RDC Rule 48.40

To [insert name of person or body to whom the notice is addressed]

TAKE NOTICE that [insert name and address]

claims to be beneficially entitled to an interest in the following securities —
[specify the securities, giving the name(s) in which they stand]

This Notice requires you to refrain from:

1. registering a transfer of the securities specified above; or
2. paying any dividend or interest in respect of the securities [delete if inappropriate]; without first giving 14 days' notice in writing to the said [insert name] of the above address.

PART 47

Attachment of future assets and earnings

I ATTACHMENT OF FUTURE ASSETS

Scope of this Part and interpretation

47.1

This Part contains rules which provide for a judgment creditor^G to obtain an order for the payment to him of money which a third party, who is within the DIFC^G, owes to the judgment debtor^G.

47.2

In this Part, 'bank' includes any person carrying on a business in the course of which he lawfully accepts deposits in the DIFC^G.

Third Party debt order

47.3

Upon the application of a judgment creditor^G, the Court^G may make an order (a 'final third party debt order') requiring a third party to pay to the judgment creditor^G:

- (1) the amount of any debt due or accruing due to the judgment debtor^G from the third party; or
- (2) so much of that debt as is sufficient to satisfy the judgment^G debt and the judgment creditor's^G costs of the application.

47.4

The Court^G will not make an order under Rule 47.3 without first making an order (an 'interim third party debt order') as provided by Rules 47.11 to 47.15.

47.5

In deciding whether money standing to the credit of the judgment debtor^G in an account may be made the subject of a third party debt order, the following conditions will be disregarded:

- (1) any condition applying to the account that a receipt for money deposited in the account must be produced before any money is withdrawn;
- (2) any condition that notice is required before any money or share is withdrawn;
- (3) any condition that a personal application must be made before any money or share is withdrawn;
- (4) any condition that a deposit book or share-account book must be produced before any money or share is withdrawn; or
- (5) any other prescribed condition.

Application for third party debt order

47.6

An application for a third party debt order may be made without notice.

47.7

An application for a third party debt order must be made by filing^G an application notice^G in Form P47/01.

47.8

The application notice^G must contain the following information:

- (1) the name and address of the judgment debtor^G;
- (2) details of the judgment or order^G sought to be enforced;
- (3) the amount of money remaining due under the judgment or order^G;
- (4) if the judgment^G debt is payable by instalments, the amount of any instalments which have fallen due and remain unpaid;
- (5) the name and address of the third party;
- (6) if the third party is a bank^G:
 - (a) its name and the address of the branch at which the judgment debtor's^G account is believed to be held; and
 - (b) the account number;

or, if the judgment creditor^G does not know all or part of this information, that fact;

- (7) confirmation that to the best of the judgment creditor's^G knowledge or belief the third party:
 - (a) is within the DIFC^G; and
 - (b) owes money to or holds money to the credit of the judgment debtor^G;
- (8) if the judgment creditor^G knows or believes that any person other than the judgment debtor^G has any claim to the money owed by the third party:
 - (a) his name and (if known) his address; and
 - (b) such information as is known to the judgment creditor^G about his claim;
- (9) details of any other applications for third party debt orders issued by the judgment creditor^G in respect of the same judgment^G debt; and
- (10) the sources or grounds of the judgment creditor's^G knowledge or belief of the matters referred to in (7), (8) and (9).

47.9

The application notice^G must be verified by a statement of truth.

47.10

The Court^G will not grant speculative applications for third party debt orders, and will only make an interim third party debt order against a bank^G if the judgment creditor's^G application notice^G contains evidence to substantiate his belief that the judgment debtor^G has an account with the bank^G in question.

Interim third party debt order**47.11**

An application for a third party debt order will initially be dealt with by the Registrar^G without a hearing.

47.12

The Registrar^G may make an interim third party debt order:

- (1) fixing a hearing to consider whether to make a final third party debt order; and
- (2) directing that until that hearing the third party must not make any payment which reduces the amount he owes the judgment debtor^G to less than the amount specified in the order.

47.13

An interim third party debt order will specify the amount of money which the third party must retain, which will be the total of:

- (1) the amount of money remaining due to the judgment creditor^G under the judgment or order^G; and
- (2) an amount for the judgment creditor's^G fixed costs of the application, as specified in Part 39.

47.14

An interim third party debt order becomes binding on a third party when it is served on him.

47.15

The date of the hearing to consider the application shall be not less than 28 days after the interim third party debt order is made.

Service of interim order**47.16**

Copies of an interim third party debt order, the application notice^G and any documents filed in support of it must be served by the judgment creditor^G:

- (1) on the third party, not less than 21 days before the date fixed for the hearing; and
- (2) on the judgment debtor^G not less than:

- (a) 7 days after a copy has been served on the third party; and
- (b) 7 days before the date fixed for the hearing.

47.17

The judgment creditor^G must either:

- (1) file a certificate of service^G not less than 2 days before the hearing; or
- (2) produce a certificate of service^G at the hearing.

Obligations of third parties served with an interim order

47.18

A bank^G served with an interim third party debt order must carry out a search to identify all accounts held with it by the judgment debtor^G.

47.19

The bank^G must disclose to the Court^G and the creditor within 7 days of being served with the order, in respect of each account held by the judgment debtor^G:

- (1) the number of the account;
- (2) whether the account is in credit; and
- (3) if the account is in credit:
 - (a) whether the balance of the account is sufficient to cover the amount specified in the order;
 - (b) the amount of the balance at the date it was served with the order, if it is less than the amount specified in the order; and
 - (c) whether the bank^G asserts any right to the money in the account, whether pursuant to a right of set-off or otherwise, and if so giving details of the grounds for that assertion.

47.20

A bank^G served with an interim third party debt order is only required, unless the order states otherwise:

- (1) to retain money in accounts held solely by the judgment debtor^G (or, if there are joint judgment debtors^G, accounts held jointly by them or solely by either or any of them); and
- (2) to search for and disclose information about such accounts.

47.21

The bank^G is not required, for example, to retain money in, or disclose information about:

- (1) accounts in the joint names of the judgment debtor^G and another person; or
- (2) if the interim order has been made against a firm, accounts in the names of individual members of that firm.

47.22

If:

- (1) the judgment debtor^G does not hold an account with the bank^G; or
- (2) the bank^G is unable to comply with the order for any other reason (for example, because it has more than one account holder whose details match the information contained in the order, and cannot identify which account the order applies to);

the bank^G must inform the Court^G and the judgment creditor^G of that fact within 7 days of being served with the order.

47.23

Any third party other than a bank^G served with an interim third party debt order must notify the Court^G and the judgment creditor^G in writing within 7 days of being served with the order, if he claims:

- (1) not to owe any money to the judgment debtor^G; or
- (2) to owe less than the amount specified in the order.

Attachment of debts owed by a partnership**47.24**

Rules 47.25 and 47.26 relate to debts due or accruing due to a judgment creditor^G from a partnership.

47.25

An interim third party debt order under Rule 47.12 relating to such debts must be served on:

- (1) a member of the partnership within the DIFC^G;
- (2) a person authorised by a partner; or
- (3) some other person having the control or management of the partnership business.

47.26

Where an order made under Rule 47.12 requires a partnership to appear before the Court^G, it will be sufficient for a partner to appear before the Court^G.

Arrangements for debtors in hardship**47.27**

If:

- (1) a judgment debtor^G is an individual;
- (2) he is prevented from withdrawing money from his account with a bank^G as a result of an interim third party debt order; and

- (3) he or his family is suffering hardship in meeting ordinary living expenses as a result;

the Court^G may, on an application by the judgment debtor^G, make an order permitting the bank^G to make a payment or payments out of the account ('a hardship payment order').

47.28

An application notice^G seeking a hardship payment order must:

- (1) include detailed evidence explaining why the judgment debtor^G needs a payment of the amount requested; and
- (2) be verified by a statement of truth.

47.29

The evidence filed by a judgment debtor^G in support of an application for a hardship payment order should include documentary evidence, for example (if appropriate) bank^G statements, wage slips and mortgage statements, to prove his financial position and need for the payment.

47.30

The Court^G will treat an application for a hardship payment order as being made:

- (1) in the proceedings in which the interim third party debt order was made; and
- (2) under the same claim number.

47.31

Unless the Court^G orders otherwise, the application notice^G:

- (1) must be served on the judgment creditor^G at least 2 days before the hearing; but
- (2) does not need to be served on the third party.

47.32

In cases of exceptional urgency the judgment debtor^G may apply for a hardship payment order without notice to the judgment creditor^G and the Court^G will decide whether to:

- (1) deal with the application without it being served on the judgment creditor^G; or
- (2) direct it to be served.

47.33

If the Court^G decides to deal with the application without it being served on the judgment creditor^G, where possible it will normally:

- (1) direct that the judgment creditor^G be informed of the application; and
- (2) give him the opportunity to make representations;

by telephone, fax or other appropriate method of communication.

47.34

A hardship payment order may:

- (1) permit the third party to make one or more payments out of the account; and
- (2) specify to whom the payments may be made.

Further consideration of the application**47.35**

If the judgment debtor^G or the third party objects to the Court^G making a final third party debt order, he must file and serve written evidence stating the grounds for his objections.

47.36

If the judgment debtor^G or the third party knows or believes that a person other than the judgment debtor^G has any claim to the money specified in the interim order, he must file and serve written evidence stating his knowledge of that matter.

47.37

If:

- (1) the third party has given notice under Rule 47.23 that he does not owe any money to the judgment debtor^G, or that the amount which he owes is less than the amount specified in the interim order; and
- (2) the judgment creditor^G wishes to dispute this;

the judgment creditor^G must file and serve written evidence setting out the grounds on which he disputes the third party's case.

47.38

Written evidence under Rules 47.35, 47.36 and 47.37 must be filed and served on each other party as soon as possible, and in any event not less than 3 days before the hearing.

47.39

If the judgment creditor^G is notified that some person other than the judgment debtor^G may have a claim to the money specified in the interim order, he must serve on that person notice of the application and the hearing.

47.40

At the hearing the Court^G may:

- (1) make a final third party debt order;
- (2) discharge the interim third party debt order and dismiss the application;
- (3) decide any issues in dispute between the parties, or between any of the parties and any other person who has a claim to the money specified in the interim order; or
- (4) direct a trial of any such issues, and if necessary give directions.

Effect of final third party order**47.41**

A final third party debt order shall be enforceable as an order to pay money.

47.42

If:

- (1) the third party pays money to the judgment creditor^G in compliance with a third party debt order; or
- (2) the order is enforced against him;

the third party shall, to the extent of the amount paid by him or realised by enforcement against him, be discharged from his debt to the judgment debtor^G.

47.43

Rule 47.42 applies even if the third party debt order, or the original judgment or order^G against the judgment debtor^G, is later set aside^G.

Money in Court**47.44**

If money is standing to the credit of the judgment debtor^G in Court:

- (1) the judgment creditor^G may not apply for a third party debt order in respect of that money; but
- (2) he may apply for an order that the money in Court^G, or so much of it as is sufficient to satisfy the judgment or order^G and the costs of the application, be paid to him.

47.45

An application notice^G seeking an order under Rule 47.44(2) must be served on:

- (1) the judgment debtor^G; and
- (2) the Registrar^G.

47.46

If an application notice^G has been issued under Rule 47.45, the money in Court^G must not be paid out until the application has been disposed of.

Costs**47.47**

If the judgment creditor^G is awarded costs on an application for an order under Rule 47.3 or 47.44:

- (1) he shall, unless the Court^G otherwise directs, retain those costs out of the money recovered by him under the order; and
- (2) the costs shall be considered to be paid first out of the money he recovers, in priority to the judgment^G debt.

II ATTACHMENT OF EARNINGS**Mode of applying****47.48**

A judgment creditor^G who desires to apply for an attachment of earnings order shall file his application certifying the amount of money remaining due under the judgment or order^G and that the whole or part of any instalment due remains unpaid.

Service and reply**47.49**

Notice of the application together with a form of reply in the appropriate form, shall be served on the judgment debtor^G in the manner set out in Rule 9.2.

47.50

The judgment debtor^G shall, within 8 days after service^G on him of the documents mentioned in Rule 47.49, file a reply in the form provided.

47.51

Nothing in Rule 47.50 shall require a defendant^G to file a reply if, within the period of time mentioned in that Rule, he pays to the judgment creditor^G the money remaining due under the judgment or order^G and, where such payment is made, the judgment creditor^G shall so inform the Court^G.

47.52

On receipt of a reply the Court^G shall send a copy to the applicant.

Notice to employer**47.53**

The Court^G may, at any stage of the proceedings, send to any person appearing to have the judgment debtor^G in his employment a notice requesting him to give to the Court^G, within such period as may be specified in the notice, a statement of the judgment debtor's^G earnings and anticipated earnings with such particulars as may be so specified.

Attachment of earnings order**47.54**

On receipt of the judgment debtor's^G reply, the Court^G may, if it has sufficient information to do so, make an attachment of earnings order and a copy of the order shall be sent to the parties and to the judgment debtor's^G employer.

47.55

Where an order is made under Rule 47.54, the judgment creditor^G or the judgment debtor^G may, within 14 days of service^G of the order on him and giving his reasons, apply on notice for the order to be re-considered and the Court^G shall fix a day for the hearing of the application and give to the judgment creditor^G and the judgment debtor^G not less than 2 days' notice of the day so fixed.

47.56

On hearing an application under Rule 47.55, the Court^G may confirm the order or set it aside and make such new order as he thinks fit and the order so made shall be entered in the records of the Court^G.

47.57

Where an order is not made under Rule 47.54, the Court^G will fix a day for the hearing of the application and give to the judgment creditor^G and the judgment debtor^G not less than 8 days' notice of the day so fixed.

47.58

If the judgment creditor^G does not appear at the hearing of the application under Rule 47.57 but:

- (1) the Court^G has received a witness statement or affidavit^G of evidence from him; or
- (2) the judgment creditor^G requests the Court^G in writing to proceed in his absence, the Court^G may proceed to hear the application and to make an order thereon.

47.59

An attachment of earnings order may be made to secure the payment of a judgment^G debt if the debt is:

- (1) of not less than US\$100; or
- (2) for the amount remaining payable under a judgment^G for a sum of not less than US\$100.

Failure by judgment debtor**47.60**

If the judgment debtor^G has failed to comply with Rule 47.50 or to make payment to the judgment creditor^G, the Court^G may issue an order which shall:

- (1) be indorsed with or incorporate a notice warning the judgment debtor^G of the consequences of disobedience to the order;

- (2) be served on the judgment debtor^G personally; and
- (3) direct that any payments made thereafter shall be paid into the Court^G and not direct to the judgment creditor^G.

47.61

If the person served with an order made pursuant to Rule 47.60 fails to obey it or to file a statement of his means or to make payment, the Court^G will issue a notice calling on that person to show good reason why he should not be committed for contempt of Court^G and any such notice shall be served on the judgment debtor^G personally not less than 5 days before the hearing.

47.62

Any failure to comply with an order made pursuant to Rule 47.60 is punishable by committal for contempt of Court^G.

Suspended committal order

47.63

If the judgment debtor^G fails to attend at an adjourned hearing of an application for an attachment of earnings order and a committal order is made, the Judge^G may direct that the committal order shall be suspended so long as the judgment debtor^G attends at the time and place specified in the committal order.

47.64

Where a committal order is suspended under Rule 47.63 and the judgment debtor^G fails to attend at the time and place specified under Rule 47.63, a certificate to that effect given by the Court^G shall be sufficient authority for the issue of a warrant of committal.

Costs

47.65

Where costs are allowed to the judgment creditor^G on an application for an attachment of earnings order, there may be allowed:

- (1) a charge of a legal representative^G for attending the hearing and, if the Court^G so directs, for serving the application; and
- (2) the Court^G fee on the issue of the application.

47.66

For the purpose of Rule 47.65(1), a legal representative^G who has prepared on behalf of the judgment creditor^G a witness statement or affidavit^G or request under Rule 47.58 shall be treated as having attended the hearing.

47.67

The costs may be fixed and allowed without detailed assessment under Part 40.

Contents and service of order

47.68

An attachment of earnings order shall contain such of the following particulars

relating to the debtor as are known to the Court^G, namely:

- (1) his full name and address;
- (2) his place of work; and
- (3) the nature of his work and his works number, if any.

47.69

An attachment of earnings order and any order varying or discharging such an order shall be served on the judgment debtor^G and on the person to whom the order is directed, and Part 9 and Rules 36.25, 36.26 and 36.27 shall apply with the further modification that where the order is directed to a corporation which has requested the Court^G that any communication relating to the judgment debtor^G or to the class of persons to whom he belongs shall be directed to the corporation at a particular address, service^G may, if the Court^G thinks fit, be effected on the corporation at that address.

Application to determine whether particular payments are earnings

47.70

Where an attachment of earnings order is in force, the Court^G shall, on the application of the employer, the judgment debtor^G or the person to whom payment under the relevant adjudication is required to be made, determine whether payments to the debtor of a particular class or description specified by the application are earnings for the purposes of the order; and the employer shall be entitled to give effect to any determination for the time being in force.

47.71

An application to the Court^G to determine whether payments to the debtor of a particular class or description are earnings for the purpose of an attachment of earnings order may be made to the Court^G in writing and the Court^G shall thereupon fix a date and time for the hearing of the application and give notice thereof to the employer, the judgment debtor^G and the person to whom payment under the relevant adjudication is required to be made.

Notice of order ceasing to have effect

47.72

An attachment of earnings order made to secure the payment of a judgment^G debt shall cease to have effect on the making of an order for committal or the issue of a warrant of committal for the enforcement of the debt.

47.73

Where an attachment of earnings order ceases to have effect as set out in Rule 47.72 above, the Court^G shall give notice to the person to whom the order was directed.

Variation and discharge by Court on own initiative

47.74

The Court^G may make an order discharging or varying an attachment of earnings order.

47.75

Subject to Rule 47.82, the Court^G may make an order under Rule 47.74 of its own motion in the circumstances mentioned in Rules 47.76 to 47.81.

47.76

Where it appears to the Court^G that a person served with an attachment of earnings order directed to him does not employ the judgment debtor^G, the Court^G may discharge the order.

47.77

Where an attachment of earnings order which has lapsed as a result of the judgment debtor^G ceasing to be in the employment of the person to whom the order is directed is again directed to a person who appears to the Court^G to have the judgment debtor^G in his employment, the Court^G may make such consequential variations in the order as it thinks fit.

47.78

Where, after making an attachment of earnings order, the Court^G makes or is notified of the making of another such order in respect of the same judgment debtor^G which is not to secure the payment of a judgment debt, the Court^G may discharge or vary the first-mentioned order in the following order of priority:

- (1) dealing first with any order which is not made to secure the payment of a judgment^G debt; and
- (2) dealing thereafter with any order which is made to secure the payment of a judgment^G debt as if the earnings to which it relates were the residue of the debtor's earnings after the making of any deduction to comply with an order having priority by virtue of (1); and
- (3) if there are two or more orders to which (2) applies:
 - (a) dealing with the orders according to the respective dates on which they were made, disregarding any later order until an earlier one has been dealt with;
 - (b) dealing with any later order as if the earnings to which it relates were the residue of the debtor's earnings after the making of any deduction to comply with any earlier order.

47.79

On making a consolidated attachment of earnings order the Court^G may discharge any earlier attachment of earnings order made to secure the payment of a judgment^G debt by the same debtor.

47.80

Where it appears to the Court^G that a bankruptcy order has been made against a person in respect of whom an attachment of earnings order is in force to secure the payment of a judgment^G debt, the Court^G may discharge the attachment of earnings order.

47.81

Where an attachment of earnings order has been made to secure the payment of a judgment^G debt and the Court^G grants permission to issue execution for the recovery of the debt, the Court^G may discharge the order.

47.82

Before varying or discharging an attachment of earnings order of its own motion under Rules 47.76 to 47.81, the Court^G shall, unless it thinks it unnecessary in the circumstances to do so, give the judgment debtor^G and the person on whose application the order was made an opportunity of being heard on the question of whether the order should be varied or discharged, and for that purpose the Court Officer^G may give them notice of a date, time and place at which the question will be considered.

Cases in which consolidated order may be made**47.83**

Subject to the provisions of Rules 47.84 to 47.94, the Court^G may make a consolidated attachment order where:

- (1) two or more attachment of earnings orders are in force to secure the payment of judgment^G debts by the same debtor; or
- (2) on an application for an attachment of earnings order to secure the payment of a judgment^G debt, or for a consolidated attachment order to secure the payment of two or more judgment^G debts, it appears to the Court^G that an attachment of earnings order is already in force to secure the payment of a judgment^G debt by the same debtor.

Application for consolidated order**47.84**

An application for a consolidated attachment order may be made:

- (1) by the judgment debtor^G in respect of whom the order is sought; or
- (2) by any person who has obtained or is entitled to apply for an attachment of earnings order to secure the payment of a judgment^G debt by that debtor.

47.85

An application under Rule 47.84 may be made in the proceedings in which any attachment of earnings order is in force.

47.86

An application under Rule 47.84(2) shall certify the amount of money remaining due under the judgment or order^G and that the whole or part of any instalment due remains unpaid.

47.87

Where an application for a consolidated attachment of earnings order is made, the Court^G will:

- (1) notify any party who may be affected by the application of its terms; and
- (2) require him to notify the Court^G in writing, within 14 days of service^G of notification upon him, giving his reasons for any objection he may have to the granting of the application.

47.88

If notice of any objection is not given within the time stated, the Court^G will make a consolidated attachment of earnings order.

47.89

If any party objects to the making of a consolidated attachment of earnings order, the Court^G may grant the application after considering the objection made and the reasons given.

47.90

In Rule 47.87, a party affected by the application means:

- (1) where the application is made by the judgment debtor^G, the creditor in the proceedings in which the application is made and any other creditor who has obtained an attachment of earnings order which is in force to secure the payment of a judgment^G debt by the judgment debtor^G;
- (2) where the application is made by the judgment creditor^G, the judgment debtor^G and every person who, to the knowledge of the applicant, has obtained an attachment of earnings order which is in force to secure the payment of a judgment^G debt by the debtor.

47.91

A person to whom two or more attachment of earnings orders are directed to secure the payment of judgment^G debts by the same judgment debtor^G may request the Court^G in writing to make a consolidated attachment order to secure the payment of those debts, and on receipt of such a request Rules 47.87 to 47.90 will apply, with the necessary modifications, as if the request were an application by the judgment creditor^G.

Making of a consolidated order by the Court on its own initiative**47.92**

Where an application is made for an attachment of earnings order to secure the payment of a judgment^G debt by a judgment debtor^G in respect of whom an attachment of earnings order is already in force to secure the payment of another judgment^G debt and no application is made for a consolidated attachment order, the Court^G may make such an order on its own initiative after giving all persons concerned an opportunity of submitting written objections.

Extension of consolidated order**47.93**

Where a consolidated attachment order is in force to secure the payment of two or more judgment^G debts, any creditor to whom another judgment^G debt is owed

by the same judgment debtor^G may apply to the Court^G for it to be extended so as to secure the payment of that debt as well as the first-mentioned debts and, if the application is granted, the Court^G may either vary the order accordingly or may discharge it and make a new consolidated attachment order to secure payment of all the aforesaid judgment^G debts.

47.94

An application under Rule 47.93 shall be treated for the purposes of Rules 47.84 to 47.91 as an application for a consolidated attachment order.

Payments under consolidated order

47.95

If the Court^G receives payments in compliance with a consolidated attachment order it will, after deducting such Court^G fees, if any, in respect of proceedings for or arising out of the order as are deductible from those payments, deal with the sums paid as if they had been paid by the judgment debtor^G to satisfy the relevant adjudications in proportion to the amounts payable thereunder, and for that purpose dividends may from time to time be declared and distributed among the creditors entitled thereto.

PART 48

Execution against assets

Interpretation

48.1

In this Part:

- (1) 'enforcement officer' means the Court Bailiff or an individual who is authorised to act as such by the Chief Justice^G or a person acting on his behalf; and
- (2) 'relevant enforcement officer' means:
 - (a) in relation to an order for execution against assets which is directed to a single enforcement officer^G, that officer;
 - (b) in relation to an order for execution against assets which is directed to two or more enforcement officers^G, the officer to whom the order is allocated;
- (3) 'writ of execution' includes a writ of execution against assets, a writ of possession, or a writ of delivery, a writ of sequestration and any further writ in aid of any of the aforementioned writs.

Notice of seizure

48.2

When first executing an order for execution against assets, the relevant enforcement officer^G shall deliver to the debtor or leave at each place where execution is levied, a notice in Form P48/01 informing the debtor of the execution.

Enforcement of judgment for delivery of goods

48.3

Subject to the provisions of this Part, a judgment or order^G for the delivery of any goods which does not give a person against whom the judgment^G is given or order made, the alternative of paying the assessed value of the goods may be enforced by one or more of the following means:

- (1) an order of delivery to recover the goods without alternative provision for recovery of the assessed value thereof (hereafter in this Part referred to as an 'order of specific delivery');
- (2) in a case in which Rule 48.8 applies:
 - (a) an order of committal; or
 - (b) a writ of sequestration.

48.4

Subject to the provisions of this Part, a judgment or order^G for the delivery of any goods or payment of their assessed value may be enforced by one or more of the following means:

- (1) an order of delivery to recover the goods or their assessed value;
- (2) by order of the Court^G, an order of specific delivery;
- (3) in a case in which Rule 48.8 applies, a writ of sequestration.

48.5

An application for an order under Rule 48.4(2) shall be made in accordance with Part 23, which must be served on the defendant^G against whom the judgment or order^G sought to be enforced was given or made.

48.6

A writ of specific delivery, and a writ of delivery to recover any goods or their assessed value, may include provision for enforcing the payment of any money adjudged or ordered to be paid by the judgment or order^G which is to be enforced by the writ.

48.7

A judgment or order^G for the payment of the assessed value of any goods may be enforced by the same means as any other judgment or order for the payment of money^G.

Enforcement of judgment to do or abstain from doing any act

48.8

Where:

- (1) a person required by a judgment or order^G to do an act within a time specified in the judgment or order^G refuses or neglects to do it within that time or, as the case may be, within that time as extended or abridged under a Court^G order or Rule 2.19; or

- (2) a person disobeys a judgment or order^G requiring him to abstain from doing an act;

then, subject to the provisions of these Rules^G, the judgment or order^G may be enforced by one or more of the following means:

- (a) with the permission of the Court^G, a writ of sequestration against the property of that person;
- (b) where that person is a body corporate, with the permission of the Court^G, a writ of sequestration against the property of any director or other officer of the body;
- (c) an order of committal against that person or, where that person is a body corporate, against any such officer.

48.9

Where a judgment or order^G requires a person to do an act within a specified time and an order is subsequently made under Rule 48.11 requiring the act to be done within some other time, references in Rule 48.8 to a judgment or order^G shall be construed as references to the order made under Rule 48.11.

48.10

Where under any judgment or order^G requiring the delivery of any goods the person liable to execution has the alternative of paying the assessed value of the goods, the judgment or order^G shall not be enforceable by order of committal under Rule 48.8, but the Court^G may, on the application of the person entitled to enforce the judgment or order^G, make an order requiring the first mentioned person to deliver the goods to the applicant within a time specified in the order, and that order may be so enforced.

Judgment or order requiring act to be done: Order fixing time for doing it **48.11**

Notwithstanding that a judgment or order^G requiring a person to do an act specifies a time within which the act is to be done, the Court^G shall have power to make an order requiring the act to be done within another time, being such time after service^G of that order, or such other time, as may be specified therein.

48.12

Where a judgment or order^G requiring a person to do an act does not specify a time within which the act is to be done, the Court^G shall have power subsequently to make an order requiring the act to be done within such time after service^G of that order, or such other time, as may be specified therein.

48.13

An application for an order under Rule 48.11 or Rule 48.12 must be made in accordance with Part 23 and the application notice^G must be served on the person required to do the act in question.

Service of copy of judgment or order prerequisite to enforcement under Rule 48.8

48.14

In Rules 48.15 to 48.20, references to an order shall be construed as including references to a judgment.

48.15

Subject to Rules 48.19 and 48.20, an order shall not be enforced under Rule 48.8 unless:

- (1) a copy of the order has been served personally on the person required to do or abstain from doing the act in question; and
- (2) in the case of an order requiring a person to do an act, the copy has been so served before the expiration of the time within which he was required to do the act.

48.16

Subject as aforesaid, an order requiring a body corporate to do or abstain from doing an act shall not be enforced as mentioned in Rule 48.8 unless:

- (1) a copy of the order has also been served personally on the officer against whose property permission is sought to issue a writ of sequestration or against whom an order of committal is sought; and
- (2) in the case of an order requiring the body corporate to do an act, the copy has been so served before the expiration of the time within which the body was required to do the act.

48.17

There must be prominently displayed on the front of the copy of an order served under Rule 48.15 or 48.16 a warning to the person on whom the copy is served that disobedience to the order would be a contempt of Court^G punishable by a fine, or (in the case of an order requiring a body corporate to do or abstain from doing an act) punishable by sequestration of the assets of the body corporate and by a fine of any individual responsible.

48.18

With the copy of an order required to be served under Rule 48.15 or 48.16, being an order requiring a person to do an act, there must also be served a copy of any order or agreement under Rule 2.19 extending or abridging the time for doing the act and, where the first-mentioned order was made under Rule 48.11 or Rule 48.12, a copy of the previous order requiring the act to be done.

48.19

An order requiring a person to abstain from doing an act may be enforced under Rule 48.8 notwithstanding that service^G of a copy of the order has not been effected in accordance with Rule 48.15 or 48.16 if the Court^G is satisfied that pending such service^G, the person against whom or against whose property is sought to enforce the order has had notice thereof either:

- (1) by being present when the order was made; or
- (2) by being notified of the terms of the order, whether by telephone, telegram or otherwise.

48.20

The Court^G may dispense with service^G of a copy of an order under Rules 48.15 to 48.18 if it thinks it just to do so.

Court may order act to be done at the expense of the disobedient party

48.21

If a mandatory order, an injunction^G or a judgment or order^G for the specific performance of a contract is not complied with, then the Court^G may direct that the act required to be done may, so far as practicable, be done by the party by whom the order or judgment^G was obtained or some other person appointed by the Court^G, at the cost of the disobedient party, and upon the act being done, the expenses incurred may be ascertained in such manner as the Court^G may direct and execution may issue against the disobedient party for the amount so ascertained and for costs.

Matters occurring after judgment: Stay of execution

48.22

A party against whom a judgment^G has been given or an order made may apply to the Court^G for a stay^G of execution of the judgment or order^G or other relief on the ground of matters which have occurred since the date of the judgment or order^G, and the Court^G may by order grant such relief, and on such terms, as it thinks just.

Forms of writs

48.23

An order for execution against assets must be in Form P48/02.

48.24

An order of delivery must be in Form P48/03.

48.25

A writ of possession must be in Form P48/04.

48.26

A writ of sequestration must be in Form P48/05.

48.27

Unless the Court^G orders otherwise, an order of execution to enforce a judgment or order^G must not be executed on a day that is not a business day^G.

No enforcement 6 years after order made

48.28

The Court^G shall not make an order to enforce a judgment or order^G after 6 years have elapsed from the date when the judgment or order^G was made.

When permission to issue any writ of execution is necessary

48.29

An order of execution to enforce a judgment or order^G may not issue without the permission of the Court^G in the following cases:

- (1) where any change has taken place, whether by death or otherwise, in the parties entitled or liable to execution under the judgment or order^G;
- (2) where the judgment or order^G is against the assets of a deceased person coming to the hands of his executors or administrators after the date of the judgment or order^G, and it is sought to issue execution against such assets;
- (3) where under the judgment or order^G, any person is entitled to a remedy subject to the fulfilment of any condition which it is alleged has been fulfilled;
- (4) where any goods sought to be seized under an order of execution are in the hands of a receiver appointed by the Court^G or a sequestrator.

48.30

Rule 48.29 is without prejudice to any enactment or Rule by virtue of which a person is required to obtain the permission of the Court^G for the issue of a writ of execution^G or to proceed to execution on or otherwise to the enforcement of a judgment or order^G.

48.31

Where the Court^G grants permission, whether under Rule 48.29 or otherwise, for the issue of a writ of execution^G and the writ is not issued within one year after the date of the order granting such permission, the order shall cease to have effect, without prejudice, however, to the making of a fresh order.

Permission required for issue of writ in aid of other writ

48.32

A writ of execution^G in aid of any other writ of execution^G shall not issue without the permission of the Court^G.

Application for permission to issue writ

48.33

An application for permission to issue a writ of execution^G may be made in accordance with Part 23 but the application notice^G need not be served on the respondent unless the Court^G directs.

48.34

Such an application must be supported by a witness statement or affidavit^G:

- (1) identifying the judgment or order^G to which the application relates and, if the judgment or order^G is for the payment of money, stating the amount originally due and the amount due at the date the application notice^G is filed;

- (2) stating, where the case falls within Rule 48.29(1), the change which has taken place in the parties entitled or liable to execution since the date of the judgment or order^G;
- (3) stating, where the case falls within Rule 48.29(2) or 48.29(3), that a demand to satisfy the judgment or order^G was made on the person liable to satisfy it, and that he has refused or failed to do so;
- (4) giving such other information as is necessary to satisfy the Court^G that the applicant is entitled to proceed to execution on the judgment or order^G in question, and that the person against whom it is sought to issue execution is liable to execution on it.

48.35

The Court^G hearing such application may grant permission in accordance with the application or may order that any issue or question, a decision on which is necessary to determine the rights of the parties, be tried in any manner in which any question of fact or law arising in proceedings may be tried and, in either case, may impose such terms as to costs or otherwise as it thinks just.

Application for permission to issue writ of sequestration

48.36

Notwithstanding anything in Rules 48.29 to 48.35, an application for permission to issue a writ of sequestration must be made in accordance with Part 23 and be heard by a Judge^G.

48.37

Subject to Rule 48.38, the application notice^G, stating the grounds of the application and accompanied by a copy of the witness statement or affidavit^G in support of the application, must be served personally on the person against whose property it is sought to issue the writ.

48.38

The Court^G may dispense with service^G of the application notice^G under Rule 48.37 if it thinks it just to do so.

48.39

The Judge^G hearing an application for permission to issue a writ of sequestration may sit in private in any case in which, if the application were for an order of committal, he would be entitled to do so by virtue of Rule 52.28 but, except in such a case, the application shall be heard in public.

Issue of writ of execution

48.40

Issue of a writ of execution^G takes place on its being sealed by the Court^G.

48.41

The application for a writ of execution^G must be signed by or on behalf of the legal representative^G of the person entitled to execution or, if that person is acting in person, by him.

48.42

No such order shall be sealed unless at the time tendering it for sealing:

- (1) the person tendering it produces:
 - (a) the judgment or order^G in respect of it the order of execution is made, or an authorised copy;
 - (b) where the order may not be made without the permission of the Court^G, the order granting permission or evidence of the granting of it; and
- (2) the Court Officer^G authorised to seal^G it is satisfied that the period, if any, specified in the judgment or order^G for the payment of any money or the doing of any other act thereunder has expired.

48.43

Every order of execution shall bear the date of the day on which it is sealed.

Duration and renewal of writ of execution**48.44**

For the purpose of execution, a writ of execution^G is valid in the first instance for 12 months beginning with the date of its issue.

48.45

Subject to Rule 48.46, where an order of execution has not been wholly executed, the Court^G may by order extend the validity of the order from time to time for a period of 12 months at any one time beginning with the day on which the order is made, if an application for extension is made to the Court^G before the day next following that on which the order would otherwise expire or such later day, if any, as the Court^G may allow.

48.46

The Court^G shall not make an order under Rule 48.45 or 48.50 to enforce a judgment or order^G after 6 years have elapsed from the date when the judgment or order^G was made.

48.47

Before an order, the validity of which had been extended under Rule 48.45, is executed either the order must be sealed by the Court^G showing the date on which the order extending its validity was made or the applicant for the order must serve a sealed notice in Form P48/06 on the relevant enforcement officer^G informing him of the making of the order and the date thereof.

48.48

The priority of an order of execution, the validity of which has been extended under Rule 48.45, shall be determined by reference to the date on which it was originally delivered to the relevant enforcement officer^G.

48.49

The production of a writ of execution^G, or of such a notice as is mentioned in Rule 48.47 purporting in either case to be sealed as mentioned in that Rule, shall

be evidence that the validity of that order of execution, or, as the case may be, of the order referred to in that notice, has been extended under Rule 48.45.

48.50

Subject to Rule 48.46, if during the validity of an order of execution, an interpleader claim is issued in relation to an execution under that order, the validity of the order shall be extended until the expiry of 12 months from the conclusion of the interpleader proceedings.

Return to order of execution

48.51

Any party at whose instance or against whom an order of execution was issued, may serve a notice on the relevant enforcement officer^G requiring him, within such time as may be specified in the notice, to indorse on the order a statement of the manner in which he has executed it and to send to that party a copy of the statement.

48.52

If an enforcement officer^G on whom such a notice is served fails to comply with it, the party by whom it was served may apply to the Court^G for an order directing the enforcement officer^G to comply with the notice.

Power to stay execution against assets

48.53

Where a judgment^G is given or an order made for the payment by any person of money, and the Court^G is satisfied, on an application made at the time of the judgment or order^G, or at any time thereafter, by the judgment debtor^G or other party liable to execution:

- (1) that there are special circumstances which render it inexpedient to enforce the judgment or order^G; or
- (2) that the applicant is unable from any cause to pay the money;

then, the Court^G may by order stay^G the execution against assets of the judgment or order^G either absolutely or for such period and subject to such conditions as the Court^G thinks fit.

48.54

An application under Rule 48.53, if not made at the time the judgment^G is given or order made, must be made in accordance with Part 23, and may be so made notwithstanding that the party liable to execution did not acknowledge service^G of the claim form or serve a defence or take any previous part in the proceedings.

48.55

The grounds on which an application under Rule 48.53 is made must be set out in the application notice^G and be supported by an affidavit^G made by or on behalf of the applicant substantiating the grounds and, in particular, where the application is made on the grounds of the applicant's inability to pay, disclosing his income, the nature and value of any property of his and the amount of any other liabilities of his.

48.56

The application notice^G and a copy of the supporting affidavit^G must be served on the party entitled to enforce the judgment or order^G not less than 4 clear days before the hearing.

48.57

An order staying execution under Rule 48.53 may be varied or revoked by a subsequent order.

Separate orders to enforce payment of costs**48.58**

Where only the payment of money, together with costs to be assessed in accordance with Part 40 (detailed costs assessment), is adjudged or ordered, then, if when the money becomes payable under the judgment or order^G the costs have not been assessed, the party entitled to enforce that judgment or order^G may issue a writ for execution against assets to enforce payment of the sum (other than for costs) adjudged or ordered and, not less than 8 days after that order is made, he may obtain a second writ to enforce payment of the assessed costs.

48.59

A party entitled to enforce a judgment or order^G for the delivery of possession of any property (other than money) may, if he so elects, obtain a separate writ to enforce payment of any damages^G or costs awarded to him by that judgment or order^G.

Order for sale otherwise than by auction**48.60**

An order of the Court^G under Article 45(3) of the Law of Damages and Remedies 2005 that a sale of goods seized under an execution may be made otherwise than by public auction may be made on the application of:

- (1) the judgment creditor^G;
- (2) the judgment debtor^G; or
- (3) the relevant enforcement officer^G.

48.61

An application under Rule 48.60 must be made in accordance with Part 23 and the application notice^G must contain a short statement of the grounds of the application.

48.62

Where the applicant for an order under this Rule 48.60 is not the enforcement officer^G, the enforcement officer^G must, on the demand of the applicant, send to the applicant a list stating:

- (1) whether he has notice of the issue of another writ or writs of execution against the goods of the judgment debtor^G; and

- (2) so far as is known to him, the name and address of every creditor who has obtained the issue of another writ of execution^G,

and where the enforcement officer^G is the applicant, he must prepare such a list.

48.63

Not less than 4 clear days before the hearing, the applicant must serve the application notice^G on each of the other persons by whom the application might have been made and on every person named in the list under Rule 48.62.

48.64

The applicant must produce the list under Rule 48.62 to the Court^G on the hearing of the application.

48.65

Every person on whom the application notice^G was served may attend and be heard on the hearing of the application.

PART 49

Court's power to appoint a receiver

Scope of this Part and interpretation

49.1

This Part contains provisions about the Court's^G power to appoint a receiver^G.

49.2

In this Part 'receiver' includes a manager.

Court's power to appoint receiver

49.3

The Court's^G powers to appoint a receiver^G are set out in:

- (1) Article 25 of the Court Law 2004;
- (2) Article 92(3) of the Regulatory Law 2004;
- (3) Article 136 of the Companies Law (Amended and Restated) 2006;
- (4) Article 12.8 of the Companies Regulations; and
- (5) Articles 42(1) and 46 of the Law of Damages and Remedies 2005.

49.4

The Court^G may appoint a receiver^G:

- (1) before proceedings have started;
- (2) in existing proceedings; or
- (3) on or after judgment^G.

49.5

The Court^G will normally only consider an application for the appointment of a receiver^G before proceedings are started after notice of the application has been served.

49.6

Where a judgment creditor^G applies for the appointment of a receiver^G as a method of enforcing a judgment^G, in considering whether to make the appointment the Court^G will have regard at all times to:

- (1) the sum owed;
- (2) the likelihood of making a substantial recovery; and
- (3) the cost of appointing the receiver^G.

49.7

The Court^G may at any time:

- (1) terminate the appointment of a receiver^G; and
- (2) appoint another receiver^G in his place.

How to apply for the appointment of a receiver

49.8

An application for the appointment of a receiver^G:

- (1) may be made without notice; and
- (2) must be supported by written evidence.

49.9

If a person applies at the same time for:

- (1) the appointment of a receiver^G; and
- (2) a related injunction^G,

he must use the same claim form or application notice^G for both applications.

49.10

The written evidence in support of an application for the appointment of a receiver^G must:

- (1) explain the reasons why the appointment is required;
- (2) give details of the property which it is proposed that the receiver^G should get in or manage, including estimates of:
 - (a) the value of the property; and
 - (b) the amount of income it is likely to produce;

- (3) if the application is to appoint a receiver^G by way of equitable execution, give details of:
 - (a) the judgment^G which the applicant is seeking to enforce;
 - (b) the extent to which the debtor has failed to comply with the judgment^G;
 - (c) the result of any steps already taken to enforce the judgment^G; and
 - (d) why the judgment^G cannot be enforced by any other method; and
- (4) if the applicant is asking the Court^G to allow the receiver^G to act:
 - (a) without giving security; or
 - (b) before he has given security or satisfied the Court^G that he has security in place;

explain the reasons why that is necessary.

49.11

In addition, the written evidence should normally identify an individual whom the Court^G is to be asked to appoint as receiver^G ('the nominee'), and should:

- (1) state the name, address and position of the nominee;
- (2) include written evidence by a person who knows the nominee, stating that he believes the nominee is a suitable person to be appointed as receiver^G, and the basis of that belief; and
- (3) be accompanied by written consent, signed by the nominee, to act as receiver^G if appointed.

49.12

If the applicant does not nominate a person to be appointed as receiver^G, or if the Court^G decides not to appoint the nominee, the Court^G may:

- (1) order that a suitable person be appointed as receiver^G; and
- (2) direct any party to nominate a suitable individual to be appointed.

49.13

A party directed to nominate a person to be appointed as receiver^G must file written evidence containing the information required by Rule 49.11 and accompanied by the written consent of the nominee.

Service of order appointing receiver

49.14

An order appointing a receiver^G must be served by the party who applied for it on:

- (1) the person appointed as receiver^G;
- (2) unless the Court^G orders otherwise, every other party to the proceedings; and

- (3) such other persons as the Court^G may direct.

Court's directions

49.15

The Court^G may give directions to the receiver^G when it appoints him or at any time afterwards.

49.16

The Court^G will normally, when it appoints a receiver^G, give directions in relation to security.

49.17

Other matters about which the Court^G may give directions include:

- (1) whether, and on what basis, the receiver^G is to be remunerated for carrying out his functions;
- (2) the preparation and service^G of accounts;
- (3) the payment of money into Court^G; and
- (4) authorising the receiver^G to carry on an activity or incur an expense.

Security

49.18

The Court^G may direct that before a receiver^G begins to act or within a specified time he must either:

- (1) give such security as the Court^G may determine; or
- (2) file and serve on all parties to the proceedings evidence that he already has in force sufficient security;

to cover his liability for his acts and omissions as a receiver^G.

49.19

An order appointing a receiver^G will normally specify the date by which the receiver^G must:

- (1) give security; or
- (2) file and serve evidence to satisfy the Court^G that he already has security in force.

49.20

Unless the Court^G directs otherwise, security will be given by a guarantee.

49.21

Where the Court^G has given directions about giving security, then a guarantee should be prepared in a form, and entered into with a bank or insurance company, approved by the Court^G.

49.22

The Court^G may terminate the appointment of the receiver^G if he fails to:

- (1) give the security; or
- (2) satisfy the Court^G as to the security he has in force, and
- (3) by the date specified.

Receiver's application for directions**49.23**

The receiver^G may apply to the Court^G at any time for directions to assist him in carrying out his function as a receiver^G.

49.24

The Court^G, when it gives directions, may also direct the receiver^G to serve on any person:

- (1) the directions; and
- (2) the application for directions.

49.25

An application by a receiver^G for directions may be made by filing^G an application notice^G in accordance with **Part 23**.

49.26

If the directions sought by the receiver^G are unlikely to be contentious or important to the parties, he may make the application by letter, and the Court^G may reply by letter. In such cases the receiver^G need not serve his letter or the Court's^G reply on the parties, unless the Court^G orders him to do so.

49.27

Where a receiver^G applies for directions by letter, the Court^G may direct him to file and serve an application notice^G.

Receiver's remuneration**49.28**

A receiver^G may only charge for his services if the Court:

- (1) so directs; and
- (2) specifies the basis on which the receiver^G is to be remunerated.

49.29

The Court^G may specify:

- (1) who is to be responsible for paying the receiver^G; and
- (2) the fund or property from which the receiver^G is to recover his remuneration.

49.30

If the Court^G directs that the amount of a receiver's^G remuneration is to be determined by the Court:

- (1) the receiver^G may not recover any remuneration for his services without a determination by the Court^G; and
- (2) the receiver^G or any party may apply at any time for such a determination to take place.

49.31

Unless the Court^G orders otherwise, in determining the remuneration of a receiver^G the Court^G shall award such sum as is reasonable and proportionate in all the circumstances and which takes into account:

- (1) the time properly given by him and his staff to the receivership;
- (2) the complexity of the receivership;
- (3) any responsibility of an exceptional kind or degree which falls on the receiver^G in consequence of the receivership;
- (4) the effectiveness with which the receiver^G appears to be carrying out, or to have carried out, his duties; and
- (5) the value and nature of the subject matter of the receivership.

49.32

An application by a receiver^G for the amount of his remuneration to be determined must be supported by:

- (1) written evidence showing:
 - (a) on what basis the remuneration is claimed; and
 - (b) that it is justified and in accordance with this Part; and
- (2) a certificate signed by the receiver^G that he considers that the remuneration he claims is reasonable and proportionate.

49.33

The Court^G may, before determining the amount of a receiver's^G remuneration:

- (1) require the receiver^G to provide further information in support of his claim; and
- (2) appoint an assessor^G under **Part 31** to assist the Court^G.

49.34

The Court^G may refer the determination of a receiver's^G remuneration to the Registrar^G.

49.35

Rules 49.28 to 49.34 do not apply to expenses incurred by a receiver^G in carrying out his functions. These are accounted for as part of his account for the assets he has recovered, and not dealt with as part of the determination of his remuneration.

Accounts**49.36**

The Court^G may order a receiver^G to prepare and serve accounts.

49.37

A party served with such accounts may apply for an order permitting him to inspect any document in the possession of the receiver^G relevant to those accounts.

49.38

A party should not apply for an order under Rule 49.37 without first asking the receiver^G to permit inspection without an order.

49.39

Where the Court^G makes an order under Rule 49.37, it will normally direct that the receiver^G must:

- (1) permit inspection within 7 days after being served with the order; and
- (2) provide a copy of any documents the subject of the order within 7 days after receiving a request for a copy from the party permitted to inspect them, provided that party has undertaken to pay the reasonable cost of making and providing the copy.

49.40

Any party may, within 14 days of being served with the accounts, serve notice on the receiver^G:

- (1) specifying any item in the accounts to which he objects;
- (2) giving the reason for such objection; and
- (3) requiring the receiver^G, within 14 days of receipt of the notice, either:
 - (a) to notify all the parties who were served with the accounts that he accepts the objection; or
 - (b) if he does not accept the objection, to apply for an examination of the accounts in relation to the contested item.

49.41

When the receiver^G applies for the examination of the accounts he must at the same time file:

- (1) the accounts; and
- (2) a copy of the notice served on him under Rule 49.40.

49.42

If the receiver^G fails to comply with Rule 49.40(3), any party may apply to the Court^G for an examination of the accounts in relation to the contested item.

49.43

At the conclusion of its examination of the accounts the Court^G will certify the result.

49.44

When the Court^G gives directions under Rule 49.36 for the receiver^G to prepare and serve accounts, it may:

- (1) direct the receiver^G to prepare and serve accounts either by a specified date or at specified intervals; and
- (2) specify the persons on whom he must serve the accounts.

49.45

A party should not apply for an order under Rule 49.37 permitting him to inspect documents in the possession of the receiver^G, without first asking the receiver^G to permit such inspection without an order.

Non-Compliance by receiver**49.46**

If a receiver^G fails to comply with any Rule, Practice Direction or direction of the Court^G the Court^G may order him to attend a hearing to explain his non-compliance.

49.47

At the hearing the Court^G may make any order it considers appropriate, including:

- (1) terminating the appointment of the receiver^G;
- (2) reducing the receiver's^G remuneration or disallowing it altogether; and
- (3) ordering the receiver^G to pay the costs of any party.

49.48

Where:

- (1) the Court^G has ordered a receiver^G to pay a sum of money into Court^G; and
- (2) the receiver^G has failed to do so;

the Court^G may order him to pay interest on that sum for the time he is in default at such rate as it considers appropriate.

Application for discharge of receiver

49.49

A receiver^G or any party may apply for the receiver^G to be discharged on completion of his duties.

49.50

The application notice^G must be served on the persons who were required under Rule 49.14 to be served with the order appointing the receiver^G.

Order discharging or terminating appointment of receiver

49.51

An order discharging or terminating the appointment of a receiver^G may:

- (1) require him to pay into Court^G any money held by him; or
- (2) specify the person to whom he must pay any money or transfer any assets still in his possession; and
- (3) make provision for the discharge or cancellation of any guarantee given by the receiver^G as security.

49.52

The order must be served on the persons who were required under Rule 49.14 to be served with the order appointing the receiver^G.

PART 50

Orders to obtain information from judgment debtors

Scope of this Part and interpretation

50.1

This Part contains rules which provide for a judgment debtor^G to be required to attend Court^G to provide information, for the purpose of enabling a judgment creditor^G to enforce a judgment or order^G against him.

Order to attend Court

50.2

A judgment creditor^G may apply for an order requiring:

- (1) a judgment debtor^G; or
- (2) if a judgment debtor^G is a company or other corporation, an officer of that body;

to attend Court^G to provide information about:

- (a) the judgment debtor's^G means; or
- (b) any other matter about which information is needed to enforce a judgment or order^G.

50.3

An application under Rule 50.2 may be made without notice.

50.4

The application must be made by filing^G an application notice^G in Form P50/01 if the application is to question an individual judgment debtor^G, or Form P50/02 if the application is to question an officer of a company or other corporation.

50.5

The application notice^G must:

- (1) state the name and address of the judgment debtor^G;
- (2) identify the judgment or order^G which the judgment creditor^G is seeking to enforce;
- (3) if the application is to enforce a judgment or order for the payment of money^G, state the amount presently owed by the judgment debtor^G under the judgment or order^G;
- (4) if the judgment debtor^G is a company or other corporation, state:
 - (a) the name and address of the officer of that body whom the judgment creditor^G wishes to be ordered to attend Court^G; and
 - (b) his position in the company;
- (5) if the judgment creditor^G wishes the questioning to be conducted before a Judge^G, state this and give his reasons;
- (6) if the judgment creditor^G wishes the judgment debtor^G (or other person to be questioned) to be ordered to produce specific documents at Court^G, identify those documents; and
- (7) if the application is to enforce a judgment or order^G which is not for the payment of money, identify the matters about which the judgment creditor^G wishes the judgment debtor^G (or officer of the judgment debtor^G) to be questioned.

50.6

An application under Rule 50.2 may be dealt with by the Registrar^G without a hearing.

50.7

If the application notice^G complies with Rules 50.4 and 50.5, an order to attend Court^G will be issued in the terms of Rule 50.9.

50.8

The Registrar^G:

- (1) may, in any appropriate case, refer an application under Rule 50.2 to a Judge^G; and

- (2) will refer it to a Judge^G for consideration, if the judgment creditor^G requests the judgment debtor^G (or officer of the judgment debtor^G) to be questioned before a Judge^G.

50.9

A person served with an order issued under Rule 50.7 must:

- (1) attend Court^G at the time and place specified in the order;
- (2) when he does so, produce at Court^G documents in his control which are described in the order; and
- (3) answer on oath such questions as the Court^G may require.

50.10

The order will normally provide for questioning to take place before the Registrar^G. The order will provide for questioning to take place before a Judge^G only if the Judge^G considering the request decides that there are compelling reasons to make such an order.

50.11

An order under Rule 50.7 will contain a notice in the following terms:

"You must obey this order. If you do not, you may be fined for contempt of Court."

Service of order

50.12

An order to attend Court^G must, unless the Court^G otherwise orders, be served personally on the person ordered to attend Court^G not less than 14 days before the hearing.

50.13

Service^G of an order to attend Court^G for questioning must be carried out by the judgment creditor^G (or someone acting on his behalf).

50.14

The judgment creditor^G must inform the Court^G not less than 7 days before the date of the hearing if he has been unable to serve the order to attend Court^G.

Travelling expenses

50.15

A person ordered to attend Court^G may, within 7 days of being served with the order, ask the judgment creditor^G to pay him a sum reasonably sufficient to cover his travelling expenses to and from Court^G.

50.16

The judgment creditor^G must pay such a sum if requested.

Judgment creditor's affidavit

50.17

The judgment creditor^G must file an affidavit^G or affidavits^G:

- (1) by the person who served the order giving details of how and when it was served;
- 2) stating either that:
 - (a) the person ordered to attend Court^G has not requested payment of his travelling expenses; or
 - (b) the judgment creditor^G has paid a sum in accordance with such a request; and
- (3) stating how much of the judgment^G debt remains unpaid.

50.18

The judgment creditor^G must either:

- (1) file the affidavit^G or affidavits^G not less than 2 days before the hearing; or
- (2) produce it or them at the hearing.

Conduct of the hearing

50.19

The person ordered to attend Court^G will be questioned on oath.

50.20

The questioning will be carried out by the Registrar^G unless the Court^G has ordered that the hearing shall be before a Judge^G.

50.21

The Registrar^G will ask a standard series of questions, as set out forms in Schedules A and B to this Part. The form in Schedule A will be used if the person being questioned is the judgment debtor^G, and the form in Schedule B will be used if the person is an officer of a company or other corporation.

50.22

The judgment creditor^G or his representative may either:

- (1) attend Court^G and ask questions himself or through his legal representative^G; or
- (2) request the Registrar^G to ask additional questions, by attaching a list of proposed additional questions to his application notice^G.

50.23

The Registrar^G will:

- (1) make a written record of the evidence given, unless the proceedings are tape recorded;

- (2) at the end of the questioning, read the record of evidence to the person being questioned and ask him to sign it; and
- (3) if the person refuses to sign it, note that refusal on the record of evidence.

50.24

Where the hearing takes places before a Judge^G, the judgment creditor^G or his legal representative^G must attend and conduct the questioning, and the standard questions in the forms in Schedules A and B will not be used.

50.25

Where the hearing takes places before a Judge^G, the proceedings will be tape recorded and the Court^G will not make a written record of the evidence.

Adjournment of the hearing

50.26

If the hearing is adjourned, the Court^G will give directions as to the manner in which notice of the new hearing is to be served on the judgment debtor^G.

Failure to comply with order

50.27

Where a judgment debtor^G was ordered to attend before the Registrar^G, if a person against whom an order has been made under Rule 50.7:

- (1) fails to attend Court^G;
- (2) refuses at the hearing to take the oath or to answer any question; or
- (3) otherwise fails to comply with the order;

the Registrar^G will refer the matter to a Judge^G.

50.28

If the Registrar^G refers to a Judge^G the failure of a judgment debtor^G to comply with an order under Rule 50.7, he will certify in writing the respect in which the judgment debtor^G failed to comply with the order.

50.29

That Judge^G may, subject to Rules 50.31 and 50.32, make a committal order against the person.

50.30

Where a judgment debtor^G was ordered to attend before a Judge^G, if a person against whom an order has been made under Rule 50.7:

- (1) fails to attend Court^G;
- (2) refuses at the hearing to take the oath or to answer any question; or
- (3) otherwise fails to comply with the order;

the Judge^G may, subject to Rules 50.31 and 50.32, make a committal order against the person.

50.31

A committal order for failing to attend Court^G may not be made unless the judgment creditor^G has complied with Rules 50.15 to 50.18.

50.32

If a committal order is made, the Judge^G will direct that:

- (1) the order shall be suspended provided that the person:
 - (a) attends Court^G at a time and place specified in the order; and
 - (b) complies with all the terms of that order and the original order; and
- (2) if the person fails to comply with any term on which the committal order is suspended, he shall be brought before a Judge^G to consider whether the committal order should be discharged.

50.33

Where Rule 50.32 applies, the appointment specified will be:

- (1) before a Judge^G, if:
 - (a) the original order under Rule 50.7 was to attend before a Judge^G; or
 - (b) the Judge^G making the suspended committal order so directs; and
- (2) otherwise, before the Registrar^G.

50.34

Rules 50.12 to 50.14 (service^G of order), and Rules 50.17(1) and 50.18 (affidavit^G of service^G), apply with the necessary changes to a suspended committal order as they do to an order to attend Court^G.

Schedule A to Part 50

[View PDF](#)

Schedule B to Part 50

[View PDF](#)

PART 51

Interpleader

Interpretation

51.1

In this Part:

- (1) 'enforcement officer' means the Court Bailiff or an individual who is authorised to act as such by the Chief Justice^G or a person acting on his behalf;
- (2) 'order of execution' includes an order of execution against assets, an order

of possession, an order of delivery, or an order of sequestration and any further order in aid of any of the aforementioned orders.

Entitlement to relief by way of interpleader

51.2

Where:

- (1) a person is under a liability in respect of a debt or in respect of any assets and he is, or expects to be, sued for or in respect of that debt or assets by two or more persons making adverse claims thereto; or
- (2) claim is made to any assets taken or intended to be taken by an enforcement officer^G in execution under any process, or to the proceeds or value of any such assets, by a person other than the person against whom the process is issued;

the person under liability as mentioned in sub-paragraph (1) or (subject to Rules 51.3 to 51.6) an enforcement officer^G may apply to the Court^G for relief by way of interpleader.

Claim to assets taken in execution

51.3

Any person making a claim to or in respect of any assets taken or intended to be taken in execution under process of the Court^G, or to the proceeds or value of any such assets, must give notice of his claim to the enforcement officer^G charged with the execution of the process and must include in his notice a statement of his address, and that address shall be his address for service^G.

51.4

On receipt of a claim made under Rule 51.3 the enforcement officer^G must forthwith give notice thereof to the execution creditor and the execution creditor must, within 7 days after receiving the notice, give notice to the enforcement officer^G informing him whether he admits or disputes the claim. An execution creditor who gives notice in accordance with this Rule admitting a claim shall only be liable to the enforcement officer^G for any fees and expenses incurred by the enforcement officer^G before receipt of that notice.

51.5

Where:

- (1) the enforcement officer^G receives a notice from an execution creditor under Rule 51.4 disputing a claim, or the execution creditor fails, within the period mentioned in that Rule, to give the required notice; and
- (2) the claim made under Rule 51.3 is not withdrawn;

the enforcement officer^G may apply to the Court^G for relief under this Part.

51.6

An enforcement officer^G who receives a notice from an execution creditor under Rule 51.4 admitting a claim made under Rule 51.3 shall withdraw from possession of the assets claimed and may apply to the Court^G for an order restraining the bringing of a claim against him for or in respect of his having taken possession of the assets.

Claim in respect of assets protected from seizure

51.7

Where a judgment debtor^G whose assets have been seized, or are intended to be seized, by an enforcement officer^G under an order of execution claims that such assets are not liable to execution by virtue of Article 45(2) of the Law of Damages and Remedies 2005, he must within 5 days of the seizure give notice in writing to the enforcement officer^G identifying all those assets in respect of which he makes such a claim and the grounds of such claim in respect of each item.

51.8

Upon receipt of a notice of claim under Rule 51.7, the enforcement officer^G must forthwith give notice thereof to the execution creditor and to any person who has made a claim to, or in respect of, the goods under Rule 51.3 and the execution creditor and any person who has made claim must, within 7 days of receipt of such notice, inform the enforcement officer^G in writing whether he admits or disputes the judgment debtor's^G claim in respect of each item.

51.9

The enforcement officer^G shall withdraw from possession of any goods in respect of which the judgment debtor's^G claim is admitted or if the execution creditor or any person claiming under Rule 51.3 fails to notify him in accordance with Rule 51.8 and the enforcement officer^G shall so inform the parties in writing.

51.10

Where the enforcement officer^G receives notice from:

- (1) the execution creditor; or
- (2) any such person to whom notice was given under Rule 51.8;

that the claim or any part thereof is disputed, he must forthwith seek the directions of the Court^G and may include therein an application for an order restraining the bringing of any claim against him for, or in respect of, his having seized any of those assets or his having failed so to do.

51.11

The enforcement officer's^G application for directions under Rule 51.10 shall be made by an application in accordance with Part 23 and, on the hearing of the application, the Court^G may:

- (1) determine the judgment debtor's^G claim immediately; or
- (2) give such directions for the determination of any issue raised by such claim as may be just.

51.12

The Registrar^G shall have power to make an order of the kind referred to in Rule 51.11.

Mode of application**51.13**

An application for relief under this Part must be made by claim form in accordance with Part 8 unless made in an existing claim, in which case it must be made in accordance with Part 23.

51.14

Where the applicant is an enforcement officer^G who has withdrawn from possession of assets taken in execution and who is applying for relief under Rule 51.6 the claim form must be served on any person who made a claim under Rule 51.3 to or in respect of those assets, and that person may attend the hearing of the application.

51.15

Subject to Rule 51.16 a claim form or application notice^G under Rule 51.13 must be supported by evidence that the applicant:

- (1) claims no interest in the subject matter in dispute other than for charges or costs;
- (2) does not collude with any of the claimants^G to that subject matter; and
- (3) is willing to pay or transfer that subject matter into Court^G or to dispose of it as the Court^G may direct.

51.16

Where the applicant is an enforcement officer^G, he shall not provide such evidence as is referred to in Rule 51.15 unless directed by the Court^G to do so.

51.17

Any person who makes a claim under Rule 51.3 and who is served with a claim form under Rule 51.14 shall within 14 days serve on the execution creditor and the sheriff an affidavit^G specifying and/or describing any assets claimed and setting out the grounds upon which such claim is based.

51.18

Where the applicant is an enforcement officer^G a claim form under Rule 51.13 must give notice of the requirement in Rule 51.17.

Powers of Court hearing the claim**51.19**

Where on the hearing of a claim under this Part all the persons by whom adverse claims to the subject-matter in dispute (hereafter in this Part referred to as 'the interpleader claimants') appear, the Court^G may order:

- (1) that any interpleader claimant be made a defendant^G in any claim pending with respect to the subject-matter in dispute in substitution for or in addition to the applicant for relief under this Part; or
- (2) that an issue between the interpleader claimants be stated and tried and may direct which of the interpleader claimants is to be claimants^G and which defendant^G.

51.20

Where:

- (1) the applicant under this Part is an enforcement officer^G;
- (2) all the interpleader claimants consent or any of them so requests; or
- (3) the question at issue between the interpleader claimants is a question of law^G and the facts are not in dispute;

the Court^G may immediately determine the question at issue between the interpleader claimants and make an order accordingly on such terms as may be just.

51.21

Where an interpleader claimant, having been duly served with a claim form under this Part, does not appear at the hearing or, having appeared, fails or refuses to comply with an order made in the proceedings, the Court^G may make an order declaring the interpleader claimant, and all persons claiming under him, barred from prosecuting his claim against the applicant for such relief and all persons claiming under him, but such an order shall not affect the rights of the interpleader claimants as between themselves.

Power to order sale of assets taken in execution

51.22

Where an application for relief under this Part is made by an enforcement officer^G who has taken possession of any assets in execution under any process, and an interpleader claimant alleges that he is entitled to the assets by way of security for debt, the Court^G may order those assets or any part thereof to be sold and may direct that the proceeds of sale be applied in such manner and on such terms as may be just and as may be specified in the order.

Power to stay proceedings

51.23

Where a defendant^G to a claim applies for relief under this Part in the claim, the Court^G may by order stay^G all further proceedings in the claim.

Other powers

51.24

The Court^G may in or for the purposes of any interpleader proceedings make such order as to costs or any other matter as it thinks just.

51.25

Where the interpleader claimant fails to appear at the hearing, the Court^G may direct that the enforcement officer's^G and execution creditor's costs shall be assessed by the Registrar^G.

One order in several proceedings**51.26**

Where the Court^G considers it necessary or expedient to make an order in any interpleader proceedings in several proceedings, the Court^G may make such an order; and the order shall bear the titles of all those proceedings and shall be binding on all the parties to them.

Production of documents**51.27**

Parts 19 and 28 shall, with the necessary modifications, apply in relation to an interpleader issue as they apply in relation to any other proceedings.

Trial of interpleader issue**51.28**

Part 35 shall, with the necessary modifications, apply to the trial of an interpleader issue as it applies to the trial of a claim.

51.29

The Court^G may give such judgment or make such order as is necessary finally to dispose of all questions arising in the interpleader proceedings.

PART 52

Contempt of Court

Committal for contempt of Court**52.1**

The power of the Court of First Instance or Court of Appeal to punish for contempt of Court^G may be exercised by an order of committal.

52.2

An order of committal may be made by a single Judge^G of the Court^G.

Application for committal**52.3**

An application for an order of committal must be made by claim form or application notice^G and be supported by an affidavit^G.

52.4

A committal application must, subject to Rule 52.5, be commenced by the issue of a Part 8 claim form.

52.5

If the committal application is made in existing proceedings it must be commenced by the filing^G of an application notice^G in those proceedings.

52.6

An application to commit for breach of an undertaking or order must be commenced by the filing^G of an application notice^G in the proceedings in which the undertaking was given or the order was made.

52.7

The application notice^G must state that the application is made in the proceedings in question and its title and reference number must correspond with the title and reference number of those proceedings.

52.8

If the committal application is commenced by the issue of a claim form, Part 8 shall, subject to the provisions of this Part, apply as though references to 'claimant' were references to the person making the committal application and references to 'defendant' were references to the person against whom the committal application is made (in this practice direction referred to as 'the respondent') but:

- (1) the claim form together with copies of all written evidence in support must, unless the Court^G otherwise directs, be served personally on the respondent;
- (2) the claim form must set out in full the grounds on which the committal application is made and must identify, separately and numerically, each alleged act of contempt including, if known, the date of each alleged act;
- (3) an amendment to the claim form can be made with the permission of the Court^G but not otherwise;
- (4) Rule 8.16 does not apply; and
- (5) the claim form must contain a prominent notice stating the possible consequences of the Court^G making a committal order and of the respondent not attending the hearing. A form of notice, which may be used, is annexed to this Part at Schedule A.

52.9

If a committal application is commenced by the filing^G of an application notice^G, Part 23 shall, subject to the provisions of this Part, apply, but:

- (1) the application notice^G together with copies of all written evidence in support must, unless the Court^G otherwise directs, be served personally on the respondent;
- (2) the application notice^G must set out in full the grounds on which the committal application is made and must identify, separately and numerically, each alleged act of contempt including, if known, the date of each of the alleged acts;
- (3) an amendment to the application notice^G can be made with the permission of the Court^G but not otherwise;

- (4) the Court^G may not dispose of the committal application without a hearing; and
- (5) the application notice^G must contain a prominent notice stating the possible consequences of the Court^G making a committal order and of the respondent not attending the hearing. A form of notice, which may be used, is annexed to this Part at Schedule A.

52.10

Without prejudice to its powers under Part 9, the Court^G may dispense with service^G under Rule 52.8(1) or Rule 52.9(1) if it thinks it just to do so.

Saving for power to commit without application

52.11

Nothing in this Part shall be taken as affecting the power of the Court of First Instance or Court of Appeal to make an order of committal on its own initiative against a person guilty of contempt of Court^G.

Evidence

52.12

Written evidence in support of or in opposition to a committal application must be given by affidavit^G.

52.13

Written evidence served in support of or in opposition to a committal application must, unless the Court^G otherwise directs, be filed.

52.14

A respondent may give oral evidence at the hearing, whether or not he has filed or served any written evidence. If he does so, he may be cross-examined.

52.15

A respondent may, with the permission of the Court^G, call a witness to give oral evidence at the hearing whether or not the witness has sworn an affidavit^G.

Case management of committal applications

52.16

The applicant for the committal order must, when lodging the claim form or application notice^G with the Court^G for issuing or filing^G, as the case may be, obtain from the Court^G a date for the hearing of the committal application.

52.17

Unless the Court^G otherwise directs, the hearing date of a committal application shall be not less than 14 days after service^G of the claim form or of the application notice^G, as the case may be, on the respondent. The hearing date must be specified in the claim form or application notice^G or in a Notice of Hearing or Application attached to and served with the claim form or application notice^G.

52.18

The Court^G may, however, at any time give case management directions, including directions for the service^G of written evidence by the respondent and written evidence in reply by the applicant, or may hold a directions hearing.

52.19

The Court^G may on the hearing date:

- (1) give case management directions with a view to a hearing of the committal application on a future date; or
- (2) if the committal application is ready to be heard, proceed to hear it.

52.20

In dealing with any committal application, the Court^G will have regard to the need for the respondent to have details of the alleged acts of contempt and the opportunity to respond to the committal application.

52.21

The Court^G should also have regard to the need for the respondent to be:

- (1) allowed a reasonable time for responding to the committal application including, if necessary, preparing a defence;
- (2) given the opportunity, if unrepresented, to obtain legal advice; and
- (3) if unable to understand English, allowed to make arrangements, seeking the assistance of the Court^G if necessary, for an interpreter to attend the hearing.

Striking out**52.22**

The Court^G may, on application by the respondent or on its own initiative, strike out^G a committal application if it appears to the Court:

- (1) that the committal application and the evidence served in support of it disclose no reasonable ground for alleging that the respondent is guilty of a contempt of Court^G;
- (2) that the committal application is an abuse of the Court's^G process or, if made in existing proceedings, is otherwise likely to obstruct the just disposal of those proceedings; or
- (3) that there has been a failure to comply with a Rule, Practice Direction or Court^G order.

Miscellaneous**52.23**

Rules 31.29 to 31.49 do not apply to committal applications.

52.24

An order under Rule 19.1 may not be made against a respondent to a committal application.

52.25

A committal application may not be discontinued without the permission of the Court^G.

52.26

The Court^G may waive any procedural defect in the commencement or conduct of a committal application if satisfied that no injustice has been caused to the respondent by the defect.

Hearings of applications to commit**52.27**

A committal application should normally be heard in public.

52.28

The Court^G hearing an application for an order of committal may sit in private in the following cases, that is to say:

- (1) where the application arises out of proceedings relating to a person suffering or appearing to be suffering from mental disorder;
- (2) where the application arises out of proceedings in which a secret process, discovery or invention was in issue; or
- (3) where it appears to the Court^G that in the interests of the administration of justice or for reasons of national security the application should be heard in private.

52.29

If the Court^G hearing an application in private by virtue of Rule 52.28 decides to make an order of committal against the person sought to be committed, it shall state in public:

- (1) the name of the respondent;
- (2) in general terms the nature of the contempt or contempts found proved; and
- (3) the penalty (if any) imposed.

52.30

Except with the permission of the Court^G hearing an application for an order of committal, no grounds shall be relied upon at the hearing except the grounds set out in the claim form or application notice^G.

52.31

If on the hearing of the application the person sought to be committed expresses a wish to give oral evidence on his own behalf, he shall be entitled to do so.

Power to suspend execution of committal order

52.32

The Court^G may by order direct that the execution of the order of committal shall be suspended for such period or on such terms or conditions as it may specify.

52.33

Where execution of an order of committal is suspended by an order under Rule 52.32, the applicant for the order of committal must, unless the Court^G otherwise directs, serve on the person against whom it was made a notice informing him of the making and terms of the order under that Rule.

Contempt in the face of the Court

52.34

Where the committal application relates to a contempt in the face of the Court^G the following matters should be given particular attention. Normally, it will be appropriate to defer consideration of the behaviour to allow the respondent time to reflect on what has occurred. The time needed for the following procedures should allow for such a period of reflection.

52.35

A Part 8 claim form and an application notice^G are not required for committal for contempt in the face of the Court^G, but other provisions of this Part should be applied, as necessary, or adapted to the circumstances. In addition the Judge^G should:

- (1) tell the respondent of the possible penalty he faces;
- (2) inform the respondent in detail, and preferably in writing, of the actions and behaviour of the respondent which have given rise to the committal application;
- (3) if he considers that an apology would remove the need for the committal application, tell the respondent;
- (4) have regard to the need for the respondent to be:
 - (a) allowed a reasonable time for responding to the committal application, including, if necessary, preparing a defence;
 - (b) given the opportunity, if unrepresented, to obtain legal advice;
 - (c) if unable to understand English, allowed to make arrangements, seeking the Court's^G assistance if necessary, for an interpreter to attend the hearing; and
 - (d) brought back before the Court^G for the committal application to be heard within a reasonable time;
- (5) allow the respondent an opportunity to:
 - (a) apologise to the Court^G;
 - (b) explain his actions and behaviour; and
 - (c) if the contempt is proved, address the Court^G on the penalty to be imposed on him;

- (6) if there is a risk of the appearance of bias, ask another Judge^G to hear the committal application; and
- (7) where appropriate, nominate a suitable person to give the respondent the information.

(It is likely to be appropriate to nominate a person where the effective communication of information by the Judge^G to the respondent was not possible when the incident occurred).

52.36

Where the committal application is to be heard by another Judge^G, a written statement by the Judge^G before whom the actions and behaviour of the respondent which have given rise to the committal application took place may be submitted as evidence of those actions and behaviour.

Saving for other powers

52.37

Nothing in this Part shall be taken as affecting the power of the Court:

- (1) to make an order referring the matter to the Attorney General^G of Dubai; or
- (2) to make any other order it considers necessary in the interests of justice (including the giving security for his good behaviour), pursuant to Article 43 of the Court Law 2004; or
- (3) to make an order requiring a person guilty of contempt of Court^G, or a person punishable by virtue of any enactment in like manner as if he had been guilty of contempt of Court^G, to pay a fine or to give security for his good behaviour;

and the provisions of this Part, so far as applicable, and with the necessary modifications, shall apply in relation to an application for such an order as they apply in relation to an application for an order of committal.

Appendix A to Part 52

IMPORTANT NOTICE

The Court has power to fine you, refer the matter to the Attorney General of Dubai or make any other order it considers necessary in the interests of justice if it finds that any of the allegations made against you are true and amount to a contempt of Court.

You must attend Court on the date shown on the front of this form. It is in your own interest to do so. You should bring with you any witnesses and documents which you think will help you put your side of the case.

If you consider the allegations are not true you must tell the Court why. If it is

established that they are true, you must tell the Court of any good reason why they do not amount to a contempt of Court, or, if they do, why you should not be punished.

If you need advice you should show this document at once to your legal representative^G.

PART 53

Small Claims Tribunal

53.1

This Part sets out the:

- (1) special procedure for dealing with claims ("small claims") which are issued in or have been transferred to the Small Claims Tribunal ("the SCT"); and
- (2) limits the amount of costs that can be recovered in respect of a small claim.

53.2

The SCT will hear and determine claims within the jurisdiction of the DIFC Courts:

- (1) where the amount of the claim or the value of the subject-matter of the claim does not exceed AED 100,000; or
- (2) where the claim relates to the employment or former employment of a party; and
 - (a) the amount of the claim or the value of the subject-matter of the claim does not exceed AED 200,000; or
 - (b) all parties elect in writing that it be heard by the SCT (there is no value limit for the SCT's elective jurisdiction in the context of employment claims);
- (3) which do not fall within the provisions of sub-paragraphs (1) or (2) above, but in respect of which:
 - (a) the amount of the claim or the value of the subject-matter of the claim does not exceed AED 500,000; and
 - (b) all parties to the claim elect in writing that it be heard by the SCT; or
- (4) such other claims as may be ordered or directed by the Chief Justice to be heard by the SCT from time to time.

Jurisdiction of the Small Claims Tribunal

53.2.1

The SCT has emphasised that, where the amount of a claim does not exceed AED 200,000, the SCT possesses exclusive jurisdiction: *ABC v. (1) XYZ (2) DEF* (21 October 2015) SCT XXXX [17]-[18].

53.2.2

A 2014 amendment to RDC 53.2(2)(b) confirmed that the SCT has *elective* jurisdiction regardless of the value of the claim, where the parties to an employment claim elect to have their case heard by the SCT.

53.3

- (1) Where parties have elected the SCT's jurisdiction in writing, neither party shall be able to withdraw such election without the approval of an SCT Judge.
- (2) Where a claimant issues multiple claims against the same defendant, the SCT Judge may, where appropriate treat those claims as a single consolidated claim under Rule 4.2(7) for the purposes of deciding whether the amount in dispute exceeds the limits of the SCT's jurisdiction.

Withdrawal of election of SCT jurisdiction

53.3.1

This provision added in the 2014 amendments confirms that, once parties have elected to use the SCT, they cannot withdraw such election without permission of the Court. It is an established principle within common law systems that parties should not be able to approbate and reprobate. Reasons that a court might permit parties to withdraw their election include if new information comes to light on the value or nature of the claim that could not previously be known with reasonable diligence.

53.4

Reference in this Part to "claims" shall include reference to counterclaims, save that, where a counterclaim would not otherwise be within the SCT's jurisdiction, an SCT Judge may direct that the proceedings be transferred to the Court of First Instance

53.5

References in this Part to filing a document are references to filing that document with the SCT Registrar, unless stated otherwise.

SCT Judge's power to grant a final remedy

53.6

The SCT Judge may grant any final remedy in relation to a small claim which a Judge of the Court of First Instance of the DIFC Courts could grant if the proceedings were before that Court.

Extent to which other Parts apply

53.7

The following Parts of the RDC shall apply to small claims except to the extent that a Rule limits such application or the SCT Judge orders otherwise:

- (1) Parts 1 to 5;
- (2) Part 9.6 (Service);
- (3) Part 12 (Disputing the Court's Jurisdiction);

- (4) Part 15 (Admissions);
- (5) Part 23 (General Rules about applications for Court orders);
- (6) Rules 29.9 to 29.11 (Evidence — power to control evidence);
- (7) Rules 31.2 (Experts and assessors — general), 31.3 – 31.11 (Experts and assessors — overriding duty to the court), 31.12 – 31.18 (Experts and assessors — power to restrict expert evidence), 31.29 – 31.47 (Experts and assessors — power to appoint an expert) and 35.8 (Experts and assessors — instructions to a single joint expert);
- (8) Rules 34.1 to 34.14 (discontinuance); and
- (9) Part 36 (judgments and orders); and
- (10) Parts 44 to 52.

53.8

The SCT of its own initiative may order a party to provide further information if it considers it appropriate to do so.

Commencement of a small claim

53.9

A small claim must be started by a Claim Form using Form P53/01.

53.10

A small claim is started when the SCT Registrar issues a Claim Form at the request of a party.

53.11

The claimant must set out in or attach to the Claim Form a statement summarising the remedy sought and the claimant's reasons for claiming that he is entitled to that remedy. The claimant must include on the face of the Claim Form a statement of the monetary value of the small claim.

53.12

The claimant must include in the Claim Form the name and address for service of the defendant, together with any other available contact information. Where the defendant is a company, the claimant shall state the address where, to the best of his knowledge, the company carries on its business.

53.13

The SCT will serve the claim form on the defendant, unless otherwise directed by the Registry.

Service of a the Claim Form for a small claim

53.13.1

In the usual course, the SCT will serve the claim form for a small claim, but situations

may occur, for example where service fails, where the Registry considers the claim form is better served by the Claimant.

Responding to a small claim

53.14

Within 7 days after he is served with a claim form in respect of a matter which has been referred to the SCT, a defendant must:

- (1) Admit the claim by filing and serving on the claimant an admission in accordance with RDC Part 15;
- (2) File a defence to the claim setting out (a) which parts of the claim are admitted; (b) which parts are denied and his reasons for denying those parts; and (c) the details of any counterclaim; or
- (3) Make an application to dispute the jurisdiction of the SCT, supported by evidence.

53.15

Where the defendant admits the claim in accordance with Rule 53.14(1), the SCT shall issue an order giving judgment on the claim.

53.16

Where the defendant files and serves an application to dispute the jurisdiction in accordance with Rule 53.12(3), the application will be heard by an SCT Judge in accordance with RDC Part 23.

The consultation

53.17

After the defendant has filed and served a defence in accordance with Rule 53.14(2) and/or after the time for filing such a statement has passed, the SCT will fix a time for the parties to attend before an SCT Judge for a consultation.

53.18

The primary purpose of the consultation will be to allow the parties to attempt to resolve their dispute.

53.19

The SCT may treat the consultation as the final hearing of the claim if all the parties agree.

53.20

The SCT will generally fix the consultation within 7 days after the time for filing a defence has expired (i.e. within 14 days after service of the claim form).

53.21

When filing the Claim Form, the claimant should inform the SCT of any dates within that period on which he is unable to attend the consultation and his reasons for being unable to attend.

53.22

When filing a defence, the defendant should inform the SCT of any dates within that period on which he is unable to attend the consultation and his reasons for being unable to attend.

53.23

The SCT will attempt to fix the consultation on a date on which both parties are able to attend.

53.24

If any party is unable to attend the consultation on the date fixed, he must notify the SCT and the other party as soon as possible and give his reasons for being unable to attend. If it appears to the SCT that the party has a good reason for being unable to attend the consultation, the SCT may adjourn the consultation and fix a new date.

53.25

It is essential that each party attends the consultation in person. Parties should not be represented by a lawyer. A party may be represented by a non-lawyer where it appears to the SCT that it is reasonably necessary.

53.26

Any of its full-time officers or employees may represent a corporate party at the consultation.

53.27

Each party should file with his claim form or defence any documents on which he wishes to rely at the consultation.

53.28

If a party fails to attend the consultation, the SCT Judge may:

- (1) decide the small claim against that party; or
- (2) adjourn the consultation.

53.29

If neither party attends the consultation, the SCT Judge may:

- (1) dismiss the claim; or
- (2) adjourn the consultation.

53.30

A party who was neither present nor represented at the consultation and against whom the claim has been decided in accordance with Rule 53.28 or Rule 53.29 may apply for that order to be set aside and the claim reinstated.

53.31

A party who applies for an Order to be set aside in accordance with Rule 53.30, must make the application not more than 7 days after the day on which notice of the Order was served on him.

53.32

The SCT may grant an application under Rule 53.30 only if the applicant:

- (1) had a good reason for not attending the consultation; and
- (2) has a real prospect of success in the small claim.

53.33

If the SCT grants an application to set aside an Order under Rule 53.30:

- (1) the SCT will fix a new date for the consultation; and
- (2) the consultation may take place immediately after the hearing of the application to set aside the Order and may be dealt with by the SCT Judge who set aside the Order.

53.34

Unless the SCT Judge orders otherwise, the consultation shall take place in private.

53.35

If the claim is settled at the consultation, the SCT Judge conducting the consultation will issue a consent order, recording the terms of the settlement.

53.36

If the claim is not settled at the consultation, the SCT Judge may either:

- (1) fix a date for a further consultation; or
- (2) make arrangements for the hearing of the claim in accordance with RDC Rules 53.38 to 53.42 below.

Re-allocation**53.37**

Where appropriate, the SCT Judge may order that the small claim be transferred to the Court of First Instance. When deciding whether to do so, the SCT Judge shall have regard to the following matters:

- (1) The financial value of the claim or of the subject of the claim;
- (2) The nature of the dispute;
- (3) The nature of the remedy sought;
- (4) The likely complexity of the facts, law or evidence;

- (5) The number of parties or likely parties;
- (6) The value of any counterclaim or other additional claim and the complexity of any matters relating to it;
- (7) The amount of oral evidence which may be required;
- (8) The importance of the claim to persons who are not parties to the proceedings;
- (9) The views expressed by the parties at the consultation; and
- (10) The circumstances of the parties, including their financial means.

Preparation for the hearing

53.38

If the claim is not settled at the consultation, unless he fixes a further consultation or Rule 53.37 applies, the SCT Judge will give directions for the preparation of the small claim for trial.

53.39

The SCT Judge at the consultation will generally:

- (1) fix a date for the final hearing of the small claim;
- (2) inform the parties of the time allowed for the final hearing; and
- (3) order each party to file and serve on every other party copies of any further documents on which they intend to rely at the hearing.

53.40

A party may ask the SCT Judge at the consultation to give particular directions about the conduct of the case.

53.41

In deciding whether to make an order for exchange of witness statements the SCT Judge will have regard to the following:

- (1) the amount in dispute in the proceedings;
- (2) the nature of the matters in dispute; and
- (3) the need for the parties to have access to justice without undue formality, cost or delay.

53.42

No expert may give evidence, whether written or oral, at a hearing without the permission of the SCT.

Power of the SCT to add to, vary or revoke directions

53.43

The SCT may add to, vary or revoke directions.

Conduct of the hearing

53.44

Unless the parties agree otherwise, the hearing of the claim will not be conducted by the same SCT Judge who conducted the consultation.

53.45

The SCT Judge may adopt any method of proceeding at a hearing that he considers to be fair.

53.46

Hearings will be informal.

53.47

The general rule is that a small claim hearing will be in private, unless the parties agree or the SCT Judge orders otherwise.

Confidentiality of SCT judgments below and on appeal

53.47.1

In *ABC v. XYZ & MNO* (22 July 2014) CFI 016/2012, an appeal from the SCT, DCJ Chadwick found that two judgments of the CFI on that appeal should be varied so as to replace the names of the parties and other persons referred to in those judgments by random initials. In his reasons, he explained that disclosure of those names had been in derogation from the principle recognised in what is now RDC 53.47 and in Practice Direction 2 of 2014 – Confidentiality of SCT Judgments on Appeal [1]. He confirmed that he could see no public in directing that the relevant names should remain available to public access. It is reasonable for parties to SCT proceedings, often relating to employment issues, to seek the exclusion of their names from the searchable public record.

53.48

The strict rules of evidence do not apply.

53.49

The SCT Judge may take evidence on oath but is not required to do so.

53.50

The SCT Judge may limit cross-examination.

53.51

The SCT Judge may in particular:

- (1) ask questions of all or any of the witnesses himself before allowing any other person to ask questions of any witnesses;
- (2) refuse to allow cross-examination of any witness until all the witnesses have given evidence in chief; and/or

- (3) limit cross-examination of a witness to a fixed time or to a particular subject or issue, or both.

Representation at a hearing

53.52

Unless the SCT Judge orders otherwise, a party should present his own case at a hearing. Parties should not be represented by a lawyer. A party may be represented at the hearing by a non-lawyer where it appears to the SCT that it is reasonably necessary.

53.53

Any of its full-time officers or employees (including in-house counsel) may represent a corporate party.

Recording of evidence and giving of reasons

53.54

The SCT will take a minute of or otherwise record by such means as the SCT Judge considers appropriate any hearing that takes place at the SCT. A party may obtain a copy of that minute or other recording on payment of the proper charges specified by the SCT.

53.55

It is not permissible for a party to use its own recording devices in the SCT.

53.56

The SCT Judge must give reasons for his decision.

53.57

The SCT Judge may give reasons for his judgment as briefly and simply as the nature of the case requires.

53.58

He will normally do so orally at the hearing, but he may give them later at a hearing either orally or in writing.

53.59

Where the SCT Judge decides the case without a hearing under Rule 53.64, the SCT Judge will prepare a note of his reasons and the SCT will send a copy to each party.

Non-attendance of parties at a final hearing

53.60

If a claimant does not attend the hearing, the SCT may strike out the claim.

53.61

If a defendant does not attend the hearing and the claimant does attend the hearing, the SCT may decide the claim on the basis of the evidence of the claimant alone.

53.62

If neither party attends the hearing, the SCT may strike out the claim and any defence and counterclaim.

53.63

Nothing in these provisions affects the general power of the SCT to adjourn a hearing, for example where a party who wishes to attend a hearing on the date fixed cannot do so for a good reason.

Disposal without a hearing**53.64**

The SCT may, if all parties agree, deal with the claim without a hearing.

Setting Judgment aside and re-hearing**53.65**

A party who was not present at the hearing of the claim may apply for an order that a Judgment under this Part shall be set aside and the claim re-heard.

53.66

A party who applies for an order setting aside a Judgment under Rule 53.65 must make the application not more than 7 days after the day on which notice of the Judgment was served on him.

53.67

The SCT may grant an application under Rule 53.65 only if the applicant:

- (1) had a good reason for not attending the hearing; and
- (2) has a real prospect of success at the hearing.

53.68

If a Judgment is set aside:

- (1) the SCT must fix a new hearing for the claim; and
- (2) the hearing may take place immediately after the hearing of the application to set the Judgment aside and may be dealt with by the SCT Judge who set aside the Judgment.

53.69

A party may not apply to set aside a Judgment under this rule if the SCT dealt with the claim without a hearing under Rule 53.64.

Costs in the SCT**53.70**

The SCT may not order a party to a small claim to pay a sum to another party in respect of that other party's costs, fees and expenses, including those relating to an appeal, except:

- (1) such part of any Court or Tribunal fees paid by that other party as the SCT may consider appropriate;

- (2) such further costs as the SCT may assess by the summary procedure and order to be paid by a party who has behaved unreasonably.

53.71

A party's rejection of an offer in settlement will not of itself constitute unreasonable behaviour under Rule 53.70(2), but the SCT may take it into consideration when it is applying the unreasonableness test.

Claim re-allocated from the SCT to the Court of First Instance

53.72

Where a claim is transferred from the SCT to the Court of First Instance, Rule 53.70 (costs in the SCT) will cease to apply after the claim has been transferred and the Costs Rules set out in RDC Parts 38–40 will apply from the date of transfer.

Enforcement

53.73

An Order of the SCT shall have the same status as an Order of the Court of First Instance of the DIFC Courts and may be enforced in accordance with the rules and procedures set out in RDC Parts 45–50.

53.74

Applications for the enforcement of an Order of the SCT should be made to the Court of First Instance.

Appeals

53.75

RDC Part 44 deals with appeals.

53.76

Attention is drawn to Rule 44.35 which specifies that, subject to any Order of the SCT, an appellant should file his appellant's notice seeking permission to appeal with the Court of First Instance within 14 days after the date of the decision which the appellant wishes to appeal.

53.77

Attention is also drawn to Rule 44.143 which sets out the circumstances in which the Court of First Instance will allow an appeal from a decision of a Tribunal.

53.78

An appellant's Notice must be filed and served in Form P53/02.

53.79

Where the SCT dealt with the claim to which the appellant is a party under Rule 53.64 without a hearing, an application for permission to appeal must be made to the Court of First Instance.

53.80

Where an appeal is allowed the Appeal Court will, if possible, dispose of the case at the same time without referring the claim to the lower court or ordering a new hearing. It may do so without hearing further evidence.

The Court to dispose of a case if possible on allowing an appeal

53.80.1

In an appeal from a decision of the SCT (9 September 2012) CFI 016/2012, Justice Chadwick, having allowed an appeal against a decision of the Small Claims Tribunal ("SCT") that it had no jurisdiction to hear a bonus claim against the proper defendant, then summarily gave judgment on the claim. Having confirmed the jurisdiction of the SCT, he found, as a matter of construction, that the Claimant's entitlement was not discretionary, but was referable to the performance of a shadow fund, as to which the Defendant advanced no case [15]. The Court, in an application of the Overriding Objective, asked whether there was any purpose in allowing the claim to return to the SCT for trial, rather than dealing there and then with the point of construction [25]-[26]. In view of the relatively small amount in issue, the Claimant's financial position, the fact that proceedings had already been on foot for 10 months, and the Defendant's failure to give any explanation reason of substance why the Claimant had not been paid, Justice Chadwick ordered payment of the relevant bonus installment.

53.81

Permission to appeal an SCT Judgment may be made subject to conditions when the Judge granting permission considers it appropriate to do so. Such conditions may include the costs of appeal.

Waiver of fees or fee deferral

53.82

Where a party seeks an order that the Court fee associated with his claim should be waived:

- (1) He must state this in his claim form;
- (2) He must set out in his claim form or attach to his claim form a statement of the grounds on which he seeks waiver of the court fees;
- (3) The SCT Registrar shall decide on paper whether to waive the fees or defer payment of the fees until the end of the case;
- (4) Where Court fees are waived, the SCT Registry shall record that fact on the case file.

PART 54

Insolvency Proceedings

I Introductory Provisions

Construction and interpretation

54.1

In this Part:

"block transfer order" has the meaning in Rule 54.228;

"the Companies Law" means the Companies Law 2009;

"the Court Law" means the DIFC Court Law 2004;

"insolvency proceedings" means any proceedings under the Law, the Regulations or this Part of these Rules^G;

"the Law" means the Insolvency Law 2009;

"office-holder" means in relation to insolvency proceedings any person who by virtue of any provision of the Law or the Regulations holds an office in relation to those proceedings;

"outgoing office-holder" has the meaning in Rule 54.227;

"the petitioner" or "the petitioning creditor" includes, in winding up, any person who has been substituted as such, or has been given carriage of the petition;

"the Regulations" means the Insolvency Regulations 2009 (and any reference to a numbered regulation is to that regulation within the Regulations);

"replacement office-holder" has the meaning in Rule 54.227; and

"substantive application" is that part of the application in Rule 54.230(1) and 54.230(2)

54.2

For the purposes of this Part a "Company" includes:

54.2.1 a Recognised Company in respect of which a winding-up order is sought or which is being wound up by the Court^G pursuant to Part 6 of the Law save as otherwise provided or the context otherwise requires; and

54.2.2 a Foreign Company where and insofar as the context requires.

54.3

Other terms which have a defined meaning in the Law, the Companies Law, the Regulations and these Rules^G have the same meaning in this Part.

Time limits

54.4

The provisions of Rules 2.11 to 2.15 (Time) apply, as regards computation of time, to anything required or authorised to be done in this Part.

54.5

The provisions of Rule 4.2(1) (The Court's general powers of management) apply so as to enable the Court^G to extend or shorten the time for compliance with anything required or authorised to be done by this Part.

Power of Judge, Registrar

54.6

Save where these Rules^G provide otherwise, anything to be done under or by

virtue of the Law, the Regulations or this Part of the Rules^G by, to or before the Court^G may be done by, to or before a Judge^G or a Registrar^G.

II Applications

Preliminary

54.7

This Section applies to any application made to the Court^G under the Law or the Regulations except (save as otherwise provided):

- (1) a petition for a winding-up order under Article 50 of the Law (see Section III);
- (2) an application for the appointment of a provisional liquidator (see Section IV); and
- (3) an application for a cell receivership order (see Section V).

Form and contents of application

54.8

Each application shall be in writing and shall state:

- (1) that the application is made under the Law or the Regulations (as the case may be);
- (2) the names of the parties;
- (3) the name of the Company which is the subject of the insolvency proceedings to which the application relates;
- (4) where the Court^G has previously allocated a reference number to the insolvency proceedings within which the application is made, that number;
- (5) the nature of the remedy or order applied for or the directions sought from the Court^G;
- (6) the names and addresses of the persons (if any) on whom it is intended to serve the application or that no person is intended to be served;
- (7) where the Law, the Regulations or these Rules^G require that notice of the application is to be given to specified persons, the names and addresses of all those persons (so far as known to the applicant); and
- (8) the applicant's address for service.

Filing and service of application

54.9

On receipt of an application notice containing a request for a hearing the Court^G will notify the applicant of the time and date for the hearing of the application.

54.10

Unless the Court^G otherwise directs, the applicant shall serve a sealed copy of the application, endorsed with the time and date for the hearing, on the respondent named in the application (or on each respondent if more than one).

54.11

The Court^G may give any of the following directions:

- (1) that the application be served upon persons other than those specified by the relevant provision of the Law, the Regulations or these Rules^G;
- (2) that the giving of notice to any person may be dispensed with; and
- (3) that notice be given in some way other than that specified in Rule 54.10.

54.12

An application must be served at least fourteen (14) calendar days before the date fixed for its hearing unless:

- (1) the provision of the Law or the Regulations under which the application is made makes different provision; or
- (2) the case is one of urgency to which Rule 54.13 applies.

54.13

Where the case is one of urgency, the Court^G may (without prejudice to its general power to extend or abridge time limits):

- (1) hear the application immediately, either with or without notice to, or the attendance of, other parties; or
- (2) authorise a shorter period of service than that provided for by Rule 54.12;

and any such application may be heard on terms providing for the filing or service of documents, or the carrying out of other formalities, as the Court^G thinks fit.

Hearings without notice**54.14**

Where the relevant provisions of the Law or the Regulations do not require service of the application on, or notice of it to be given to, any person:

- (1) the Court^G may hear the application as soon as reasonably practicable without fixing a time and date for the hearing as required by Rule 54.9; or
- (2) it may fix a time and date for the hearing in which case Rules 54.9 to 54.13 shall apply to the extent that they are relevant;

but nothing in those provisions is to be taken as prohibiting the applicant from giving such notice if the applicant wishes to do so.

Witness statements

54.15

Subject to Rules 54.20 to 54.21, where evidence is required by the Law or the Regulations as to any matter, such evidence may be provided in the form of a witness statement unless:

54.15.1 in any specific case, the Act, a Regulation or a Rule makes different provision; or

54.15.2 the Court^G otherwise directs.

54.16

Witness statements and affidavits must comply with the requirements of Part 29 (Evidence), save that photocopy documents may be used unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

54.17

Where in insolvency proceedings a witness statement is made by an office-holder, the witness statement must state:

- (1) the capacity in which that office-holder is acting; and
- (2) the address at which that office-holder works.

54.18

The Court^G may, on the application of any party to the matter in question order the attendance for cross-examination of the person making the witness statement.

54.19

Where, after such an order has been made, the person in question does not attend, that person's witness statement must not be used in evidence without the permission of the Court^G.

Use of reports

54.20

Unless the application involves other parties or the Court^G otherwise orders, a report may be filed in Court instead of a witness statement by:

- (1) a liquidator;
- (2) an administrative receiver;
- (3) a receiver;
- (4) a cell receiver; or
- (5) a provisional liquidator.

54.21

In any case where a report is filed instead of a witness statement, the report shall be treated for the purposes of Rule 54.22 and any hearing before the Court^G as if it were a witness statement.

Filing and service of witness statements

54.22

Unless the provision of the Law or the Regulations under which the application is made provides otherwise, or the Court^G otherwise allows:

- (1) if the applicant intends to rely at the first hearing on evidence in a witness statement, he shall file the witness statement with the Court^G and serve a copy on the respondent (or on each respondent if more than one);
- (2) where a respondent to an application intends to oppose it and to rely for that purpose on evidence in a witness statement, he shall file the witness statement with the Court^G and serve a copy on the applicant (or on each applicant if more than one) and on every other respondent; and
- (3) the timetable for the filing and service of witness statements shall be as set out in Rules 23.40 to 23.48.

Hearing of application

54.23

Unless the Court^G otherwise directs, the application will be heard in public in accordance with Article 13 of the Court Law^G.

54.24

The jurisdiction of the Court^G to hear and determine an application may be exercised by the Registrar^G (to whom any application should be made in the first instance) unless:

- (1) a direction to the contrary has been given; or
- (2) it is not within the Registrar's^G power to make the order required (whether pursuant to Part 3 (Organisation of the Court) or otherwise).

54.25

Nothing in Rule 54.24 precludes an application being made directly to the Judge^G in a proper case.

54.26

Where an application is made to the Registrar^G, the Registrar^G may refer to the Judge^G any matter which the Registrar^G thinks should properly be decided by the Judge^G, and the Judge^G may either dispose of the matter or refer it back to the Registrar^G with such directions as that Judge^G thinks fit.

Adjournment of hearing and directions

54.27

The Court^G may adjourn the hearing of an application on such terms as it thinks fit.

54.28

The Court^G may at any time give such directions as it thinks fit as to:

- (1) service or notice of the application on or to any person;
- (2) whether particulars of claim and defence are to be delivered and generally as to the procedure on the application, including whether a hearing is necessary; and
- (3) the matters to be dealt with in evidence.

54.29

The Court^G may give directions as to the manner in which any evidence is to be adduced at a resumed hearing and in particular as to:

- (1) the taking of evidence wholly or partly by witness statement or orally;
- (2) the cross-examination of the maker of a witness statement; and
- (3) any report to be made by an office-holder.

Enforcement of Court orders**54.30**

In any insolvency proceedings, orders of the Court^G may be enforced in the same manner as a judgment to the same effect in accordance with Part 45 (General Rules About Enforcement of Judgments and Orders).

III Petition for a Winding-Up Order**Introductory provisions****54.31**

The Rules^G in this Section apply in relation to a winding up by the Court^G under Part 4, Chapter 5, or Part 6 of the Law.

54.32

For the purposes of this Section, the term "a Company" includes a Recognised Company (with such modifications as may be necessary).

Injunction to restrain presentation or advertisement of petition**54.33**

An application may at any time be made by a Company for an injunction to restrain a person from:

- (1) presenting a petition for the winding up of a Company; or
- (2) advertising a petition for the winding up of a Company.

54.34

Such application should be made in accordance with Section II of this Part.

Presentation and filing of petition

54.35

The petition, verified by a statement of truth in accordance with Part 22 (Statements of Truth) and Rules 54.40 to 54.45, shall be filed in Court.

54.36

There must be annexed to the petition (and each copy thereof) a copy of any written demand which has been served on the Company by the petitioner pursuant to Article 51.1(a) of the Law and Regulation 5.1.

54.37

There shall be delivered with the petition:

- (1) if the petitioner is a person other than the Company, one copy for service on the Company;
- (2) if any office-holder has been appointed in respect of the Company or the assets of the Company (or any of them), one copy for service on each such office-holder; and
- (3) if the Company is an Authorised Person and the petitioner is not the DFSA^G, one copy for service on the DFSA^G for notification purposes.

54.38

Each of the copies delivered shall have applied to it the seal of the Court^G, and shall be issued to the petitioner.

54.39

The Court^G shall fix a date and time for the hearing of the petition, and this shall be endorsed on any copy of the petition issued to the petitioner under Rule 54.38.

Verification of petition

54.40

The petition shall be verified by a statement of truth in such form as the Court^G may specify.

54.41

If the petition is in respect of debts due to different creditors, the debts to each creditor must be separately verified.

54.42

A statement of truth which is not contained in or endorsed upon the petition which it verifies must be sufficient to identify the petition and must specify:

- (1) the Court^G reference number of the petition;
- (2) the name and registered number of the Company; and
- (3) the name of the petitioner.

54.43

The statement of truth must be made:

- (1) by the petitioner (or if there are two or more petitioners, any one of them);
- (2) by some person such as a director, company secretary or similar company officer, or a legal representative, who has been concerned in the matters giving rise to the presentation of the petition; or
- (3) by some responsible person who is duly authorised by the petitioner to make the statement of truth and has the requisite knowledge of those matters.

54.44

Where the person making the statement of truth is not the petitioner himself, or one of the petitioners, he must in the statement of truth identify himself and state:

- (1) the capacity in which, and the authority by which, he makes it; and
- (2) the means of his knowledge of the matters verified in the statement of truth.

54.45

A statement of truth verifying more than one petition shall include in its title the names of the Companies to which it relates and shall set out, in respect of each Company, the statements relied on by the petitioner; and a clear and legible photocopy of the statement of truth must be filed with each petition which it verifies.

Consent of the insolvency practitioner proposed for appointment as liquidator
54.46

The petition shall contain the name and address of the insolvency practitioner whom the petitioner proposes should be appointed as liquidator or provisional liquidator of the Company in the event that a winding-up order is made by the Court^G and a statement that the person so nominated is (to the best of the petitioner's belief) a registered insolvency practitioner for the purposes of Article 89 of the Law and has consented to such appointment. There shall be attached to the statement of truth a copy of the written consent to such appointment signed by the insolvency practitioner so nominated.

Service of petition**54.47**

Rules 54.48 to 54.52 apply as regards service of the petition on the Company (where the petitioner is other than the Company itself); and references to the petition are to a copy of the petition bearing the seal of the Court^G.

54.48

Subject as follows, the petition shall be served at the Company's registered office.

54.49

Service^G of the petition at the registered office may be effected in any of the following ways:

- (1) it may be handed to a person who there and then acknowledges himself to be, or the best of the server's knowledge, information and belief is, a director or other officer, or employee, of the Company;
- (2) it may be handed to a person who there and then acknowledges himself to be authorised to accept service of documents on the Company's behalf; or
- (3) in the absence of any such person as is mentioned in paragraphs 54.49.1 or 54.49.2, it may be deposited at or about the registered office in such a way that it is likely to come to the notice of a person attending at the office.

54.50

If for any reason service at the registered office is not practicable, or the Company has no registered office, the petition may be served on the Company by leaving at the Company's last known principal place of business in such a way that it is likely to come to the attention of a person attending there, or by delivering it to the secretary or some director, manager or principal officer of the Company, wherever that person may be found.

54.51

If for any reason it is impracticable to effect service as provided by Rules 54.49 or 54.50, the petition may be served in such other manner as the Court^G may by order approve or direct.

54.52

Application for an order of the Court^G under Rule 54.51 may be made by an application without notice to any other party, supported by a witness statement, stating what steps have been taken to comply with Rules 54.49 and 54.50 and the reasons why it is impracticable to effect service as there provided.

Proof of service**54.53**

Service^G of the petition must be proved by a certificate of service.

54.54

The certificate of service must be sufficient to identify the petition served and must specify:

- (1) the name and registered office of the Company;
- (2) the address of the registered office of the Company;
- (3) the name of the petitioner;
- (4) the court reference number of the petition;

- (5) the date of the petition;
- (6) whether the copy served was a sealed copy;
- (7) the date on which service was effected; and
- (8) the manner in which service was effected.

54.55

Where substituted service has been ordered under Rule 54.51, the certificate of service must have attached to it a sealed copy of the order.

54.56

The certificate of service must be filed in Court as soon as reasonably practicable after service, and in any event not less than five (5) business days before the hearing of the petition.

Other persons to receive copies of petition or entitled to copy of petition

54.57

If to the petitioner's knowledge any office-holder has been appointed in respect of the Company or the assets of the Company (or any of them), a copy of the petition shall be sent by him to such office-holder.

54.58

If to the petitioner's knowledge the Company is an Authorised Person and the petitioner is not the DFSA^G itself, a copy of the petition shall be sent by the petitioner to the DFSA^G.

54.59

A copy of the petition which is required to be sent pursuant to Rules 54.57 or 54.58 shall be despatched on the next business day after the day on which the petition is served on the Company.

54.60

Subject to any order of the Court^G to the contrary every director, contributory and creditor of the Company is entitled to be furnished by the legal representative of the petitioner (or by the petitioner himself, if acting in person) with a copy of the petition within two (2) business days after requiring it on payment of reasonable copying charges.

Advertisement of petition

54.61

The petitioner shall cause the petition to be advertised, in accordance with Regulation 5.3, in the English language newspaper(s) and in the Arabic language newspaper(s) as the Court^G may by Practice Direction designate.

54.62

Unless the Court^G otherwise directs, the notice must be made to appear:

- (1) if the petitioner is the Company itself, not less than seven (7) business days before the day appointed for the hearing; or

- (2) otherwise, not less than seven (7) business days after service of the petition on the Company, nor less than seven (7) business days before the day so appointed.

54.63

In addition to the standard contents (as set out in Section VII), the notice must state:

- (1) that a petition has been presented for the winding up of the Company;
- (2) the name and address of the petitioner;
- (3) the date on which the petition was presented;
- (4) the date and time fixed for the hearing of the petition;
- (5) the name and address of the petitioner's legal representative (if any);
- (6) the name and address of the insolvency practitioner whom the petitioner proposes for appointment as liquidator; and
- (7) that any person intending to appear at the hearing (whether to support or oppose the petition or the appointment of the insolvency practitioner proposed for appointment as liquidator) must give notice of that intention in accordance with Rules 54.70 to 54.73.

54.64

Non-compliance with Rules 54.61 to 54.63, is a ground on which the Court^G may, if it thinks fit, dismiss the petition.

Certificate of compliance

54.65

The petitioner or his legal representative shall, at least five (5) business days before the hearing of the petition, file in Court^G a certificate of compliance with the Rules^G, in such form as the Court^G may specify, relating to service and advertisement.

54.66

The certificate shall show:

- (1) the name and registered office of the Company;
- (2) the name of the petitioner;
- (3) the court reference number of the petition;
- (4) the date of presentation of the petition;
- (5) the date and time fixed for the hearing; and

- (6) the date or dates on which the petition was served and notice of it was given in compliance with the Rules^G.

54.67

A copy or, where this is not reasonably practicable, a description of the form and content of any notice given shall be filed in Court^G with the certificate.

54.68

Non-compliance with Rules 54.65 to 54.67 is a ground on which the Court^G may, if it thinks fit, dismiss the petition.

Permission for petitioner to withdraw

54.69

If at least five (5) business days before the hearing the petitioner, on an application without notice to any other party in such form as the Court^G may specify, satisfies the Court^G that:

- (1) the petition has not been advertised;
- (2) no notices (whether in support or opposition) have been received by him with reference to the petition; and
- (3) the Company consents to an order being made under this Rule;

the Court^G may order that the petitioner has permission to withdraw the petition on such terms as to costs as the parties may agree or the Court^G may think fit.

Notice of appearance

54.70

Every person who intends to appear on the hearing of the petition shall give to the petitioner notice of his intention in accordance with Rules 54.71 to 54.73 in such form as the Court^G may specify.

54.71

The notice shall specify:

- (1) the name and address of the person giving it, and any telephone number and reference which may be required for communication with him or with any other person (to be also specified in the notice) authorised to speak or act on his behalf;
- (2) whether his intention is to support or oppose the petition;
- (3) whether or not he objects (in the event that a winding-up order is made by the Court^G) to the appointment as liquidator or provisional liquidator of the insolvency practitioner proposed for such appointment by the petitioner;

- (4) whether, if he does so object, he proposes that (in the event that a winding-up order is made by the Court^G) some other insolvency practitioner be appointed liquidator or provisional liquidator; and
- (5) the amount and nature of his debt (if any).

54.72

The notice shall be sent to the petitioner at the address shown for him in the Court^G records or in the advertisement of the petition required by Regulation 5.3, or it may be sent to his legal representative.

54.73

The notice shall be sent so as to reach the addressee not later than 4 p.m. on the business day before that which is appointed for the hearing (or, where the hearing has been adjourned, for the adjourned hearing).

54.74

A person who fails to comply with Rules 54.70 to 54.73 may appear on the hearing of the petition only with the permission of the Court^G.

List of appearances

54.75

The petitioner shall prepare for the Court^G a list of the persons (if any) who have given notice under Rule 54.70 in such form as the Court^G may specify, specifying their names and addresses and (if known to him) their respective legal representatives.

54.76

Against the name of each creditor in the list it shall be stated whether his intention is to support the petition, or to oppose it and whether he objects (in the event that a winding-up order is made by the Court^G) to the appointment as liquidator or provisional liquidator of the insolvency practitioner proposed for such appointment by the petitioner.

54.77

On the date appointed for the hearing of the petition, a copy of the list shall be lodged at Court^G or handed to the Court^G before the commencement of the hearing.

54.78

If any permission is given under Rule 54.74, the petitioner shall add to the list the same particulars in respect of each person to whom permission has been given.

Witness statement in opposition

54.79

If the Company intends to oppose the petition, it shall file in Court a witness statement in opposition not less than five (5) business days before the date fixed for the hearing.

54.80

A copy of the witness statement shall be sent by the Company to the petitioner forthwith after filing.

Adjournment of hearing of petition**54.81**

If the Court^G adjourns the hearing of the petition, unless the Court^G otherwise directs, the petitioner must forthwith send:

- (1) to the Company; and
- (2) where any creditor has given notice under Rule 54.70 but was not present at the hearing, to that creditor;

notice of the order adjourning the petition, stating the date and time of the adjourned hearing.

Substitution of creditor for petitioner**54.82**

Where a person petitions and is subsequently found not entitled to do so, or where the petitioner:

- (1) fails to advertise his petition within the time prescribed by the Regulations or this Part of the Rules^G or such extended time as the Court^G may allow;
- (2) consents to withdraw his petition, or to allow it to be dismissed, consents to an adjournment, or fails to appear in support of his petition when it is called on in Court on the day originally fixed for the hearing, or on a day to which it is adjourned; or
- (3) appears, but does not apply for an order in the terms of the prayer of his petition;

the Court^G may, on such terms as it thinks just, substitute as petitioner any person who in its opinion would have a right to present a petition, and who is desirous of prosecuting it.

54.83

An order of the Court^G under Rule 54.82 may, where a petitioner fails to advertise his petition within the time prescribed by the Regulations or this Part of the Rules^G, or consents to withdraw his petition, be made at any time.

The winding-up order**54.84**

Rules 54.85 to 54.91 apply when a winding-up order has been made by the Court^G.

54.85

A winding-up order shall be in such form as the Court^G may specify, subject to any amendments or further provisions as the Court^G considers appropriate.

54.86

The petitioner shall, not later than the business day following that on which the order is made, lodge with the Court^G a draft of the form in which he proposes the order be drawn up; and the petitioner and every other person who has appeared on the hearing of the petition shall leave at the Court^G all the documents required for enabling the order to be completed as soon as reasonably practicable.

54.87

It is not necessary for the Court^G to appoint a date and time for any person to attend to settle the order, unless in any particular case the special circumstances make an appointment necessary.

54.88

A winding-up order must name a person as the liquidator or provisional liquidator in respect of the conduct of the winding-up in accordance with Article 58(1) of the Law and Regulation 5.4(2).

54.89

Three copies of the winding-up order, sealed with the seal of the Court^G, shall be sent as soon as reasonably practicable by the Court^G to the liquidator or provisional liquidator (as the case may be) in respect of the conduct of the winding-up.

54.90

The liquidator or provisional liquidator shall cause:

- (1) a sealed copy of the order to be served on the Company by prepaid letter addressed to it at its registered office (if any) or, if there is no registered office, at its principal or last known principal place of business; and
- (2) a sealed copy of the order to be sent to the Registrar^G of Companies.

54.91

Upon the making of a winding-up order, the Court^G shall forthwith in accordance with Regulation 5.4(1):

- (1) give notice of the fact to the Company, the petitioner, the DFSA^G (in the case of an Authorised Person) and any other person represented at the hearing of the petition; and
- (2) cause notice of the fact that the order has been made to be advertised, in accordance with Regulation 5.3, in the English language newspaper(s) and in the Arabic language newspaper(s) as the Court^G may by Practice Direction designate.

Dismissal of the petition**54.92**

Unless the Court^G otherwise directs, when a petition is dismissed, the petitioner shall forthwith give notice of the dismissal. Such notice shall be advertised in

the same manner as the petition was advertised under Rules 54.61 to 54.64 or otherwise as the Court^G may direct.

54.93

The notice advertised under Rule 54.92 must state:

- (1) that a petition for the winding-up of the Company has been dismissed;
- (2) the name and address of the petitioner;
- (3) the date on which the petition was presented;
- (4) the date on which the petition was advertised; and
- (5) the date of the hearing at which the petition was dismissed.

54.94

Where the petitioner is not the Company itself and the petitioner has not complied with Rules 54.92 and 54.93 within 21 days of the date of the hearing at which the petition was dismissed, the Company may advertise notice of the dismissal itself. Where the Company advertises notice of the dismissal itself, then unless the Court^G orders otherwise:

- (1) the petitioner shall pay to the Company the costs reasonably incurred by the Company in advertising such notice; and
- (2) the amount of the costs so payable, unless agreed between the Company and the petitioner, must be decided by detailed assessment and the Company may commence detailed assessment proceedings in accordance with Part 40 (Procedure for Detailed Assessment of Costs).

54.95

Rules 54.92 to 54.94 above do not apply in the case where a petition is withdrawn pursuant to Rule 54.69 above.

Applications to stay winding up

54.96

The Court^G may at any time after an order for winding up, on the application of the provisional liquidator, the liquidator or the DFSA^G or any creditor or contributory, and on proof to the satisfaction of the Court^G that all proceedings in the winding up ought to be stayed make an order staying the proceedings, either altogether or for a limited time, on such terms and conditions as the Court^G thinks fit.

54.97

The Court^G may, before making an order, give notice of the application to the DFSA^G, who may furnish the Court^G with a report with respect to any facts or matters which are in its opinion relevant to the application.

54.98

A copy of every order made staying a winding up shall forthwith be forwarded by the Company to the Registrar^G of Companies.

IV Provisional Liquidators**Appointment of provisional liquidators****54.99**

An application to the Court^G for the appointment of a provisional liquidator under Article 59 of the Law may be made by:

- (1) the petitioner;
- (2) a creditor of the Company;
- (3) the Company;
- (4) the DFSA^G;
- (5) an administrative receiver; and
- (6) any other person who under any enactment would be entitled to present a petition for the winding-up of the Company.

54.100

The application must be supported by a witness statement stating:

- (1) the grounds on which it is proposed that a provisional liquidator should be appointed;
- (2) that the person proposed to be appointed has consented to act and, to the best of the applicant's belief, is a registered insolvency practitioner for the purposes of Article 89 of the Law;
- (3) whether to the applicant's knowledge:
 - (a) there has been proposed or is in force for the Company a voluntary arrangement under **Part 2** of the Law;
 - (b) an administrative receiver is acting in relation to the Company;
 - (c) a liquidator has been appointed for the Company's voluntary winding-up; or
 - (d) a cell receivership order under Regulation 8.2 has been made in respect of the Company; and
- (4) the applicant's estimate of the value of the assets in respect of which the provisional liquidator is to be appointed, and the facts and bases upon which such estimate is made.

54.101

The Court^G may on the application, if satisfied that sufficient grounds are shown for the appointment, make it on such terms as it thinks fit.

Notice and advertisement of appointment

54.102

Where a provisional liquidator has been appointed, the Court^G shall as soon as reasonably practicable give notice of the fact to the provisional liquidator.

54.103

Unless the Court^G otherwise directs, on receipt of the notice of appointment, as soon as reasonably practicable the provisional liquidator shall give notice of that appointment by advertisement once in the English language newspaper(s) and in the Arabic language newspaper(s) as the Court^G may by Practice Direction designate.

54.104

In addition to the standard contents (as set out in Section VII), the notice under Rule 54.103 (to be in such form as the Court^G may specify) must state:

- (1) that a provisional liquidator has been appointed; and
- (2) the date of the appointment.

Order of appointment of provisional liquidator

54.105

The order appointing the provisional liquidator shall specify the functions to be carried out by him in relation to the Company's affairs.

54.106

The Court^G shall as soon as reasonably practicable after the order is made, send sealed copies of the orders as follows:

- (1) three copies to the person appointed as provisional liquidator; and
- (2) if there is an administrative receiver or cell receiver acting in relation to the Company, one copy to him.

54.107

Of the three copies of the order sent to the provisional liquidator under Rule 54.106(1):

- (1) one copy shall be sent by him to the Company or, if a liquidator has been appointed for the Company's voluntary winding-up, to him; and
- (2) one shall be sent to the Registrar^G of Companies.

Security

54.108

The cost of providing the security required under the Law upon the appointment of a provisional liquidator shall be paid in the first instance by the provisional liquidator; but:

- (1) if a winding-up order is not made, the person so appointed is entitled to

be reimbursed out of the property of the Company, and the Court^G may make an order accordingly; and

- (2) if a winding-up order is made, he is entitled to be reimbursed as an expense of the liquidation in the prescribed order of priority.

54.109

If the provisional liquidator fails to give or keep up his security, the Court^G may remove him and make such order as it thinks fit as to costs.

54.110

If an order is made under Rule 54.109 removing the provisional liquidator, or discharging an order appointing him, the Court^G shall give directions as to whether any, and if so what, steps should be taken for the appointment of another person in his place.

Remuneration

54.111

The remuneration of the provisional liquidator shall be fixed by the Court^G from time to time on his application.

54.112

In fixing his remuneration, the Court^G shall take into account:

- (1) the time properly given by him (as provisional liquidator) and his staff in attending to the Company's affairs;
- (2) the complexity (or otherwise) of the case;
- (3) any respects in which, in connection with the Company's affairs, there falls on the provisional liquidator any responsibility of an exceptional kind or degree;
- (4) the effectiveness with which the provisional liquidator appears to be carrying out, or to have carried out, his duties; and
- (5) the value and nature of the property with which he has had to deal.

54.113

Without prejudice to any order the Court^G may make as to costs, the provisional liquidator's remuneration shall be paid to him, and the amount of any expenses incurred by him reimbursed:

- (1) if a winding-up order is not made, out of the property of the Company; and
- (2) if a winding-up order is made, as an expense of the liquidation, in the prescribed order of priority.

54.114

Unless the Court^G otherwise directs, in a case falling within Rule 54.113(1) above the provisional liquidator may retain out of the company's property such sums or property as are or may be required for meeting his remuneration and expenses.

Termination of appointment**54.115**

The appointment of the provisional liquidator shall be terminated without further order on the appointment of a liquidator and may be terminated by the Court^G on his application or on that of any of the persons specified in Rule 54.99.

54.116

If the provisional liquidator's appointment terminates, whether in consequence of the dismissal of the winding-up petition or otherwise, the Court^G may give such directions as it thinks fit with respect to the accounts of his administration or any other matters which it thinks appropriate.

54.117

Notice of termination of the appointment of a provisional liquidator must be given by the provisional liquidator, unless the termination is on the making of a winding-up order or the Court^G otherwise directs. Such notice must as soon as reasonably practicable be:

- (1) sent to the Registrar^G of Companies;
- (2) sent to the DFSA^G if the Company is an Authorised Person; and
- (3) advertised in the same manner as the appointment of the provisional liquidator was advertised pursuant to Rule 54.103.

54.118

In addition to the standard contents (as set out in Section VII), a notice under Rule 54.117 must state:

- (1) that the appointment as provisional liquidator has terminated;
- (2) the date of that termination; and
- (3) that the appointment terminated otherwise than (if it be the case) on the making of a winding-up order.

V Application for a Cell Receivership Order**Scope****54.119**

This Section applies in relation to the making of a cell receivership order under Regulation 8.2.

Application for a cell receivership order

54.120

An application for a cell receivership application shall be in such form as the Court^G may specify.

54.121

If made by the Company or by its directors, an application for a cell receivership order shall state the name of the Company and its address for service, which (in the absence of special reasons to the contrary) is that of the Company's registered office.

54.122

If the application is made by the directors, it shall state that it is made under Regulation 8.3.1(b); but from and after making it is to be treated for all purposes as the application of the Company.

54.123

If made by any other person (including the DFSA^G), an application for a cell receivership order shall state the name and address for service of the applicant.

54.124

The application shall contain a statement of the applicant's belief that the cellular assets attributable to a particular cell of the Company are or are likely to be insufficient to discharge the claims of creditors in respect of that cell.

Statement by proposed cell receiver

54.125

There shall be attached to the application a written statement which shall be in such form as the Court^G may specify by each of the persons proposed to be a cell receiver stating:

- (1) that he consents to accept appointment;
- (2) details of any prior professional relationship(s) that he has had with the applicant or the Company to which he is to be appointed as cell receiver; and
- (3) his opinion that it is reasonably likely that one or more of the purposes set out in Regulation 8.2.3 would be achieved.

Witness statement in support of cell receivership application

54.126

Where it is proposed to apply to the Court^G for a cell receivership order to be made in relation to a Company, a witness statement complying with Rules 54.127 to 54.129 must be prepared, with a view to its being filed at Court in support of the application.

54.127

If the application is to be made by the Company or by its directors, the witness statement shall be made by one of the directors, or the secretary of the Company,

stating himself to make it on behalf of the company or, as the case may be, on behalf of the directors.

54.128

If the application is to be made by any other person, the witness statement shall be made by the applicant or a person acting under the authority of the applicant, and there must be stated in the witness statement his means of knowledge of the matters to which the witness statement relates and the nature of his authority (if applicable).

54.129

The witness statement in support of an application for a cell receivership order shall contain:

- (1) a statement of the financial position in respect of that cell, specifying (to the best of the applicant's knowledge and belief) the assets and liabilities of the cell, including contingent and prospective liabilities;
- (2) details of any security known or believed to be held by creditors in respect of that cell;
- (3) details of any debt or liability owing to the applicant by that cell and any security held by the applicant in respect of that cell;
- (4) details of the nature of the business of that cell and, if different, the business of the Company as a whole;
- (5) details of any insolvency proceedings in relation to that cell or to the Company, including any petition that has been presented for the winding up of the Company or any administrative receiver who has been appointed in respect of the Company so far as within the immediate knowledge of the applicant; and
- (6) any other matters which, in the opinion of those intending to make the application for a cell receivership order, will assist the Court^G in deciding whether to make such an order, so far as lying within the knowledge or belief of the witness.

Filing of application

54.130

The application (and all supporting documents) shall be filed with the Court^G, with a sufficient number of copies for service and use as provided by Rule 54.134.

54.131

Each of the copies filed shall have applied to it the seal of the Court^G and be issued to the applicant.

54.132

The Court^G shall fix a venue for the hearing of the application and this shall be endorsed on each copy of the application issued under Rule 54.131.

54.133

After the application is filed, it is the duty of the applicant to notify the Court^G in writing of the existence of any insolvency proceedings in relation to the Company as soon as he becomes aware of them.

Service of application**54.134**

The application shall be served in addition to those persons referred to in Regulation 8.3.3:

- (1) if there is pending a petition for the winding-up of the Company, on the petitioner (and also on the provisional liquidator) if any;
- (2) on the person proposed as the cell receiver; and
- (3) if a supervisor of a voluntary arrangement under Part 2 of the Law has been appointed, on him.

In this Rule, references to the application are to a copy of the application issued by the Court^G under Rule 54.131 together with the witness statement required by Rule 54.126 and the documents attached to the application.

Notice to officers charged with execution of legal process, etc.**54.135**

The applicant shall as soon as reasonably practicable after filing the application give notice of its being made to:

- (1) any enforcement officer or other officer who to his knowledge is charged with an execution or other legal process either against that cell of the Company or its property or against the Company or its property generally; and
- (2) any person who to his knowledge has distrained either against that cell of the Company or its property or against the Company or its property generally.

Manner in which service is to be effected**54.136**

Service^G of the application in accordance with 54.134 shall be effected by the applicant, or his legal practitioner, or by a person instructed by him or his legal practitioner, not less than five (5) business days before the date fixed for the hearing.

54.137

Service^G shall be effected as follows:

- (1) on the Company (subject to Rule 54.138 below), by delivering the documents to its registered office;

- (2) on any other person (subject to Rule 54.139 below), by delivering the documents to his proper address; or
- (3) in either case, in such other manner as the Court^G may direct.

54.138

If delivery to a Company's registered office is not practicable, service may be effected by delivery to its last known principal place of business.

54.139

For the purposes of Rule 54.137(2) above, a person's proper address is any which he has previously notified as his address for service; but if he has not notified any such address, service may be effected by delivery to his usual or last known address.

Proof of service**54.140**

Service^G of the application must be verified by a certificate of service. The certificate of service must be sufficient to identify the application served and must specify:

- (1) the name and registered number of the Company;
- (2) the address of the registered office of the Company;
- (3) the name of the applicant;
- (4) the court reference number in respect of the application;
- (5) the date of the application;
- (6) whether the copy served was a sealed copy;
- (7) the date on which service was effected; and
- (8) the manner in which service was effected.

54.141

The certificate of service shall be filed with the Court^G as soon as reasonably practicable after service, and in any event not less than one (1) business day before the hearing of the application.

Application where Company in liquidation or administrative receivership**54.142**

Where an application is made for a cell receivership order under Regulation 8.2.4, the witness statement required by Rule 54.126 shall contain:

- (1) full details of the existing insolvency proceedings, including the name and address of the liquidator or administrative receiver, the date he was appointed and by whom;

- (2) the reasons why it has subsequently been considered appropriate that an application for a cell receivership order should be made;
- (3) all other matters that would, in the opinion of the applicant, assist the Court^G in considered the need to make provisions in respect of matters arising in connection with the liquidation or administrative receivership; and
- (4) the details required in Rule 54.129.

The hearing

54.143

At the hearing of an application for a cell receivership order, any of the following persons may appear or be represented:

- (1) the applicant;
- (2) any of the persons specified in Regulation 8.3.3; and
- (3) with the permission of the Court^G, any other person who appears to have an interest justifying his appearance.

54.144

If the Court^G makes a cell receivership order, it shall be in such form as the Court^G may specify.

54.145

If the Court^G makes a cell receivership order, the costs of the applicant and of any person whose costs are allowed by the Court^G, are payable as an expense of the cell receivership.

54.146

Where the Court^G makes a cell receivership order in relation to a Company upon an application under Regulation 8.2.4, the Court^G shall include in the order (i) such provision as the Court^G thinks fit with respect to matters arising in connection with the liquidation or administrative receivership and (ii) such other provisions as the Court^G shall think fit.

Notice of cell receivership order

54.147

If the Court^G makes a cell receivership order, it shall as soon as reasonably practicable send two sealed copies of the order to the person who made the application.

54.148

The applicant shall send a sealed copy of the order as soon as reasonably practicable to:

- (1) the person appointed as cell receiver; and
- (2) if the Company is an Authorised Person, the DFSA^G.

54.149

If the Court^G makes an order under Regulation 8.3.2, it shall give directions as to the persons to whom, and how, notice of that order is to be given.

VI Service of Court Documents**Scope****54.150**

Subject to Rule 54.151, this Section applies in relation to the service of:

- (1) petitions;
- (2) applications;
- (3) documents relating to petitions or applications; and
- (4) court orders;

which are required to be served by any provision of the Law, the Regulations or this Part of the Rules^G ("court documents").

54.151

This Section does not apply to the service of:

- (1) a winding up petition;
- (2) any document relating to such a petition; or
- (3) a winding-up order.

Part 9 service**54.152**

Except where different provision is made in this Part and subject to any direction or order of the Court^G, Part 9 (Service^G) applies in relation to the service of court documents, whether within or outside the jurisdiction.

54.153

For the purpose of the application by Rule 54.152 of Part 9 (Service^G) to the service of documents in insolvency proceedings an application commencing insolvency proceedings or an application within insolvency proceedings against a respondent is to be treated as a claim form.

Service of orders staying proceedings**54.154**

Where in insolvency proceedings the Court^G makes an order staying any action, execution or other legal process against the property of a Company, service of the order may be effected by sending a sealed copy of the order to whatever is the address for service of the party having the carriage of the proceedings to be stayed.

Service on joint office-holders

54.155

Where there are joint office-holders in insolvency proceedings, service on one of them is to be treated as service on all of them.

VII Content of Notices to be Advertised

54.156

Where under the Law, the Regulations or this Part of the Rules^G a notice is to be advertised, in addition to any content specifically required by the Law, the Regulations or any other provision of this Part of the Rules^G, the content of such a notice must be as set out in this Section.

54.157

All notices published must specify insofar as it is applicable in relation to the particular notice:

- (1) the name and postal address of the office-holder acting in the proceedings;
- (2) the capacity in which the office-holder is acting and the date of appointment;
- (3) either an e-mail address, or a telephone number, through which the office-holder may be contacted;
- (4) the name of any person other than the office-holder (if any) who may be contacted regarding the proceedings;
- (5) the Court^G name and any number assigned to the proceedings by the Court^G;
- (6) the registered name and number of the Company;
- (7) the registered office of the Company or, in the case of a Recognised Company, its place of business in the DIFC^G or, in the case of any other Foreign Company, its registered office and, if different, its principal place of business;
- (8) any principal trading address if this is different from its registered office;
- (9) any name under which it was registered in the 12 months prior to the date of the commencement of the proceedings which are the subject of the notice; and
- (10) any name or style (other than its registered name) under which:
 - (a) the Company carried on business; or
 - (b) any debt owed to a creditor was incurred.

54.158

Information required under this Section to be included in a notice to be advertised may be omitted if it is not reasonably practicable to obtain it.

VIII Costs and Detailed Assessment**Scope****54.159**

This Section applies in relation to costs in connection with insolvency proceedings.

54.160

In this Section a reference to costs includes charges and expenses.

Requirement to assess costs by the detailed procedure**54.161**

Where the costs of any person are payable as an expense out of the insolvent estate in accordance with the Law, the Regulations or by order of the Court^G, the amount payable must be decided by detailed assessment unless agreed between the office-holder and the person entitled to payment.

54.162

In the absence of such agreement as is mentioned in Rule 54.161, the office-holder:

- (1) may serve notice requiring that person to commence detailed assessment proceedings in accordance with Part 40 (Procedure for Detailed Assessment of Costs); and
- (2) must serve such notice (except in an administrative receivership) where a liquidation or creditors' committee formed in relation to the insolvency proceedings resolves that the amount of the costs must be decided by detailed assessment.

54.163

Where the costs of any person employed by an office-holder in insolvency proceedings are required to be decided by detailed assessment under an order of the Court^G directing that those costs are to be paid otherwise than out of the insolvent estate, the Registrar^G shall note on the final costs certificate by whom, or the manner in which, the costs are to be paid.

54.164

Where an office-holder is made a party to any proceedings on the application of another party to the proceedings, he shall not be personally be liable for costs unless the Court^G otherwise directs.

54.165

Nothing in Rules 54.161 to 54.164 above shall affect the power of the Court^G to order an immediate assessment of the costs of any proceedings, or part of any proceedings, before the Court^G pursuant to Part 38 (General Rules About Costs).

Application for costs

54.166

This Section applies where a party to, or person affected by, any proceedings in an insolvency:

- (1) applies to the Court^G for an order allowing his costs, or part of them, incidental to the proceedings; and
- (2) that application is not made at the time of the proceedings.

54.167

The person concerned shall serve a sealed copy of his or her application on the office-holder.

54.168

The office-holder may appear on an application to which Rule 54.166 applies.

54.169

No costs of or incidental to the application shall be allowed to the applicant unless the Court^G is satisfied that the application could not have been made at the time of the proceedings.

Costs and expenses of witnesses

54.170

Except as directed by the Court^G, no allowance as a witness in any examination or other proceedings before the Court^G shall be made to any officer of the insolvent Company to which the proceedings relate.

54.171

A person presenting any petition in a Company insolvency shall not be regarded as a witness on the hearing of the petition, but the Registrar^G may allow his expenses of travelling and subsistence.

Final costs certificate

54.172

A final costs certificate of the Registrar^G is final and conclusive as to all matters which have not been objected to in the manner provided for under these Rules^G.

54.173

Where it is proved to the satisfaction of the Registrar^G that a final costs certificate has been lost or destroyed, he may issue a duplicate.

IX Appeals in Insolvency Procedures

Appeals and reviews of Court orders

54.174

The Court^G may review, rescind, or vary any order made by it in the exercise of its jurisdiction under this Part.

54.175

Appeals in proceedings under this Part lie as follows:

- (1) to a Judge^G of the Court^G of First Instance where the decision appealed against is made by the Registrar^G; and
- (2) to the Court^G of Appeal from a decision of a Judge^G of the Court^G of First Instance.

54.176

Any application for the rescission of a winding-up order shall be made within five (5) business days after the date on which the order was made.

Procedure on appeal**54.177**

An appeal against a decision at first instance may only be brought with either the permission of the Court^G which made the decision or the permission of the Court^G which has jurisdiction to hear the appeal.

54.178

An appellant must file an appeal notice (within the meaning of Part 44 (Appeals)) within 21 calendar days after the date of the decision of the Court^G that the appellant wishes to appeal.

54.179

Subject to Rules 54.174 to 54.178, the procedure in Part 44 (Appeals) applies to any appeal to which this Section applies.

X Principal Court Rules and Practice to Apply**Principal court rules and practice to apply****54.180**

The provisions of the Rules^G in the first column of the table in this Rule (including any related practice directions) apply to insolvency proceedings by virtue of the provisions of the Rules^G in this Part set out in the second column with any necessary modifications, except so far as inconsistent with these Rules^G:

Provisions of the Rules	Provisions of this Part
Part 19 (Further Information)	Rule 54.182(1)
Part 28 (Production of Documents)	Rule 54.182(2)
Part 29 (Evidence)	Rule 54.16
Part 33 (Payments into Court)	Rule 54.184
Part 40 (Procedure for Detailed Assessment of Costs)	Rule 54.94(2) and 54.162(1)
Part 44 (Appeals)	Section IX
Part 45 (General Rules About Enforcement of Judgments and Orders)	Rule 54.30

54.181

The provisions of the other Parts of these Rules^G (including any related practice directions) not referred to in the table in Rule 54.180 apply to proceedings under the Law, the Regulations and this Part of the Rules^G with any necessary modifications, except so far as inconsistent with the Rules^G contained in this Part.

Further information and disclosure**54.182**

Any party to insolvency proceedings may apply to the Court^G for an order:

- (1) that any other party:
 - (a) clarify any matter which is in dispute in the proceedings; or
 - (b) give additional information in relation to any such matter, in accordance with Part 19 (Further Information); or
- (2) to obtain documents from any other party in accordance with Part 28 (Production of Documents).

54.183

An application under Rule 54.182 may be made without notice being given to any other person.

Payment into Court**54.184**

Part 33 (Payments into Court) applies to money lodged in Court under the Law, the Regulations or otherwise in relation to an insolvency proceeding under this Part.

No determination of insolvency proceedings by the Small Claims Tribunal**54.185**

No insolvency proceedings may be issued in or be transferred to the Small Claims Tribunal under Part 53 (The Small Claims Tribunal) and Part 53 shall not apply to insolvency proceedings.

Office copies of documents**54.186**

Any person who has under the Law, Regulations of this Part of the Rules^G the right to inspect the Court^G file of insolvency proceedings may require the Court^G to provide him with an office copy of any document from the file.

54.187

A person's rights under Rule 54.186 may be exercised on his behalf by his legal representative.

54.188

An office copy provided by the Court^G under Rule 54.186 shall be in such form as the Court^G may specify, and shall bear the Court^G's seal.

Formal defects**54.189**

No insolvency proceedings shall be invalidated by any formal defect or by any irregularity, unless the Court^G before which objection is made considers that substantial injustice has been caused by the defect or irregularity, and that the injustice cannot be remedied by any order of the Court^G.

XI Inquiry into Company's Dealings**Introduction****54.190**

This Section applies to applications to the Court^G for an order under Article 25(3) of the Law (Powers of liquidator) or Articles 94 to 96 of the Law (Inquiry into Company's dealings).

Form and contents of application**54.191**

An application for such an order shall be in writing specifying the grounds on which it is made.

54.192

The application must specify the name of the respondent.

54.193

It shall be stated whether the application is for an order that the respondent:

- (1) appear before the Court^G;
- (2) submit witness statements (and, if so, the particulars to be given of the matters to be included);
- (3) produce books, papers or other records (if so, the items in question to be specified);
- (4) clarify any matter which is in dispute in the proceedings or give additional information in relation to any such matter and, if so, Part 19 (Further Information) shall apply to any such order; or

or for any two or more of those purposes or for some other (and, if so, what other) relief.

54.194

The application may be made without notice to any party other than the respondent.

Order for examination, etc.**54.195**

The Court^G may, whatever the purpose of the application, make any order which it has power to make under the Law and the Regulations.

54.196

The Court^G, if it orders the respondent to appear before it, shall specify a date and time for his appearance, which shall be not less than fourteen (14) calendar days from the date of the order.

54.197

If the respondent is ordered to submit a witness statement, the order shall specify:

- (1) the matters which are to be dealt with in his witness statement; and
- (2) the time within which the witness statement is to be submitted to the Court^G.

54.198

If the order is to produce books, papers or other records, the time and manner of compliance shall be specified.

54.199

The order must be served as soon as reasonably practicable on the respondent; and it must be served personally, unless the Court^G orders otherwise.

Procedure for examination**54.200**

At any examination of the respondent, the applicant may attend in person, or be represented by a legal representative, and may put such questions to the respondent as the Court^G may allow.

54.201

The following persons may attend the examination with the permission of the Court^G and may put questions to the respondent (but only through the applicant):

- (1) any person who could have applied for an order under the applicable provisions of the Law;
- (2) any creditor who has provided information on which the application was made by the applicant.

54.202

If the respondent is ordered to clarify any matter or to give additional information, the Court^G shall direct him as to the questions which he is required to answer, and as to whether his answers (if any) are to be made in a witness statement.

54.203

The respondent may at his own expense instruct a legal representative, who may put to him such questions as the Court^G may allow for the purpose of enabling him to explain or qualify any answers given him, and may make representations on his behalf.

Record of examination

54.204

There shall be made in writing such record of the examination as the Court^G thinks proper. The record shall be read over either to or by the respondent and signed or otherwise authenticated by him on a date and at a time fixed by the Court^G.

54.205

The written record may, in any proceedings (whether under the Law or otherwise) be used as evidence against the respondent of any statement made by him in the course of his examination.

Inspection of record of examination, etc.

54.206

Unless the Court^G otherwise directs, the written record of questions put to the respondent and the respondent's answers, and any witness statements submitted by the respondent in compliance with an order of the Court^G under the applicable provision of the Law, are not to be filed with the Court^G.

54.207

The documents set out in Rule 54.208 are not open to inspection without an order of the Court^G by any person other than:

- (1) the applicant for an order under the applicable provision of the Law; or
- (2) any person who could have applied for such an order in respect of the affairs of the same Company.

54.208

The documents to which Rule 54.207 refers are:

- (1) the written record of the respondent's examination;
- (2) copies of the questions put to the respondent or proposed to be put to the respondent and answers to questions given by the respondent;
- (3) any witness statement by the respondent; and
- (4) any document on the Court^G files which shows the grounds for the application for an order.

54.209

The Court^G may from time to time give directions as to the custody and inspection of any documents to which Rules 54.206 to 54.208 apply, and as to the furnishing of copies of, or extracts from, such documents.

Costs of proceedings

54.210

Where the Court^G makes any other order against a person (including, without limitation, an order requiring the production of books, papers or other records

or clarifying any matter which is in dispute or giving additional information) the costs of the application for the order may be ordered by the Court^G to be paid by the respondent.

54.211

Where the Court^G has ordered an examination of any person under the applicable provision of the Law, and it appears to it that the examination was made necessary because information had been unjustifiably refused by that person, it may order that the costs of the examination be paid by him.

54.212

Subject to Rules 54.210 and 54.211, the applicant's costs shall, unless the Court^G otherwise orders, be paid as an expense of the insolvency proceeding.

54.213

The costs of a person summoned to attend for examination under this Part incurred in connection with his attendance are at the Court^G's discretion.

XII Application of Part [X] to Limited Liability Partnerships

54.214

This Part shall apply for the purpose of giving effect to the provisions of the Law and the Regulations which are applied by Article 87 (Application to Limited Liability Partnerships) with the following modifications (except where the context otherwise requires):

54.214.1 references to a Company shall include references to a Limited Liability Partnership;

54.214.2 references to a director or to an officer of a Company shall include references to a member of Limited Liability Partnership;

54.214.3 references to the Law or the Regulations, or to any provisions thereof, shall include references to the Law or the Regulations, or the provisions thereof, as they apply to Limited Liability Partnerships in accordance with the Law;

54.214.4 such further or other modifications as the context may require for the purpose of giving effect to (i) the Law and the Regulations in accordance with Article 87 of the Law and (ii) this Rule 54.214.

XIII Forms

Forms for use in insolvency proceedings

54.215

Forms, as specified in this Part and where provided, are to be used in insolvency proceedings.

54.216

Where the forms are required to be used, they may be used with such variations, if any, as the circumstances may require.

XIV Court Records and Returns

Court file

54.217

The Court^G shall open and maintain a file in any case where documents are filed with it under the Law, the Regulations or this Part of the Rules^G.

54.218

Any documents which are filed with the Court^G under the Law or the Regulations must be placed on the file opened in accordance with Rule 54.217.

54.219

The following persons may inspect or obtain from the Court^G a copy of, or a copy of any document or documents contained in, a file opened in accordance with Rule 54.217:

- (1) the office-holder in the proceedings;
- (2) the DFSA^G;
- (3) any person who is a creditor of the Company to which the proceedings relate if that person provides the Court^G with a statement in writing by him or a person authorised by him confirming that that person is a creditor;
- (4) an officer or former officer of the Company to which the proceedings relate; and
- (5) a member of the Company to which the proceedings relate or a contributory in its winding up.

54.220

The right to inspect or obtain a copy of, or a copy of any document or documents contained in, the file opened in accordance with Rule 54.217 may be exercised on that person's behalf by a person authorised to do so by that person.

54.221

Any person who is not otherwise entitled to inspect or obtain a copy of, or a copy of any document or documents contained in, the file opened in accordance with Rule 54.217 may do so if that person has the permission of the Court^G.

54.222

The Court^G may, upon an application by the office-holder or any person appearing to the Court^G to have an interest, direct that the file, a document (or part of it) or a copy of a document (or part of it) must not be made available under Rules 54.219 to 54.220 without the permission of the Court^G.

54.223

Where any person wishes to exercise the right to inspect the file under Rule 54.219, 54.220 or 54.221, that person:

- (1) if the permission of the Court^G is required, must file with the Court^G an application notice in accordance with this Part; or
- (2) if the permission of the Court^G is not required, may inspect the file at any reasonable time.

54.224

Where any person wishes to exercise the right to obtain a copy of a document under Rule 54.219, 54.220 or 54.221, that person must pay any prescribed fee and:

- (1) if the permission of the Court^G is required, file with the Court^G an application notice in accordance with this Part; or
- (2) if the permission of the Court^G is not required, file with the Court^G a written request for the document.

54.225

An application for (a) permission to inspect the file or obtain a copy of a document under Rule 54.221 or (b) a direction under Rule 54.222 may be made without notice to any other party, but the Court^G may direct that notice shall be given to any person who would be affected by its decision.

XV Block Transfers of Cases where Insolvency Practitioner has Retired etc.

Preliminary

54.226

The Rules^G in this Section relate to applications for a block transfer order.

Power to make a block transfer order

54.227

This Rule applies where an individual who is acting as an office-holder ("the outgoing office-holder"):

- (1) dies;
- (2) retires from practice; or
- (3) is otherwise unable or unwilling to continue in office;

and it is expedient to transfer some or all of the cases in which the outgoing office-holder holds office to one or more office-holders ("the replacement office-holder") in a single transaction.

54.228

In a case to which this Rule applies the Court^G has the power to make an order, ("a block transfer order"), appointing a replacement office-holder in the place of the outgoing office-holder to be:

- (1) liquidator or provisional liquidator in any winding up;

- (2) receiver or administrative receiver in any receivership; or
- (3) supervisor of a voluntary arrangement under Article 13 of the Law.

54.229

The replacement office-holder must be qualified to act as an insolvency practitioner.

Application for a block transfer order

54.230

An application for a block transfer order may be made to the Registrar^G for:

- (1) the removal of the outgoing office-holder by the exercise of any of the powers in Rule 54.231;
- (2) the appointment of a replacement office-holder by the exercise of any of the powers in Rule 54.232; and/or
- (3) such other order or direction as may be necessary or expedient in connection with any of the matters referred to above.

54.231

The powers referred to in Rule 54.230(1) are those in:

- (1) Articles 46 and 66 (winding up);
- (2) Article 20 (receivership); and
- (3) Article 13(6) of the Law (voluntary arrangement).

54.232

The powers referred to in Rule 54.230(2) are those in:

- (1) Articles 33, 59 and 71 (winding up);
- (2) Article 14 (receivership); and
- (3) Article 13(4) and (5) of the Law (voluntary arrangement).

54.233

The application may be made by any of the following:

- (1) the outgoing office-holder (if able and willing to do so);
- (2) any person who holds office jointly with the outgoing office-holder;
- (3) any person who is proposed to be appointed as the replacement office-holder;

- (4) any creditor in a case subject to the application;
- (5) the recognised professional body or recognised body by which the outgoing office-holder is or was authorised; or
- (6) the Registrar^G of Companies appointed under the Companies Law 2009.

54.234

An applicant (other than the Registrar^G of Companies) must deliver notice of the application to the Registrar^G of Companies at least 5 business days before the hearing of the application.

54.235

The following must be made a respondent to the application and served by the applicant with it:

- (1) the outgoing office-holder (if not the applicant or deceased);
- (2) every person who holds office jointly with the outgoing office-holder; and
- (3) such other person as the Registrar^G or Judge^G directs.

54.236

The application must contain a schedule setting out:

- (1) the name of each case;
- (2) the identity of the Court^G having jurisdiction when the application is made;
- (3) the case number (if any); and
- (4) the capacity in which the outgoing office-holder was appointed.

54.237

The application must be supported by evidence:

- (1) setting out the circumstances as a result of which it was expedient to appoint a replacement office-holder; and
- (2) exhibiting the written consent to act of each person who is proposed to be appointed as replacement office-holder.

Action following application for a block transfer order

54.238

The Registrar^G or Judge^G may in the first instance consider the application without a hearing and make such order as the Registrar^G or Judge^G thinks just.

54.239

In the first instance, the Registrar^G or Judge^G may do any of the following:

- (1) if the documents are considered to be in order and that the matter is considered straightforward, make an order on the substantive application;
- (2) give any directions which are considered to be necessary including (if appropriate) directions for the joinder of any additional respondents or requiring the service of the application on any person or requiring additional evidence to be provided; or
- (3) if an order is not made on the substantive application, give directions for the further consideration of the substantive application by the Registrar^G or Judge^G.

54.240

In deciding to what extent (if any) the costs of making an application under this Rule should be paid as an expense of the insolvency proceedings to which the application relates, the factors to which the Court^G must have regard include:

- (1) the reasons for the making of the application;
- (2) the number of cases to which the application relates;
- (3) the value of assets comprised in those cases; and
- (4) the nature and extent of the costs involved.

54.241

Any appointment made under this Rule must be notified:

- (1) to the Registrar^G of Companies as soon as reasonably practicable;
- (2) to the creditors; and
- (3) to such other persons as the Court^G may direct, in such manner as the Court^G may direct.

PART 55**Rules of the DIFC Courts relating to probate claims and claims relating to the administration of estates****1. PROBATE****Scope of this Part and definitions****55.1**

- (1) This Part contains rules about –
 - (a) probate;

- (b) probate claims;
- (c) claims for the rectification of wills; and
- (c) claims and applications to –
 - (i) Substitute another person for an executor; or
 - (ii) Remove an executor

(2) In this Part:

- (a) “probate claim” means a claim for –
 - (i) the grant of probate or administration (with Will annexed) of a deceased person’s estate;
 - (ii) the revocation of such a grant; or
 - (iii) an order declaring for or against the validity of a will;
 - (iv) any application for an order confirming the revocation of a will under

Rule 18 of the WPR Rules.

- (b) “WPR” means the DIFC Wills and Probate Registry;
- (c) “testamentary document” means a will, a draft of a last will, written instructions for a will made by or at the request of, or under the instructions of, the testator, and any document purporting to be evidence of the contents, or to be a copy, of a Will which is alleged to have been lost or destroyed; or any document that might affect the validity of the registered will.
- (d) “Testamentary instrument” means any document which may have been annexed to the will at the time of the will registration, and relating to the estate in question.
- (e) “WPR Rules” means the DIFC Wills and Probate Registry Rules.

(3) Where appropriate, WPR Rules shall apply and “executor” includes for the purpose only of this Part an administrator where the context allows this.

I PROBATE CLAIMS

General

55.2

This Section contains rules about probate claims.

How to start a probate claim

55.3

- (1) A probate claim must be commenced using the procedure in Part 7
- (2) The claim form and all other Court documents relating to a probate claim must be marked at the top “In the estate of [name] deceased (Probate)”.
- (3) The commencement of a probate claim will, unless the Court otherwise directs, prevent any grant of probate or grant of administration (with will annexed) being made until the probate claim has been disposed of.

- (4) The Court shall give notice of the probate claim to the WPR.

Acknowledgment of Service and Defence

55.4

- (1) A defendant who is served with a claim form must file an acknowledgment of service.
- (2) Subject to paragraph (3), the period for filing an acknowledgment of service is –
- (a) if the defendant is served with a claim form which states that particulars of claim are to follow, 28 days after service of the particulars of claim; and
 - (b) in any other case, 28 days after service of the claim form.
- (3) Rule 16.9 (which provides the period for filing a defence) applies as if the words ‘under Part 11’ were omitted from Rule 16.9(2).

Lodging of wills and testamentary documents and filing of evidence about testamentary documents

55.5

- (1) Unless the Court directs otherwise, the will and any other testamentary instruments held at the WPR shall be lodged with the Court.[1]
- (2) Any testamentary document of the deceased person that affects the validity of the will, in the possession or control of any party, must be lodged with the Court.
- (3) Unless the Court directs otherwise, such testamentary documents must be lodged at the Court –
- (a) by the claimant when the claim form is issued; and
 - (b) by a defendant when he acknowledges service.
- (4) The claimant and every defendant who acknowledges service of the claim form must in written evidence –
- (a) describe any testamentary document that affects the validity of the will of the deceased of which he has any knowledge or,
 - (b) if any such testamentary document of which he has knowledge is not in his possession or under his control, give the name and address of the person in whose possession or under whose control it is or, if he does not know the name or address of that person, state that fact.
- (5) Unless the Court directs otherwise, the written evidence required by paragraph (4) must be filed in the Court –
- (a) by the claimant, when the claim form is issued; and
 - (b) by a defendant when he acknowledges service.
- (6) The written evidence about testamentary documents required by this Part must contain a statement of truth in accordance with Part 22 of the RDC, and be signed.

- (7) If there is urgent need to commence a probate claim, and it is not possible for the claimant to lodge the testamentary documents or to file the evidence about the will with the Court at the same time as the claim form is to be issued, the Court may direct that the claimant shall be allowed to issue the claim form, and direct the claimant to lodge the documents and to file the evidence, within such time as the Court shall specify.
- (8) Except with the permission of the Court, a party shall not be allowed to inspect the testamentary documents or written evidence lodged or filed by any other party until he himself has filed his evidence.
- (9) Unless the Court orders otherwise, if a testamentary document is held by the Court (whether it was lodged by a party or it was previously held at the WPR) when the claim has been disposed of, the Court will send it to the WPR.

Revocation of existing grant

55.6

- (1) In a probate claim which seeks the revocation of a grant of probate or grant of administration (with will annexed) every person who is entitled, or claims to be entitled, to administer the estate under that grant must be made a party to the claim.
- (2) If the claimant is the person to whom the grant was made, he must lodge the original probate or grant of administration (with will annexed) at the Court when the claim form is issued.
- (3) If a defendant has the probate or grant of administration (with will annexed) under his control, he must lodge it at the Court when he acknowledges service.
- (4) Paragraphs (2) and (3) do not apply where the grant has already been lodged at the Court, which in this paragraph includes the WPR.

Continuance of legal proceedings after revocation of temporary administration

55.7

If, while any legal proceeding is pending in the Court, by or against an administrator to whom a temporary administration has been granted, that administration is revoked, the Court may order that the proceeding be continued by or against the new administrator, in like manner as if the same had been originally commenced by or against him, but subject to such conditions and variations, if any, as the Court directs.

Contents of statements of case

55.8

- (1) The claim form must contain a statement of the nature of the interest of the claimant and of each defendant in the estate.
- (2) If a party disputes another party's interest in the estate he must state this in his statement of case and set out his reasons.

- (3) Any party who contends that at the time when a will was executed the testator did not know of and approve its contents must give particulars of the facts and matters relied on.
- (4) Any party who wishes to contend that –
 - (a) a will was not duly executed;
 - (b) at the time of the execution of a will the testator lacked testamentary capacity; or
 - (c) the execution of a will was obtained by undue influence or fraud, must set out the contention specifically and give particulars of the facts and matters relied on.

Counterclaim

55.9

- (1) A defendant who contends that he has any claim or is entitled to any remedy relating to the grant of probate of the will, or grant of administration (with will annexed) of the estate, of the deceased person must serve a counterclaim making that contention.
- (2) If the claimant fails to serve particulars of claim within the time allowed, the defendant may, with the permission of the Court, serve a counterclaim and the probate claim shall then proceed as if the counterclaim were the particulars of claim.

Probate counterclaim in other proceedings

55.10

- (1) In this rule “probate counterclaim” means a counterclaim in any claim other than a probate claim by which the defendant claims any such remedy as is mentioned in Rule 55.1(2)(a).
- (2) Subject to the following paragraphs of this rule, this Part shall apply with the necessary modifications to a probate counterclaim as it applies to a probate claim.
- (3) A probate counterclaim must contain a statement of the nature of the interest of each of the parties in the estate of the deceased to which the probate counterclaim relates.

Failure to acknowledge service or to file a defence

55.11

- (1) A default judgment cannot be obtained in a probate claim and Rule 11.4 and Part 13 of the RDC do not apply.
- (2) If any of several defendants fails to acknowledge service, the claimant may –
 - (a) after the time for acknowledging service has expired; and
 - (b) upon filing written evidence of service of the claim form and (if no particulars of claim were contained in or served with the claim form) the particulars of claim on that defendant;

proceed with the probate claim, as if that defendant had acknowledged service.

- (3) If no defendant acknowledges service or files a defence then, unless on the application of the claimant the Court orders the claim to be discontinued, the Court shall order that the claim is to proceed to trial.
- (4) When making an application under paragraph (3) the claimant must file written evidence of service of the claim form and (if no particulars of claim were contained in or served with the claim form) the particulars of claim on each of the defendants.
- (5) Where the Court makes an order under paragraph (3), it may direct that the claim be tried on written evidence.

Discontinuance and dismissal

55.12

- (1) Part 34 does not apply to probate claims.
- (2) At any stage of a probate claim the Court, on the application of the claimant or of any defendant who has acknowledged service, may order that –
 - (a) the claim be discontinued or dismissed on such terms as to costs or otherwise as it thinks just; and
 - (b) a grant of probate of the will, or grant of administration (with will annexed) of the estate, of the deceased person be made to the person entitled to the grant.

Case management

55.13

In giving case management directions in a probate claim, the Court will give consideration to the questions –

- (1) whether any person who may be affected by the claim and who is not joined as a party should be joined as a party, or giving notice of the claim under Rule 20.55.
- (2) whether to make a representation order[2] under rule 20.35 or 20.41.

Settlement of a probate claim

55.14

If, at any time, the parties agree to settle a probate claim, the Court may –

- (1) order the trial of the claim on written evidence, which will lead to a grant in special form;
- (2) order that the claim be discontinued or dismissed under Rule 55.12, which will lead to a grant in common form;
- (3) pronounce for, or against, the validity of one or more wills under Rule 54 of the WPR Rules.

- (4) An appropriate consent order (for applications for compromise of probate action, in accordance with Rule 54 of the WPR Rules) should be signed by both parties in the presence of a court officer.

Applications for a grant

55.15

- (1) An application for an order for:
- (a) A discretionary grant[3];
 - (b) A grant pending the determination of a probate claim[4];
 - (c) An interim grant[5];
 - (d) A grant limited in any other way the Court thinks fit;
 - (e) Where there is a dispute as to the persons entitled to the grant, that a grant is made to a particular person or persons; shall be made by application notice in a probate claim.
- (2) If an application is made for an order for a grant under paragraph (1):-
- (a) Rules 49.14 to 49.35 of the RDC shall apply, as if the administrator were a receiver appointed by the Court;
 - (b) If the Court allows the administrator remuneration[6] under Rule 49.28, it may make an order specifying the remuneration is recoverable out of the estate of the deceased; and
 - (c) Every application, relating to the conduct of the administration (with will annexed), shall be made by application notice in the probate claim.
- (3) An appointment of an administrator, to whom administration (with will annexed) are granted pending the determination of a probate claim, will cease automatically when a final order in the probate claim is made, but will continue pending any appeal. Where, in such a case, the grant ceases automatically, the grant shall be returned to the WPR.

II RECTIFICATION OF WILLS

Rectification of Wills

55.16

- (1) This Section contains rules about claims for the rectification of a will, in conjunction with Part 5 (Rectification of wills) of the WPR Rules.
- (2) Every executor of the estate shall be joined as a party.

Lodging the grant

55.17

- (1) If the claimant is the person to whom the grant was made in respect of the will of which rectification is sought, he must, unless the Court orders otherwise, lodge the grant of probate or grant of administration (with will annexed) with the Court when the claim form is issued.
- (2) If a defendant has the grant of probate or grant of administration (with will annexed) in his possession or under his control, he must, unless the Court orders otherwise, lodge it in the relevant office within 14 days after the service of the claim form on him.

Orders

55.18

A copy of every order made for the rectification of a will shall be sent to the WPR for filing, and a memorandum of the order shall be endorsed on, or permanently annexed to, the grant under which the estate is administered.

III SUBSTITUTION AND REMOVAL OF EXECUTORS

Substitution and Removal of Executors

55.19

- (1) This Section contains rules about claims and applications for substitution or removal of an executor (see also **Rule 29** of the WPR Rules on the maximum number of executors).
- (2) Every executor of the estate shall be joined as a party.
 - (a) This rule shall apply to such applications (with references to claims being read as references to applications).

Starting the claim

55.20

The claim form must be accompanied by –

- (1) a sealed or certified copy of the grant of probate or grant of administration (with will annexed), and
- (2) written evidence containing the grounds of the claim and the following information so far as it is known to the claimant –
 - (a) brief details of the property comprised in the estate, with an approximate estimate of its capital value and any income that is received from it;
 - (b) brief details of the liabilities of the estate, if any;
 - (c) the names and addresses of the persons who are in possession of the documents relating to the estate;
 - (d) the names of the beneficiaries and their respective interests in the estate; and
 - (e) the name, address and occupation of any proposed substituted executor.

Consent to act and evidence

55.21

If the claim is for the appointment of a substituted executor, the claim form must be accompanied by –

- (1) a signed or sealed consent to act; and
- (2) written evidence as to the fitness of the proposed substituted executor, if an individual, to act.

Production of the grant

55.22

- (1) On the hearing of the claim, the executor must produce to the Court the grant of representation to the deceased's estate.
- (2) If an order is made substituting or removing the executor, the grant (together with a sealed copy of the order) must be sent to and remain in the custody of the WPR, until a memorandum of the order has been endorsed on, or permanently annexed to the grant.
- (3) Where the claim is to substitute or remove an executor and the claim is made before a grant of probate has been issued, paragraphs (1) and (2) do not apply. Where, in such a case, an order is made substituting or removing an executor, a sealed copy of the order must be sent to the WPR, where it will be recorded and retained, pending any application for a grant. An order sent to the WPR in accordance with this paragraph must be accompanied by a note of the full name and date of death of the deceased, if it is not apparent on the face of the order.

B. CLAIMS FOR DIRECTIONS AND ORDERS RELATING TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES

General

55.23

This Part contains rules about claims relating to the administration of estates of deceased persons (where a deceased person has made a will).

It applies to claims –

- (a) for the court to determine any question (excluding intestacy and its consequences) arising in the administration of the estate of a deceased person; and
- (b) for an order for the administration of the estate of a deceased person to be carried out under the direction of the court ('an administration order').
- (c) To enforce rights under a foreign law under Rule 111 of the WPR Rules.

Parties

55.24

In a claim to which this Part applies –

- (a) all the executors or administrators of the deceased person's estate must be parties;
- (b) if the claim is made by executors or administrators, any of them who does not consent to being a claimant must be made a defendant; and
- (c) the claimant may make parties to the claim any persons with an interest in or claim against the estate who it is appropriate to make parties having regard to the nature of the order sought.

(The court may, under Rule 20.2, order additional persons to be made parties to a claim.)

Examples of claims under this Part

55.25

The following are examples of the types of claims which may be made under this Part –

- (1) a claim for the determination of any of the following questions –
 - (a) any question as to who is included in any class of persons having –
 - (i) a claim against the estate of a deceased person;
 - (ii) a beneficial interest in the estate of such a person; or
 - (b) any question as to the rights or interests of any person claiming –
 - (i) to be a creditor of the estate of a deceased person;
 - (ii) to be entitled under a will of a deceased person; or
- (2) a claim for any of the following remedies –
 - (a) an order requiring an executor or administrator –
 - (i) to provide and, if necessary, verify accounts;
 - (ii) to pay into court money which he holds in that capacity; or
 - (iii) to do or not to do any particular act;
 - (b) an order approving any sale, purchase, compromise or other transaction by an executor or administrator; or
 - (c) an order directing any act to be done which the court could order to be done if the estate were being administered or executed under the direction of the court.

Determining certain claims under this Part without a hearing

55.26

- (1) Where a claim is made by a trustee for a remedy within Rule 55.25(2)(b) (including a case where the remedy sought is approval of a transaction affected by conflict of interests or duties), the court may be requested to determine the claim without a hearing.
- (2) The claim form in such a case may be issued without naming defendants, and no separate application for permission need be made.
- (3) The claim form must be accompanied by –
 - (a) a witness statement setting out the material facts justifying determination without a hearing and in particular –
 - (i) identifying those affected by the remedy sought and
 - (ii) detailing any consultation of those so affected and the result of that consultation;
 - (b) the advice of a lawyer on the merits of the claim;
 - (c) a draft order for the remedy sought;
 - (d) a statement of costs.
- (4) If the court considers that the case does not require an oral hearing, it will proceed to consider the claim on the papers.
- (5) If the court considers that an oral hearing is required, it will give appropriate directions.

- (6) If the court considers it appropriate, it will make the order sought and may direct that the claimant must –
- (a) serve notice of the order on the interested parties in accordance with Rule 20.55, and
 - (b) file a certificate of service within 7 days of doing so.

Administration Orders – rule 55.23(b)

55.27

- (1) The court will only make an administration order if it considers that the issues between the parties cannot properly be resolved in any other way.
- (2) If, in a claim for an administration order, the claimant alleges that the executors or administrators have not provided proper accounts, the court may –
- (a) stay the proceedings for a specified period, and order them to file and serve proper accounts within that period; or
 - (b) if necessary to prevent proceedings by other creditors or persons claiming to be entitled to the estate, make an administration order and include in it an order that no such proceedings are to be taken without the court's permission.
- (3) Where an administration order has been made in relation to the estate of a deceased person, and a claim is made against the estate by any person who is not a party to the proceedings –
- (a) no party other than the executors or administrators of the estate may take part in any proceedings relating to the claim without the permission of the court; and
 - (b) the court may direct or permit any other party to take part in the proceedings, on such terms as to costs or otherwise as it thinks fit.

Application to enforce rights under a foreign law – rule 55.23(c)

55.28

An application to enforce rights under a foreign law shall be in accordance with Rule 111 of the WPR Rules and supported by:

- (a) a certified copy of the grant or court order issued in the foreign jurisdiction;
- (b) extracts of the relevant law; and
- (c) an affidavit of a suitably qualified lawyer confirming the relevant law.

Rulemaking Orders

DIFC Courts Order No. 1 of 2014 In Respect of the Rules of the DIFC Courts

I, Michael Hwang, Chief Justice of the DIFC Courts, make the following Order:

In exercise of the powers conferred on me by Article 8(3)(a) of Dubai Law No. 9 of 2004, as amended; and

after having reviewed:

Dubai Law No. 9 of 2004 in respect of the DIFC;
Dubai Law No.12 of 2004 in respect of the Judicial Authority at the DIFC;
DIFC Law No. 10 of 2004 in respect of the DIFC Court Law;
DIFC Order No.1 of 2007 in respect of the Rules of the DIFC Court;
Dubai Law No. 7 of 2011 Amending Certain Provisions of Dubai Law No. 9 of 2004
in respect of the DIFC; and

following two one-month public consultation periods,

1. This Order may be cited as the Rules of Court 2014 Order No. 1 of 2014.
2. By this Order, I issue the attached Rules of the DIFC Courts 2014 (the "Rules").
The Rules may be cited as "The Rules of the DIFC Courts 2014" and may be
abbreviated as "RDC 2014".
3. Subject to the provisions of this Order, the Rules of the DIFC Courts in force
prior to 1 March 2014 are repealed.
4. Subject to this Order, the Rules shall have effect in relation to all proceedings
in the Small Claims Tribunal, the Court of First Instance and the Court of
Appeal, insofar as the matters to which the Rules relate are within the
jurisdiction of these Courts and, unless the relevant Court orders otherwise,
shall apply to any pending proceedings.
5. The Rules will come into force on 1 March 2014. Any Order of Court made
under the repealed Rules of the DIFC Courts and in force immediately before
1 March 2014 shall, so far as it is not inconsistent with the provisions of the
Rules, continue to be in force as if made under the Rules until it is revoked or
repealed by a subsequent Order of Court made under the Rules.

Michael Hwang
Chief Justice of the DIFC Courts

Issued: 1 March 2014

2016

[illegible]

2017

January S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	February S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	March S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31
April S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	May S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	June S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
July S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	August S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	September S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
October S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	November S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Dec S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31

2018

January S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	February S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	March S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31
April S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	May S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	June S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
July S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	August S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	September S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
October S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	November S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Dec S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31

2019

January S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	February S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	March S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31
April S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	May S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	June S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
July S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	August S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	September S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
October S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	November S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Dec S M T W T F S 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31

Members of Chambers

John Martin QC Head of Chambers	QC 1991	Call 1972	John Child	Call 1966
Michael Furness QC Deputy Head of Chambers	QC 2000	Call 1982	Thomas Seymour	Call 1975
Michael Barnes QC	QC 1981	Call 1965	Mark Studer	Call 1976
Lawrence Cohen QC	QC 1993	Call 1974	Gabriel Hughes	Call 1978
Ian Croxford QC	QC 1993	Call 1976	Judith Bryant	Call 1987
Robert Ham QC	QC 1994	Call 1973	Gabriel Fadipe	Call 1991
John Furber QC	QC 1995	Call 1973	Max Mallin	Call 1993
Terence Mowschenson QC	QC 1995	Call 1977	Graeme Halkerston	Call 1994
David Phillips QC	QC 1997	Call 1976	Emily Campbell	Call 1995
Brian Green QC	QC 1997	Call 1980	Julian Greenhill	Call 1997
John Wardell QC	QC 2002	Call 1979	Andrew Child	Call 1997
Alan Gourgey QC	QC 2003	Call 1984	Tiffany Scott	Call 1998
Jonathan Seidler QC	QC 2003	Call 1985	Nicholas Medcroft	Call 1998
Gilead Cooper QC	QC 2006	Call 1983	Iain Pester	Call 1999
Michael Tennet QC	QC 2006	Call 1985	Nikki Singla	Call 2000
Thomas Lowe QC	QC 2008	Call 1985	Edward Sawyer	Call 2001
James Ayliffe QC	QC 2008	Call 1987	Harris Bor	Sol 2002 Call 2006
Lexa Hilliard QC	QC 2009	Call 1987	Andrew Mold	Call 2003
Joanna Smith QC	QC 2009	Call 1990	Thomas Robinson	Call 2003
Paul Newman QC	QC 2009	Call 1991	Emily McKechnie	Call 2005
Joanne Wicks QC	QC 2010	Call 1990	Charlotte Black	Call 2006
Martin Hutchings QC	QC 2011	Call 1986	Sebastian Allen	Call 2006
Jonathan Evans QC	QC 2014	Call 1994	James Walmsley	Call 2007
Rupert Reed QC	QC 2014	Call 1996	Benjamin Faulkner	Call 2008
Fenner Moeran QC	QC 2014	Call 1996	James McCreath	Call 2009
Marcia Shekerdeman QC	QC 2015	Call 1987	Emer Murphy	Call 2009
Clare Stanley QC	QC 2015	Call 1994	Laura Newton	Call 2009
Tim Penny QC	QC 2016	Call 1988	Tom Roscoe	Call 2010
Jonathan Davey QC	QC 2016	Call 2003	Jonathan Chew	Call 2010
Jonathan Hilliard QC	QC 2016	Call 2003	Bobby Friedman	Call 2011
			Simon Atkinson	Call 2011
			Jack Watson	Call 2012
			Michael Ashdown	Call 2013
			James Goodwin	Call 2013
Jules Sher QC Door Tenant	QC 1981	Call 1968	Elizabeth Houghton	Call 2014
Full-time Arbitrator			Tim Matthewson	Call 2014
Stephen Davies QC Door Tenant	QC 2000	Call 1983	Jamie Holmes	Call 2014
			Caroline Furze Door Tenant	Call 1992

Nicholas Luckman
Practice Director

+44 (0)20 7306 0102
www.wilberforce.co.uk